Intensive Antihypertensive Treatment with Angiotensin Receptor Blocker Combined with Hydrochlorothiazide Reduces Urinary Angiotensinogen in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus
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ABSTRACT

Purpose: Local activation of rennin-angiotensin system (RAS) is involved in the progression of chronic kidney disease (CKD). One of the RAS components, angiotensinogen (AGT) has been known to be a potential surrogate biomarker for the renal RAS activity. Measuring the daily urinary excretion of AGT (U-AGT), the present study addressed whether the intensive blood pressure (BP) lowering with combined antihypertensive agents could improve such an abnormality in diabetic CKD patients.

Methods: Uncontrolled hypertensive patients with type 2 diabetes with mild to moderate nephropathy previously receiving angiotensin receptor blockers (ARB) in an optimal dose alone were recruited for a better blood pressure (BP) control. Urinary specimens were subjected to a quantitative measurement of a daily urinary protein (U-prot) and U-AGT. After the baseline measurement, intensive antihypertensive therapy was attempted by switching the ARB dose to a fixed combination formula of candesartan 8 mg plus hydrochlorothiazide (HCTZ) 6.25 mg and the patients were followed up for 24 weeks. Comparison of parameters was then made between the values at the baseline and the end of the study.

Results: At baseline, there was a significant positive correlation between U-AGT and U-prot, and between U-AGT and serum creatinine (Cr) concentration. In addition, U-AGT was inversely correlated with estimated glomerular filtration rate (e-GFR). Switching the antihypertensive regime from ARB alone to the combined ARB/HCTZ significantly reduced BP, U-AGT and U-prot. The magnitude of the reduction in U-prot was positively correlated with that in U-AGT. A stepwise regression analysis showed that HbA1c, e-GFR and the reduction in U-prot in response to the intensive antihypertensive therapy were positively correlated with the reduction in U-AGT.

Conclusion: U-AGT is increased and positively correlated with U-prot in patients with type 2 diabetic nephropathy. Intensive antihypertensive treatment with ARB combined with HCTZ reduces both U-AGT and U-prot, presumably via an amelioration of an accelerated renal RAS activity. These data also suggest that U-AGT can be used as a potential therapeutic surrogate biomarker for the activated renal RAS in patients with diabetic nephropathy.
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1. Introduction

The role of the rennin-angiotensin system (RAS) in the pathophysiology of chronic renal disease (CKD) has been highlighted in relation to the local RAS activity in the kidney. Experimental studies revealed that intrarenal angiotensin II (AlI) is regulated by a mechanism independent of circulating AII, and that elevated levels of intrarenal AII may link to renal functional derangement and tissue injury, leading to the progression of CKD [1,2]. Of importance is that the source of renal AII is not only derived from the systemic circulation but also from the locally formed AII substrate, angiotensinogen (AGT) [3-8]. Animal studies suggest that AGT levels in the renal tissues reflect the activity of intrarenal RAS [1-6,8]. In human, Yamamoto et al. showed that urinary AGT levels were elevated in patients with CKD [9]. In addition, similar results have recently demonstrated that urinary AGT levels in renal tissues are increased in human
IgA glomerulonephritis [10,11]. These studies indicated that urinary AGT is mainly derived from the renal tissues, and that it can be used as a potential surrogate biomarker for the local RAS activity in CKD.

A plethora of reports have proven that the effective antihypertensive interventions are undoubtedly associated with a decline in urinary protein excretion, contributing to renoprotection. However, it is also obvious that treatment with RAS inhibitor, either ACEIs or ARBs, is successful in mitigating CKD in a BP independent manner [12-14]. However, reports on whether BP lowering unequivocally induces reduction in urinary AGT are still scant. Reviewing the literatures, Bakris et al. have shown that in order to achieve lower BP of less than 130/80 mmHg, more than two drugs are needed in most patients [15]. Indeed, many guidelines for the management of hypertension have recommended that combination of multiple antihypertensive agents with different pharmacological mode of action is more efficacious than a single agent alone in terms of renal protection [16]. The combination of an AII receptor blocker (ARB) and hydrochlorothiazide (HCTZ) has been widely recognized as a preferable prescription, because combining ARB with HCTZ exerts a complementary antihypertensive effect by suppressing RAS with the former and body fluid system with the latter, which provides a greater reduction in BP than either agent alone. A fixed combination formulation of ARB plus HCTZ may be more advantageous because of a better adherence to therapy and less side effects [17].

