Cardiac index (CI) versus cardio ankle vascular index (CAVI) at different degrees of head-up tilt (HUT) in healthy subjects
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ABSTRACT

The cardio-ankle vascular index (CAVI) is a new index of arterial stiffness that can be measured with a VaSera VS-1000 device. An association between certain arterial stiffness indices and cardiac function has been found but has not yet been validated. The aim of this study was to establish whether any significant relationship exists between cardiac index (CI) and CAVI. Twenty healthy male volunteers with a mean age of 30 ± 5 years and a mean BMI of 23.1 ± 1.1 kg/m² participated in the study. CO was estimated using a Doppler technique, and CAVI was measured with a VaSeraVS-1000 device. A motorised tilting table was used to achieve head-up tilt (HUT) angles of 0°, 30° and 60°, to modify the peripheral sympathetic outflow. We found that there was a significant inverse correlation between CI and the degree of head-up tilt, \( r = -0.63 \) for 0° and 30°; \( r = 0.81 \) for 0° and 60°, \( p < 0.001 \) for both; \( r = 0.71 \) for 30° and 60°, \( p < 0.05 \)). CAVI showed a significant positive correlation relative with the degree of HUT, \( r = 0.88 \) for 0° and 30°; \( r = 0.83 \) for 0° and 60°; \( r = 0.81 \) for 30° and 60°, \( p < 0.001 \) all for all). A significant negative correlation was found between CI and CAVI \( r = -0.47, p < 0.05 \).

Additionally, a significant \( p < 0.001 \) increase in PVR values was observed for increasing HUT values. In conclusion: An inverse relationship between CI and CAVI was shown; a decrease in cardiac output is associated with an increase in CAVI values at different degrees of HUT. This association provides further insight into the postural link between cardiac output and arterial compliance.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The cardiac index (CI) is a normalized metric of cardiac output (CO) and is based on a person’s body size (l/min/m²); CI increases proportionally with an individual’s body surface area (BSA), which is commonly measured with the Mosteller formula [1]:

\[
BSA \left( m^2 \right) = \sqrt{\text{weight (kg)} \times \text{height (cm)} / 3600}.
\]

CO can be calculated from stroke volume (SV) and heart rate (HR) based on the following equation [2]:

\[
CO = SV \times HR.
\]

CO can be measured non-invasively via the echo-Doppler technique [3]:

\[
CO = 0.785 \left( D \right)^2 \times (\text{VTI}) \times HR
\]

(where \( D \) is the aortic diameter and VTI is the velocity-time integral).

CI is considered the most important indicator of the cardiovascular system function. The normal range of CI at rest is 2.6 - 4.2 l/min/m² [2-4], and an age-related variation in indexed cardiac output has been well established [5,6]. Unlike the left ventricular ejection fraction (LV-EF), CI offers a more precise estimate of the pumping action of the heart: because it does not change with increased heart rate or concomitant decreases in stroke volume, when pacing a normal-size heart [7]. Additionally, the maintenance of CI by the IV administration of Ringer’s solution has been implicated in improved postoperative patient outcomes and decreased in-hospital deaths of patients undergoing major surgery [8].

1.1. CI and Arterial Stiffness

Reports linking CI values with overt or subtle changes in
arterial compliance are scarce. Studies have related indices of cardiac function, including CI, to neuropsychological impairment [9,10], dementia and cognitive dysfunction among patients with severe cardiomyopathies [11]. In a report on 1504 Framingham Offspring Cohort participants (age, 61 ± 9 years) who were free of clinical stroke, transient ischemic attack, or dementia, in the absence of end-stage heart disease, subclinical cardiac dysfunction was shown to affect brain aging. Jefferson et al. [12] studied the association between cardiac dysfunction and neuroanatomical/neuropsychological changes in ageing adults with or without prevalent cardiovascular disease. They found that cardiac index was positively correlated with total brain volume; and that CI values <2.54 were associated with significantly lower brain volumes. These authors hypothesized that an adequate CI is essential for stable brain health and that subtle reductions in cardiac function may be associated with accelerated brain ageing.

