New Gene Therapy Strategies for the Deletion of Exon 44 of Dystrophin Gene Based on Gene Editing by TALENs
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ABSTRACT

Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy (DMD) is a severe childhood form of muscular dystrophy. Both the severe form and its milder form of Becker Muscular Dystrophy (BMD) are caused by the mutation of dystrophin gene. Different from some other genetic diseases such as hemophilia that can be treated by replacement therapy, there is no effective therapy for muscular dystrophy in conventional medication. Gene editing technology from the recently developed engineered nucleases such as TALENs has been successfully employed in genome modification of a variety of species, and will be applied in gene therapy of selected human diseases. The genetic basis of DMD and BMD indicates that DMD is a good target for gene therapy through returning the reading frame of dystrophin gene. Gene therapy strategies described here may apply to many other genetic diseases. Wider application of TALENs in gene therapy have the potential to dramatically prolong the lifespan of individuals with genetic diseases.
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1. Potential of Engineered Nuclease TALENs in Gene Therapy

Gene therapy is a genetic intervention used for the prevention or treatment of human diseases by targeting and modifying selected genes. Lack of efficient gene editing enzymes and gene delivery strategies are the two major technological obstacles that prevent from a wide clinical application of gene therapy. Recently, the technological development of several engineered nucleases may partially help to overcome the aforementioned difficulties and pave a new way to gene therapy. Among the engineered nucleases, Zinc-finger nucleases (ZFNs) and Transcription Activator-Like Effector Nucleases (TALENs) have been successfully employed for both in vitro and ex vivo gene modifications. Based on these milestone events and their great potential, gene editing technology with ZFNs and TALENs was recognized as the Technology of the Year by the journal “Nature- Methods” in 2011 [1].

TALENs are made of a number of DNA binding modules and the nuclease domain from FokI restriction enzyme. Each protein module typically contains 34 amino acids, and the 12th and 13th residues are the key site of the target identification, known as the repeated variable di-residues (RVDs) [2-5]. Currently, there are 7 recognized units decoded and 4 commonly used identification units: NI identifies A, HD identifies C, NG identifies T, and NN identifies G or A. When TALENs have repeated DNA binding elements in its DNA binding domain, they can then efficiently and precisely cleave specific DNA sequences and induce a double-strand break (DSB), followed by the homology-directed repair (HDR) or non-homologous end joining (NHEJ) pathways [6,7]. These gene editing abilities of TALENs are illustrated in Figure 1.

ZFNs and TALENs recognize DNA targets through their DNA binding domains. While each zinc finger protein module identifies three nucleotides, a TALE module only recognizes one nucleotide through its 13th amino acid. The cleavage domains of homodimers or heterodimers form an endonuclease that digests the target sequence and creates a double strand break. Following
the creation of double strand break, gene editing efficiencies increase by two log scales as compared to those formed through spontaneous gene recombination, which greatly facilitates gene disruption, gene correction, or gene insertion.

Gene disruption is the simplest means of gene editing. By causing a frameshift mutation, the disruption or abolishment of the function of selected genes can be easily obtained. Engineered nucleases-driven gene disruption has already been used for model organisms and a variety of mammalian cells. TALENs can also efficiently disrupt the zebrafish genes as well as the endogenous human genes NTF3 and CCR5 at efficiencies of up to 25% [8,9].

Gene addition is the second strategy useful for gene editing by TALENs. This approach consists of introducing DNA sequences into a predetermined genomic location. To make this strategy work, two essential properties should be considered [10]. Firstly, the inserted DNA sequences must functionally integrate within the modified cells. Secondly, the normal function and gene regulation in cells harboring the predetermined genomic location should not be blocked, or in other words, no off-target effect is required following the gene modification or gene addition. As an application example, TALEN expression constructs and the corresponding donor plasmids were introduced into human embryonic stem cell (ESC); the TALEN-mediated targeting of PPP1R12C [11] resulted in a robust transgene expression and the generation of gene-corrected ESCs.

Gene correction is one of the most accepted strategies in classical gene therapy. Many therapeutic progresses using engineered nucleases have been reported in the past years. In a mouse model of haemophilia B [12], ZFNs co-delivered with an appropriately designed gene-targeting vector stimulated gene correction in vivo. As a consequence, the gene therapy treatment was sufficient to correct the prolonged clotting times in this mouse model of haemophilia B, and remained a persistent effect after the induced liver regeneration. In addition, gene corrections using ZFNs and TALENs have also been achieved in tobacco, fruitflies, Arabidopsis thaliana and mouse ES cells for a variety of gene abnormalities [13-15].

