Recent advances of miRNA involvement in hepatocellular carcinoma and cholangiocarcinoma
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ABSTRACT

MicroRNAs (miRNAs), which are a class of highly evolutionarily conserved non-coding RNAs, modulate gene expression and are regulated by specific genes. Several studies have shown that the expression of miRNAs is deregulated in Hepatitis C virus (HCV) & Hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection, liver cancer progression, tumor invasion and metastasis. There are a number of high-quality review articles relative to the general role of miRNA alterations in carcinogenesis and specific reviews dealing with the miRNA changes in hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) and cholangiocarcinoma (CCA). Since primary liver cancer is predominantly comprised of HCC and intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma (CCA), in the present review we specifically focus on recent advances of miRNAs related to tumorigenesis, invasion and metastasis of primary liver cancer, with special emphasis on their relationships to their target genes. HCV & HBV are major causes of liver disease, including acute and chronic hepatitis, liver cirrhosis, and HCC, while HCV infection is a risk factor for ICC. We also discuss the miRNA alterations involved in HCV & HBV infection. We briefly describe advances in molecular signaling of miRNAs in liver cancers and present insights into new therapeutic clues that target liver cancer.
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1. INTRODUCTION

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are about 19 - 25 nucleotides long, non-coding RNAs that are important regulators of gene expression [1-3]. Up to now, a large number of miRNAs have been discovered in animals and plants. Figure 1 shows miRNA biogenesis and possible mechanisms of the miRNA-mediated post-transcriptional repression. MiRNAs are transcribed by an RNA polymerase II (Pol II) to generate primary transcripts (pri-miRNAs). The initiation step (cropping) is mediated by Drosha, class 2 RNase III. The cytoplasmic RNase III Dicer participates in the second processing step (dicing) to produce miRNA duplexes. The duplex is separated and one strand is selected as the mature miRNA, whereas the other strand is degraded. The mature miRNA is incorporated into ribonucleoprotein complexes which function in RNA interference-mediated gene silencing.

**Figure 1.** miRNA biogenesis and possible mechanisms of the miRNAs-mediated post transcriptional repression.
The complete complementarity between the miRNA seed sequence (nucleotides 2-8 at the 5' end of the miRNA) and the targeted RNA are essential for target recognition. miRNA target sites are located at the 3'-UTR region of each gene. Even though a shorter seed pairing may be compensated by extensive matching between the target and the 3’ regions of the miRNAs [4], a single mismatch block can impair binding. miRNAs are widely thought to have two functions in post-transcriptional regulation, miRNA degradation and translation inhibition [5]. In addition to promoting mRNA degradation via deadenylation, miRNA can interfere at different steps of translation, including the initiation step [6], translational elongation [7], and proteolysis of nascent translated products [8] (Figure 1). The 3'-UTRs of mRNAs play crucial roles in post-transcriptional regulation of gene expression including mRNA localization [9], stability [10], and translation [11]. Apart from having miRNA binding sites, 3'-UTRs can also harbor motifs that interact with specific RNA-binding proteins. These motifs are normally short-sequence elements whose activity can be influenced by their secondary structure. Interestingly it has been shown that miRNAs are involved in the development, invasion and metastasis of hepato celular carcinoma (HCC) and cholangiocarcinoma (CCA).

1.1. miRNAs and Epigenetics

Epigenetics is the study of heritable changes in gene expression caused by mechanisms other than changes in the underlying DNA sequence. Gene epigenetic changes usually include DNA methylation and histone modifications. DNA methylation involves the addition of a methyl group to the 5 position of the cytosine pyrimidine ring or the number 6 nitrogen of the adenine purine ring, and this is a reversible process. It is catalyzed by three major DNA methyltransferases: DNMT1 (maintenance DNMT), which preserves the methylation patterns throughout each cell division [12], and DNMT3a and DNMT-3b (de novo DNMTs), which transfer a methyl group to previously unmethylated genomic regions [13]. CpG islands are frequently located at the promoter region of a gene and their hypermethylation usually prevents gene expression.

Methylation contributing to epigenetic inheritance can occur through either DNA methylation or protein methylation. Acetylation is a reaction that introduces an acetyl functional group into a chemical compound. Glycosylation is the enzymatic process that attaches glycans to proteins, lipids, or other organic molecules. SUMOylation (SUMO: small ubiquitin like modifier) is a post-translational modification involved in various cellular processes, such as nuclear-cytosolic transport, transcriptional regulation, apoptosis, protein stability, response to stress, and progression through the cell cycle [14]. Ubiquitination is an enzymatic, protein posttranslational modification process in which the carboxylic acid of the terminal glycine from the di-glycine motif in the activated ubiquitin forms an amide bond to the epsilon amine of the lysine in the modified protein. Histones, which are highly alkaline proteins in the eukaryotic cell nuclei, package and order the DNA into structural units and are the main protein components of chromatin. They can undergo post-translational modifications (such as methylation, acetylation, phosphorylation, glycosylation, SUMOylation and ubiquitination) which can determine whether chromatin is in the accessible and early replicating form (called euchromatin), or in the inaccessible and late replicating form (called heterochromatin) [15]. For example, while acetylation of histones H3 and H4 and methylation of lysine 4 of histone H3 (H3K4me) are found in euchromatin, di or trimethylation of lysine 9 of histone 3 (H3K9me) is a characteristic mark of heterochromatin, which is highly conserved in fungi, plants, and animals. These histone modifications are catalyzed by several histone deacetylases (HDACs) and histone methyltransferases. Finally, the polycomb repressive complex 2 (PRC2) can mediate epigenetic gene silencing by trimethylating histone H3 lysine 27 (H3K27me3), a mark of heterochromatin.

Some miRNAs target, either directly or indirectly, effectors of the epigenetic machinery. miR-29a, miR-29b, and miR-29c directly targets DNMT3a and DNMT3b [16]. Members of the miR-290 cluster directly target retinoblastoma-like 2 (RBL2), an inhibitor of DNMT3 genes [17]. The miR-148 family targets the human DNMT3b protein coding region [18]. Interestingly, miR-148a promoter is frequently hypermethylated in different tumors [19,20], miR-148a, miR-152, and miR-301 can all directly target DNMT1 [21]. Meanwhile, some miRNAs are also involved in regulating the expression of HDACs and PRC1 genes. HDAC4 is a direct target of both miR-1 and miR-140 [22,23], while miR-449a targets the 3'-UTR region of HDAC1 [24]. miR-101 directly targets the enhancer of zeste homolog 2 (EZH2), mainly acting as a gene silencer [25,26].

1.2. miRNA Target Prediction

miRNAs have a profound effect on the modulation of gene expression. More than 17,000 microRNAs have been identified, however, these numbers are rapidly increasing with improvements in miRNA detection and prediction approaches. Several computational methods have been developed to predict miRNA targets in animals [27-45]. The functional consequence of miRNA dysregulation lies in the miRNA targets whose expression is altered. Discovery of targets becomes a critical issue regarding miRNA biology. Each miRNA can target se-
veral or more mRNAs, so to identify the targets of all of these small RNAs would be an overwhelming challenge by current technology alone. Combination use of computational target prediction tools (for example with TargetScan, PicTar, miRanda, miR-Base/MicroCosm, Targets Diana-microT, RNAhybrid and RNA22), helps researchers to save time and money. Different tools are designed for specific purposes depending on research needs. Most of the tools offer online access and these are shown in Table 1.