2. Patients & Methods

2.1. Patients

Enrolled in the present study were patients with type 2 diabetic nephropathy (DN) (average age: 66.4 +/- 9.7, male/female: 20/15) who agreed to participate in the collection of 24 hour urine collection for the evaluation of a daily urinary protein (U-prot) and angiotensinogen excretion (U-AGT). Patients whose semi-quantitative measurement of urinary test was positive for proteinuria at least once were included in the study. The basic clinical characteristics of the patients enrolled are shown in Table 1. BP at the entry was 148 +/- 9 mmHg in systolic and 88 +/- 5 mmHg in diastolic. The diagnosis of diabetes mellitus was made based upon clinical and laboratory findings. Patients all satisfied the American Diabetes Association (ADA) diagnostic criteria of diabetes mellitus [18].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Patient characteristics.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (y)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male/Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP/DBP (mmHg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum Cr (mg/dL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hb (mg/dL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K (mEq/l)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr (ml/min/1.73m²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e-GFR (ml/min/1.73m²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U-prot (g/day)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U-AGT (μg/g·Cr)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U-AGT (μg/day)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARB use (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin use (%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2. Treatment Plans

The inclusion criteria were outpatients whose BP was more than 130/80mmHg despite previous antihypertensive agents (ARB alone) prescribed for more than 3 months prior to the study entry. Such ARBs had been prescribed in the optimal dose of each including candesartan 8 mg in 12 cases, losartan 50 mg in 8, ormesartan 40 mg in 8, valsartan 80 mg in 7 cases. At the entry, ARBs were switched to a single formulation tablet of candesratan 8 mg plus hydrochlorothiazide (HCTZ) 6.25 mg (Ecard HD®). These strategies were based upon an assumption that the combination therapies might exert more intense reduction in U-prot and U-AGT than ARB alone. Addition of HCTZ to ARB has been widely recommended to effectively reduce U-prot. Due to an ethical reason, drugs which are known to affect renal outcomes such as statins, anti-platelet agents, erythrocyte stimulating agents, remained unchanged throughout the study period. Dietary interventions were also kept unchanged.

The institution received prior ethics committee and or institutional review board approval (# 193), and the trial was conducted in accordance with the principles of Good Clinical Practice and the ethical principles of the concurrent Declaration of Helsinki which also protected the privacy of the patients. All patients gave written informed consent before study enrollment. Clinical trial Number by UMIN was 000001950.
2.3. Measurement of Urinary Parameters

Blood samples were obtained between 10 - 12 AM at the sitting position after more than 30 minutes rest. One day before the blood tests, 24 hours urine collection was made and samples were subjected to the quantitative measurement of a daily excretion on urinary protein and AGT. AGT was measured by a sandwich EIA method based on the theory reported elsewhere (19). The measuring plate is commercially available as a Human Total Angiotensinogen Assay Kit-IBL (Takasaki, Japan). The detection limit of this assay is estimated to be 0.30 ng/ml.

2.4. Statistical Analyses

Student t-test, the Chi-square test, and the Stepwise regression analysis were carried out with JMP 9.0 software. The computer used for the analysis was a Dynabook Satellite 2590X (Toshiba, Tokyo, Japan). Because of their skewed distribution, logarithmic transformation of AGT values was performed as the geometric means with 95% confidence intervals in some parts of the statistical analyses. Data were presented as mean +/- SD, unless otherwise indicated. A p-value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3. Results

Figure 1 shows the effect of switching the previous treatment with ARB alone to the intensive therapy with ARB plus HCTZ on BP, U-prot (a total daily urinary excretion) and U-AGT (a total daily urinary excretion of AGT). After introducing the intensive antihypertensive therapy (so-called “treatment”), both systolic (from 148 +/- 9 to 132 +/- 5 mmHg, p < 0.001) and diastolic BP (from 88 +/- 5 to 82 +/- 4 mmHg, p < 0.001) were reduced significantly. Similarly, both U-prot (from 1.5 +/- 1.3 to 1.2 +/- 0.8 g/day, p < 0.001) and U-AGT (from 386 +/- 389 to 208 +/- 204 μg/day, p < 0.001) were reduced in the majority of patients in the 24 weeks treatment period.