Since its development in 2010, TALENs have become the hot enzymes used in genome modifications of a variety of species. The aforementioned three strategies used in genome modification, gene disruption, gene addition, and gene correction, can be applied in gene therapy of human diseases such as hemophilia, thalassemia, DMD, neuronal deafness, Stargardt’s syndrome, essential hypertension, TP53 gene mutation as well as lung, breast, cervix, prostate, colon, rectum cancers and leukemia although relatively long clinical trials are to be carried out first. The target disease list will get longer with a better understanding of the pathophysiology of human diseases. TALENs are a new type of “drugable” macromolecules. As compared to traditional drugs, the effectiveness and toxicity of TALENs are easy to determine by screening their gene editing spectra and off-target effects. With the availability of next generation sequencing technology, both gene editing spectra and off-target effects can be efficiently assayed using cultured human cells. Thus, engineered nucleases particularly the “drugable” TALENs are expected to be invaluable for future gene therapy. However, the gene editing technology is only one approach in gene therapy as the lack of gene delivery is still an obstacle to be overcome. Fortunately, both lentiviral and adenoviral vectors are efficient enough to deliver ZFN and TALENs in vivo and ex vivo, particularly when used in reversed gene therapy that only requires a partial knockout of a selected gene in order to reach an observable improvement for clinical symptom.

2. Therapeutic Obstacles and Clinical Features of DMD

Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy (DMD) is an X-linked recessive inherited disease. As a severe childhood form of muscular dystrophy, it has a high birth prevalence in nearly 1:4000 [16]. Except for patients from inherited mutations, the high birth prevalence of DMD is also partially attributed to spontaneous mutations and an unending trail of new cases emphasizes the compelling need to find an effective treatment.

Many types of mutations have been identified in DMD, including point mutation, deletion, insertion, and duplication. However, the most common type of disease-causing mutation of the DMD gene is deletion of one or more exons identified in approximately 60% to 65% of patients [17]. Among these deletions, the leading mutation is in exon 44 that is found in about 30% of all DMD deletions [18] and is attributed to the hot breakpoint in intron 43 within a THE-1 element [19]. Regardless of the complicated types of mutations, the genotype-phenotype
relationship in muscular dystrophy patients showed that frameshift mutations are usually associated with DMD while mutations leading to BMD usually do not change the reading-frame of the transcripts. BMD patients typically die in the fourth and fifth decades.

The genotype-phenotype relationship indicated a therapeutic possibility of switching DMD to BMD. Relevant strategies tested until now include readthrough and exon skipping. The former is approached by using tRNA suppressor [20] and aminoglycoside antibiotics [21]. In 2003, Bertoni et al. [22] tested the ability of chimeric RNA/DNA phosphorodiamidate oligonucleotides (chimeraplasts) to alter key bases in specific splice sequences in the dystrophin gene to induce exon skipping. A similar strategy using morpholino phosphorodiamidate oligonucleotides obtained convincing results in mdx mouse [23]. These strategies were well tested in cultured cells, in mdx mouse model and in DMD dog models [24].

An observation encouraging the readthrough and exon skipping strategies in the treatment of DMD is the identification of dystrophin-positive fibers possibly raised from somatic reversion/suppression. Another mechanism to explain the positively staining fibers is a second site in-frame deletion [25]. On a therapeutic point of view, DMD patients bearing dystrophin-positive fibers are well suited to either readthrough or exon skipping therapies without or virtually without immune rejection. These two strategies are different from therapy using mini dystrophin gene as the latter may lead to immune rejection that eventually attenuates the efficacy of the treatment or even worsens the disease procedure of DMD.