### 1.3. miRNA Involvement in the Embryonic, Adult and Regenerative Liver

miRNA expression is highly dynamic in the embryonic, adult and regenerative liver. The disexpression of miRNAs perturbs tissue homeostasis, cell apoptosis and proliferation. Before describing miRNA involvement in HCC and CCA, we briefly introduce miRNA involvement in the embryonic, adult and regenerative liver. In order to enhance our understanding of liver development and regeneration and to explore the role of miRNAs during these processes, we have summarized miRNA expression in Table 2. Certain miRNAs are abundantly expressed in human embryonic livers such as let-7c, miR-92, miR-106b, miR-122, miR-124, miR-125a-5p, miR-125b, miR-140-3p, miR-199a-3p, miR-199a-5p, mir-205, mir-206, miR-451 and miR-483-3p [46]. The three most abundantly expressed miRNAs are miR-122, miR-192, and miR-199a/b-3p [47]. In addition, let-7a, miR-483-3p are also abundantly expressed in human

### Table 1. microRNA target prediction tools.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Computational tools/reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>coGemiR</td>
<td><a href="http://cogemir.tigem.it/">http://cogemir.tigem.it/</a> [27]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>deepBase</td>
<td><a href="http://deepbase.sysu.edu.cn/">http://deepbase.sysu.edu.cn/</a> [28]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diana-microT</td>
<td><a href="http://diana.cslab.ece.ntua.gr/microT/">http://diana.cslab.ece.ntua.gr/microT/</a> [29]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miRecords</td>
<td><a href="http://mirecords.biolead.org/">http://mirecords.biolead.org/</a> [30]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNA22</td>
<td><a href="http://cbs.rwth.aachen.de/rna22.html/">http://cbs.rwth.aachen.de/rna22.html/</a> [31]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PITA</td>
<td><a href="http://pita.mdc-berlin.de/">http://pita.mdc-berlin.de/</a> [40]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>microRNA</td>
<td><a href="http://www.microrna.org/">http://www.microrna.org/</a> [33]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Starbase</td>
<td><a href="http://starbase.sysu.edu.cn/">http://starbase.sysu.edu.cn/</a> [34]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drosophila MicroRNA targets</td>
<td><a href="http://www.russel.embl.de/miRNAs/">http://www.russel.embl.de/miRNAs/</a> [35]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miRanda</td>
<td><a href="http://www.microrna.org/">http://www.microrna.org/</a> [36]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miRanda/MiRBase</td>
<td><a href="http://microrna.sanger.ac.uk/">http://microrna.sanger.ac.uk/</a> [37]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miRWalk</td>
<td><a href="http://www.umm.uni-heidelberg.de/apps/Zmf/mirwalk/index.html">http://www.umm.uni-heidelberg.de/apps/Zmf/mirwalk/index.html</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TargetScan</td>
<td><a href="http://www.targetscan.org/">http://www.targetscan.org/</a> [38]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIANA</td>
<td><a href="http://diana.cslab.ece.ntua.gr">http://diana.cslab.ece.ntua.gr</a> [39]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PicTar</td>
<td><a href="http://pictar.mdc-berlin.de/">http://pictar.mdc-berlin.de/</a> [40]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNAHybrid</td>
<td><a href="http://bibiserv.techfak.uni-bielefeld.de/rnahybrid/">http://bibiserv.techfak.uni-bielefeld.de/rnahybrid/</a> [41]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miRGen++</td>
<td><a href="http://www.psi.toronto.edu/genmir/">http://www.psi.toronto.edu/genmir/</a> [42]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MiTarget</td>
<td><a href="http://chib.snu.ac.kr/~miTarget/">http://chib.snu.ac.kr/~miTarget/</a> [43]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MiRtaget2</td>
<td><a href="http://mirdb.org/">http://mirdb.org/</a> [44]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TarBase</td>
<td><a href="http://diana.cslab.ece.ntua.gr/tarbase/">http://diana.cslab.ece.ntua.gr/tarbase/</a> [45]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table 2. miRNA expression patterns and predicted target genes in embryonic, adult and regenerative liver tissues [53-70].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abundantly expressed miRNAs in embryonic liver</th>
<th>Abundantly expressed miRNAs in adult liver</th>
<th>Upregulated miRNAs in regenerative liver</th>
<th>Down regulated miRNAs in regenerative liver</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prediction of target genes</td>
<td>Prediction of target genes</td>
<td>Prediction of target genes</td>
<td>Prediction of target genes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>let-7c/</td>
<td>let-7a/RAS genes, HMGA2</td>
<td>miR-19a/PSG1, DLC1</td>
<td>mo-let-7a/LIN28B, LIN28, CDC34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-106b/VEGFA, RB1, AML1, APP</td>
<td>let-7b/MYC, CDK6, CDC25</td>
<td>miR-20a/VEGFA, AML1, E2F1, CCND1</td>
<td>mo-let-7b/CDC25, CCN1, RANBP2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-122/PHKG2, GLS2</td>
<td>let-7c/PRDX5, PRDX5, CPT1A</td>
<td>miR-20b/VEGFA</td>
<td>mo-let-7c/ERCC6, MLLT4, ZFYVE26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-124/NR3C2</td>
<td>let-7f/CPT1A, GJT1, GJB1</td>
<td>miR-21/PHKA2, CCL20, GJB1, PYGL</td>
<td>mo-let-7d/MAPK4K4, MAP3K1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-125a-5p/NR5A2, STARD13</td>
<td>miR-18a/K-RAS, CCN2, CTGF</td>
<td>miR-93/VEGFA, E2F1, p21</td>
<td>mo-let-7e/DTX2, IL10, EEF2K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-125b/PYGL, NR5A2</td>
<td>miR-22/EVI-1</td>
<td>miR-105/TLR2</td>
<td>mo-let-7f/GTF, SMARCAD1, ZBTB5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-140-3p/WWP2</td>
<td>miR-23b/ERD5</td>
<td>miR-106a/VEGFA, RBL, AML1, APP</td>
<td>mo-let-7i/TET3, TRIM71,IGF2BP2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-192/SIP1</td>
<td>miR-92a/E-Cadherin</td>
<td>miR-130a/TMEM59, PBLD, STARD13, SLC1B</td>
<td>mo-let-23a/CCN1, HNF4G, MET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-199a-3p/caveolin-2</td>
<td>miR-99a/STARD13, COX6a1</td>
<td>miR-181b/GLUR2, VSLN1, TCL1A, AICDA</td>
<td>mo-let-26a/MAPK3K2, MX11, SENP5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-199a-5p/SWI/SNF</td>
<td>miR-101/PFKFB1</td>
<td>miR-196a/IKK2, ANXA1, HOX7, HOXB7</td>
<td>mo-let-26b/BCL2L2, MAPK6, RAB18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-205/E2F1</td>
<td>miR-122/PKLR, ALPL, PSG5</td>
<td>miR-214/PTEN</td>
<td>mo-let-29a/MYCN, NKPK, TNFRSF1A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-206/PAX</td>
<td>miR-192/SIP1</td>
<td>miR-215/p53</td>
<td>mo-let-30d/FOXG1, H1F0, IL10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-451/TMEM59</td>
<td>miR-199a/b-3p/GLS2, PHLD2A,DDEF1L</td>
<td>miR-365/unknown</td>
<td>mo-let-125b-5p/DTX4,STAT3, TRIAP1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-483-3p/OSGIN1, GJB1,DLC1</td>
<td>miR-409-3p/Fibrinogen Bf</td>
<td>miR-573/unknown</td>
<td>mo-let-126/FBX033, PTPN9, TRAF7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-451/TMEM59</td>
<td>miR-483-3p/OSGIN1, GTB1, DLC1</td>
<td>miR-622/NOLA2, DEGS, RPL 13, PGMI, DPAGT1, EEF1A1, NOLA2</td>
<td>mo-let-145/CNOT6L, DDEF2, RASA2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>miR-622/NOLA2, DEGS, RPL 13, PGMI, DPAGT1, EEF1A1, NOLA2</td>
<td>mo-let-146a/EIP4G2,SMAD4, TRAF6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>miR-622/NOLA2, DEGS, RPL 13, PGMI, DPAGT1, EEF1A1, NOLA2</td>
<td>mo-let-207/CREBZF, EGER, MAPK4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>miR-622/NOLA2, DEGS, RPL 13, PGMI, DPAGT1, EEF1A1, NOLA2</td>
<td>mo-let-223/IGF1, RASA1, SP3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>miR-622/NOLA2, DEGS, RPL 13, PGMI, DPAGT1, EEF1A1, NOLA2</td>
<td>mo-let-352/AP15, RAF1, RAP1B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>miR-622/NOLA2, DEGS, RPL 13, PGMI, DPAGT1, EEF1A1, NOLA2</td>
<td>mo-let-375/GATA6, JUND, PRKD1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>miR-622/NOLA2, DEGS, RPL 13, PGMI, DPAGT1, EEF1A1, NOLA2</td>
<td>mo-let-532-3p/DMT2, MED26, TET3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>miR-622/NOLA2, DEGS, RPL 13, PGMI, DPAGT1, EEF1A1, NOLA2</td>
<td>mo-let-872/FOXG1, HSP90AA1, RHOB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\*Vertical lines (|) next to let-7 family can target all the genes right side of the lines.
Since miR-92, miR-106b, miR-124, miR-125a-5p, miR-125b, miR-140-3p, miR-205 and miR-206 are highly-expressed in embryonic liver compared to the adult liver, these miRNAs may regulate embryonic progression such as the cell cycle. In humans, two different miR-92 loci, miR-92A and miR-92B, are encoded in the polycistronic miR-17-92 and miR-106A-363 clusters, respectively [48] and miR-92 was considered to function as an oncogene [49]. miR-92 reveals a novel and essential role in early vertebrate development [50]. Let-7a, let-b, let-7c and let-7f were all more highly expressed in the adult liver compared to the embryonic and regenerative liver. These let-7 family members have been shown to target proliferation-promoting genes, such as high mobility group AT-hook protein 2 (HMGAA2) [51] and insulin-like growth factor 2 mRNA binding protein 1 (IGF2BP1) [52]. The let family may mediate cell cycle arrest in the adult liver. Downregulation of the let-7 family may be associated with increase in cell proliferation in the embryonic and regenerative liver. The targets of detailed miRNAs are summarized in Table 2. Since targets of the let-7 family have the role of cross-action, we put their targets together in let-7 targets. It is necessary to focus on cell-type expression patterns of miRNAs in the embryonic, adult and regenerative liver.