Interestingly, both obese and non-obese individuals were shown to have similar cardiac indexes, despite an increased LV-EF in the obese individuals [13]. Furthermore, increases in body weight (a key determinant of CI) were shown to have similar cardiac indexes, despite an increased LV-EF in the obese individuals [13]. Furthermore, increases in body weight (a key determinant of CI) were not associated with the extent of coronary atherosclerosis and caused no increased risk of total mortality [14,15].

1.2. Arterial Stiffness

In a population-based study, arterial stiffness was shown to be strongly associated with atherosclerosis at various sites in the vascular tree [16]. Arterial stiffness is predictive of cardiovascular disease (CVD) and mortality from lifestyle-related diseases [17-19], and it has been increasingly observed in cases of other atherosclerotic disorders, including metabolic syndrome [20-22]. The methods used to estimate arterial stiffness include car-diultrasonography and pulsewave velocity (PWV) [23,24]. The cardio-ankle vascular index (CAVI), a new index of arterial stiffness, developed in Japan, measures pulse wave velocity (PWV) and blood pressure (BP). CAVI is adjusted for BP, based on a stiffness parameter \( \beta \) and is reported to be unaffected by BP [25,26]. Therefore, CAVI may be superior to the commonly used brachial-ankle PWV (baPWV), which is highly dependent on BP and therefore difficult to implement clinically [19,25]. However, the clinical usefulness of CAVI has not yet been fully clarified [26].

Hayashi et al. [27] proposed the stiffness parameter \( \beta \). Kawasaki et al. [28] defined \( \beta \) as \( \ln \left( \frac{Ps \cdot Pd}{D \cdot D} \right) \), where \( Ps \) is systolic pressure, \( Pd \) is diastolic pressure, \( D \) is arterial diameter, and \( dD \) is change in diameter. The relationship between PWV and the reciprocal of the radius percentage change is calculated as follows.

\[
\text{PWV}^2 = \frac{DP}{2p \cdot D/dD}
\]

(where \( p \) is blood density, and \( DP \) is pulse pressure)

\[
D/dD = 2p \cdot \text{PWV} 2/Ps - PD
\]

CAVI

\[
\beta = (\ln \left( \frac{Ps}{Pd} \right)) \cdot (D/dD) = (\ln \left( \frac{Ps}{Pd} \right)) \cdot (2p \cdot \text{PWV} 2/Ps - PD)
\]

PWV is obtained by dividing the vascular length (L) by the time (T) required for a pulse wave to propagate from aortic valve to the ankle. L is obtained by measuring the length between the aortic valve and the ankle [29].

1.3. Aim

The aim of this work was to establish whether a significant relationship exists between CI and CAVI. Furthermore, we aimed to propose a new index, CI/CAVI, as a haemodynamic parameter.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1. Subjects and Tilting Table Examination

We created varied levels of vasoconstriction by baroreceptor-sympathetic outflow activation using, graded degrees of HUT [30,31]. This technique caused changes in the arteriolar vascular system stiffness, and simulated a clinical condition of varying degrees of atherosclerosis in the arteries.

Twenty healthy non-smoking male subjects, with, a mean age of 30 ± 5 years were recruited for the study. All the subjects signed an informed consent upon their arrival at the study venue. All were in stable clinical condition, none was on medication, and they all had normal blood pressure, CVS, renal, and hepatic functions, as well as, blood sugar, serum cholesterol and uric acid levels. Prior to beginning the test, all the study subjects were reassured about its safety and non-invasive nature to minimize any anxiety.

The subjects were examined in the supine position on a tilting table, in a quiet, temperature-controlled room. The measurements were performed after at least 10-min of supine rest to achieve a steady state, in which the heart rate changed less than 3 beats/min from one minute to the next [31]. The time needed to achieve this steady state, ranged from 7 - 10 min. A pulse oximeter was fixed to the right index finger to monitor changes in arterial pulse to assess the attainment of a steady state.

