Constructing family identity close to death
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ABSTRACT

Daily life close to death involves physical, psychological, and social strain, exposing patients and their family members to major transitions affecting relational patterns and identity. For the individual family member, this often means sharing life with a changing person in a changing relationship, disrupting both individual identity and family identity. Our aim was to deepen the understanding of individual experiences that are important in constructing family identity close to death at home. We performed a secondary analysis of qualitative data collected through 40 interviews with persons with life-threatening illness and the family members who shared everyday life with them. The analysis resulted in interpretive descriptions which provided three patterns important for creating family identity, which we here call “we-ness” close to death. The patterns were: being an existential person, being an extension of the other, and being together in existential loneliness. Together, these three patterns seemed to play a part in the construction of family identity close to death at home. We performed a secondary analysis of qualitative data collected through 40 interviews with persons with life-threatening illness and the family members who shared everyday life with them. The analysis resulted in interpretive descriptions which provided three patterns important for creating family identity, which we here call “we-ness” close to death. The patterns were: being an existential person, being an extension of the other, and being together in existential loneliness. Together, these three patterns seemed to play a part in the construction of family identity; we-ness, close to death. One important finding was the tension between the search for togetherness in “we-ness” while dealing with an existential loneliness, which seemed to capture an essential aspect of being a family of which one member is dying.
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1. INTRODUCTION

This article is based on human experiences of everyday life at home, when one person in the family is severely ill and dying. We focus on central concepts such as identity, death, and togetherness. In the context of palliative homecare, daily life for both patients and their family members means facing physical, psychological, and social strain. For the family member, it also means sharing life with a changing person in a changing relationship. Life-threatening illness causes a rupture in a well-established rhythm [1], marking a transition and simultaneously a time of limbo [2]; the result of this is a disruption in identity. According to Schumacher and Meleis [3], the concept of transition can be useful when exploring the experience of individuals facing periods of instability, for example when a family member is dying. Family members are often involved in caregiving in several ways, and have to deal with a series of new events which might occur either suddenly, or gradually over a longer time. The ill person’s body may be attached to medical devices or tubes, and may need potent drugs and a number of auxiliary devices. The care team enters the home, bringing these materials, drugs, and auxiliary devices; this not only impacts on family life, but also sometimes changes the home environment itself [4]. In this changed everyday life, the focus shifts to the dying person’s illness and treatment, which affects all involved emotionally, socially, and physically [5]. Family caregivers, for example, have been shown to suffer from sleep deficit [6]. Despite distress and burden, many people prefer to live and die in their own homes [7,8]. From the perspective of family members, caring is often described as something natural and, despite the strain, well worthwhile [9-13], at least from a retrospective perspective [14].

For both the dying person and their family members, living close to death means being aware of and dealing with one’s own finitude. Jaspers [15] refers to death as one of mankind’s existential limitations, and uses the term “border situations” to describe confrontations with impending death. Spiritual and existential needs become especially prominent during life close to death [16-19].
Death itself is seen as an individual event, but one that must be dealt with in the family and social network. Social relations are of essential importance for wellbeing in end-of-life care [20,21]. When closeness to death becomes a part of everyday life, it can be difficult to remain the “same family as before” [22,23]; in such situations, family members face the loss of both their mutual future [24] and the image of themselves as a family [25]. Everyday life close to death means that previous patterns of doing things together will not always work, and may need to be rethought [25,26].

In an earlier project studying families’ everyday life close to death, we developed two concepts which we found useful in exploring identity work within these families: me-ness and we-ness [author]. Me-ness was used to describe individual identity, regardless of whether the individual in question was the ill person or a family member, and we-ness was used to describe a group identity embracing the ill person and their closest family members. Erikson [27] argues that identity is developed in a complex social context, and is a configuration of the self that develops over time; it is not fixed and frozen. Hence, we-ness could be seen as a collective identity present in the shared and interactive experiences of everyday life close to death [25]. It is likely that the sense of we-ness might shift over time, to include more or fewer people.