Figure 2 shows the relationship between pre-treatment U-AGT and U-prot. There was a close correlation between the two parameters (Figure 1, right). When logarithmic transformation was considered, such a correlation appeared to be more apparent (Figure 1, left).

Figure 3 show the relationship between pre-treatment AGT and serum Cr concentration or e-GFR. There was a significant positive correlation between serum Cr concentration and pre-treatment U-AGT, and in exchange negative correlation between serum Cr concentration and e-GFR.

Figure 4 shows relationship between the reduction ratio of a daily urinary protein excretion (ΔU-prot) and that of a daily urinary excretion of AGT (ΔU-AGT), in response the intensive antihypertensive treatment. The former was significantly positively correlated with the latter.

Figure 5 shows relationship between the total amount of the reduced daily urinary excretion (U-prot, plotted in the X-axis) and the ratio of the reduction in a daily urinary excretion of AGT (ΔU-AGT, plotted in the Y-axis). Similar to Figure 2, the former was significantly positively correlated with the latter.

Figure 1. Effect of intensive antihypertensive therapy with ARB/HCTZ.
Figure 2. Relationship between preU-AGT and preU-prot.

\[ y = 210x + 71.5, \ r = 0.72, \ P < 0.0001 \]

Figure 3. Relationship between preU-AGT and Cr, and preU-AGT and e-GFR.

\[ y = 206x - 793, \ r = 0.78, \ P < 0.0001 \]
\[ y = -11.9x + 779, \ r = -0.63, \ P < 0.0001 \]

Figure 4. Relationship between \( \Delta U \)-AGT ratio and \( \Delta U \)-prot ratio.

\[ y = 0.561x - 0.092, \ r = 0.3733, \ P = 0.027 \]
Table 2 shows the result of a stepwise regression analysis to explain pre-treatment value of U-AGT. The factors affecting such values were predicted to be pre-treatment U-prot, HbA1c and e-GFR.

Table 3 shows the result of a stepwise regression analysis to explain the reduction in U-AGT. Such predictors include the reduction in U-prot, e-GFR and HbA1c.

4. Discussion

This clinical study presented two major findings. First, U-AGT is substantially increased and positively correlated with U-prot in patients with mild to moderate type 2 diabetic nephropathy, suggesting that this biomarker can be used as a potential surrogate marker for the renal RAS. Second, intensive antihypertensive treatment with ARB plus HCTZ reduces both U-AGT and U-ptot, suggesting that intensive BP lowering may ameliorate an accelerated activity of renal RAS.

4.1. Urinary AGT as a Biomarker for Renal RAS

Notwithstanding that a part of the activity of systemic RAS can be clinically assessed as plasma renin activity (PRA), up until several years ago there was no accurate surrogate biomarker to estimate the activity of the local RAS in the kidney. Assessment of intrarenal RAS status is essential to understand the mechanisms that mediate the pathophysiology of renal function and injury [1,2]. Recent preclinical evidence suggests that intrarenal RAS activity is regulated by changes in local AGT levels [1-4] and that urinary excretion of AGT reflects intrarenal AGT production [3-8]. Following the substantial amount of animal experiments showing that U-AGT can be a new marker of intrarenal RAS status, similar results on the clinical significance of U-AGT have emerged from human studies in patients with CKD including type 1 diabetics with early stage nephropathy and primary glomerulonephritis [9-11,19-21]. Considering that all of those human studies were performed measuring U-AGT levels in a semi-quantitative way, not quantifying the total amount of daily excretion of AGT, the present study carried out quantitative measurement of a 24-hour daily protein excretion and AGT for the purpose of evaluating U-AGT status with more accuracy.

Inasmuch as the present finding does not address “cause & effect” scenario, a close association of U-AGT...
with U-prot confirms that U-AGT can be used as a biomarker for the increase renal RAS activity. Saito et al. demonstrated that urinary AGT levels are increased in type 1 diabetic subjects and that the early dissociation between urinary albumin levels and AGT levels may imply increased urinary AGT levels precede increased urinary albumin levels. They proposed that urinary AGT levels may serve as a sensitive early marker for intrarenal RAS activity and can be one of the earliest predictors of diabetic nephropathy in diabetic patients [19]. Similarly, in type 2 diabetic patients, increased urinary levels of AGT have accumulated [20,21]. The mechanisms by which the up-regulated renal AGT has a deleterious effect on renal tissue can be accounted for by the high glucose milieu in diabetic nephropathy, leading to an overproduction of local AII [22].

