Mycophenolic Acid Absorption Profiles in Patients with Kidney or Combined Pancreas-Kidney Transplantation
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ABSTRACT

Background: Mycophenolate Mofetil (MMF) is widely used in organ transplant patients to avoid calcineurine inhibitor-associated side effects. Therapeutic monitoring of MMF is up to now perform by using trough level measurements (measurements before drug administration). The present study was designed to characterize potential differences in MMF absorption kinetics between patients with allogenic kidney transplantation [kidney Tx] and simultaneous pancreas kidney transplantation [PK Tx], which might for example occur due to diabetic gastrointestinal atony.

Methods: A total of 64 pharmacokinetic profiles were prospectively studied in 44 adult kidney Tx and 20 PK Tx patients. To calculate AUC by the trapezoidal rule, mycophenolic acid (MPA) levels were measured in EDTA-plasma by an EMIT assay at 0, 0.5, 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, and 12 h after oral MMF administration between postoperative day 14 to 28 in stable patients.

Results: Substantial differences between kidney Tx and PK Tx patients were evident concerning: donor age, recipient age, number of mismatches, and kidney function (serum creatinine). Despite these dissimilarities pharmacokinetic absorption profiles did not significantly differ between patient groups as measured by AUC, C2, maximum MPA concentration (Cmax), and time until maximum absorption (Tmax). Astonishingly, concomitant cyclosporine and tacrolimus medication did not influence adsorption profiles. Only MPA concentrations 6h post administration correlated closely with AUC in both patient groups, whereas trough levels failed to be predictive for AUC.

Conclusions: In our study population, MMF absorption kinetics did not differ between kidney and PK Tx patients and did not seem influenced by concomitant immunosuppressive medication. Therefore, MPA measurements during the absorption phase could be useful to better estimate AUC in patients with kidney Tx and PK Tx.
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1. Introduction

During recent years mycophenolate mofetil (MMF), the prodrug of the immunosuppressant mycophenolic acid (MPA), has replaced azathioprine in cyclosporine A (CsA) or tacrolimus (Tac)-based immunosuppression for renal transplant patients, but also after liver, heart, and simultaneous pancreas-kidney transplantation [1,2]. The combination of cyclosporine and MMF for initial immunosuppression in renal transplant patients significantly reduced the incidence of acute rejection episodes when compared to standard therapy with cyclosporine in combination with azathioprine and methylprednisolone [3]. MMF is commonly administered in fixed doses of 3 g (two-times 1.5 g)/day or 2 g (two-times 1 g)/day without taking pharmacokinetic measurements into consideration.

Recent clinical studies in renal transplant patients, however, provide some evidence that steady-state complete MMF area under curve (AUC) measurements are well-correlated with the likelihood of acute rejection independent from the applied MMF dosage [1]. Besides, it has been argued that transplant patients show a substantial variability in MMF absorption [4,5] partly caused by concomitant disease (e.g. renal dysfunction or liver disease) [6]. Also monitoring of inosine monophosphatase dehydrogenase (IMPDH) activity in lymphocytes as an indirect parameter of pharmacodynamic activity has been recommended [7].

Especially when changes in the immunosuppressive regimen (e.g. steroid withdrawal) are planned during the later course in stable patients, a careful evaluation of immunosuppressive MMF efforts is obligatory [7]. Besides, there is some evidence for a relationship of area
under curve MMF concentrations and specific early absorption parameters with gastrointestinal side effects, especially during the initial absorption phase.

The present study investigates MMF absorption in non-diabetic kidney recipients and patients with simultaneous pancreas/kidney transplantation. The effect of concomitant immunosuppressive medication as well as the correlation between MMF AUC measurements and MMF absorption values was compared to investigate potential differences in MMF pharmacokinetics in these two groups which might for example be due to the gastrointestinal atony of the late diabetic syndrome.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Patients and MPA Measurements

A total of 64 pharmacokinetic profiles were studied in 44 adult non-diabetic kidney recipients [kidney Tx] and in 20 previously diabetic patients after simultaneous pancreas-kidney transplantation [PK Tx] from 1/2000 until 7/2002. Pharmacokinetic profiles were obtained between postoperative day 14 to 28 after reaching a clinically stable situation. A standardized daily dose of 2 g MMF (CellCept®, Hoffmann-La Roche, Grenzach-Wyhlen, Germany) (2 × 1.0 g) was given on the day of investigation as well as the day before. Pharmacokinetic profiles were evaluated while patients were still hospitalized during routine drug monitoring for standard care. Blood samples were taken immediately before oral MMF application (trough level) and 30 min, 1 h, 90 min, 2 h, 3 h, 4 h, 6 h, 8 h, and 12 h after the morning dose of MMF. EDTA-anticoagulated samples were analyzed on-line using a commercially available test system (EMIT 2000 mycophenolic acid assay, Dade Behring, Liederbach, Germany) for measurement of MPA, the active metabolite of MMF. Calibration and quality controls were performed according to the manufacturer’s recommendations. Thereafter, MPA-AUC$_{0-12}$ was calculated using the linear trapezoidal method. $C_{\text{max}}$ was taken as the largest observed MPA plasma concentration, whereas trough levels were defined as the morning predose. $T_{\text{max}}$ was defined as the time to attain $C_{\text{max}}$.