2. MICRORNA INVOLVEMENT IN HEPATOCELLULAR CARCINOMA

2.1. General Background

miRNAs regulate various physiological and pathological processes by modulating the expression of their target miRNAs, which play important roles in diverse cellular processes including differentiation, proliferation, growth, migration and survival. Given the critical role that these small regulatory RNAs play in biology, it is speculated that the alterations of miRNA expression patterns can have pathological consequences. More than 50% of miRNA genes are located at fragile sites of chromosomes or in cancer-associated genomic regions, indicating that they are cancer-related and could be used as new diagnostic and prognostic cancer markers as well as potential molecular targets. HCC is a highly aggressive tumor that currently ranks as the fifth most prevalent cancer worldwide. Despite great advances in the disease treatment, relapse and metastasis are frequently observed, and the 5-year survival rate remains low. The progression of HCC involves the deregulation of genes that are critical to cellular processes such as cell cycle control, cell growth, apoptosis, cell migration, invasion and metastasis. The genes and proteins underlying the development and progression of HCC have been extensively investigated in the past decades and miRNAs have only recently been found to be frequently deregulated in HCC. This deregulation is related to HCC progression, and specific miRNAs were found to be associated with the metastasis, recurrence, and prognosis of HCC. We will focus on the most recent knowledge of miRNA expression patterns, their interaction and the predicted target genes in HCC as well as their clinical potentials.

2.2. Expression Patterns

The expression profiles of microRNAs are associated with the initiation and progression of human tumors. Comparable studies on miRNA profiling in HCC and adjacent non tumor liver tissue have revealed characteristic miRNA signatures for HCC and suggest the significance of miRNA involvement in tumorigenesis. Murakami et al. profiled miRNA gene expression in 24 HCCs and 22 non-HCC tissues and uncovered miR-18, precursor miR-18, miR-125, miR-195, miR-199a, miR-200a, and miR-224 as miRNAs that were differentially expressed between HCC and the adjacent Non-HCC samples [71]. Five other groups also identified miRNA signatures that differentiated tumor from adjacent non tumor liver tissues [72]. The results from these groups differ, suggesting heterogeneous expression of these miRNAs and reflecting the heterogeneous nature of HCC. Furthermore different miRNA signatures are associated with unique clinicopathologic features of tumor and distinct HCC outcomes. Table 3 shows clinicopathologically associated miRNA signatures in HCC [52, 71,72,79,104,226,247,254-259].

Among the identified miRNAs, some are involved in HCC carcinogenesis by promoting the stemness of cancer stem cells (CSC) and by controlling cell proliferation and apoptosis, while others are associated with HCC progression by controlling cell migration and invasion. Downregulation of miR-15b, miR-122a, miR-124, miR-199a, miR-199b, and miR-203 regulates multiple genes during HCC progression [73,74]. In contrast, miR-141 [75], miR-92 [76], miR-222 [77], miR-221 [78], miR-224 [79] and miR-199a-3p [80] are upregulated and could promote cell cycle progression, reduce cell death and favor angiogenesis and invasion. Therefore, pathogenesis or progression of HCC is closely related to differentially expressed miRNAs. Discovery of the expression profiles of miRNAs in HCC will help to better understand the regulation of signaling networks in the process of HCC carcinogenesis. Since HCC is a heterogeneous tumor that develops via activation of multiple pathways and molecular events, identification of HCC molecular subtypes by miRNA signature is promising for design of specific treatment strategies for each subtype. By using a ligation-mediated amplification method and unsupervised clustering analysis, Toffanin et al.
Table 3. miRNA signature in HCC with different clinical pathological characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinic pathological characteristics</th>
<th>miRNA signature</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HCC vs. non-tumor</td>
<td>8-miRNA signature</td>
<td>[71]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15-miRNA signature</td>
<td>[104]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40-miRNA signature</td>
<td>[72]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>69-miRNA signature</td>
<td>[254]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>22-miRNA signature</td>
<td>[79]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16-miRNA signature</td>
<td>[255]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCC vs. HCA</td>
<td>6-miRNA signature</td>
<td>[247]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCC vs. liver cirrhosis</td>
<td>35-miRNA signature</td>
<td>[52]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCC vs. normal liver</td>
<td>29-miRNA signature</td>
<td>[256]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCC CSC like vs. HCC mature</td>
<td>20-miRNA signature</td>
<td>[257]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCC with HCV vs. non-tumor with HCV</td>
<td>29-miRNA signature</td>
<td>[258]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCC with HBV vs. HCC with HCV</td>
<td>19-miRNA signature</td>
<td>[258]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCC staging</td>
<td>31-miRNA signature</td>
<td>[226]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCC metastasis</td>
<td>20-miRNA signature</td>
<td>[259]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

identified 3 main clusters of HCCs: the wingless-type WNT (MMTV) integration site, interferon-related, and proliferation subclasses [81]. Among the proliferation classes, miR-517a is an oncogenic miRNA that promotes tumor progression and is suggested to be a therapeutic target for patients with HCC.

2.3. Interaction of miRNAs and Their Predicted Target Genes

An important feature of miRNAs is that a single miRNA can regulate multiple target mRNAs. For instance, miR-122 has 32 validated cellular miRNA targets. This property enables miRNAs to exert wide control on a network of genes that play a critical role in cell division, cell death, and DNA repair. Alterations in these genes result in unrestrained cell proliferation that predisposes to liver cancer. Functional and target association studies on dysregulated miRNAs in HCC have enabled us to gain a more comprehensive understanding of their roles in HCC carcinogenesis.

2.3.1. Interaction of miRNAs in Gene Networks

miRNAs control cell cycle progression by interacting with key cell cycle regulators. Different miRNAs could interact with certain cell cycle regulators such as cyclin/cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK) complexes, and CDK inhibitors of the CDK interacting protein/kinase inhibitory protein (Cip/Kip) family. On the other hand, certain miRNAs simultaneously affect multiple pro-oncogenic pathways, including receptor tyrosine kinase pathway, apoptosis and transforming growth factor (TGF)-β signaling pathways. Therefore, miRNAs seem to contribute to normal cell functions.

Functionally, miRNAs could be categorized as tumor suppressive or oncogenic. In HCC, there can be aberrant expression of miRNAs, overexpression of oncogenic miRNAs or loss of expression of tumor suppressive miRNAs. These changes cause defects in cell cycle control, inactivation of tumor suppressors and activation of oncogenic signaling pathways, which offer liver cancer cells a growth advantage, resulting in the development and progression of HCC. For example, miR-26a was found to negatively target G1/S cyclins (cyclin D2 and cyclin E2), resulting in reduced expression of these cyclins and induction of cell cycle arrest [82]. Liver specific miR-122 targets cyclin G1 expression thus inhibiting the proliferation of HCC cells by downregulating its expression [83]. miR-195 inactivated cyclin D1, CDK4/6, and E2F transcription factor 3 (E2F3) in G1/S transition [84]. CDK6 was also shown to be negatively targeted by miR-124, which was silenced through CpG methylation in HCC and induced cell cycle arrest at the G1/S checkpoint [73]. miR-199a-3p was identified to target mechanistic target of rapamycin (serine/threonine kinase) (mTOR), which can block the G1/S transition and influence HCC cells (HepG2, Huh-7 and SNU475) to doxorubicin sensitivity [80]. Let-7g inhibits the proliferation of HCC cells by downregula-
tion of c-Myc and upregulation of p16INK4A [85]. All of the above mentioned miRNAs have tumor suppressive functions and are down regulated in HCC, resulting in loss of cell cycle control. miR-221 and miR-222 down-regulate CDK inhibitors, p27 and p57 [86,87]. miR-106b and miR-93 which target another CDK inhibitor p21 [88], have been found to be overexpressed in HCC, stimulating HCC proliferation. In addition, the protein phosphatase 6 catalytic subunit (PPP6C), a negative cell cycle regulator, was recently identified as a direct target of miR-373. miR-373 is up-regulated in HCC tissues and promotes the proliferation of HCC cell lines HepG2 and QGY-7703 by regulating the G1/S transition [89].