2.2. CAVI Estimation

CAVI was measured by a VaSera VS-1000 (Fukuda Denshi, Tokyo, Japan) using soft-ware version 08-01.
which assesses the state of the vessels with high accuracy. The reliability of the VaSera VS-1000 in estimating arterial stiffness has been previously validated [32]. This device utilises blood pressure cuffs with sensors on all four limbs to generate plethysmographs, (Figures 1 and 2). The cuffs were placed on the upper arms, the right knee, and both ankles; ECG leads were placed on the wrists; and a phonocardiogram (PCG) transducer was placed at the right sternal border in the intercostal space. The results were calculated separately for the left and the right sides of the body. The final results were obtained by calculating the mean of six consecutive measurements. The parameter of stiffness $\beta$, was obtained by the following equation: $\beta = (\ln P_s/P_d)(D/dD)$ [28], where $\ln P_s/P_d$ is natural logarithm of the systolic-diastolic pressure ratio, and $D/dD$ is the ratio of wall extensibility.

2.3. Cardiac Output Estimation

Cardiac output was calculated using the Sonos 7500 echo-Doppler equipment (M2424A Ultrasound system, Andover Massachuses 01810, Philips, made in USA) with a 2.5 MHz phased array cardiac probe, a PW Doppler and a built-in ECG. To obtain the left ventricular outflow tract (LVOT) VTI, the Doppler sample volume was placed at the middle of the LVOT, just below the aortic cusp [3], utilizing an optimal 4- or 5- chamber view. The highest VTI value was used for the CO calculation, as depicted in Figure 3. To obtain the LV outflow diameter ($D$), a parasternal long axis view was utilized [33], as shown in Figure 4. The stroke volume was cal-

![Figure 1. Adapted from Shirai et al. [29], with some modifications. Blood pressure cuffs were placed on the upper arms and both ankles, ECG leads were placed on the wrists, and a phonocardiogram (PCG) transducer was placed at the right sternal border in the intercostal space.](image1)

![Figure 2. Part of the basic display of the Vasera VS1000, ECG, PCG waveforms, along with pulse waves, are displayed. The pulse waves, induced through cuffs applied to the limbs, correspond to the following locations: RB, right brachial; LB, left brachial; RK, right knee; RA, right ankle; and LA, left ankle. The results of the CAVI estimation are displayed on the right side of the record.](image2)

![Figure 3. Doppler waveform with the sample volume placed in the LVOT where the VTI can be measured.](image3)

![Figure 4. The left parasternal long axis view for the measurement of aortic diameter.](image4)
culated using the following equation [3]:

\[ SV = 0.785(D)^2 \times (VTI), \]

where \( D \) is the aortic diameter, and \( VTI \) is the velocity time integral. \( CO \) was calculated according the equation: \( CO = SV \times HR \). CI was obtained by dividing \( CO \) by the body surface area.

The above-cited measurements of \( VTI \) using Doppler echocardiography, and \( CAVI \), using the VaSeraVS-1000 were performed after the subject achieved a steady state, with an HUT of 0° (the pre-test supine position), 30° or 60° (Figure 5). These indices were evaluated at incremental degrees of upright tilt.

2.4. Statistical Analysis

The statistical analysis was performed using SPSS, version 12. All values were expressed as the means ± SD. The haemodynamic parameters (CI, CAVI and CI/CAVI) were compared at different degrees of HUT, using Student’s paired t-test, and Pearson’s correlation (r). A p value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3. RESULTS

The results were consistent for all twenty participants.

3.1. CI

The baseline control value of CI (lying flat in the supine position) was 2.58 ± 0.25 (range, 2.55 - 3.20) l/min/m². The CI values at 30° and 60° were 2.06 ± 0.42 (range, 1.30 - 2.90) and 1.85 ± 0.45 (range, 1.20 - 2.70) l/min/m², respectively. There were significant correlations between the CI values at 0° of HUT and those at 30° and 60°: \( r = 0.63, y = 1.0766x - 0.7286 \); 0° and 60°: \( r = 0.81, y = 1.4819x - 1.9841 \). A significant correlation existed between CI values at 30° and 60° \( (r = 0.71, y = 0.7668x + 0.2724) \). The CI values at 30° and 60° of HUT were significantly lower than that at 0° \( (p < 0.001) \). The CI at 60° of HUT was significantly lower than that at 30° \( (p < 0.05) \). As demonstrated in Figure 6, there were consistent and significant \( (p < 0.001\%) \) decreases in the CI values with increase increments of HUT.

