Hereditary gastrointestinal polyposis: Diagnosis, genetic test and risk assessment
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ABSTRACT

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is the second cause of cancer deaths, with over 1 million new cases estimated every year. Familial adenomatous polyposis, MUTYH-associated polyposis and hamartomatous polyposis are inherited syndromes that account for 2% - 5% of all colon cancer. The mutated genes responsible for the vast majority of these disorders, are now known (MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, PMS2, APC, MYH, LKB1, SMAD4, BMPR1A, and PTEN) and specific mutations have been identified. Molecular characterization of inherited CRCs allows pre-symptomatic diagnosis identifying at-risk individuals and improving cancer surveillance. Adenomatous polyposis includes familial adenomatous polyposis (FAP), attenuated FAP (AFAP), and MUTYH-associated polyposis (MAP). Hamartomatous polyposis comprises Peutz-Jeghers syndrome (PJS), juvenile polyposis syndrome (JPS) and “PTEN hamartoma tumour syndrome” (PHTS). MAP is an autosomal recessive condition, while all other disorders are inherited in an autosomal dominant manner. Differential diagnosis could be very difficult between syndromes because of their phenotypic variability. Attenuated FAP, MAP and Lynch syndrome could be all associated with fewer numbers of adenomas (3 - 10 polyps), nevertheless, each syndrome has distinct cancer risks, characteristic clinical features, and separate genetic etiologies. Thus, differential diagnosis is essential for correct management of the specific disease. In our laboratory we set up a methodology for genetic tests of the colorectal polyposis syndrome. In these reviews we summarize the literature data and our experience about diagnosis, genetic tests and cancer risk assessment associated with colorectal polyposis. According to literature data, in our experience, there is a portion of analyzing patients that remain without identified mutation, after molecular screening of the specific gene involved in the pathogenesis of the disease. Since the sensibility of used techniques, such as DHPLC, MLPA and sequencing, is now very high, we suggest that a different approach to molecular diagnosis of polyposis syndromes is necessary. In our laboratory, we are now planning to set up analysis of a larger panel of genes that could be involved in colorectal polyposis syndromes, using a next generation sequencing techniques. In our opinion, a better characterization of molecular basis of the polyposis syndromes will allow a more efficient cancer prevention.
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1. FAMILIAL POLYPOSIS SYNDROMES

INTRODUCTION

For familial hereditary polyposis the differential diagnosis includes FAP, AFAP, MAP and the hamartomatous polyposis syndromes [1,2].

2. FAMILIAL ADENOMATOUS POLYPOSIS

2.1. Clinical Description

Familial adenomatous polyposis (FAP) is a rare autosomal dominantly inherited disease, associated with mutations in the adenomatous polyposis coli (APC; MIM# 175100) gene located at chromosome 5q21. The incidence for FAP is estimated at about 1 in 10,000 individuals and it accounts for about 1% of all colorectal cancers.
[3]. Affected individuals develop hundred to thousands of adenomatous polyps at a young age (second decade of life) that, if untreated, inevitably progress to colorectal carcinoma. Consequently, a genetic test is crucial 1) to identify mutation-carrying relatives for preventive measures, and 2) to reassure relatives who are free from gene mutations [1,2]. Although, APC germline disease-causing mutations have a penetrance close to 100%, recent evidence points to very close genotype/phenotype relationships and a marked variation in the phenotypic expression of FAP. Severe phenotypes, characterized by more than 5000 polyps and early onset of the disease, are associated with mutations between codons 1250 and 1464, whereas patients with classical FAP have hundreds to thousands of adenomatous polyps in the colorectum during the second and third decades of life [4]. Germline mutations between codons 168 and 1680 and whole APC deletions are associated with classical phenotypes. Attenuated FAP, showing few polyps (about 10 - 100), are caused by mutations at the extreme 5’ or 3’ ends of the APC gene or it could give rise to mutations in alternatively spliced exon [5]. Phenotypic variation of FAP, genetically indistinguishable, are Turcot syndrome (colorectal multiple adenomas associated with brain tumours) and Gardner syndrome (colorectal multiple adenomas with epidermoid cysts, osteomas, dental anomalies, and desmoid tumours) [1].

