Abducens nerve palsy during pegvisomant therapy in an acromegalic patient*
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ABSTRACT

Pegvisomant is a recent treatment modality of acromegaly, which is most effective in regulating IGF-1 levels. Tumor growth has been reported in 5% of the acromegaly cases treated with pegvisomant. Herein we report a case of acromegaly resistant to surgical treatment, radiotherapy and medical therapy with a somatostatin analogue and cabergolin. Due to presence of pituitary tumor with cavernous sinus invasion and unremitting disease activity pegvisomant therapy was initiated. She developed left abducens nerve palsy after pegvisomant treatment. The objective of this report is to emphasize the value of close follow-up of the acromegaly cases under pegvisomant therapy, especially if they have cavernous sinus infiltrating tumor. A 44-year-old woman with acromegaly presented with visual defect and diplopia on left lateral gaze. Her complaint developed 3 years after initiation of pegvisomant therapy. Her neurologic examination was consistent with mild abducens nerve palsy on left side. Other causes for abducens nerve palsy were excluded. Pegvisomant was discontinued upon patient request. Although sella MRI did not reveal a tumor growth, even minimal growth within cavernous sinus can cause cranial nerve involvement. Therefore close and careful follow-up of cavernous sinus infiltrating tumors after pegvisomant therapy is crucial to early recognition of complications.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Acromegaly is an endocrine disorder characterized by chronic excess of growth hormone (GH) and insulin like growth factor-1 (IGF-1) due to a pituitary adenoma. It has a 3 - 4 cases/million population/year of incidence and is equally seen in both genders [1].

First symptoms of the disease include non-specific complaints like arthralgia, hyperhidrosis and numbness in the hands so the diagnosis is usually delayed and recognised after 40 years of age [1-3]. More prominent findings including enlarged hands and feet and coarse facial features appear later during the course of the disease [1-4].

Elevated levels of GH concentration after a glucose load and concurrent high serum IGF-I levels confirm the diagnosis of acromegaly. Serum IGF-1 concentration, which is interpreted according to the patient’s age, is a reliable test for providing a discrimination from normal individuals [5,6].

The main treatment in acromegaly is transsphenoidal surgery. A recent treatment modality is pegvisomant, a pegylated recombinant analog of human GH. Pegvisomant is the most efficient medical treatment in decreasing IGF-1 activity [7]. Herein we report a case with acromegaly who had sixth cranial nerve palsy after initiation of pegvisomant therapy.

2. CASE REPORT

A 44-year-old woman presented with progressive head-
ache and peripheral visual defect with diplopia which were prominent on attempted left lateral gaze. Fifteen years ago she was diagnosed with acromegaly due to 43 × 32 × 24 mm cavernous sinus infiltrating pituitary adenoma. She had transsphenoidal surgery and operations through craniotomy for two times in consecutive years.
Pathologic examination revealed a pituitary adenoma with mixed hormone secretion (40% GH and 20% prolactin) and 2% - 4% Ki-67 index but no p-53 activity. She had conventional RT and gamma knife (GKN) therapy 12 years and 10 years ago, respectively. Octreotide analogue was initiated 12 years ago and the dose was titrated up to 40 mg per 28 days. However in the 9th year of gamma knife therapy GH level was 1.4 ng/mL and IGF-1 level was 456 ng/mL (N: 101 - 284 ng/mL). Sella MRI showed 11 mm of residual pituitary adenoma (Figures 1A and B). Subcutaneous injection of 10 mg pegvisomant per day was initiated and octreotide analogue dose was decreased to 30 mg per 28 days. In the last year of her follow-up pegvisomant dose was changed to 20 mg on alternate days.

Before the pegvisomant therapy she had no complaints about her vision and her visual tests were normal. In the third year of pegvisomant therapy her complaints of headache and peripheral visual loss with diplopia especially on left lateral gaze appeared and progressed over time. Her complete neurologic examination was normal except mild sixth cranial nerve palsy on left side (Figures 2A and B). IGF-1 level was within normal limits according to her age (269 ng/mL, N: 101 - 284 ng/mL). Residual tumor did not reveal a prominent difference from previous images on sella MRI comparison (Figures 3A and B). Intracranial hypertension was excluded by lumbar puncture which revealed an opening pressure of 155 mm H2O. She had diabetes mellitus for 3 years, HbA1c level was 6.9% and blood glucose levels were under control with metformin.

Routine laboratory tests did not reveal a specific pathology to point out a secondary cause for the sixth cranial nerve palsy. Detailed and serial eye examinations, including Hess and Word tests with peripheral visual test, were repeated on consecutive weeks and confirmed the presence of sixth cranial nerve palsy on the left with quadrance nervous field loss comprising left upper temporal and left lower nasal regions. Pegvisomant therapy was discontinued upon patient request. During her follow-up, 2 months after discontinuation of pegvisomant her complaints did not show any improvement.

3. DISCUSSION

Pegvisomant therapy is the most recent medical treatment modality of acromegaly. Pegvisomant acts through GH receptors and does not suppress GH levels. Elimination of negative feedback mechanism that control GH secretion may theoretically cause tumor growth. However this has not been based on clear evidence and in literature tumor growth has been reported to be 2.9% - 5.3% of the cases [8,9]. Adverse effects of pegvisomant therapy include injection-site reactions, liver toxicity, influenza-like syndrome, headache, asthenia, diarrhea, arthralgia and hypercholesterolemia [7]. Liver toxicity is usually reversible after decrease or discontinuation of pegvisomant [7]. Pegvisomant is also associated with lipoatrophy at the injection site or at distant sites [10, 11].

Abducens nerve palsy is associated with dysfunction of sixth cranial nerve. The resultant disturbance in abduction of lateral rectus muscle prevents the eye from turning outward on the involved side. This results in a convergent strabismus of which the primary symptom is esotropia. Conventional RT and GKN are well-known causative factors for cranial nerve palsies. Our patient had RT 12 years ago and GKN 10 years ago. In literature the mean follow-up periods until emergence of cranial nerve palsy after RT/GKN for pituitary adenomas were lower than the period in this case [12-14]. Therefore, RT or GKN were less probable causes for abducens nerve palsy after such a long time. In addition emergence of diplopia after pegvisomant therapy brings questions regarding pegvisomant as the causative agent.

Cavernous sinus infiltration is frequent during the course of acromegaly and when present cranial nerve palsy is usually a consequence of it. Tumor growth secondary to pegvisomant therapy or involvement of cavernous sinus may be possible explanations to the abducens nerve palsy in the presented case. Despite the absence of a difference in comparison of serial MRI images it is possible that pegvisomant have caused a minimal growth of the tumor within the cavernous sinus. Moreover absence of related symptoms prior to initiation of pegvisomant and exclusion of secondary causes have aroused the suspicion of an association with the treatment. On the other hand the exact mechanism underlying this condition is unclear.

To our knowledge this is the first case in the literature reporting development of a cranial nerve palsy during pegvisomant therapy without a certain secondary cause. However the causality is indeterminate and it would be speculative to claim the pegvisomant therapy as the sole liable determinant. During pegvisomant therapy more careful monitorization of tumors with cavernous sinus infiltration and additional reports are necessary to reveal this ambiguity.
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