Management of Rathke’s Cleft Cysts: About Three Observations
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Abstract
Rathke cleft cysts are benign sellar lesions that are generally asymptomatic. Rathke cleft cyst can enlarge and become symptomatic. Surgical therapy is the mainstay of treatment for symptomatic RCC. The optimal surgical strategy remains debatable. We report our experience with this lesion and we discuss the advantages and disadvantages of each technique through a literature review.
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1. Introduction
Symptomatic Rathke cleft cysts (RCC) account for approximately 6% - 10% of sellar and suprasellar lesions in neurosurgical series [1] [2]. Surgical therapy is the mainstay of treatment for symptomatic RCC [1]. The optimal surgical strategy remains debatable.

We report our experience with this lesion and we discuss the advantages and disadvantages of each technique through a literature review.

2. Case Report
CASE 1:
A 45-year-old male complained from hypogonadisme for 1 year. Examination showed a bitemporal quadranopy with scarcity of hair. Laboratory tests showed a panhypopituitarism. Brain MRI disclosed an intra and su-
prerasellar cystic lesion, hypointense on T1-weighted images and hyperintense on T2 with surrounding enhancement, causing slight deformation of the optic chiasm (Figure 1). A complete removal was performed by a fronto-pterional approach and histological examination confirmed the diagnosis of Rathke cleft cyst.

The follow up during 3 years was marked by the persistence of endocrine disorders.

**CASE 2:**
A 36-year-old male complained of significant progressive reduction of visual acuity upon 18 months. Examination showed a bitemporal hemianopsia with optic atrophy. The preoperative MRI study revealed a round, suprasellar and intrasphenoidal lesion (Figure 2). A partial resection with evacuation of the cyst content was performed via a transphenoidal approach. Histological examination concluded for a Rathke’s cleft cyst. The postoperative course was complicated by the appearance of rhinorrhea. The patient underwent later on a second surgery through a fronto-pterional route which allowed the achievement of complete removal of the cyst and cure of the CSF leakage.

**CASE 3:**
A 14-year-old teenager was admitted for growth retardation and delayed puberty, with a short stature and absence of secondary sexual characters at examination. Brain MRI showed an extensive intra-and suprasellar lesion which enhanced discretely in peripheral surrounding (Figure 3). The lesion was removed through a right fronto-pterional approach without any significant complications.
Anatomopathology affirmed the diagnosis of Rathke’s cleft cyst. The follow up during 2 years was uneventful.

3. Discussion

Rathke’s cleft cysts (RCC) are thought to be non-obliterated remnants of the primitive craniopharyngeal duct [1]. They are benign lesions that may expand by accumulation of cyst fluid and then become symptomatic by compression of the surrounding structures [2] [3]. Asymptomatic RCCs are a relatively common finding in routine autopsies, whereas symptomatic RCCs are rare [2]-[4]. The introduction of MRI has improved the diagnosis of such cysts preoperatively or even incidentally [5] [6].

RCCs require surgery only when they are symptomatic [5] [6]. A partial resection of the single-cell layered epithelial wall with evacuation of the cyst content is often sufficient to yield good results with very rare recurrences [5]-[8]. As only cyst decompression is necessary, the transsphenoidal route is the recommended approach for intrasellar RCCs, because of the lower risk of surgical mortality and morbidity when compared to the transtuberal approach [6] [8] [9]. Indeed, the occurrence of new endocrine deficits is less at about 6% to 7% and visual improvement results are better with this approach [5]-[9]. However, the risk of postoperative complications such as rhinorrhea, meningitis, sinusitis and nasal septal perforation is higher [9] [10]. Our only patient who was treated by this approach developed rhinorrhea.

Undoubtedly, the transsphenoidal endoscopic approach has similar efficacy to standard approaches with further lower morbidity as reported in recent papers [5] [8].

Because only cyst decompression without removal of the capsule is the goal, this modality of treatment can be acceptable in RCC [8] [9].

When the cyst has a large suprasellar extension or is located entirely in the suprasellar region, or when a craniopharyngioma is initially suspected, a fronto-temporal craniotomy is then recommended [1] [3] [9].

4. Conclusion

Symptomatic RCC requires surgical treatment. TSS is the safest and most effective route. Simple drainage of the cyst with biopsy of the wall has been the treatment goal for many years. The optimal surgical strategy remains debatable. Longer follow-up and larger surgical series will help to establish the optimal treatment approach.

Conflicts of Interest

All authors declare no conflict of interest.

References


**Abbreviations**

MRI: magnetic resonance imaging
RCC: symptomatic Rathke cleft
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