3.2. CAVI

The baseline control value of CAVI was 7.10 ± 0.69 (range, 5.60 - 8.05). The CAVI values at 30° and 60° were 8.60 ± 0.73 (range, 7.10 - 9.90) and 9.20 ± 0.98 (range, 7.75 - 10.45), respectively. As shown in Figure 7, there was a significant \( (p < 0.001) \) and consistent increase in the CAVI values with increasing HUT, (at 0° and 30°: \( r = 0.88, y = 0.9135x + 2.1059 \); at 0° and 60°: \( r = 0.83, y = 1.1831x + 0.7467 \). Likewise, a significant correlation was observed between the CAVI values at 30° and 60° \( (r = 0.81, y = 1.0642x + 0.024) \). The correlation between CI and CAVI at different degrees of tilt was studied. The results revealed a negative correlation between CI and CAVI \( (r = -0.47, p < 0.05, y = -0.1976x + 3.5866) \), as depicted in Figure 8.

3.3. CI/CAVI (CI Value Divided by CAVI Value)

The value of CI/CAVI at 0° of HUT was 0.35 ± 0.06 (range, 0.23 - 0.48). The values at 30° and 60° were 0.25 ± 0.06 (range, 0.14 - 0.37) and 0.19 ± 0.05 (range, 0.12 - 0.30), respectively. There were significant correlations between the CI/CAVI value at 0° of HUT and those at 30° and 60° \( (r = 0.65 \) for 0° and 30°, \( y = 0.6574x + 0.0233; r = 0.78, \) for 0° and 60°, \( y = 0.6388x - 0.0267 \)). In addition, a significant correlation existed between CI/CAVI values at 30° and 60° \( (r = 0.68 \) for 30° and 60°, \( y = 0.554x + 0.0568 \)). As demonstrated in Figure 9, the CI/CAVI at 30° and 60° were significantly lower than that at 0° \( (p < 0.001) \), and the CI/CAVI at 60° was significantly lower than that at 30° \( (p < 0.001) \).

3.4. Peripheral Vascular Resistance (PVR)

There was a significant increase in the PVR (mean arterial blood pressure value divided by cardiac output value) values with increases in HUT. The baseline control value was 25.33 ± 5.01 mmHg·min⁻¹/dl (range 14.4 - 33.6 mmHg·min⁻¹/dl). The PVR values at 30° and

![Figure 5](image-url) A subject in different degrees of HUT: (a) 0°; (b) 30°; (c) 60°.
Figure 6. Scatter diagrams illustrating significant correlation between CI values. (a) 0° and 30°; (b) 0° and 60°; and (c) 30° and 60° of HUT. Dark lines represent lines of regression, dotted lines: represent lines of identity.

Figure 7. Scatter diagrams showing significant correlations between CAVI values at (a) 0° and 30°; (b) 0° and 60°; and (c) 30° and 60° of HUT. Dark lines represent lines of regression, and dotted lines: represent lines of identity.

60° were 29.42 ± 5.40 mmHg·min⁻¹/dl (range 18.0 - 37.63 mmHg·min⁻¹/dl) and 32.12 ± 6.97 mmHg·min⁻¹/dl (range 20.01 - 47.57 mmHg·min⁻¹/dl), respectively.
4. DISCUSSION

The cardiovascular response to a postural change from the supine to the upright position has been extensively studied. This response reflects both mechanical changes due to the effects of gravity on the circulatory system and the changes caused by the resulting nervous reflex responses [34]. We found CI values to be significantly lower at 30° and 60° of HUT than at 0°, primarily because of blood pooling in the lower extremities due to gravity [34,35]. This substantial decrease in the central filling pressure would cause a significant drop in stroke volume and potentially, a decrease in cardiac output, even in the presence of the baroreceptor-heart rate reflex [35]. Another well-established baroreceptor mechanism, to keep arterial pressure from decreasing, is the significant peripheral vasoconstriction that occurs when the body position changes from supine to standing [34]. It is apparent from previous investigations that a reduced stroke volume is the result of decreased venous return, and therefore, reduced preload. Regarding HUT, it has been suggested, that a decrease in LV diameter [36], together with an increase in after-load (indicated by increase in peripheral vascular resistance), may also contribute to reduction in the stroke volume and the eventual decrease in CI [37].