2.2. Concomitant Immunosuppressive Medication

In addition to MMF, 24 patients received oral cyclosporin A (Neoral®, Novartis, Nuernberg, Germany), and 26 patients received oral tacrolimus (Prograf®, Fujisawa, Munich, Germany). CsA-dosing was performed to achieve approximate trough levels of 150 ng/ml, whereas FK 506 was applied to achieve a trough level around 10 ng/ml. In the remaining 14 patients, only MMF in combination with steroids was administered. Concomitant immunosuppressive drugs were chosen according to local standard protocols, e.g., addition of FK 506 for kidney recipients considered to be transplanted with an elevated immunological risk (previous transplantations with rejection episodes, high panel reactivity) or MMF in combination with steroids for renal transplantations in the Eurotransplant senior program. All patients received methylprednisolone in a dosage of 20 mg/day at the time of sampling.

2.3. Side Medicine

Among the drugs given to the patients in addition to their immunosuppressive regimen, no substances known to interfere with MPA blood levels were identified [8,9].

2.4. Statistical Analysis

Baseline and demographic characteristics were compared between groups by the unpaired Student t-test, or the unpaired Wilcoxon test where appropriate. Correlation coefficients were calculated by using SPSS software (SPSS version 11.0, Chicago, USA).

3. Results

PK Tx and kidney Tx patients did not differ with respect to gender, body weight, hematocrit, total fluid intake, total urine volume, serum albunine, and parameters of liver function (Table 1). However, patients in the PK-Tx group were significantly younger and received significantly younger transplant organs. Serum creatinine was...
significantly lower in PK Tx patients who showed primary kidney function in 18/20 patients. Despite the MMF dosage applied being identical (2 g/day), pharmacokinetic profiling showed a large interindividual variability within patients with kidney Tx and PK Tx: Using this fixed dose application regimen, MPA area under the curve (AUC_{0-12 h}) ranged from 22 - 144 µg × hour/ml and resulted in trough levels from 0.25 - 13.16 µg/ml in kidney Tx patients. Patients with PK-Tx also showed a high variability in MPA area under the curve (AUC_{0-12 h}) that ranged from 13 - 100 µg × hour/ml and in trough levels (C₀ range 0.49 - 4.95 µg/ml).

When comparing pharmacokinetic parameters obtained in kidney Tx and PK Tx patients, we could not detect any statistically significant differences (Table 2). Thus, C_{max}, C2 (2-h levels), C6 (6-h levels) and interestingly also T_{max} (time until maximum MPA concentration is reached) did not differ between groups, subsequently resulting in comparable AUCs.

When now comparing patient groups receiving different concomitant immunosuppressive medications (cyclosporine and tacrolimus) with MMF monotherapy (Table 3), we found that kidney function was significantly improved in patients receiving a combination of MMF plus FK 506. This observation is likely to be caused by the fact that 20/26 patients receiving this combination had undergone PK-Tx that is in general associated with shorter duration of cold ischemia, and, therefore, results in a nearly 100% primary kidney function. Combined transplantation was performed in significantly younger recipients, therefore patients receiving the combination of MMF plus FK 506 were significantly younger. Despite these intriguing differences between patient groups, we could not detect statistically significant changes in the pharmacokinetic parameters AUC, C_{max} and 2 h-post dose levels (C2). Immunosuppressive comedication did only influence the time until maximum absorption (T_{max}). Whereas CsA comedication reduced T_{max} from 80 min. during monotherapy to about 73 min, combination with FK 506 even prolonged T_{max} that amounted 91 min.

Interestingly, trough levels were not found to correlate significantly with AUCs representing total drug exposure, neither in kidney transplant patients nor in PK Tx patients (Figure 1). A more close relationship was found when C2 levels were correlated with AUC (Figure 2). However, only C6 levels (6h after MMF application) showed a very close correlation with AUC, which resulted in r²-values above 0.8 (Figure 3). Interestingly, there was again no difference found between kidney Tx and PK Tx patients in absorption profiles.