Studies in palliative care describing positive aspects of relations at the end of life have found togetherness to be a relevant concept in terms of relations between family members, friends, professional caregivers, and other patients [28-35]. According to Milberg and Strang [36], togetherness involves trust, shared responsibility, and being a resourceful contributor to the care process. Togetherness has also been described as the opposite of social loneliness and isolation [36-38]. Togetherness and belonging could be examples of positive feelings which make family membership meaningful and characterize a sense of group identity. Even though being close to family members and sharing togetherness have been found to be important when one family member is dying, there have been few and sparse explorations of the aspects of individual experiences that are important in constructing family identity close to death. Hence, the aim of this study was to deepen the understanding of individual experiences relevant to constructing family identity; whiteness, close to death at home.

2. METHODS

This study is based on a secondary analysis of interview data collected in 2004 and 2008 [14,22]. Initially, the qualitative data used here was used through two distinct inquiries that focused on similar topics using comparable research methods. The overall aim was to advance understanding about family members’ everyday life close to death at home, with a focus on identity (Table 1). In qualitative secondary analysis, the potential of one’s own data has been recognized [39]. Secondary analysis is thought to be an appropriate approach when the intention is to answer extended questions [40]. For this current paper, both sets of data were reanalyzed to answer questions related to experiences relevant for constructing family identity in daily life close to death. During the analyses, we kept the focus on the individual’s experience on the shared everyday life. The analysis was underpinned by the idea that narratives are connected to the construction of both an individual and a group identity [41].

2.1. Participants

The sample from 2004 consisted of ten family caregivers who had cared for a diseased family member at home 6-12 months earlier, all of whom were interviewed individually. The sample from 2008 covered five families, from which 5 patients and 14 family members (Table 2) participated in 30 interviews (18 individual, 8 couple, and 4 family interviews with 3 to 4 persons) with different time gaps of 2 to 18 months between the first inter-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Opening question</th>
<th>Data, period of sampling</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Data analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[14]</td>
<td>Can you tell me how your life was when X was ill?</td>
<td>Interviews with 10 family caregivers 2004</td>
<td>Three patterns characterized the experiences: “challenged ideals”, “stretched limits” and “interdependency”. These patterns formed the core theme: the modified self</td>
<td>Interpretive description [43,44].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[22]</td>
<td>Can you tell me about your current life situation?</td>
<td>Interviews with 5 patients and their 14 family members 2008-2009</td>
<td>We found two patterns: “being me in a family living close to death” and “being us in a family living close to death” while striving for the optimal way of living close to death” presented as the core theme.</td>
<td>Interpretive description [43,44]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Characteristics of study participants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 - 15</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;29</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 - 39</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 - 49</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 - 59</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 - 69</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;70</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart disease</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ill person</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grandchild</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sibling</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partner</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

view and last for each family. All 40 interviews took place at a location of the participants’ choice, often around the kitchen table in their homes. The interviews lasted between 1 and 2 hours, and were recorded and transcribed verbatim. The opening question was: “Can you tell me about your daily life?” The interviewer then asked probing questions to explore and gain a deeper understanding of the situations and experiences that the participants considered challenging, as well as those that they considered to promote wellbeing in their life situation. The first author (I.C.) conducted all the interviews except for five interviews performed in 2004. A full description of the study procedures is published elsewhere [author]. All participants lived in a middle-class neighborhood consisting of multiple dwellings in a large city in central Sweden. The participants had access to advanced palliative home care. All individuals who were asked agreed to participate in the project. Written consent was obtained from all participants, and the study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Medical Faculty in Stockholm (ref: 04-047/2) and the Regional Ethical Review Board in Uppsala, Sweden (ref: 2008/051).