miR-122 is a major miRNA in the liver cell and has a suppressive function in cell cycle control. However, it was also reported to negatively target antiapoptotic Bcl-2 family protein Bcl-w, which subsequently activates caspase-3 and reduces cell viability [90]. By regulation of a desintegrand and metalloprotease (ADAM) 17, miR-122 inhibits intrahepatic metastasis of HCC by angiogenesis suppression. Therefore, frequently decreased expression of miR-122 in HCC promotes cancer cell growth and metastasis. miR-221 is another example of the multifunctional effects that miRNAs have in tumorigenesis. Besides the modulation of CDK inhibitor in cell cycle regulation, miR-221 inhibits apoptosis by targeting proapoptotic protein Bcl2 modifying factor (BMF). The miR-221-222 cluster prevents tumor necrosis factor-related apoptosis in HCC cells. miR-222 inhibited the regulatory subunit B of the protein phosphatase 2A (PP2A) which could inactivate Akt by dephosphorylation. The cluster of miR-221 and miR-222 activates PI3K/Akt pathway by repressing phosphatase and tensin homolog (PTEN), a negative regulator of the pathway. PTEN is also a target of miR-221. The PI3K/Akt pathway could be suppressed by miR-125b through reduction of Akt phosphorylation. In addition, DNA-damage-inducible transcript 4 (DDIT4), a modulator of the mTOR pathway was found to be targeted by miR-221 and miR-222 [91]. miR-221 and miR-222 also target TIMP, an inhibitor of matrix metalloproteinase which plays a role in promoting cell invasion and metastasis. The consequence of frequent overexpression of miR-221 and miR-222 in HCC leads to promotion of liver cancer cell growth, invasion, migration and resistance of apoptosis.

An in-depth analysis of miRNA expression profiles (miRNomes) was done looking at human livers that are normal, that have hepatitis, or that have HCC. Through this analysis, miR-199a/b-3p was identified to target the tumor-promoting p21 protein (Cdc42/Rac)-activated kinase 4 (PAK4) to suppress HCC growth through inhibition of PAK4/Raf/MEK/ERK pathway [54].

Taken together, deregulated miRNAs play dynamic roles in HCC tumorigenesis and progression through enormous gene networks and interactions in a cell. Knowledge on miRNA interactions in gene networks will help to identify miRNAs as therapeutic targets. There are a number of high-quality reviews on miRNA involvement in HCC. In order to better to understand the roles of miRNA involvement in HCC, we have listed the miRNAs and their targets that are mentioned in this review. Table 4 summarizes the most recently published miRNA function and their validated targets mentioned above.

2.3.2. Predicted Target Genes

Using the algorithms from TargetScan, PicTar, and miRanda, Gramantieri identified cyclin G1 as a predicted target for miR-122a and this has been successfully validated by experiments [83]. Using function association analysis, miRNA functions can be predicted in association with disease, pathway, gene oncology, and transcription regulation. miR-520b and miR-525-5p were enriched in human embryonic stem cells (hESCs) by using targetscan and the predicted targets of miR-520b and miR-525-5p were ras-related protein Rab-22A (RAB22A), polycomb group ring Figure 5 (PCGF5), left right determination factor 1 (LEFTY1), insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor (IGF1R), toll-like receptor 7 (TLR7), and homeobox D10 (HOXD10). A functional association study indicated that miR-520b and miR-525-5p may have important roles for maintaining the stemness or pluripotency of hESCs by regulating the target genes [54]. When facing the colossal task of identifying miRNA targets, bioinformative approaches have proven to be an effective and efficient aid that has been widely used in miRNA research.

2.4. Metastasis

Identification of a metastatic mechanism is important to improve the long term survival of patients with HCC due to its high rate of intrahepatic metastasis. However, the mechanism of miRNAs in HCC metastasis is poorly understood. Hurst and colleagues gave the term “metastamir” to describe the set of miRNAs that participate in the metastatic cascade [110]. In many cases, these miRNAs are specific for metastatic development and are not involved in tumorigenesis. Some miRNAs, such as miR-122 and let-7g, function as suppressors of metastasis. miR-122 significantly decreases the tumor volume and suppresses metastasis by reducing blood vessel formation [95]. Recently, miR-142-3p was shown to suppress migration and invasion of a HCC cell by directly and negatively regulating ras-related C3 botulinum toxin substrate 1 (RAC1), which is a regulator of cell migration and invasion [106].
### Table 4. Recent advances of miRNA involvement in HCC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>miRNA</th>
<th>Expression/upstream regulator</th>
<th>Validated downstream target</th>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>miR-26</td>
<td>Down/NA</td>
<td>Cyclin D2, cyclin E2</td>
<td>Proliferation (–)</td>
<td>[82]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-122</td>
<td>Down/HNF, HNA3B</td>
<td>Cyclin G1, SRF, IgF1R, Bcl-w, ADAM17, ADAM1 0</td>
<td>Proliferation (–)</td>
<td>[83,90,92-95]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Apoptosis (+)</td>
<td>[96,97]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-195</td>
<td>Down/NA</td>
<td>Cyclin D1, CDK6, E3</td>
<td>Proliferation (–)</td>
<td>[84]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-124</td>
<td>Down/Methylation</td>
<td>CDK6, VIM, SMYD3, IQGAP1</td>
<td>Proliferation (–), Metastasis (–)</td>
<td>[73]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-199a-3p</td>
<td>Down/NA</td>
<td>mTOR, c-Met, PAK4</td>
<td>Proliferation (–), Metastasis (–)</td>
<td>[54,80,85]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Let-7g</td>
<td>Down/NA</td>
<td>Bel-xL, c-Myc, COLIA2</td>
<td>Proliferation (–), Metastasis (–)</td>
<td>[85,98]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-221/222</td>
<td>Up/c-Jun</td>
<td>PTEN, p27, p57, DDIT4, BMF, TIMP3, PPP2R2A</td>
<td>Proliferation (+), Apoptosis (–)</td>
<td>[77,86,87,91, 99-101]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-106b/93</td>
<td>Up/NA</td>
<td>E1, p21, BIM</td>
<td>Proliferation (+), Apoptosis (–)</td>
<td>[88,102,103]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-373</td>
<td>Up/NA</td>
<td>PPP6C</td>
<td>Proliferation (+), Metastasis (–)</td>
<td>[89]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-21</td>
<td>Up/NA</td>
<td>PTEN</td>
<td>Proliferation (+), Metastasis (–)</td>
<td>[104]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-223</td>
<td>Down/NA</td>
<td>Statmin 1</td>
<td>Proliferation (–)</td>
<td>[105]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-224</td>
<td>Up/NA</td>
<td>API-5</td>
<td>Proliferation (+)</td>
<td>[79]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Apoptosis (–)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-142-3p</td>
<td>Down/NA</td>
<td>RAC1</td>
<td>Metastasis (–)</td>
<td>[106]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-151</td>
<td>Up/Gain on 8q24.3</td>
<td>RhoGDIA</td>
<td>Metastasis (+)</td>
<td>[107]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-181b</td>
<td>Up/miR-181b</td>
<td>TIMP3</td>
<td>Metastasis (+)</td>
<td>[108]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-30d</td>
<td>Up/NA</td>
<td>Gai2</td>
<td>Metastasis (+)</td>
<td>[109]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other miRNAs, such as miR-21, miR-9, miR-143, miR-221, miR-222, miR-181b, miR-30d and miR-151 function as pro-metastatic miRNAs. Meng et al. first reported miR-21-mediated HCC cell invasion by direct targeting of PTEN which facilitates cell migration and invasion [104]. miR-221, miR-222, and miR-181b are further identified as pro-metastatic miRNAs through metalloproteinase inhibitor 3 (TIMP3) [111]. In addition, miR-30d has been shown to be involved in HCC invasion and metastasis by repressing the direct target Galphai2 (G-ai2) [109]. miR-151 increases HCC cell migration and invasion by directly targeting RhoGDIA, resulting in the activation of RAC1, Cdc42 and Rho GTPases [107].