4. Discussion

The present study was designed to compare pharmacokinetics of mycophenolate mofetil (MMF) in patients after solitary kidney transplantation (Kidney Tx) with profiles obtained in patients with simultaneous pancreas-kidney transplantation (PK Tx). Despite significant differences in donor and recipient age, occurrence of diabetes mellitus, and plasma creatinine levels, MMF was absorbed comparably in both treatment groups. Thus, there was no significant difference in maximum MMF concentrations, time until maximum absorbance, and area under curve concentration (AUC) between patients with Kidney Tx and PK Tx. This finding is in line with a recent prospective study in kidney recipients showing no existing correlation between MPA exposure and donor/recipient age as well as renal allograft function expressed as serum creatinine [10]. MMF pharmacokinetics did also not differ between patients receiving concomitant Cyclosporin A (CsA) or Tacrolimus (Tac) therapy when compared to MMF monotherapy. Interestingly, trough levels were not correlated with AUC concentrations or respective oral MMF dosage, whereas 6h absorption levels after oral application showed a markedly better correlation with AUC in patients with Kidney Tx and PK Tx.

In contrast to earlier studies that report low variabilities of MMF absorption in healthy volunteers [11,12], our postoperative kidney Tx and PK Tx patients showed

---

Table 2. Pharmacokinetics in patients with kidney Tx and pancreas-kidney Tx.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Kidney Tx (n = 44)</th>
<th>Pancreas-kidney Tx (n = 20)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C_{max} (µg/ml)</td>
<td>12.4 ± 0.8</td>
<td>11.3 ± 1.2</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2 (µg/ml)</td>
<td>7.3 ± 0.7</td>
<td>6.5 ± 0.9</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T_{max} (min)</td>
<td>77.2 ± 7.6</td>
<td>86.9 ± 14.7</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC (µg × hour/ml)</td>
<td>54.2 ± 3.8</td>
<td>52.7 ± 5.9</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All values are mean ± standard error.

Table 3. Pharmacokinetics in patients with MMF monotherapy and concomitant Cyclosporine/Tacrolimus (FK 506) medication.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MMF monotherapy (n = 14)</th>
<th>MMF plus cyclosporine (n = 24)</th>
<th>MMF plus tacrolimus (n = 26)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Body weight (kg)</td>
<td>70.1 ± 4.2</td>
<td>71.9 ± 3.1</td>
<td>68.2 ± 2.4</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine (mg/dl)</td>
<td>2.9 ± 1.0</td>
<td>2.7 ± 0.4</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.2</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recipient Age (ys.)</td>
<td>56.3 ± 3.6</td>
<td>46.4 ± 3.0</td>
<td>44.1 ± 2.1</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC (µg × hour/ml)</td>
<td>53.8 ± 4.1</td>
<td>49.1 ± 5.2</td>
<td>56.3 ± 5.3</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C_{max} (µg/ml)</td>
<td>12.1 ± 1.8</td>
<td>12.0 ± 0.8</td>
<td>11.9 ± 1.2</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2 (µg/ml)</td>
<td>9.3 ± 1.7</td>
<td>7.1 ± 0.6</td>
<td>7.0 ± 0.8</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T_{max} (min)</td>
<td>80.0 ± 12.0</td>
<td>72.9 ± 9.8</td>
<td>91.1 ± 13.1</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All values are mean ± standard error. * indicates p < 0.05 for MMF + Tac vs. MMF monotherapy. ** indicates p < 0.05 for MMF + Tac and MMF + CsA vs. MMF monotherapy.
Figure 1. Correlation between trough level MPA measurements and AUC in patients with kidney Tx and pancreas-kidney Tx who were treated by a fixed dose regimen of 1 g MMF twice daily. Only a weak correlation was found between trough level measurements and AUC.

Figure 2. Correlation between 2 h post dose MPA measurements and AUC in patients with kidney Tx and pancreas-kidney Tx who were treated by a fixed dose regimen of 1 g MMF twice daily.
high interindividual variabilities in MMF absorption resulting in a broad range of AUC despite the fact that all pharmacokinetic profiles were withdrawn under stable clinical conditions (no ongoing infection or rejection episode, no detectable gastrointestinal side effects) and with a sufficient time span between transplantation and the pharmacokinetic profiling (after postoperative day 14). This finding is in line with several recent reports in patients with kidney Tx who provided high interindividual variability in MMF absorption despite receiving comparable MMF dosages [1,13-15]. A similar wide range of MMF dose-adjusted MPA values has been reported for other transplant populations like heart and liver transplant patients [16]. The mechanisms of these initial differences in MMF absorption and metabolism are not yet completely understood. Furthermore, changes of MPA pharmacokinetics over time after transplantation [6,17] have been demonstrated, which might be dose-dependent [10].

