Test-retest strength reliability of the Electronic Push/Pull Dynamometer (EPPD) in the measurement of the quadriceps and hamstring muscles on a new chair
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ABSTRACT

Background: Test-retest strength reliability of the Electronic Push/Pull Dynamometer (EPPD) in the measurement of the extensor and flexor muscles on a new constructed chair. The objective of the study was to assess reliability of Electronic Push/Pull Dynamometer in the measurement of the knee flexion and extension at 90˚ and 60˚ on a new constructed chair. The aims of the author: To assess reliability of Electronic Push/Pull Dynamometer in the measurement of the knee flexion and extension at 90˚ and 60˚ on a new constructed chair. Design: A test-retest reliability study. Subjects: One hundred healthy students male and female (mean age, 21 y). Methods: Maximum isometric strength of the quadriceps and hamstring muscle groups was measured using the EPPD were recorded at 60˚ and 90˚ for 3 trials on 2 occasions. Reliability was assessed with the Intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC), mean and standard deviation (SD) of measurements, and smallest real differences were calculated for the maximum and for the mean and work of the 3 repetitions. Results: Mean strength ranged from 50.44 kg for knee flexion to 55.76 kg for knee extension 50.44 kg to 61.98 kg at 90˚ hip flexion. Test-retest reliability Intraclass correlation coefficients (ICCs) ranged from 0.85 to 0.99. ICCs for test-retest reliability ranged from 0.780 to 0.998. Conclusions: The results of the reliability study indicate that the EPPD in reliable dynamometer to use in determining lower limb muscle force production. It can be used to measure disease progression and to evaluate changes in knee extension and flexion strength at the individual patient level.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Muscle strength in different positions of the knee joint is an important factor in evaluating the joint in rehabilitation settings. Most of the published articles used hand-held dynamometer and manual muscle testing to evaluate the muscle strength of the knee joint; quadriceps and hamstrings in particular. The Electronic Push/Pull Dynamometer (EPPD) is a hand-held dynamometer, which has gained popularity in measuring muscle strength in clinical practice because of its simplicity and objectivity [1-5]. Clinically, EPPD is a widely used tool for measuring muscle and has been found to correlate with isokinetic strength scores [6]. The test-retest reliability of the Hand-Held dynamometer in 41 community-dwelling patients (23 females and 18 males), with a mean age of 76 (1.2) years was evaluated by Wang et al. [7]. He found the Hand-Held dynamometer reliable for the lower extremity limbs in community dwelling elderly patients with a history of falling. The test-retest reliability of the Hand-Held dynamometer in 41 community-dwelling patients (23 females and 18 males), with a mean age of 76 (1.2) years was evaluated by Wang et al. [7]. He found the Hand-Held dynamometer reliable for the lower extremity limbs in community dwelling elderly patients with a history of falling. The test-retest interclass correlation coefficient ranged from 0.95 to 0.99 for 1 trial and from 0.97 to 1.0 for the mean of 2 trials. Reed, Den Hartog et al. [8] investigated the relationship between MFG isometric strength scores and isokinetic strength scores in 82 healthy elderly individuals 60 years of age and older. They found a strong association (r = 0.77 - 0.85) between the two measurement approaches, but Hand-Held dy-
namometer isometric scores were found to be variable. Reed attributed the measurement variability to the lack of stability of the Hand-Held dynamometer and recommended the use of fixed instrumentation for clinical studies for greater accuracy.

The Department of Biomedical Engineering of Virginia Commonwealth University, Medical College of Virginia Campus (Figure 1), designed a portable steel frame for use with the Mecmesin Force Gauge (MFG) [9]. The frame was constructed with a moveable arm, which can be adjusted for limb length differences and a rotating mechanism to hold the frame in place during an isometric contraction. The frame can accept up to 330 K of force with the brakes locked on a carpeted surface. The disadvantage of this technique is that the frame is heavy and not portable for use in different locations. In 2002 the present investigator designed a portable frame to which the MFG could be attached in the School of Physiotherapy at Curtin University of Technology, Perth (Figure 2). The frame was constructed with a moveable, sliding, adjustable arm support, which can be adjusted for limb length. The adjustable arm can be removed to change the position of the MFG from one side to the other. The examiner can easily reposition the frame arm and MFG to allow for different muscle testing position. In 2011 the same investigator designed a portable base fixed on the exercise knee extension machine (pin loaded) to which the EPPD could be attached in the physiotherapy department at Jordan University of Science and technology, Irbid (Figure 3). The EPPD was constructed with a movable base, sliding, adjustable arm base support, which can be adjusted for limb length. The base of the EPPD can be removed to change the position of the device from one

Figure 1. AccuForce II Digital Force Gage attached to a portable steel frame with movable arm and rotating disc and braking mechanism.