Regulation of the metastatic pathway by miRNAs varies in different types of tumors. For example, overexpression of members of the miR-200 family of miRNAs could act to inhibit metastasis by confining the tumor to the primary location or to promote metastasis by facilitating colonization of a second anatomical site [112]. Nevertheless, elucidating the role of miRNAs in HCC metastasis will provide the potential for development of novel therapeutic agents to fight against tumor metastasis and to improve long term survival of patients.

### 3. MIRNA INVOLVEMENT IN CHOLANGIOCARCINOMA

#### 3.1. General Background

CCA results from the malignant transformation of bile
duct epithelium in the biliary tree including small bile ducts and bile ductules (intrahepatic cholangiocarcinomas; ICC), to large bile ducts at the hilum of the liver or outside the liver (extrahepatic cholangiocarcinomas; ECC). Thus, CCA can be classified as either intra- or extrahepatic based on its site of origin along the biliary tree [113]. ICC includes the periductal-infiltrating type, intraductal growth type and mass-forming type. The periductal-infiltrating type grows mainly longitudinally along the bile duct. The intraductal growth type grows toward the lumen of the bile duct. The mass-forming type is found in the liver parenchyma [113]. Risk factors for CCA include primary sclerosing cholangitis (PBC), hepatobiliary fluke, hepatolithiasis, Caroli disease, choledochal cyst, bile duct adenoma, biliary papillomatosis, chronic viral hepatitis, chronic heavy alcohol intake, chronic non-alcoholic liver disease, obesity, and old age [113]. Even though identification of miRNAs in CCA is lagging behind HCC, there have been great improvements in understanding miRNA involvement in CCA [114-120].

3.2. Identification of miRNA Involvement in Cholangiocarcinoma

Masyuk et al. analyzed the expression of 76 miRNAs that were present in both normal and cystic cholangiocytes and 91% of miRNAs in cystic cholangiocytes were found to be downregulated [121]. With the use of miRNA profiling for chronic cholestasis-mediated CCA in the mouse, we found aberrant expression patterns of 40 miRNAs occurring in mouse liver tissue of CCA as shown in Table 5. The aberrant miRNA expression in CCA involves the multiple important factors including: 1) WNT/β-catenin; 2) regulators of G1/S transition (p21, p53, cyclin D1, and p16INK4A); 3) switch from Mnt to c-Myc [113]; 4) MT1-MMP; 5) Notch; 6) hedgedog; and 7) tumor growth factor-beta (TGF-β).

3.2.1. WNT/β-Catenin and MiR-21/MiR-200

Wnt signaling is involved in many aspects of embryonic development, survival, proliferation, and change in cell fate. Dysregulated WNT signaling results from both genetic and epigenetic changes and is associated with a range of diseases, such as colon cancer, HCC and CCA [122-126]. One third of all HCCs have aberrant WNT signaling by mutational and non-mutational events (e.g. cross-talk with other signaling pathways such as TGCC cells). Decreased membrane expression of β-catenin is well correlated with that of E-cadherin [127]. Down-regulation of the membrane expression of β-catenin is associated with the progression, invasion and metastasis of ICC cells [128].

miR-21 was one of the first mammalian miRNAs identified and is one of the first to be described as an oncogeneic miRNA as most of the targets of miR-21 are tumor suppressors. miR-21 is associated with a wide variety of cancers including HCC and CCA. WNT1 can be repressed by miR-21. Inhibition of miR-21 or exogenous addition of WNT1 can inhibit human dendritic cell differentiation, suggesting that miR-21 has a regulatory role in dendritic cell differentiation [129]. miR-21 is upregulated in CCA and effectively blocks the expression of the tumor suppressor gene PTEN [117]. RECK, TGF/RII, Tap63, p12/CDK2AP1 and PDCD4. It mediates tumorgenesis [104,130-137], suggesting that miR-21 plays an important role in CCA progression.

The miR-200 family contains miR-200a, miR-200b, miR-200c, miR141 and miR-429. Accumulating data shows that miR-200 is involved in cancer metastasis [138]. miR-200a targets the mRNA of the E-cadherin repressors ZEB1 and ZEB2, leading to an increase in the total E-cadherin available for binding to β-catenin. It also induces formation of the cell-cell adhesion complex. Reduction of miRNA-200a upregulates cytoplasmic and nuclear β-catenin levels, resulting in epithelial to mesenchymal transition (EMT) [139-151]. miR-200b is down-regulated in most meningiomas, the most common neoplasm of the nervous system, and regulates expression of β-catenin and activation of Wnt/β-catenin signaling via direct targeting of the 3’UTR of β-catenin mRNA [151]. miR-200b is upregulated in CCA [117]. The target gene is the protein tyrosine phosphatase, nonreceptor type 12 (PTPN12), and the dysregulation of this may contribute to tumorgenesis and tumor cell survival [117].

3.2.2. Regulators of G1/S Transition

The G1/S transition is a stage in the cell cycle at the boundary between the G1 phase, where new organelles are being synthesized, and the S phase, where DNA is replicated. The G1/S transition is disrupted during the development of malignant neoplasms and is characterized by uncontrolled cell proliferation [152,153]. p16INK4A, p21, p53, cyclin D1 and the retinoblastoma (Rb) gene product are all important as regulators of G1/S transition. G1/S-specific cyclin D1 functions as a regulator of cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK) and forms a complex with CDK4 and CDK6, which phosphorylates tumor suppressor Rb. Phosphorylated Rb allows cells to enter the S phase [154]. Both p16INK4A and p21 function as CDK checkpoints by binding to cyclin D1/CDK complexes [155]. miRNA involvement in the regulation of the G1/S transition is currently a hot topic.

p53 is a tumor suppressor protein. p21 is known as a cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor or CDK-interacting protein 1. p53 can induce p21 expression under physiological conditions [156,157]. Interestingly, these cell-cycle inhibitors or promoters are abnormally expressed not only in advanced malignant tumors, but also in non-
### Table 5. miRNA differentially expression in liver tissues of CCA compared with normal liver tissues by miRNAs profiling.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>miRNA</th>
<th>Target gene(s)</th>
<th>Functions of targeted genes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tumor suppressor miRNAs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>let-7a (↓)</td>
<td>H-RAS, HMGA2, LIN28, PEBP1</td>
<td>Tumorigenesis, invasion and metastasis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>let-7b (↓)</td>
<td>MT1-MMP,</td>
<td>Tumor cell invasion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-15a (↓)</td>
<td>BCL2, CCND1, CCND2, CCNE1, WNT3A, p53, Cyclin E</td>
<td>Cell cycle, proliferation, apoptosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-15b (↓)</td>
<td>Cyclin E, Bcl-w, BCL2</td>
<td>Proliferation, apoptosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-17-3p (↓)</td>
<td>E-selectin, ICAM-1</td>
<td>Tumor growth and invasion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-19a (↑)</td>
<td>TNF-α, Cyclin D1</td>
<td>TGF-α pathway, proliferation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-23b (↑)</td>
<td>Smad3, c-met, uPA, POX</td>
<td>c-Met pathway, TGF-β-Smad3 pathway, invasion factor uPA, redox balance POX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-30c (↑)</td>
<td>PAI-1, B-MyB</td>
<td>Tumor angiogenesis, Oncogenesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-34a (↑)</td>
<td>MYC, p53, Notch-1, Notch-2</td>
<td>Regulation of G1/S transition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-103 (↑)</td>
<td>Dicer</td>
<td>Key RNAi enzyme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-106a (↑)</td>
<td>IL-10</td>
<td>Inflammatory pathway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-126 (↑)</td>
<td>SOX2, VEGFA, PIK3R2</td>
<td>Reprogramming, VEGF/Pi3K/akt signaling pathway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-142-3p (↑)</td>
<td>RAC1, (AC)9</td>
<td>Invasion, migration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-145 (↓)</td>
<td>c-Myc, Mucin1</td>
<td>Proliferation, apoptosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-184 (↑)</td>
<td>AKT2</td>
<td>Oncogenesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-185 (↑)</td>
<td>RhoA, Cdc42</td>
<td>Proliferation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-195 (↑)</td>
<td>Cyclin E1, cyclin D1, CDK6, E2F3</td>
<td>Proliferation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-198 (↑)</td>
<td>Cyclin T1</td>
<td>Proliferation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-199a (↑)</td>
<td>Axl, Met</td>
<td>Proliferation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-199b (↑)</td>
<td>Dyrk1α, SET</td>
<td>Cell cycle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-222 (↑)</td>
<td>Kit, PUMA, p21</td>
<td>Proliferation, apoptosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-223 (↑)</td>
<td>CDKN1B, CDKNIC, AKT</td>
<td>Proliferation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-224 (↑)</td>
<td>Smad4, API-5</td>
<td>TGF-β pathway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-302b (↓)</td>
<td>Cylin D2</td>
<td>Proliferation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-331-3p (↑)</td>
<td>E2F1</td>
<td>Proliferation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oncogenic miRNAs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-17-5p (↑)</td>
<td>Stat3, type II TGFβ receptor, p21, BIM</td>
<td>TGF-β pathway, proliferation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-20a (↑)</td>
<td>type II TGF-β receptor</td>
<td>TGF-β pathway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-21 (↑)</td>
<td>WNT1, PTEN, TGF/RHII, Tap63, p12/CDK2AP1, PDCD4</td>
<td>WNT pathway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-25 (↑)</td>
<td>NOX4, TP53</td>
<td>Suppression of tumor suppressor TP53 and oncogenic gene NOX4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-98 (↓)</td>
<td>EZH2</td>
<td>Methylation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-130b (↑)</td>
<td>TAp63, RUNX3</td>
<td>Proliferation, apoptosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-141 (↓)</td>
<td>ZEB1, ZEB2</td>
<td>Epithelial to mesenchymal transition, metastasis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-182 (↑)</td>
<td>FOXO3</td>
<td>Tolerogenic programming</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-197 (↓)</td>
<td>FUS1</td>
<td>Apoptosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-200a (↓)</td>
<td>β-catenin</td>
<td>WNT pathway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-200b (↑)</td>
<td>PTPN12</td>
<td>WNT pathway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-200c (↓)</td>
<td>ZEB1</td>
<td>Epithelial to mesenchymal transition, metastasis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-204 (↑)</td>
<td>FOXC1, Runx2</td>
<td>Invasion, migration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-210 (↑)</td>
<td>Mnt</td>
<td>Proliferation, apoptosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-214 (↑)</td>
<td>TFAP2C</td>
<td>Metastasis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MiR-370 (↑)</td>
<td>MAP3K8</td>
<td>Methylation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
invasive premalignant lesions during ICC progression [158-160].