2.2. Extra Colonic Manifestations

FAP patients may also develop extracolonic manifestations. Both FAP and AFAP often arise with polyps in the upper gastrointestinal tract. About 50% of affected individuals develop profuse polyposis of gastric fundic gland and/or adenomatous polyps in the stomach [1]. Adenomatous polyps of the duodenum are observed in more than 50% of individuals and are commonly found in the second and third portions [6]. However, the most frequent extra colonic manifestations are congenital hypertrophy of the retinal pigment epithelium (CHRPE), which is present in about 80% of cases, and desmoid tumours, which are seen in about 13% of FAP families, often recurring in the mesentery or in the abdominal wall after resection. A papillary thyroid carcinoma and hepatoblastoma in the children of people with FAP have also been reported [7].

The lifetime cancer risk of FAP patients is about 1% of gastric cancer [8], 4% - 12% for duodenal cancer and about 10% of thyroid cancer. They also present elevated risk to develop stomach, liver (hepatoblastoma) and CNS (medulloblastoma) cancer. Nonmalignant lesion also occurs including osteomas (of the skull and mandible), epidermoid cysts, fibromas and dental abnormalities (unerupted teeth or congenital absence of teeth). These findings are less common in attenuated FAP [1].

2.3. Genetics

FAP and attenuated FAP are caused by germline mutations in the APC gene and are inherited in an autosomal dominant manner, so the children of a subject affected by FAP have a 50% risk of developing the disease. De novo mutations are responsible for approximately 25% of FAP cases [9]. In 10% to 15% of de novo mutations a somatic APC mosaicism may be present [10]. APC is an ubiquitously expressed tumour suppressor gene located on the long arm of chromosome 5 at position 5q21. It contains at least 21 exons, 17 of which are coding exons and 4 are untranslated exons. The APC gene encodes a large protein of 309 kilo-Daltons containing multiple domains [3]. By interacting with several cellular proteins, it is mainly involved in Wnt signaling, in part by regulating β-catenin levels, but also in intercellular adhesion, cytoskeleton stabilization, signal transduction, apoptosis and cell-cycle control, and it probably exerts a nuclear function in chromosome segregation.

APC binds to β-catenin inducing ubiquitin-mediated β-catenin degradation and, in this way, it negatively regulates transcription of β-catenin targets [11]. Its functional domains include heptad repeats at the amino-terminal end (amino acids 6 - 57) that mediate homodimer formation, the armadillo repeat (amino acids 453 - 767) that binds to Asef, two motifs that interact with β-catenin at amino acids 1020 - 1169 and 1262 - 2033, respectively, and microtubule-, EB1- and hDLG-binding domains.

2.4. Genotype-Phenotype Correlations

Although, APC germline disease-causing mutations have a penetrance close to 100%, recent evidence points to the very close genotype/phenotype relationships and the clinical manifestations and severity of FAP vary greatly with the mutation site [1]. The disorder is classically characterized by more than 100 colorectal adenomas, early onset of colorectal carcinoma, and specific extracolonic features. Attenuated Familial adenomatous polyposis (AFAP) is a milder form of the disease in which patients have less than 100 adenomas. AFAP patients with dominant inheritance harbor germ-line mutations in the 5’ or 3’ regions of the APC gene, to codon 1517 or distal to codon 1900, or in regions affected by alternative splicing events. Severe phenotypes, characterized by more than 5000 polyps and early onset of the disease, are associated with mutations between codons 1250 and 1464, whereas patients with classical FAP have hundreds to thousands of adenomatous polyps in the colorectum during the second and third decades of life [4,12]. Mutations contributing to classical FAP occur between exon 5 and the 5’ portion of exon 15 [11]. CHRPE is associated with muta-
tions between codons 457 and 1444 [13]. Desmoid tumours, found in approximately 10% of FAP patients, are present in patients with mutations between codons 1403 and 1578. Although rare, FAP patients have an increased risk of hepatoblastoma associated with mutations between codons 457 and 1309 [14].