2.2. Analysis

An interpretive description approach [39,42-44] was selected to guide the thematic, secondary analysis of the data set of 40 interviews. All interviews contained rich descriptions of how family members handled daily life close to death. During the exploration of the phenomenon of family identity construction, the following broad research question guided the analysis: what characterizes the individual experience in a family close to death? The inductive analysis was performed via a cyclic movement between all data, and between cases. Although the analysis did not proceed entirely in a step by step fashion, the following procedures guided the analysis. The research question directed the reading. Broad-based codes and descriptions were produced to enhance the initial interpretation. Next came a systematic analysis of the descriptions which had similar meanings and seemed to be thematically linked. The resulting interpretations were examined and grounded in the data set; the three dimensions were named as part of this procedure.

3. RESULTS

We found three interconnected patterns conceptualizing aspects of individual experiences relevant for constructing family identity close to death at home. The first, “being an existential person”, describes the need to be seen and respected as a person living close to death. The second, “being an extension of the other”, covers both the fundamental and insightful practical work that takes place, and a responsibility for problems arising in relation to the ill person’s uncooperative physical and sometimes mental functions. The third pattern, “being together in existential loneliness”, exemplifies how being together can sometimes alleviate the suffering and grief connected with life close to death. These three aspects of individual experiences were connected to the construction of family identity: we-ness (see Figure 1).
3.1. Being an Existential Person

The first pattern of individual experiences was seeing oneself as a person close to death, regardless of whether one is the dying person or another family member. The dying person was in transition to being dead—something unknown—and this situation brought thoughts on existential matters to the surface. Facing up to the end of one’s existence was a lonely task, but being a family offered the opportunity to deal with everyday life close to death together. On some occasions, the exploration of existential questions led to thinking of loved ones who had already died.

One dying man spoke about his thoughts regarding life after death:

I have a very strong belief that … when I die … I don’t believe it will be painful in any way. It will be more of a relief. Then you end up … then I’ll see my grandfather and grandmother and other relatives. And yes, meet Jesus and God, and ask forgiveness of the angels who had to … who have worked so hard to keep me alive.

This man’s existential and religious values were brought to the fore by his limited remaining life, and the construction of we-ness went beyond his living family. The narrative could be interpreted as a transcendent experience—as being in contact with higher values—but he is also being comforted by the thought of meeting close relatives who had moved to the state of being dead before him, maybe giving him hope of a prolonged existence after death. The family members had to deal with the knowledge that one person in the family would die soon while the others continued living, involving a role transition for those who would become, for example, widowed or parentless. This gave a new meaning to the experience of everyday life, and created insecurity and uncertainty among all family members. Both the dying person and their family members tried to integrate their earlier self-image with the new role of a person receiving and/or giving care, while trying to keep their relationship intact. A sister gave an example of this:

… he had trouble understanding what he was supposed to do with those diapers… He put them on but still peed [urinated on] himself. When he had peed through them… I thought it was very, very difficult, because it was so private. It was difficult, as a sister, to try to get it to work for him.

However, being engaged in, and feeling responsible for, making family life work seemed to confirm feelings of being a person of value. Experiences such as being a part of a greater whole, being loved, and being someone who mattered for another person seemed to alleviate feelings of losing control when the known existence was challenged. A diminishing social life was described, and the family members played vital roles as listeners to the dying person’s and each other’s life stories. Being able to share memories and talk about life as it was earlier appeared important not only for constructing individual identity (me-ness), but also for constructing family identity (we-ness), as it gave a reminder of all parties’ earlier roles and functions within the family. These were reminders of special situations that were of particular value, as they gave some sort of acknowledgment to the dying person and to the family as a group as they used to be. Pointing out a shared past and history also seemed to be central when creating a joint we-ness. The manner in which professional caregivers acknowledged the ill person and his or her family members mattered for the sense of individual identity (me-ness). One dying woman talked about the lack of access she had to information concerning her body and illness:

It’s me. I decide for myself, but this thing that I mentioned, not being able to sit down with the medical records, not knowing exactly where my tumors are located, that is rather absurd. And there I … I see the battle as lost; I just don’t have the energy to argue and nag. I’m afraid of being difficult and nagging too much, because that too is some kind of … I need to have some sort of balance …