Functionally, miR-34a was found to affect tumor cell apoptosis, senescence, proliferation and invasion [161-163]. A few studies reported that miR-34a was a transcriptional target of p53 [164-166]. It was shown that miR-34a is downregulated [113] and p53 upregulated in CCA progression. A large number of human malignant neoplasms have the p53 mutation. A mutant p53 cannot bind DNA in an effective way and the p21 protein is not available to suppress cell division.

miR-15a is inversely correlated with p53 in lymphocyte leukemia [167]. Our data showed that miR-15a was decreased in chronic cholestasis-mediated CCA (Table 5, Figure 2). Increased apoptosis and proliferation seem to contradict the conventional idea that apoptosis is reduced during carcinogenesis. However, miR-15a down-regulation and p53 upregulation, which promotes apoptosis are thought to provide the selective pressures needed for the cells to override apoptosis during the multi-stage process of tumorigenesis [168,169]. This leads to the final malignant cholangiocyte population that retains highly proliferative but reduced apoptotic potential [113].

3.2.3. Hypermethylation and miR-98/miR-370

The p16INK4A gene displays its tumor-suppressive function by binding and inactivating cyclin-dependent kinases such as death associated protein 6, SERTA D1, E4F1, cyclin-dependent kinase 4, p53, cyclin-dependent kinase 6, RPL11, PPPIR9B and Mdm2. Frequent over-expression of EZH2 may induce hypermethylation of the p16INK4A gene [170]. It therefore seems conceivable that direct binding of EZH2 is involved in the regulation of the p16INK4A promoter, suggesting that over-expression of EZH2 may induce hypermethylation of the p16INK4A promoter, followed by decreased expression of p16INK4A in the multi-step cholangiocarcinogenesis, particularly in cases of hepatolithiasis [171].

Using a combinatorial approach of miRNA profiling, bioinformatics, and biochemical tools, and Northern blot, we demonstrated that miR-98 is downregulated in CCA (Table 5, Figure 2) and could negatively regulate EZH2. Further identification of miR-98 targets will determine whether miR-98 is an important target of EZH2 in CCA. miR-370, which targets mitogen-activated protein kinase 8 (MAP3K8), is under tight epigenetic regulation by hypermethylation. MAP3K8 is upregulated in cholangiocarcinoma cell lines as well as in tumor cell xenografts in vivo [118].

EZH2 to the p16INK4A promoter, suggesting that direct binding of EZH2 is involved in the regulation of the p16INK4A gene [170]. It therefore seems conceivable that over-expression of EZH2 may induce hypermethylation of the p16INK4A promoter, followed by decreased expression of p16INK4A in the multi-step cholangiocarcinogenesis, particularly in cases of hepatolithiasis [171].
MT1-MMP, suggesting that disruption of the membranous distribution of β-catenin and E-cadherin may result in conditions favorable for the invasion and metastasis of carcinoma cells which express MT1-MMP.

The let-7 miRNA family was regarded as the most representative type of tumor suppressor miRNA. Let-7 expression increases with differentiation and is present in high levels in mature tissue where it inhibits cell proliferation by monitoring DNA replication, mitosis and cytokinesis. Let-7a was decreased in development of CCA [113]. Let-7 downregulation is associated with upregulation of MT1-MMP in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma [172].

3.2.5. Notch/c-Myc and MiR-34a
The evolutionarily conserved Notch pathway plays a pivotal role in cellular differentiation, proliferation, fate decision (e.g. EMT), apoptosis, and cell adhesion. In the liver, it is involved in development by coordinating biliary epithelial cell differentiation and morphogenesis [173]. Disrupted Notch signaling is recognized in a growing number of cancers including liver cancer [174]. The Notch signaling pathway could represent a potential target in CCA treatment. Notch-1 and Notch-2 are up-regulated by cholangiocytes in primary sclerosing cholangitis and CCA [175,176]. miR-34a expression can inhibit Notch-1 and Notch-2 protein expression in glioma cells [177].

c-Myc is a transcription factor that binds to E-box sequences as part of a heterodimeric complex with another, Max, to activate transcription. Mnt is an antagonist of c-Myc and represses transcription. Thus, the relative levels of Myc-Max and Mnt-Max heterocomplexes dictate whether target genes are activated or repressed. It is known that Notch targets and upregulates c-Myc. Also, the c-Myc target gene cyclin D1 is a CCA molecular biomarker, and aberrant expression of cyclin D1 contributes to dedifferentiation and cell proliferation in CCA [113]. We found that the up-regulation of miR-210 via hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF)-2α was involved in down-regulation of Mnt in CCA. Activation of the miR-34a-c-Myc and HIF-2α-miR-210-Mnt pathways in chronic cholestasis-mediated CCA causes c-Myc to bind the E-box element of cyclin D1, instead of Mnt, resulting in cyclin D1 up-regulation [113].

3.2.6. Hedgehog and miR-17-92 Cluster/miR-214
Hedgehog signaling is crucial for many cellular processes involved in cell and stem cell biology. Hedgehog signaling pathways including Sonic, India and Desert have been identified in mammals [178]. Binding of Hedgehog ligands to pass membrane Patched (PTCH) receptors activates transcription factors (Gli-1, Gli-2, Gli-3) [179]. Gli accumulates in the nucleus and induces transcription of genes related to cyclins, β-catenin and growth factors. Like Gli-1, Gli-2 also acts as a transcriptional activator, while Gli-3 plays a repressor role in the absence or inhibition of Hedgehog signaling [180]. Hedgehog signals upregulate cyclin D1 and cyclin D2 for cell cycle acceleration, forkhead box A2 (FOXA2), forkhead box C2 (FOXC2), forkhead box E1 (FOXE1), forkhead box F1 (FOXF1), forkhead box L1 (FOXL1), forkhead box P3 (FOXp3), POU class 3 homeobox 1 (POU3F1), runt-related transcription factor 2 (RUNX2), sex determining region Y (SRY)-box 13 (SOX13), and T-box 2 (TBX2) for cell fate determination. Hedgehog signals also upregulate jagged 2 (JAG2), and inhibit beta C chain (INHBC) to regulate Notch and Activin signaling cascades, respectively. In addition, Hedgehog signals upregulate secreted frizzled-related protein 1 (SFRP1) at least in mesenchymal cells without its promoter CpG hypermethylation to inhibit canonical WNT signaling cascade in epithelial cells. Activation of this pathway is observed in a variety of human cancers, including basal cell carcinomas (BCCs), medulloblastomas, leukemia, gastrointestinal, lung, ovarian, breast, prostate, and hepatobiliary malignancies [181,182]. Sonic is the predominate isoform in the liver. Up to 60% of human HCCs express Sonic [183]. The Hedgehog-Sonic pathway regulates epithelial-mesenchymal transition during biliary fibrosis in rodents and humans [184]. Biliary fibrosis is accompanied by activation of the Hedgehog pathway in rodents and humans [185-187]. Diverse types of liver injury stimulate cholangiocytes to generate hedgehog ligands, and cholangioctye-derived hedgehog ligands interact with receptors on cholangiocytes and neighboring cells to modulate virtually every aspect of the ductular reaction to injury. Excessive hedgehog signaling promotes dysfunctional repair and results in chronic hepatic inflammation, fibrogenesis, and carcinogenesis [188].