MMF pharmacokinetics in kidney Tx and PK Tx patients have not been directly compared, thus far, as evident from the available literature. However, it is well known that MMF absorption is highly dependent from the transplantation procedure itself, at least in some patient groups. Thus, a recent study found impressive differences in MMF pharmacokinetics when comparing renal and heart transplant recipients with a comparable daily dose of MMF [18]. Differences in MMF absorption could also be clinically significant when comparing kidney Tx and PK Tx patients, since the latter group could be expected to show delayed absorption when compared to kidney Tx patients. We particularly assumed differences in absorption in patients with late diabetic syndrome who are simultaneously transplanted due to postoperative gastrointestinal atony and diabetic malfunction of the gastrointestinal system, but also due to differences in the concomitant medication. Additionally, at least during the initial postoperative phase, differences in the severity of the surgical trauma that is more pronounced during PK Tx could have been related to major changes in kidney and liver function with subsequent changes in drug metabolism. Possible changes in the enterohepatic circulation that are known to be responsible for the secondary 4 - 8 hrs MMF peak, could vary significantly between groups [19]. Therefore, we decided to compare both patient groups with respect to MMF levels after oral treatment.

Interestingly, we could not detect a significant difference in MMF profiles between kidney Tx and PK Tx patients. MMF was absorbed comparably independent from age, sex and combination treatment. Concomitant FK 506 medication did not significantly change the pharmacokinetic profile when compared to Cyclosporine medication. This result was unexpected, since it is in contradiction to several reports that indicate a higher MPA exposure in renal transplant patients when given in combination with FK 506 as compared to a combination treatment with cyclosporine [20].
els were shown to be lower in combination with CsA than in kidney recipients treated with MMF and steroids alone [21,22]. In a study performed in rats, it was suspected that this finding was due to an inhibitory effect of CsA on bile excretion of MPA glucuronide (MPAG), to which MPA is metabolized in hepatocytes [23]. In a recent report on MMF absorption in patients after orthotopic liver transplantation, higher MPA levels were found under Tacrolimus comedication [24]. However, in the latter patients postoperatively reduced liver elimination of MMF might have been responsible for the higher trough MPA levels and even increased toxicity under the combination of MMF with FK 506. In addition to MMF, CsA or FK 506, all patients received methylprednisolone, which is also thought to influence MPA bioavailability [25]. However, the dose administered at the day of AUC sampling was identical in all groups (20 mg/day).

Measurements of trough MPA levels have been propagated to reflect at least in part drug absorption and metabolism in various transplant patients, and are currently used in transplant centers to estimate MMF drug exposure [5,26]. Therefore, it seemed to be interesting to investigate, whether these measurements at 12 hrs after drug exposure could in fact help to assess MMF exposure. Whereas MPA trough measurements may be applicable in the non-transplant setting [27], our results clearly show that they cannot uncritically be recommended to estimate MPA drug exposure in Kidney and Kidney-Pancreas transplant patients. This finding is in line with reports showing high intra- and interindividual variabilities by using this method [28]. Using other immunosuppressants, plasma concentration measurements during the absorption phase have been introduced with increasing success [29,30]. Thus, Cyclosporine absorption profiling and therapeutic drug monitoring using absorption measurements 2 h after oral Cyclosporine application has been shown to be clearly superior to trough level monitoring in kidney Tx patients [29]. It seemed, therefore, attractive to investigate whether these 2 h-absorption measurements could also be helpful monitoring oral MMF application. We found a significantly improved correlation of 2 hrs. post dose (C2) MPA levels when compared with trough level measurements. However, only 6 h post dose (C6) MPA levels showed an excellent correlation with AUC that could be likely to be used instead of complete AUC during pharmacokinetic MMF monitoring. Especially in patients with pancreas-kidney-transplantation, a very close relationship between AUC and C6-levels was found. Since AUC is considered to be important as a predictive factor for the incidence of rejection episodes [5], this observation could indeed contribute to a simplification in MMF monitoring. Absorption levels of MPA 6h after oral application could serve as easily available surrogate parameters. Especially when compared to a limited sampling-AUC strategy (AUC<sub>0-6h</sub>) we found a comparable good prediction of AUC [19].

5. Conclusion

In conclusion, we could show comparable MMF absorption in diabetic and non-diabetic patients receiving pancreas-kidney or kidney transplantation. Combination with Tacrolimus or Cyclosporine did not change MMF pharmacokinetics. Since plasma MPA concentration 6 hrs, after application (C6) correlates better than trough level with AUC, measurement of this parameter seems useful in patients who do not allow performance of complete AUC sampling. Based on our findings, we would recommend further studies on this subject.
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