Figure 2. Electronic Pull/push Dynamometer attached to a portable constructed chair to measure muscle strength.

Figure 3. Electronic Pull/push Dynamometer attached to on a new constructed chair.
Ethical approval was obtained prior to the commencement obtained from club officials and individual participants. Written informed consent was taken from all participants. Inclusion criteria were selected. Individuals with other active rheumatic disease (e.g. rheumatoid, septic arthritis); medically unstable; lower limb joint replacement, musculoskeletal disease; medically unstable; lower limb joint replacement and Musculoskeletal disease. A sample size of 100 individuals were included in the study. Participants were excluded with at least one of the following factors: Presence of other active rheumatic disease (e.g. rheumatoid, septic arthritis, gouty arthritis); Medical history of neuromuscular or neurological disease (e.g. stroke, Parkinson’s disease); medically unstable; lower limb joint replacement and Musculoskeletal disease. A sample size of 100 participants was selected. Written informed consent was obtained from club officials and individual participants. Ethical approval was obtained prior to the commencement of the study from the Human Research Ethics Committee of Jordan University of Science and Technology.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1. Materials

Base line of Electronic Push/Pull Digital Dynamometer with 250l b/120 Kg capacity, which can measure muscle strength in kilograms (kg) or in pounds (lb). This device, has two ends one side is push and another side is pull. It has only 5 buttons that is on/off, zero, max, max clear, 1 b/kg. On/off button for switching on and off the equipment, zero buttons to ensure that you are starting from zero measurement, max button to memorize the last maximum reading, max clear button to clear the last maximum reading and lb/kg button is to shift either to pounds or kilograms. Machine is battery operated and requires two AAA batteries to work. Two inch wide digital screen shows the strength measurement, battery life and lb or kg according to choice (Figure 4). Inch tape, weighing scale, rolled towel padding and a couch are the other required materials. Handles and accessories are interchangeable with the Baseline hydraulic push-pull dynamometer: Large curved pad; Straight pad; 1 cm² circ padded; Medium hook and Oval snap hook.

2.2. Individuals

One hundred healthy adult male and female physiotherapy students were recruited from the department of physiotherapy in Allied Medical Sciences at Jordan University of Science and Technology. Individual subjects attending reliability session were in between 18 - 35 year of age were included in the study. Participants were excluded with at least one of the following factors: Presence of other active rheumatic disease (e.g. rheumatoid, septic arthritis); Medical history of neuromuscular or neurological disease (e.g. stroke, Parkinson’s disease); medically unstable; lower limb joint replacement and Musculoskeletal disease. A sample size of 100 participants was selected. Written informed consent was obtained from club officials and individual participants.

Figure 4. Baseline hand held dynamometer.

2.3. Procedures

Each individual was tested on 2 separate occasions at the same time of the day (e.g. 10 am - 2 pm), 1 week apart. The same examiner performed all measurements. The total time for a test session was approximately 5 minutes.

Isometric Muscle Strength Measurements

Maximal isometric strength of the knee extensors (quadriceps muscle) and flexors (hamstring muscle) was measured using the EPPD. This digital strain gauge dynamometer displays the force measurement to the nearest 0.1 kg, up to a maximum of 199.9 kg. Measurements were used at 60° and 90° of knee flexion. Prior to each episode of measurement, the instrument was calibrated according to the manufacturer’s instructions and specifications.