3. MUTYH-ASSOCIATED POLYPOSIS (MAP)

3.1. Clinical Description

Another group of patients showing recessive inheritance and FAP or AFAP phenotype often tests negative for APC mutations but harbor inherited biallelic mutations in the base-excision repair (BER) gene MYH (MUTYH; OMIM# 604933, Gene Bank NM_012222.1). MUTYH (MYH) gene is localized on chromosome 1 at position 1p and acts together with MTH1 and OGG1 as part of the base excision repair machinery [15,16]. MAP patients develop adenomatous polyposis of the colon-rectum and show an increased risk of CRC. Although the colonic phenotype of MAP is of attenuated FAP, biallelic MUTYH mutations have also been associated with early onset CRC and few or no polyps. Hyperplastic polyps are present, even though adenomatous polyps are more largely represented [1]. FAP-associated extra-colonic manifestations such as osteomas, desmoids, CHRPE and thyroid cancer did not take place but a higher risk of ovarian, bladder, skin, sebaceous gland tumors and breast cancer was described [17].

3.2. Genetics

MAP accounts for about 18% of the APC-negative AFAP families, is caused by MUTYH mutations. It is inherited in a recessive manner and family history is less informative in the diagnosis of this syndrome. The MUTYH is a base excision repair protein that provides defense against oxidation-induced DNA damage by removing A mis-paired with 8-oxo-7, 8-dihydro2′deoxyguanosine (8-oxoG), the most stable deleterious products of oxidative DNA damage. Hence, it prevents G:C to T:A transversions caused by oxidative stress. Genetic testing for MAP is suggested in AFAP individuals negative for APC mutation. Identification and characterization of the specific MUTYH mutation confirm the diagnosis and allows genetic testing in family members. Biallelic MYH mutations are associated with adenomatous colorectal polyps and a very high risk of CRC. Nevertheless, monoallelic MUTYH mutations might act as low-penetrance CRC susceptibility modifiers and/or cooperate with other gene mutations. Parents and children of individuals with MAP are rarely affected and siblings of individuals with biallelic MUTYH mutations have a 25% possibility to be affected and they should be informed about the risks [2]. The obligate heterozygote children of MAP patient and a nonconsanguineous healthy partner have only a small risk of developing MAP (about 1%). The CRC risk of heterozygous carriers is still controversial. Recent systematic studies in large patient populations estimate the relative risk at 1.5 to 2.1 in relation to the general population [9].

3.3. Mutation-Negative Adenomatous Polyposis

In about 20% of families with FAP and in 70% of AFAP families the cause of adenomatous polyposis remains unknown and the specific pathogenic mutations have not been identified. The absence of detectable mutations could be explained by misdiagnoses, the existence of an alternative gene inactivation mechanism that remains undiscovered by routine screening methods or, alternatively, by genetic heterogeneity. A monogenic or multifactorial etiology is a possible explanation. In patients showing attenuate FAP phenotype without extracolonic manifestations and with uninformative family history, HNPCC or other polyposis syndromes should be considered in the differential diagnosis. On the other hand, an individualized approach may often be necessary because mutations in APC or MYH are sometimes associated with variable phenotype and mutations have been reported in APC causing an increment of CRC risk but not adenomatous colorectal polyposis [9].

3.4. Phenotypic Heterogeneity of FAP Patients from Southern Italy

Our knowledge points to various genotype/phenotype correlations within familial adenomatous polyposis patients. All patients with a totally deleted APC gene and most patients with point mutations within exon 15 had a classical FAP phenotype with CHRPE [18]. We described three families carrier of the full APC gene deletion, one of whom showing intrafamilial phenotypic variability. Among affected subjects colonic disease manifestations were expressed at considerably different ages and the number of polyps ranged from few in some patients to hundreds of others; extracolonic manifestations, i.e. kidney carcinoma and calcifying epithelioma, were present in one of these patients. These data support the notion that other genetic and/or environmental factors could play an important role in the penetrance of the disease [19]. Furthermore, in our study population, very severe polyposis is associated with mutations that map in a region comprised from codon 1249 to codon 1330, but phenotypic variability is often described. We reported a severe case of FAP in a young child with very early onset of the disease. A 10-year-old female was evaluated.
for anemia and an 8-year-long history of recurrent hematochezia (initial disease presentation at the age of 2 years). Family history was negative for FAP, other polyposis syndromes, or colon cancer. Molecular diagnosis performed in this proband revealed the presence of 1309 APC gene deletion that was not found in the proband’s relatives and therefore represented a de novo mutation. This mutation consists in a deletion of AAAGA bases from sites 3927 to 3931 of the APC gene and it has been found in about twenty percent of all FAP pedigrees typically associated with severe, early-onset disease and with congenital hypertrophy of the retinal pigment epithelium (CHRPE). This mutation is responsible for the rare cases of polyposis that occur in the first decade of life. The proband presented very early onset of disease although she did not show a family history of FAP. Therefore, it is important that a child with irondeficiency anemia and a long history of rectal bleeding has an early and adequate endoscopic investigation [20]. In another family we described a mutation identified in exon 5 (595_596insG), a region usually mutated in attenuated polyposis, associated with a particularly severe phenotype, without CHRPE [21]. Patient bearing this mutation was also affected by desmoid tumours, mandibular osteomas, and tubular adenomas with moderate dysplasia in the colon. Interestingly, a patient bearing a different mutation in this region (591_592delAG) showed a classical phenotype.