The miR-17-92 cluster encodes six miRNAs (miR-17, miR-18a, miR-19a, miR-20a, miR-19b-1 and miR-92-1) in the human genome. Sufu miRNA, encoding a negative regulator of Hedgehog signaling, is targeted by miR-214. Zebrafish miR-214 binds to the 3’-UTR of Sufu to downregulate Sufu [189]. Since Sufu is implicated in the nuclear trafficking of Gli activator and repressor, miR-214-induced downregulation of Sufu results in maximal activation of Gli in the presence of Hedgehog and complete repression in the absence of Hedgehog. Interstingly, miR-214 induces cell survival and cisplatin resistance by targeting PTEN in ovarian cancer, to downregulate PTEN for the activation of PI3K-AKT signaling cascade [190]. This can also induce EMT. Effects of miR-17-92 and miR-214 on the Hedhehog-GLI signaling cascade in HCC and ICC remain to be elucidated.

3.2.7 TGF-β and MiR-23b
Members of the transforming growth factor-beta (TGF-β)
family are under intensive investigation in liver cancer due to their importance in both HCC and ICC [191, 192]. A reduction of TGF-β receptors was found in up to 70% of HCC [193,194] and up to 40% of HCC has increased TGF-β expression. TGF-β levels in serum and urine are increased in HCC patients [195,196] and high TGF-β levels have been correlated with advanced clinical stages of HCC [197,198]. TGF-β signaling has also been shown to induce an EMT process in tumor cells. EMT leads to enhanced migration and invasion. Loss of embryonic liver fodrin (ELF), a TGF-β adaptor and signaling molecule, in the liver leads to cancer formation by deregulated hepatocyte proliferation and stimulation of angiogenesis. TGF-β1 and its receptor mRNA were confirmed to be expressed at elevated levels in an animal model of ICC [199,200]. TGF-β1 has also been found to be elevated in human ICC [201,202]. Expression of miR-29b, which targets Mcl-1, was suppressed in the cholangiocarcinoma cell line, KMCH, as well as in 33% of human cholangiocarcinoma samples [118].

4. MIRNA INVOLVEMENT IN HCV & HBV INFECTION

4.1. General Background

HCV is a small-enveloped positive-stranded RNA virus belonging to the Flaviviridae family, and HBV is a hepatotropic DNA virus from the Hepadnaviridae family [203,204]. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), approximately 170 million people are infected with HCV and 350 million people are infected with HBV worldwide [205]. HCV & HBV are major causes of liver disease, including acute and chronic hepatitis, liver cirrhosis, and HCC. In addition, HCV infection is a risk factor for intrahepatic CCA.

As we described previously, miRNAs can affect a series of fundamental cellular processes through post-transcriptional regulation of target mRNA expression. These processes include cell development, signal transduction, cell proliferation, differentiation, apoptosis and tumorigenesis [206,207]. Recent studies focused on miRNAs have been increasing, especially those studying the relationship between miRNA and infection or cancer [208-210]. miRNA deregulation has been linked to the pathophysiology of human diseases, including those resulting from viral infections. Accumulated evidence demonstrates that host-cellular miRNAs can modulate the expression of various viral genes, thereby playing a crucial role in the host-virus interaction [211-214]. On the other hand, mammalian viruses tend to interfere with or subvert the cellular miRNA to benefit their replication, which differs from plant and insect organisms using RNA interference (RNAi) pathways as a major antiviral pathway [215,216]. Furthermore, viruses can also encode viral miRNAs to alter host physiology or to influence its replication. Over 200 viral miRNAs have now been identified [213,217]. However, no viral miRNAs have yet been identified in HCV-infected cells, whereas HBV-encoded miRNA target none of host cellular gene [213, 218]. Herein, we discuss our current knowledge of virally influenced miRNAs of cellular origin and the relationship between HCV & HBV infections and cellular miRNAs.

4.2. Expression Patterns in Elation to miRNAs of HCV & HBV Infection

Recent data indicates that HCV & HBV might have evolved a strategy to prevent infected cells from undergoing apoptosis and to evade the innate and adaptive immune responses of their hosts through modulating the expression of cellular miRNAs. Although both HCV and HBV are hepatitis viruses, there are different clinical features between the gene expression profiles of liver tissue infected with chronic hepatitis C versus chronic hepatitis B [219]. Thus, the miRNA expression patterns following HCV and HBV infections will be described separately.

Braconi et al. [220] profiled miRNA expression in the human hepatoma cell line, Hep-394, (HepG2 transfected with full-length HCV genome) and the control cell line by a custom microarray, and then validated the expression of selected miRNAs by real-time PCR. They found that 10 miRNAs were downregulated >2-fold and 23 miRNAs were upregulated >2-fold in Hep-394 cells, including miR-193b predicted to target Mcl-1, an antiapoptotic protein. Furthermore, when comparing HCV+ and HCV-human liver biopsy samples from 29 liver transplantation patients, multiple miRNAs were differentially expressed. Among them, miR-122, a liver-specific miRNA, and miR-320, a cell-cycle associated miRNA, were downregulated in the HCV+ samples. miR-16 induced cell cycle arrest and was upregulated among HCV+ samples [221]. In different HCV genotypes and replicon models, there was altered expression of anticorrelated miRNAs. These HCV-associated anticorrelated miRNAs and mRNA pairs were related to metabolism, cell growth, cytokine pathways, immunization and so on [222,223].

To clarify the interactions between HBV and the host cellular miRNAs, the expression profiles of cellular miRNAs of HepG2.2.15 (a stable HBV-expressing cell line) and its parent cell line, HepG2, were compared using miRNA microarray. Eighteen miRNAs were differentially expressed between the two cell lines. Among them, eleven were over-expressed and seven were under-expressed in HepG2.2.15 cells. Finally, Northern blot analysis confirmed that the expression of miR-181a,
miR-181b, miR-200b and miR-146a were upregulated whereas miR-15a was downregulated [224]. Another recent study examined circulating miRNAs in HBV-infected patients who were divided into three groups: those who were chronic asymptomatic carriers (ASC), those with chronic hepatitis B (CHB) and those with HBV-associated acute-on-chronic liver failure (ACLF), compared with healthy controls (HC). The levels of most miRNAs were over-expressed in HBV-infected patients, including miR-122, miR-16, miR-223, miR-19b, miR-20a, miR-92a, miR-106a, let-7b and miR-194. Strikingly, these miRNAs levels in CHB and ACLF were higher than in HC and ASC, and the expression of miR-122 and miR-194 was associated with HBeAg production in patients with CHB. These miRNAs were considered to be associated with the progression of symptom severity [225].

Also, the differences in miRNA expression pattern in liver tissues obtained from HCV or HBV infected patients were described. The pathway analysis of predicted target genes by selected miRNAs reflected that 13 miRNAs, under-expressed in the HCV group, regulate genes related to immune response, antigen presentation, cell cycle, proteasome, and lipid metabolism. On the other hand, six miRNAs, downregulated in the HBV group, activate pathways related to cell death, DNA damage and recombination, and transcription signalling. This result demonstrates the different gene expression profiles between HCV and HBV infected individuals, and suggests different pathways between those who have HCC infected with HCV versus HBV [226].