The quotation above implicitly suggests that being treated as unable to read one’s own medical records could also be interpreted as not being trusted to make decisions about one’s own limited remaining life. Thus, being invited to discuss the care plan on a regular basis was one example of promoting feelings of control both for the ill person and for the person in the caregiving role. The care plan then could be seen as an active part in the life plan, giving a sense of being in charge of planning a unique period in life. Being dependent on others sometimes threatened autonomy and obstructed the possibility to make demands. The woman quoted above experienced little influence on her care plan, and consequently felt less in control over that specific part of life. The pattern of being an existential person was concerned with being me—me-ness—and as such being seen as a unique person facing the border of existence, and at the same time being a family member who helped to make family life work.

3.2. Being an Extension of the Other

As the ill person’s strength decreased, the family became involved in a series of new practical activities which were often associated with emotional strain, both for the ill person and for other family members. New asymmetrical relationships developed when family members performed caring activities at home, such as giving injections, or keeping track of and administering analgesics or enteral nutrition. Even though caregiving meant being physically close to each other, it was not easy to remain emotionally and/or intimately close in the same way as
before, due to the tubes and smells coming from the ill person’s body and the other bodily changes occurring, for example weight loss.

*Wife:* But of course there’s been a period with a sort of yuck … don’t come here with your disgusting wounds and all that.

*Ill person:* Yeah, and bugs … and crap like that … I sure understand that. The fact that I’m full of that, and holes and all those marks left after the holes.

Behind the remark above, a glimpse can be caught of a threshold for physical closeness; even a sense of disgust, which could influence relations between the family members to a greater or lesser extent. Using humor, laughing together, and joking about the misery was one strategy to handle the concrete and severe situations which occurred in this precarious life situation. A joke could make it possible to talk about the ambivalent experience of helping a loved husband with his hygiene. There seemed to be no limits to what could be joked and laughed about together; all sorts of human shortcomings and even death could be ridiculed. Gallows humor and jargon could be seen as a way of still being “us”, and thus of maintaining we-ness.

As the dying person’s condition became worse, the family member often became an extension of the other, compensating for the ill person’s declining physical and mental functions. As the ill person’s increasing physical limitations created gradual change in many day to day activities, the logistics of practical everyday life had to be solved. A severely ill husband talked about his wife with deeply felt gratitude:

*She stands up for me in an outstanding manner, being helpful as my extended arms and extended legs and extended head and everything else, and I will never be able to pay her back. She tells me that I would have done the same thing for her, and I surely hope so, but I must say I am not sure … but I really hope I would have made all these tremendous sacrifices [that she makes]…*

Accordingly, the ill person and their family members had to relate to each other in a new way, and adapt to the fact that things had to be done in a different way to before. Work, going to school, and different commitments outside the home were always prioritized even if the activities were sometimes limited due to demands in the home situation. When the ill person could not communicate his or her needs as before due to sedatives or drowsiness, the partner stepped forward and took over even more functions, both practical and emotional, related to the needs of other family members such as children or elderly persons. It was a challenge trying to fit in the logistics, and to continue living life as much as possible as before. Being an extension of the other also meant having to deal with legal and financial matters both belonging to the present and coming up in the future, such as matters affecting the survivors’ lives after the death of the ill person, writing a last will and testament, and planning the funeral, all of which were emotionally demanding. Dealing with all the practical matters in everyday life close to death was one concrete aspect of facing individual challenges of consequence for constructing family identity, or we-ness. Accordingly, being an extension of the other was recognized as a central part of life close to death.