Two other families, bearing mutations 4621C > T (Q1541X) and 4526_27insT, respectively, had similar extracolonic manifestations, i.e. fundic gland polyps, which are the most common gastric polyps, and cranial osteomas. However, the patient with mutation 4621C > T (Q1541X) had a classical FAP phenotype associated with abdominal desmoid tumours, whereas the patient with mutation 4526_27insT had attenuated polyposis with few adenomatous polyps (about 10) in the colon, in contrast to the genotype/phenotype correlation usually reported for this mutation site. This finding lends weight to the intra- and inter-familial variability observed in FAP families [18].

Alterations of APC mRNA were also identified as pathogenic for a subset of FAP cases in which no germ-line APC or MYH mutations have been detected. About 15% of inherited human diseases involve splicing errors caused by mutations in splice sites or in splicing control sequences. We described a 62-year-old man without a dominant family history of FAP and an attenuated FAP phenotype with fewer colonic polyps (about 10). The family history was unclear; in fact, a cousin died of colorectal cancer at the age of 65 years, but his parents died from causes other than colorectal cancer. This FAP patient had a missense mutation in exon 15, c.4909G -> A (p.D1637N), which consists in a G to A transition at position 4909 and causes a substitution of the aspargine 1637 to aspartic acid. No other mutation was found.

Using the “ESEfinder” program, binding motifs in known splicing enhancer proteins (SR proteins: SF2/ASF, SC35, SRp40, and SRp55) were found in this region, in both normal and mutated sequences. Remarkably, we identified an increase of the SC35 binding motif affinity in the mutated sequence (score 3.0) compared to the control sequence (score 2.5). An unusual feature of mutation 4909G -> A is that the change does not alter an authentic splice site nor does it generate a cryptic splice site, rather, the mutation probably activates an ESE control sequence that indirectly activates a cryptic splice junction upstream of the mutated site within the same exon.

Moreover, our study on an Italian FAP population confirm that MYH associated polyposis (MAP) genotype-phenotype correlations are very complex. Biallelic MYH mutations can result in either classic or attenuated polyposis, furthermore, patients with the same MYH biallelic mutations can show different phenotypes. Finally, in a relevant fraction of patients with colon polyposis, a mutation was found in a single MYH allele (monoallelic mutation) [22].

4. HAMARTOMATOUS POLYPOSIS SYNDROMES

The hamartomatous polyposis syndromes are a heterogeneous group of disorders including less than 1% of all hereditary colorectal cancers. They are characterized by autosomal-dominant inheritance, development of gastrointestinal hamartomatous polyps and are associated with GI and extraintestinal malignancy [23,24]. The hamartomatous polyposis syndromes include Peutz-Jeghers syndrome (PJS); PTEN hamartoma tumour syndrome (PH-TS), comprehending Cowden syndrome (CS) and Bannayan-Riley-Ruvalcaba syndrome (BRRS); and juvenile polyposis syndrome (JPS). Differential diagnosis are essential for suitable patient management, because each of these syndromes presents its own characteristic organ-specific manifestations and each requires a precise surveillance approach [25].