As mentioned previously, the estimation of CAVI is dependent on the pulse wave transmission between the aortic valve and peripheral blood vessels and can be used to express changes in the arterial diameter [27,28]. Such changes in arterial diameter which are evoked by the baroreceptor-sympathetic nerves, in response to incremental changes in the HUT, are precisely expressed by the estimated graded CAVI values at 30° and 60° of tilt, compared to the baseline supine value (Figures 7(a)-(c)). Thus, CAVI can be used as an index of arterial stiffness, to estimate the degree of vascular bed compliance, which can be altered in various metabolic and/or cardiovascular disorders, as atherosclerosis, which is known to result in
greatly increased vascular stiffness [16]. Moreover, the graded alterations in arterial stiffness in response to baroreceptor-sympathetic nerve activity that are induced by changes in HUT, in normal individuals, may provide a novel technique to grade atherosclerosis at different stages. For example, a CAVI of 8.6 ± 0.73 (range, 7.10 - 9.90) obtained at 30˚ may represent modest atherosclerosis and a CAVI of 9.2 ± 0.98 (range, 7.75 - 10.45), obtained at 60˚, may represent moderate to severe atherosclerosis. However, a baseline CAVI value of 7.10 ± 0.69 (range, 5.60 - 8.05), with a cut-off of 5.60, obtained at 0˚ may represent the normal value for the younger age group. Larger sample sizes are needed to confirm our findings.

Notably, a considerable body of evidence has implicated both vascular compliance and total peripheral resistance in the determination of after-load, (and, therefore, CO and CI). In this study, we noninvasively demonstrated an inverse correlation between varied degrees of peripheral resistance, reflected by changes in arterial diameter (estimated by CAVI), and overall cardiac performance, expressed as the cardiac index. A consistent and significant inverse relationship was revealed, at different degrees of HUT (Figure 8). However, this correlation was only moderate (r = 0.47) possibly due to the small sample size. In this model, a minor contribution of after-load to the determination of CO can be anticipated; the decreases in CO and CI under HUT were primarily preload dependant. Therefore, it could be argued that the CI/CAVI correlation may apply during only postural changes. Nevertheless, our results are similar to those of a recently published study that evaluated sub-clinical atherosclerotic processes in 336 Finnish adults between 46 and 76 years of age. In that study, a highly significant inverse relationship was found between increased arterial stiffness (indicated by a decrease in arterial tension time) and stroke volume. However, a multicentre study with a large sample size is needed to further verify the impact of subclinical atherosclerosis on CI. In our CAVI-HUT model, we introduced a new parameter, CI/CAVI. This new index could serve as a parameter for fitness assessment and cardiovascular risk stratification with normal and cut-off values of 0.35 ± 0.06 and 0.23, respectively. Moreover, the CI/CAVI correlation may provide a noninvasive technique to predict CI from the peripheral circulation, using the following equation: \( y = -0.1976x + 3.5866 \) (where \( y \) is the CI value and \( x \) is the CAVI value). An important limitation of our study is that, antigravity muscle contractions may contribute to the magnitude of increased CAVI measurements during HUT. However, we consistently observed changes in the CAVI values at 30˚ of HUT where the leg muscles have their lowest tone. Furthermore, in paraplegics (who no longer possess any muscle tone), vascular resistance has still been shown to increase at 30˚ of HUT, which is likely to maintain blood pressure. Moreover, during orthostatic stress, an increase in baroreceptor gain contributes to sympathetic nerves hyperactivity and an eventual increase of peripheral resistance [42]. Finally, 60˚ of HUT is designed to achieve modest leg muscle tone [43].

This study was investigative, and we do not advocate CAVI assessments or the use of CI/CAVI correlations in patients with valvular heart diseases or severe oblitative vascular disorders, because CAVI depends on generation of pressure waveforms which are impossible to achieve with these maladies.

5. CONCLUSION

In this investigation, a novel technique (the measurement of CAVI) was employed to assess changes in arterial compliance caused by postural changes in normal individuals, and an inverse correlation between cardiac index (CI) and cardio-ankle vascular index (CAVI) was established. Therefore, our approach may have clinical implications for the treatment of patients suffering from metabolic and/or atherosclerotic disorders.
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