The individuals were seated in a comfortable position with the backrest angled at 100° to the seat without shoes or orthotic device. Before each measurement the full range of motion (ROM) was set and the dynamometer was applied. The dynamometer position was standardized with specific landmarks. The shin pad was placed 2 cm between the above the medial and lateral malleoli. The instrument shaft remained horizontal to the anterior aspect of the mid shaft of tibia and horizontal to the posterior aspect over the musculotendinous junction of calf muscles. Subjects were then asked to maintain the limb in the stipulated position and to hold that position while pushing or pulling against the dynamometer. Subjects were asked to push or pull against the gauge pad as hard as possible when given the appropriate command. All measurements were performed with the limb segment in a position that was with gravity eliminated. Rests between trials were approximately 30 seconds. Each contraction was held for six seconds, and the subject was encouraged to breathe normally to avoid any increase in heart rate or blood pressure. Before measuring each muscle group, the examiner explained to the subject the contraction to be performed. Re-tests of the specific muscle groups were performed 1 week after the initial measurement.

Two variables were extracted for each of the knee direction (flexion, extension) and isometric strength was measured in kilograms. The procedure was performed three times. The highest output was selected and recorded throughout the range of motion of each repetition Wang et al. [7]. For each muscle action the limb was placed in the appropriate angle over the edge of the newly constructed chair (Figure 1). The testing positions for knee flexors and extensors were sitting with knee and hip flexed to 90 degree.
2.4. Ethical Consideration Aspects

The study was approved by the Human Research Ethics Committee of Jordan University of Science and Technology. Confidentiality was maintained by restricting access to data, which stored on a password protected computer hard disk. All subject information was coded with each participant being identified by number only and only one supervisor was hold the master list. All materials were stored in a secure archive at Jordan University of science and technology for seven years.

2.5. Data Analysis

All data analysis was performed with the SPSS, version 17, for Windows statistical program. Descriptive analysis was conducted on the demographic variables. Means were calculated for each muscle group for both knee flexion and extension at 90° and 60° were used in the data analysis separately. The intraclass correlation coefficients (ICCs) and the 90% confidence intervals (CIs) were determined for the individual scores. To determine the reliability of the knee flexion and extension at 90° and 60°, ICCs were estimated along with 90% confidence intervals.

3. RESULTS

One hundred healthy physiotherapy students were invited to participate in the reliability study. All subjects who were approached agreed to participate and all of them completed the testing session. The age of participants ranged from 18 to 35 years (mean 21.31, SD. 1.9).

Table 1 presents the test and re-test peak isometric force production scores for all subjects. The scores have been presented for knee flexor and extensors muscle at 90° and 60° degree. Electronic Pull/Push Dynamometer scores differed little between the knee extensors and flexors strength at 90° and 60° during the test or re-test sessions in female subjects. Knee extensors (quadriceps) force production was the highest in both female and male, whereas knee flexors performance (hamstring) was the lowest average force scores. Subjects showed higher re-test scores strength throughout the extensors and flexors muscles group. The T-Test results showed no significant in test and re-test separately in knee flexion and extension at 90° and 60° in both male and female. The re-test was at 1 week later of test.

Table 2 shows test and re-test peak isometric force production scores for knee extension and flexion at 90° and 60°. The T-Test results showed a highly significant in comparison between male and female in test and re-test.

Table 3 shows the ICCs for individual mean knee flexors and extensors scores. The ICCs ranged from 0.85 to 0.99 in both muscle groups. The ICCs in knee extension and flexion at 90° revealed greater scores than knee extension and flexion at 60°. All the participants enrolled in the study completed the reliability study measurements twice, one week apart.

Table 1. Test-retest reliability of isometric strength testing using Electronic Pull/Push Dynamometer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Muscle Group Action</th>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Re-Test</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Knee Extension at 90°</td>
<td>46.89 (23.26)</td>
<td>46.72 (23.29)</td>
<td>0.97 NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Knee Extension at 60°</td>
<td>39.23 (19.31)</td>
<td>38.87 (19.14)</td>
<td>0.77 NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Knee Flexion at 90°</td>
<td>42.48 (17.50)</td>
<td>42.69 (17.53)</td>
<td>0.90 NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Knee Flexion at 60°</td>
<td>42.53 (17.48)</td>
<td>38.07 (19.14)</td>
<td>0.25 NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Knee Extension at 90°</td>
<td>74.70 (22.40)</td>
<td>73.48 (21.47)</td>
<td>0.77 NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Knee Extension at 60°</td>
<td>64.72 (17.78)</td>
<td>60.79 (17.81)</td>
<td>0.26 NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Knee Flexion at 90°</td>
<td>66.39 (18.43)</td>
<td>66.64 (18.47)</td>
<td>0.90 NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Knee Flexion at 60°</td>
<td>66.48 (18.22)</td>
<td>60.79 (17.81)</td>
<td>0.11 NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are represented as mean (SD), significance were considered at P ≤ 0.05 using t-Test (n = 46 female & 54 male).