As to the urinary protein excretion which sometimes reaches the range of nephrotic syndrome in overt diabetic nephropathy, concerns remain about the urinary leakage of AGT from the systemic circulation. However, this is very unlikely because a large molecular weight (MW) substance like AGT, a precursor of AII, (MW: 50 - 60 kDa) in the systemic circulation hardly penetrates basal membrane of glomeruli of the kidney. Indeed, to determine if circulating AGT is a source of urinary AGT, Kobori et al. infused human AGT into hypertensive and normotensive rats [6]. However, human AGT was detectable in plasma but not detectable in the urine of rats, indicating quite limited glomerular permeability and/or tubular degradation. In addition, Katsurada et al. found that there was a strong correlation between urinary AGT levels and urinary protein levels, but no link was found between plasma AGT and urinary protein levels [23].

In non-diabetic population, Nishiyama et al. reported that urinary AGT levels were higher in IgA nephropathy patients than in healthy volunteers and that treatment with an ARB reduced AGT levels, suggesting the significance of urinary AGT as a potential biomarker for local RAS in the kidney [24]. Jang et al. also reported that urinary AGT level reflects intrarenal AGT expression and correlates with the extent of proteinuria and renal function, indicating the intrarenal compartment as the main source of urinary AGT in proteinuric IgA nephropathy patients [25]. Recently, Kim et al. also support that urinary AGT is a biomarker in patients with IgA nephropathy [26]. These findings strongly endorse the hypothesis that urinary AGT is derived from the AGT produced and secreted by renal tissue, probably the proximal tubules, and is undoubtedly not from plasma. These observations are in good accordance with our present findings and reinforce the notion that urinary AGT is a potential novel biomarker of the intrarenal RAS in CKD patients.

4.2. Effect of Intensive Antihypertensive Treatment on Urinary AGT

Many reports have shown that effective antihypertensive interventions are associated with a decline in U-prot, and contribute to renoprotection [27-31]. However, it is also obvious that treatment with RAS inhibitor, either ACEIs or ARBs, is successful in mitigating CKD in a BP independent manner [10-12]. Although clinical studies to observe the effects of ARB on urinary AGT are scarce and a matter of controversy, it appears that ARB reduces urinary AGT in most of the studies [19-21,24]. There was one controversial report that did not show any association between urinary AGT levels and BP lowering with ARB or ACE-I [32]. The majority of guidelines for the management of hypertension have recommended that combination of multiple antihypertensive agents with different pharmacological mode of action is more efficacious than a single agent alone in terms of renal protection [16,33,34]. Combining ARB with HCTZ exerts a complementary pharmacological effect by suppressing RAS with the former and body fluid system with the latter. A fixed combination formulation of ARB plus HCTZ may be more advantageous because of a better adherence to therapy. Hosoya et al. have reported that after switching from the preceding antihypertensive treatment with ARB alone a fixed combination formula tablet of losartan and HCTZ efficiently reduced BP, albumin-creatinine ratio (ACR) and B-type natriuretic peptide (BNP), suggesting that intensive therapy with ARB plus HCTZ has a beneficial effect not only on kidney function but also on cardiac protection [17]. Using the similar clinical intervention method, the present study clarified that the switching therapy from ARB alone to a fixed combination formula of ARB plus HCTZ is effective in reducing in both U-AGT and U-prot.

Whether urinary AGT is a superior biomarker to the recently-reported other urinary RAS components (AII, aldosterone and renin) is still a matter for debate, and future studies will disclose which one would be an appropriate marker to more accurately estimate local RAS activity.

4.3. Limitation of the Study

There are several limitations in this exploratory study. A larger number of patients and a longer observation period with a control-based prospective design must be carried out in the future evaluation on the role of urinary AGT.

In summary, the present study indicates that increase in urinary AGT is correlated well with urinary protein excretion in patients with type 2 diabetic nephropathy and that intensive antihypertensive treatment ameliorates such abnormalities. These data support the notion that urinary AGT is a potential tool for the determination of...
intrarenal RAS status and is associated with renal derangement.
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