### 3.3. Being Together in Existential Loneliness

Family members had to live in a situation which to some extent was new, and had to adapt to new roles within the family relationship. This meant doing things together in a new way, at a time when the personal sphere diminished and personal priorities had to be put on hold. This applied to both the ill person and their family members, but displayed itself in diverse ways. The need for doing things in a new way was especially obvious to the family members during periods when the ill person was troubled by physical symptoms or worried about the life situation. One man told his story of a shared everyday life some weeks before his brother died:

*No, I tried to lie down and sleep for a while, but he was so unsettled, and then he managed to get up and sit by the kitchen table and start philosophizing about things, and then I also sat down by the kitchen table, and several times I fell asleep there opposite him, you know. It was just like that, there was nothing strange about it, I was supposed to be with him but I could have practically slept standing up. The smallest peep and I was up again, you know.*

The story is about sharing a moment around the kitchen table, but not being able to share the experience of the moment. A shared everyday life meant being involved in the stress of living with life-threatening illness, but not always sharing the individual meaning of it. Facing death, and existential loneliness, was ultimately an individual challenge. The anxiety was handled both together and individually; some family members dealt with it silently, others talked a lot. The family member quoted below emphasized the shared experience as an important aspect of handling everyday life:

*Well, we have of course experienced this together. It becomes “us” automatically; it’s not something you go through alone. It’s really us both living through this.*

Family identity, or we-ness, close to death, was not always verbalized but sometimes expressed via a sensitivity towards the other person’s experiences. This sensitivity came from wanting to understand the other person’s world and perspective; it was an act of love. Some participants said they had no choice in this: being close to and caring for the other person was unquestionable, and just a part of being human. One husband described...
how he and his wife as a couple had learned to face difficult times together over the years; each new challenge brought a new dimension to their relationship, making them appreciate each other even more. The imminent death of his wife made them connect in an even deeper way than before:

And I’m so glad we had all these years together, because it has given us the opportunity to reach this phase. We have learnt so much. We have fought through so much. I hadn’t counted on this, with the short time they gave us.

The quotation above illuminates how this husband saw the immediate death of his wife as a mediator to reach a new phase of togetherness based on insights gained from her illness. For this couple, sharing everyday life created a strong sense of community, we-ness, making it possible to handle the existential loneliness. Sharing everyday life with other family members did not diminish the search for understanding and ways to share the existential meaning with other people. For example, our informants participated in interest groups, or met others in similar situations. In the absence of meeting people face to face, the Internet, TV, books, or movies were common sources, often complementing the purely disease-oriented information delivered by the health care professionals. Social media were also used to share the experience of being severely ill as well as the family members’ own situation; this method of communication was often expressed as positive and rewarding. The Internet could be used to search for information and research results concerning the diagnosis and treatment, but it also offered advice on how to deal with leaving the children, and offered a way to communicate with others about these matters. The Internet was thus an important part of being together in existential loneliness, since it allowed sharing of experiences with others outside the group of family and professional caregivers.

4. DISCUSSION

The main findings in this study distinguish three central aspects of individual experiences close to death that are important for constructing family identity: being an existential person, being an extension of the other, and being together in existential loneliness. According to Jenkins [45], identity is the understanding of who we are and who other people are, and can be seen as a practical matter which involves comparisons of both similarity and difference. Living close to death could be seen both as a situation and as a process that may involve questions of meaning, but also interaction, communication, and negotiation. The relationship between aspects of individual identity and identity with a group lies in the recognition of “us” (or we-ness) primarily by not being “them”. Our results exemplify some aspects that exaggerate the similarities between the individuals within their family. These similarities differentiate them from other groups, for example families not living close to death; this is important for creating family identity, we-ness.