Table 2. Test-Retest Peak Isometric Force Production Scores (kg) for knee extensors and flexors (n = 100).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TEST</th>
<th>RETEST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knee Extension at 90°</td>
<td>74.70 (22.40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knee Extension at 60°</td>
<td>64.72 (17.78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knee Flexion at 90°</td>
<td>66.39 (18.38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knee Flexion at 60°</td>
<td>66.48 (18.22)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are represented as mean (SD), significance were considered at P ≤ 0.05 using t-Test (n = 46 female & 54 male).
Table 3. Reliability of knee flexors and extensors scores at 90° and 60° (n = 100).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Knee Force</th>
<th>ICCs</th>
<th>90% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Knee Extension at 90°</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.987 - 0.994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knee Extension at 60°</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.893 - 0.950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knee Flexion at 90°</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.995 - 0.998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knee Flexion at 60°</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.847 - 0.894</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. DISCUSSION

This is the first study to investigate the reliability of Electronic Pull/Push Dynamometry for muscle strength testing in healthy students in a new chair. The main finding of this study was that the test-retest reliability coefficients exceeded 0.78 for all variables, suggesting that the EPPD has appropriate reliability for such studies. All ICCs calculated for test-retest reliability in this study were “excellent”.

The advantages of the EPPD are its portability and the ease of its use as no attachments needed to measure upper and lower extremity muscle groups.

However, the disadvantage of the Hand-Held is that the reliability of the measurements depends on the strength of the examiner and their ability to maintain the testing position while holding against the resistance of a subject.

These findings are in agreement with previous studies on western populations demonstrating excellent test-retest reliability for these outcome measures in adult healthy physiotherapy students using the EPPD [9-14]. These values are much higher than those reported by Cheryl et al. [9] of 25 (17 women and 8 men) community dwelling older adults aged between 70 to 87 years of age with confirmed OA of the knee, the mean knee extension values measured at 90° were (20.3 ± 11.7) and (20.4 ± 11.0) kg for the right and left knees respectively. Whereas, the mean of this study in knee extension values measured at 90° was 61.98 (26.57) and at 60° was 53.12 (22.47).

Test-retest reliability of knee flexor strength using EPPD tended to be higher in this study than that observed in nonathletic populations, where ICCs as high as 0.965 have been observed [15]. The mean strength values measured during our study were considerably higher than those found in other studies measuring strength of these muscle groups using EPPD. Our highest average knee flexion measurement of 55.53 kg and maximum recording of 55.56 kg is significantly greater than the maximum value of 21 kg [16].

Greater strength of muscle groups has already been shown to adversely affect the reliability of HHD testing [17,18]. In the current study, reliability measures were highest for measures of knee flexor strength and knee extensor at 90° hip flexion, representing the strongest muscle groups. One of the strengths of this study is that it involved testing positions that have been validated for the assessment of students. These tests are performed in the functional range of the healthy physiotherapy students, which makes them more relevant to clinical practice.

Some limitations of the current study should be noted. The study samples represented a convenience sample and were not randomly or systematically selected. In addition, the investigator was not blind to the results of earlier tests, introducing the possibility of bias. Furthermore, all measurements were conducted during a single session in two occasions by the same investigator. Electronic Push/Pull Dynamometer isometric flexor and extensor strength can be performed with good to excellent test-retest reliability when measured by the same examiner.

5. CONCLUSION

The results of the reliability study indicate that the EPPD in reliable dynamometer to use in determining lower limb muscle force production. It can be reliable dynamometer to use in measurement of muscle strength in healthy subject age 18 - 35 year. It can be used to measure disease progression and to evaluate changes in knee extension and flexion strength at the individual patient level. Testing of knee flexor and extensor strength using Electronic Pull/Push Dynamometry in healthy adult students can be done with excellent reliability.
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