### 4.3. Interaction of miRNAs in Relation to HCV & HBV Infection and Their Targeted Genes

These studies prove that HCV and HBV expression lead to altered expression of host cellular miRNAs. Some of these miRNAs may exert a profound regulatory effect on both host and virus genes.

miR-122, a liver-specific miRNA, is an abundant miRNA in the liver and is comprised of 70% of the miRNAs in the liver [227]. Sequestration of endogenous miR-122 demonstrates that miR-122 positively mediates HCV in hepatoma cells [228]. Two miR-122 binding sites in HCV in the 5′-UTR were predicted. Mutations in the HCV 5′-UTR and compensatory mutations in the miR-122 seed sequence exhibited that the two sites are both occupied by the miR-122 and function cooperatively to regulate HCV replication [228,229]. miRNAs are known to play a negative role in gene expression by incompletely or completely complementary with target mRNA triggering mRNA cleavage or translational repression [230]. miRNAs positively regulate HCV replication. Thus, it is imperative to know whether a distinct functional complex exists between miR-122 and the viral RNA versus its normal cellular target miRNAs. Machlin et al. proposed a miR-122-HCV complex model in which two miR-122 molecules form an oligomeric complex by binding to the two target sites in the 5′-NTR of HCV RNA with 3′ overhanging nucleotides, respectively. This model argues that the miR-122-HCV RNA complex is an unconventional microRNA-target miRNA complex [231]. Furthermore, Ago2, a component of the RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC), was also necessary for efficient miR-122 enhancement of HCV RNA accumulation. So, we could speculate that Ago 2 may play an important role in this unconventional RISC [232].

Three mechanisms may contribute to the increase of HCV abundance by miR-122. First, a direct enhancement of viral RNA replication by miR-122 is dependent upon its interaction with both seed sites in the 5′-UTR, but binding to the 5′-S1 site is more important for efficient replication than adjacent S2 site [228,229]. Second, miR-122 positively regulates HCV translation and is dependent upon direct interactions with both sites equally, and, at least in part, by enhancing the association of ribosomes with the viral RNA to stimulate HCV translation [233-235]. Third, miR-122 indirectly modulates HCV abundance through regulation of host gene expression, such as Heme oxygenase-1 (HO-1), which is an antioxidant defense and key cytoprotective enzyme repressed by BTB and CNC homology 1 (BACH1, a heme-binding transcription factor) [236]. On the contrary, another study confirmed that miR-122 could negatively regulate HBV replication. The over-expression of miR-122 inhibited HBV expression, whereas increased expression of HBV resulted in the depletion of endogenous miR-122 by miR-122 inhibitor. They also found that the downregulation of HO-1 by miR-122 plays a negative role in the miR-122-mediated inhibition of viral expression [225,237]. The opposite effect of miR-122 on HCV and HBV is consistent with the opposite expression of miR-122 in HCV- and HBV-infected patients.

Unlike miR-122, miR-199a directly suppresses both HCV and HBV replication by targeting a sequence in domain II of the internal ribosome entry site (IRES) region in the HCV 5′-UTR and S protein coding region in the HBV, respectively [238,239]. There are also some other miRNAs regulating virus expression. For example, miR-196 significantly downregulates HCV expression in both HCV replicon cell lines, Con1 and JFH1, cell culture systems through upregulation of HO-1 [240,241]. miR-141 facilitates efficient HCV replication by miR-141-mediated suppression of DLC-1 [242]. miR-1 was able to enhance the HBV core promoter transcription activity and miR-125a-5p was able to downregulate the expression of HBV S gene [243, 244]. Wu et al. used miRanda to identify the highly probable
targets of miRNAs in the HBV genome. They found that miR-7, miR-196b, miR-433, and miR-511 all target the polymerase or HBV S gene, that miR-205 targets the HBV X gene, and that miR-345 targets the preC gene of HBV. These miRNAs have the potential to affect the expression of HBV genes and may in the future be used to develop therapeutic approaches to inhibit HBV [245].

4.4. Implication

At present, HCV & HBV infections are still outstanding public health problems because of high morbidity, and they are two major causes of liver cirrhosis and HCC, which have high mortality [246]. There are still many questions regarding the biological behavior of liver diseases associated with the hepatitis virus. With these diseases, the aberrant expression pattern of miRNAs may provide some ideas to help us understand the pathogenic mechanisms. Practical implications of miRNAs as biomarkers, novel drug targets and therapeutic tools for diagnosis, treatment, and prognosis of liver diseases are also discussed. In particular, there are distinct miRNA expression profiles for HCC and intrahepatic CCA related to infection [247]. A recent study indicated that treatment of chronic HCV infection leads to an efficient antiviral effect in chimpanzees by anti-miR-122 with a locked nucleic acid (LNA) antisense oligonucleotide [248].

In addition, regulation of miRNA was found to be one mechanism for the antiviral effects of interferon (IFN) system [249]. The study by Pedersen et al. showed that IFNβ could alter the expression of cellular miRNAs derived from IFNβ-stimulated cells, and eight of these IFNβ-induced miRNAs have predicted targets within HCV RNA [250]. The altered miRNA expression was also found in HCV infected patients treated with IFNα [251]. Furthermore, the different miRNA expression in CHC patients before combination of IFN and ribavirin therapy was associated with their therapeutic outcome [252]. Both findings strongly support the notion that mammalian organisms use cellular miRNAs to combat viral infections through the interferon system. However, there is a differing viewpoint that it may be unlikely that humans have evolved IFN-induced miRNAs to inhibit HCV. It is thought that it is more likely that HCV uses these miRNAs to avoid immune function and to establish persistent infection by suppressing its own replication [253]. Thus, the role of cellular miRNAs in the antiviral action in mammalian organisms is complicated and would be worth future investigation.

5. PROSPECT

The significance of HCC- and CCA-associated miRNAs not only provide new insights into the molecular basis of HCC and CCA but may also have value in clinical diagnosis, prognosis, recurrence, and assessment of individual response to therapy. There is also potential for development of novel therapeutic reagents against HCC and CCA. Since HCC and CCA are pathologically and genetically heterogeneous, it has been a challenge to identify molecular classes of HCC & CCA and design treatment strategies for each specific subtype. Profiling of miRNA expression signatures in HCC and CCA subtypes or even individual HCC and CCA patients will be critical to the above clinical applications.

Since the expression signatures between HCC & CCA and the adjacent non-tumor liver tissue are significantly different, it would be promising to develop HCC and CCA biomarkers for diagnosis. To achieve this goal, it is essential to validate these different signatures by large scale clinical follow up investigations before they can actually be used for diagnosis. miR-222 and miR-223 have been validated to be biomarkers for HCC [105]. Biomarkers of miRNAs for CCA still need to be identified.

Because HCC and CCA tissues secrete various tumor-related proteins and miRNAs into the blood, measurement of serum levels of these miRNAs may serve as biomarkers for early diagnosis. Compared with conventional protein serum markers like AFP, serum levels of miR-16 had the highest sensitivity for HCC, followed by miR-199a [260]. Serum miR-500 levels have been shown to be commonly elevated in HCC patients and values returned to normal after surgical treatment [261]. These results are encouraging for clinical diagnosis. With identification of more miRNAs in CCA, we may find biomarkers for CCA stages, different clinic biopathologic variables and a novel class for diagnosis.

The prognosis of HCC and CCA after surgery is far beyond satisfaction because of the high frequency of recurrence and metastasis. miRNA signatures have been investigated in relation to HCC and CCA prognosis. Serum miR-221 levels have been shown to be correlated with tumor size and tumor stage, indicating that upregulation of serum miR-221 levels in HCC can provide prognostic information in HCC patients [262]. By using a large, clinically well-defined cohort, a unique 20-miRNA signature was identified that can predict venous metastases in primary HCC tissues as well as overall survival in an independent cohort of HCC cases, including early stage HCC [259]. Another study demonstrated a set of 19-miRNAs correlated with HCC disease outcome [255]. However, miRNA profiling, specific miRNA identification, and specific target genes are premature in CCA. Identification of aberrant miRNA signatures of HCC & CCA and their corresponding targets has started to benefit the development of miRNA based therapies for
HCC and CCA. Administration of miR-26a in a mouse model resulted in inhibition of HCC cell proliferation and induction of tumor apoptosis without toxicity [82]. It has been shown that osteopontin (OPN) is highly overexpressed in HCC with metastasis. Sun et al. developed artificial miRNAs for targeting OPN, and showed that artificial miRNAs significantly blocked OPN expression in a HCC cell line, leading to decreased lung metastasis through inhibition of matrix metalloproteinase (MMP)-2 [263]. Given that the biochemical structure between miRNAs and siRNAs are similar, delivery reagents developed for siRNAs could also be useful for miRNAs. Since miRNAs could target a large number of transcripts, caution must be taken in the application of miRNA-based therapies. From the work described above, it is clear that there are large gaps in our knowledge concerning both miRNAs and CCA. Taken together with the increasing incidence of CCA worldwide, the lack of effective treatment options is concerning [176]. Increased efforts are needed to identify miRNAs and their targets effectively as highly sensitive new biomarkers for diagnosis, prognosis and recurrence for HCC and CCA. There is still much to be learned before these clinical applications and therapies can be put to use.
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