The first pattern, “being an existential person”, describes the challenges of facing the ultimate existential loneliness close to death. Man is basically alone, and existential loneliness is a given for all humans [35,46]. The results in our study show, in line with the findings of Waldrop et al. [47], that being in transition to end-of-life care for family caregivers also meant sharing the short period of life before a loved one’s imminent death, facing the fact of human evanescence [see also 48,49]. Sharing stories, experiences, and thoughts of a mutual family life close to death could be seen as approaching the existential dimensions of life. In doing this, both the ill person and other family members sometimes had to adapt to other people’s points of view, feelings, and reactions. Finding oneself as an existential person became evident in a period that was characterized by significant changes, concretized by the ill person’s increasing dependency on others [see also 50]. Those living close to death found themselves in a period of change, which affected their sense of individual identity and self-image (me-ness), but also challenged their ways of being a group; a family with a sense of we-ness. It is important to emphasize that individual experiences close to death were complex. The results also show that the ill person had a need for acknowledgement, and needed to share their thoughts about existential questions. Family members were often engaged in their own grief related to a different transition. Our informants expressed strong feelings of being tied down and being trapped. According to Schumacher and Meleis [3], indicators of successful transition are subjective wellbeing, role mastery, and the wellbeing of relationships—indicators that are closely related to constructing family identity. This could be described as a transition (Schumacher & Meleis, [3] which affects both the ill person and the surviving family members. In our study, the ill persons perceived that life was soon to end, meaning a final separation from life and family members, an insight brought by the closeness to their own impending death. Schumacher & Meleis [3] call this type of transition “health/illness-related”. For the family members, the transition was situational. This means they were affected by the illness and death of their family member, but transitions concerning death, grief, and mourning are also developmental, being a part of life for all people [51]. No one walks away unaffected. In order to make the everyday manageable, it is necessary to change one’s own accustomed patterns of relations and roles. How this is done will probably be of importance for how daily life is altered. Professional support
plays a significant role, which was also confirmed by our findings. We found that the nurse played a key role in guiding people through these complex passages in life in as successful a way as possible [51]. Being in need of support, whether as a family caregiver or as a patient, did not take away the need to be seen and respected as a competent person. This has been described in other studies as being offered partnership in the care with the health care professionals [52]. Ward-Griffin and McKeever [53] describe four types of nurse-family caregiver relationships: nurse-helper, worker-worker, manager-worker, and nurse-patient. The second type, also described as a co-workers’ relationship, is based on acknowledging the expertise of the family members. Sahlberg-Blom et al. [54] describe four variations of patient participation in decisions about care planning during the terminal phase of life: self-determination, co-determination, delegation, and non-participation. The studies mentioned above emphasize the importance of asking to what degree individuals and family members want to be self-determined and participate in decisions that impact directly on their everyday life. Hence, how and by whom the care is planned may influence the way family members live their lives, and thus to some extent is of importance for construction of family identity.

In concrete terms, being an extension of the other meant making the day work practically. For instance, there needed to be food in the home, so someone had to go to the grocery store; someone had to take the children to the day care center and school; and so on. Both family members and the ill person strived to create normality in an emotionally and physically challenging everyday life. The well-known everyday life was highly valued in a life period characterized by uncertainty. Creating normality included both doing and being. Some examples of this included finding ways of preserving habits and things which defined and promoted wellbeing, as well as taking time to just be together. One theme that was woven through all three patterns was the idea of creating as little change as possible in daily routines and habits. This could be interpreted to be one way of holding on to some concrete activities that constructed family identity, we-ness. According to Milberg and Strang [55], retaining everyday life could also represent an aspect of making the current situation meaningful. Other studies have shown that the relationship between spouses was strengthened by caregiving close to death [23,34]. The family members strove to share experiences with each other, but they also needed support from the professional caregivers. In addition, there was also the urge to know more about the illness and treatments; the Internet was used for this purpose. Our findings suggest that humor has an important role when creating family identity, we-ness, in everyday life close to death. This may not be surprising; humor is present in most human interactions. However, few studies illuminate the meaning of humor in palliative care. As explained in a study by Dean and Gregory [56], humor builds relationships, is energizing, and nurtures community; it can also assist in preserving dignity and acknowledging personhood. Humor could also make difficult care situations easier [57], although it cannot alter the reality of terminal illness [58]. It is reasonable to assume that jokes and laughter are a liberating force that can help to handle difficulties in life, but that can also preserve both the individual’s and the family’s identity in an altered everyday life. Linked to this are the concepts of “me-ness” (being me) and “we-ness” (being us) that we have put forward in previous articles [author]. Further research is needed to examine how humor influences wellbeing. There is also a need to examine when humor becomes a hindrance to dialogue, or when it is not in line with the needs of the ill person.