Recently, Mendell et al. published an updated review about gene therapy for muscular gene therapy [26]. As stated by Mendell et al., current treatment options for DMD are restricted and only glucocorticoids have consistently demonstrated efficacy in any of the muscular dystrophies [26]. Clearly, efficient treatments to DMD are still urgently needed. Based on the recent data (2012-10-12) recorded in the website of “www.clinicaltrials.gov”, there are 402 ongoing registered clinical trials when searched using the keyword of DMD. When narrowed down to DMD gene therapy, there are 27 clinical trails and only 7 of them are currently recruiting patients. For the specific gene therapy strategy of DMD exon skipping the database recorded, only 6 clinical trails recorded with 4 being active. The slow progress in developing practically available gene therapy for DMD may soon gain momentum with the development of TALENs. It is not too optimistic to expect the availability of selected clinically effective gene therapies for DMD and for many other genetic diseases in the next decade since more fundamental research and clinical trials are in progress or have been completed.

3. Multiple Gene Therapy Strategies Available in Switching DMD to BMD

As discussed in the previous section, the genotype-phenotype relationship in dystrophin gene mutation-related diseases has demonstrated a therapeutic algorithm by switching frameshifted mutation into inframe mutation, and thereby changing the clinical outcome of DMD to BMD.

Two genetic consequences occur following the deletion of exon 44 in dystrophin gene: loss of the related nucleotides in the transcript and shift the reading frame of the transcript. Accordingly, gene therapy strategies targeting the treatment of exon 44 deletion need to deal with both frameshift and loss of nucleotides in the transcript whenever possible. Here we suggest some rules in designing gene therapy strategies using the deletion of exon 44 as an example. We believe that these rules are not only well applicable to dystrophin mutations, but they are also widely applicable to those using gene editing in the treatment of human diseases.

Practical rules applicable in gene therapy using gene editing technology are listed below:

1) Gene normalization, either through gene correction or gene insertion, should only be the first choice in germ-line gene therapy, but not in somatic gene therapy. Its application in somatic gene therapy can be beneficial in conditions with no or minimal immune rejection to the wild type protein from the normalized gene;

2) If intentional deletion of an extra exon can return the reading frame of the transcript and the deletion of an extra exon is tolerable in gene function, this strategy could be the first choice in somatic gene therapy in mutations that occurred in large genes with tens of exons;

3) Switching frameshift mutation to inframe mutation through gene disruption or gene correction should target downstream locations from the deletion, rather than the upstream sites;

4) When gene disruption is used in remediying mRNA reading frame, scheduled codon shifting from NHEJ must not create a novel stop codon before the reading frame is normalized. Additionally, if a frameshift mutation is caused by the addition of one base, simple disruption may be the first choice in gene therapy.

Based on these rules, four possible gene therapy strategies are available for the treatment of exon 44 deletion in dystrophin gene (Table 1). Actually, all of these four options are currently under investigation at cellular level in our group.

Although it is difficult to speculate which of the four therapeutic strategies would have the highest efficacy in restoring truncated dystrophin protein, the deletion of the extra exon is theoretically the most efficient approach in restoring the reading frame of the transcript of mutated dystrophin.
Table 1. Possible gene therapy strategies applicable to the treatment of DMD patients with exon 44 deleted.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene target</th>
<th>Gene editing strategy</th>
<th>Molecular processes</th>
<th>Expected results</th>
<th>Application</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>intron 42</td>
<td>Gene deletion</td>
<td>DSB at the acceptor site, followed with NHEJ</td>
<td>Deletion of exon 43 in part of the mRNA transcripts</td>
<td>Somatic gene therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intron 43</td>
<td>Gene addition</td>
<td>DSB in intron 43, followed by HR for addition of exon 44</td>
<td>Addition of exon 44 in the genome of cells with varied ratio</td>
<td>Germline and somatic therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exon 45</td>
<td>Gene disruption</td>
<td>DSB at exon 45, followed by NHEJ</td>
<td>Variable mutations in exon 45. Inframe mRNA transcribed from cells having selected types of mutations</td>
<td>Somatic gene therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exon 45</td>
<td>Gene correction</td>
<td>DSB at exon 45, followed by both HR and NHEJ</td>
<td>Gene correction via HR and several other types of mutations in the genomes. Inframe mRNA transcribed in selected types of cells</td>
<td>Germline and Somatic gene therapy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dystrophin and some other mutations with one exon deletion. When the splice acceptor site at the 3’ end of the intron 42 is targeted with gene editing technology, nearly all edited products formed double-strands break by TALENs will lead to the deletion of exon 43 since most of the mutations from NHEJ disrupt the acceptor site for splicing. For genome failed to form DSB or acceptor site recovered from NHEJ, no novel protein translated as the transcript is still in frameshift, which is important in minimizing or avoiding toxic effects in gene therapy (Figure 2).