4.1. Peutz-Jeghers Syndrome: Clinical Description, Extraintestinal Manifestations and Genetics

Peutz-Jeghers syndrome (PJS) is an autosomal dominantly inherited syndrome characterized by mucocutaneous pigmentation, multiple hamartomatous polyps in the gastrointestinal tract and an increased risk of cancer at a young age. It is the most common form of hamartomatous polyposis, with a reported prevalence of between 1 in 29,000 and 1 in 200,000 [26,27]. Inactivating
germ-line mutations in the tumour suppressor gene STK11/LKB1 have been detected in approximately 80% of patients [2]. The polyps, commonly found in the small intestine but also in the stomach and colon, have a muscular core, are histologically classified as hamartomas and their numbers may range from 1 to a complete carpeting of the gastrointestinal tract [2]. Abdominal pain, intussusception, anemia, melena, hematochezia, hematemesis, and obstruction are the main PJS clinical manifestations. Pigmentation is seen around the lips (in over 95% of cases), in the buccal mucosa (about 80% of cases), on the hands, feet, genitals, and around the nose and eyes. Pigmentation is typically present in early childhood and starts to become dim usually after the start of puberty [25]. Approximately one third of PJS patients present a disease onset in the first decade of life, while puberty [25]. Approximately one third of PJS patients present a disease onset in the first decade of life, while up to 60% by the second or third decade [28].

Extraintestinal polyps have been reported in the nose, bronchi, renal pelvis, bladder, in the gallbladder and bile ducts. PJS is also associated with various malignancies. In addition to an elevated risk of gastrointestinal malignancies, such as gastroesophageal, small bowel and colorectal cancer, an increased risk of cancer at other sites, particularly in breast, pancreas, ovary, uterus, cervix, lung and testicle, have been reported. The estimated risk of cancer is of 60% by 60 years of age and 85% by 70 years of age. The risk of developing cancer by 60 years of age was estimated at about 31% for gastrointestinal and breast cancer, 18% for gynecologic cancer, 7% for pancreatic cancer and 13% for lung cancer [28].

Sex cord tumours and Sertoli cell tumours, both arising from the same embryonic tissue, are often described in women and men affected by PJS [25].

The gene associated with PJS is a serine-threonine kinase that is located on chromosome 19p13.3. Germ-line mutations in LKB1/STK11 gene has been reported in 80% of patients with PJS. In the remaining 20% of PJS patients, defects in other genes or not yet identified ways of LKB1 inactivation might be responsible for PJS. Several putative candidate genes have been studied, including genes encoding LKB1 interacting proteins; so far a second PJS gene has not been identified. Common mutations are frameshift and nonsense mutations in exons 1-6; however, large deletion mutations missed by direct sequencing have been recently described using multiple ligation probes, long range RCR and Real time PCR [28-30]. LKB1 forms a complex with pseudokinase STRAD and the scaffolding protein MO25, that activates at least 14 serine/threonine kinase by phosphorylation of the “T-Loop” threonine localized in their kinase domain. This implicates the involvement of LKB1 in several signalling pathways. The first identified physiological substrate of LKB1 was AMPK (AMP-activated protein kinase), which is a master regulator of cellular energy charge. In addition to the involvement in energy metabolism, LKB1 has the capacity to regulate multiple cellular processes, such as cell cycle arrest, Wnt signalling, cell polarity, transforming growth factor beta signalling, p53-dependent apoptosis and chromatin remodelling [31].

We examined a population of 10 Italian PJS patients from Southern Italy, setting up a strategy to screen the entire coding region of the LKB1 gene, both at DNA and RNA level. We described an intron-exonic in-frame deletion encompassing exons 2 and 4 and characterized the breakpoints of this LKB1/STK11 intragenic deletion. This rearrangement, that deletes about 7 kb of the LKB1 genomic region encompassing exons 2 and 3 is most likely an Alu-Alu homologous recombination event. Two 26 bp core sequences of two Alu elements (both AluY sequences), showing a 96% homology, are indeed localized at the 5’ and 3’ end of the breakpoints, respectively. This sequence, could itself act as a recombinase. Alu-mediated homologous recombination is a mechanism well documented so far, however it was the first evidence that this mechanism is involved in the STK11/ LKB1 gene rearrangements. According to other literature data, we identified the disease causing mutations in about 67% of PJS patients, suggesting that others gene inactivating mechanisms might be responsible for PJS in our mutation negative population subset [29].