According to Hollman et al. [59], a sense of belonging to a family and the feeling of being needed have been found to improve togetherness. Our results indicate that relations and togetherness can alleviate strains such as existential loneliness, in line with other research (e.g. Sand & Strang, [35]). Interestingly, the pattern of “being together in existential loneliness” showed that the search for a new belonging was not exclusively directed towards living persons. For example, one of the informants quoted above derived comfort from and got acknowledgement by feeling “connected” to those of his loved ones who had already died, and through religious beliefs. A sense of togetherness was also found in sharing experiences with other people in similar situations—other family caregivers or others afflicted with life-threatening illness, and hence dealing with their own finitude. The ill people and family members in the present study frequently sought information beyond that supplied by professional caregivers. The findings also illuminate that the way professional caregivers talked about care planning, death, and dying was important in the everyday life of dying persons and their family members. The participants expressed the need to know what is known about the end of life. The need for communication and straightforward information is well described in the literature [60-62], which may raise the question of how to talk about death and dying, and whether there is a gap in knowledge. It was not always the knowledge from the palliative care professionals that was the most needed or wished for. The participants appeared to want to share subjective experiences with other people in a similar situation, and to find out their way of thinking about practical, emotional, social, and existential issues. Hence, it seems important to offer different kinds of encounters for the sharing of experiences. In addition, professional caregivers could also serve as facilitators, using dialogue...
grounded in the family’s earlier ways of living everyday life to guide the ill person and their family members through the transition. It seems reasonable to assume that this would assist dying individuals and their families in handling the existential challenge of sharing everyday life close to death at home.

4.1. Methodological Considerations

This study has some limitations. It is important to consider the ethical principles of a secondary analysis [40], such as the participants’ informed consent. In the present study, this was addressed by checking that the aim of the study was included within the overall aim of the original studies. Another concern is the relation between the researcher, as an instrument for data collection, and the quality of the data. Secondary analysis is heavily reliant on the quality of the original data sets. We judged that the data were rigorously collected and captured rich stories. Because of the in-depth interview analysis necessary for a qualitative study, we included 29 persons (participating in 40 interviews) with experience of living everyday life close to death. However, our purposeful sampling method was effective in including a variety of experiences. To enhance trustworthiness, we performed prolonged engagement via repeated interviews over time, and also did member checking. We started the analysis confident that the phenomenon of interest was well represented and would therefore yield applicable and useful knowledge. Our research objective was to generate a richly detailed and interpretive examination of this complex phenomenon, articulated by individuals experienced in living everyday life close to death. The risk of researcher bias is well known in all qualitative research, and secondary analysis without doubt holds the possibility of increasing this bias [63]. Peer review and thick descriptions were used to ensure methodological soundness. The product of an interpretive description includes elements of both thematic summary and an integrative interpretation of the phenomenon under study. This means that it cannot be generalized, but by providing rich descriptions it is likely that the knowledge can be transferred to other persons living their everyday lives close to death.

5. CONCLUSION

Although much has been written about the burdens of everyday life close to death and the existential needs of both persons with life-threatening illness and their family members, this study adds knowledge by proposing aspects of individual experiences relevant to constructing group identity in families living close to death. Exploring the concept of family identity could contribute to our understanding of what helps people endure, and even benefit from, this special time in life. Togetherness can be seen as a dimension of humanness; a driving force that is especially important when everyday life is turned upside down and everything is changed by life-threatening illness. A better understanding of patterns within constructing family identity could be helpful for palliative care professionals supporting patients and their family members in living their everyday lives during the transition to death. Furthermore, being in transition to something new raised existential questions, and togetherness and community seemed to alleviate some of the strains related to everyday life close to death. Family identity close to death thus incorporated the tension between searching for community and facing existential loneliness, revealing some of the challenges of being a family in which one member is dying.
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