TALENs specifically targeting the acceptor site of exon 43 yield double-strand breaks at the acceptor site. Except for a small portion of DNA molecules with DSBs repaired by homologous recombination still transcribe mRNAs containing the exon 43, most of the DNA molecules with DSBs were fixed by NHEJ and were disrupted with their acceptor site. A represents the acceptor site disrupted from single base substitution; B from single base deletion; C from single base insertion; and D represents acceptor site eliminated by all other types of mutations such as 2 basepair deletion or insertion and 3 or more basepairs insertion or deletion. When exon 43 is deleted, the transcripts are then restored in frame again.

Exon 44 of dystrophin gene is pretty short, a feature which suggests the technical possibility of gene normalization by adding the exon through gene editing. For future germline gene therapy, the addition of exon 44 is an ideal or even perfect therapy for fertilized eggs. However, several factors are to be considered when this approach is applied in somatic gene therapy. First, gene addition has a lower efficiency as compared to gene disruption. Secondly, the wild type of the intact dystrophin protein may cause an immune response or rejection. Therefore, clinical trials testing the addition of an exon should pay extra attention about the possible immune rejection in a larger population.

As listed in Table 1, the third available strategy is gene disruption at the coding region of exon 45. This strategy is less efficient as compared to the first strategy of disrupting the acceptor site of intron 42. All disrupted acceptor site of intron 43 may lead to the deletion of exon 43, whereas only certain types of NHEJ, two bases deletion and one base insertion, are able to restore the reading frame of the transcripts as illustrated in Figure 3. The third strategy requires the target site downstream of the deletion, not upstream of the deletion. In the case of exon 44 deletion, the simple gene disruption in exon 45 is the correct choice. However, if in situations when novel stop codon(s) formed right after the deletion junction in the transcript, upstream locations could be the target of gene editing. Elimination of stop codon(s) from exon deletion and avoidance of creating novel stop codon(s) from the scheduled codon shift are crucial in the application of gene disruption in gene therapy.

A represents the mRNA from wild type sequence of either exon 43 or 45 in DMD patient with exon 44 deleted. B represents the shifted codons in case of deleting 2 nucleotides (green highlighted), and C represents the mRNA fragment in case of adding 1 nucleotide (pink highlighted). Since the exon 43 has 3n + 2 and exon 44 has 3n + 1 nucleotides, only selected gene editing effects such as adding extra 1 nucleotide or deleting 2 nucleo-
Gene correction has a low efficiency and may cause immunological rejection when applied in somatic gene therapy, so only a small number of mutations can be corrected. However, using homologous oligonucleotides is the more efficient strategy. As illustrated, gene correction in the presence of homologous oligonucleotides dramatically increased the efficiency of gene correction for diseases caused by mutation with single base substitution, single base deletion, and small insertions. Considering the application of TALENs is in its early stage, some general rules governing gene therapy strategies using gene editing technology are suggested based on the analysis of DMD. These general rules may also be applicable in gene therapy of other human diseases with gene editing technology. Among the general rules, the following principles are of particular importance.

4. Concluding Remarks

Genetically engineered nucleases, particular the TALENs, have been widely used in genome modifications. This gene editing ability presents great potential in gene therapy for human diseases. Single base substitution, small deletion, and small insertion are the three leading types of mutations causing human diseases. As these three types of mutations are good targets for gene editing by TALENs, it is not too optimistic to expect more and more clinically effective gene therapies available in the coming years.

We took DMD as an example in discussing the possible gene therapy strategies using gene editing technology. One of the algorithms in switching DMD to BMD was discussed in more detail, which highlights a practical therapy strategy in remedying the reading frame of transcripts by gene disruption. Tides are able to restore the reading frame. As illustrated, relevant gene changes created new stop codon in exon 43 but not in exon 45.

Considering that the three leading types of mutations are single base substitution, single base deletion, and single base insertion [27,28], simple gene disruption by TALENs could be the first gene therapy strategy in diseases caused by mutation with one base added. However, for diseases caused by mutations with single base substitution or deletion, gene correction in the presence of homologous oligonucleotides is the more efficient strategy. Gene correction has a low efficiency and may cause immune rejection when applied in somatic gene therapy, although it has a potential in germline gene therapy.
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