4.2. PTEN Hamartoma Tumour Syndrome (PHTS)

The “PTEN hamartoma tumour syndrome” (PHTS) is inherited in an autosomal dominant manner and includes a group of rare multiple hamartoma syndromes, such as Cowden syndrome (CS), Bannayan-Riley-Ruvalcaba syndrome (BRRS), Proteus syndrome (PS), and Proteus-like syndrome, that are caused by germline mutations within the tumour suppressor gene “phosphatase and tensin homolog deleted on chromosome ten” (PTEN). These syndromes are characterized by multiple amartomatous polyps in the gastrointestinal tract and by a greatly increased risk of developing malignant tumours in many tissues. Because of many of its phenotypic manifestations are subtle and occur in the general population, its phenotypic variability and incomplete penetrance, PHTS is likely to be underdiagnosed [32].

4.3. Cowden Syndrome

CS is a rare autosomal dominant syndrome that occur in 1 in 200,000 individuals. This syndrome is characterized by macrocephaly, mucocutaneous lesions (such as facial trichilemmoma), acral keratosis, papillomatous papules and glyceric anacanthosis of the esophagus, which involves large benign glycogen-filled epithelial cells that are gray to white in color. It is also associated with thy-
roid, breast, and endometrial manifestations, including cancer in all of these areas. Renal cancer has also been associated with CS; the risk of developing gastrointestinal carcinoma in CS is still unclear. The incidence of gastrointestinal polyps in CS differs in the literature, varying from 30% to 85%, reporting an incidence of gastrointestinal polyps in CS less than in BRRS [25].

The specific pathogenic PTEN gene mutations are identified in approximately 85% of individuals who meet the diagnostic criteria for CS and in about 65% of individuals with a clinical diagnosis of BRRS. The most important aspect of the management of an individual carrier of a PTEN mutation is increased cancer surveillance because of the most serious consequences of PHTS consist in the increased risk of breast, thyroid, endometrial, and renal cancer [31,32].

4.4. Bannayan-Riley-Ruvalcaba Syndrome

Clinical Presentation: The BRRS is a congenital disorder characterized by macrocephaly, intestinal hamartomatous polyposis, lipomas, and pigmented macules of the glans penis. It is suggested that individuals with BRRS should be considered at risk for malignancy, as with CS.

The incidence of gastrointestinal polyps in BRRS has been reported to be 45%. The extraintestinal manifestations, consisting of macrocephaly, developmental delay, accelerated growth of first metacarpal and first proximal and middle phalanges, joint hyperflexibility, pectus excavatum, scoliosis, genital pigmentation, lipomas, hemangiomas, lipid storage myopathy, are the hallmarks of this syndrome [33-36].

4.5. Cowden Syndrome and Bannayan-Riley-Ruvalcaba Syndrome Genetics

CS and BRRS are allelic diseases with an autosomal dominant inheritance pattern and variable penetrance, in which a mutation of the PTEN gene, located on chromosome 10q22-23, is found in 80% of CS patients and 60% of BRRS patients [37,38]. Close to 100 different germ-line mutations of PTEN have been reported to date encompassing point, nonsense, frame shift, splice site, missense, and deletion/insertion mutations. Most mutations occur in exon 5, but mutations in all other exons, except the first, have also been described. Around 10% of PTEN mutations occur in the promoter region and the role of epigenetic regulation is not well outlined.

Balanced translocations and deletions and mutations in exons 6 and 7 occur preferentially in BRRS, while mutations in all exons except 1, 4, and 9 have been found in CS. Recently, differential expression of the PTEN gene has been correlated with the different phenotypes of CS and BRRS.

PTEN is a 9-exon tumour suppressor gene that encodes for a 403 amino acid tyrosine phosphatase protein that dephosphorylates tyrosine, serine, and threonine. It acts as a lipid phosphatase to negatively regulate the PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway [39]. Recently, nuclear compartmentalization of PTEN has been found as a key component of its tumour-suppressive activity. PTEN is also involved in regulating the cell cycle, apoptosis, and angiogenesis. Evidence exists indicating that PTEN is a functionally haploinsufficient tumour suppressor gene.

A new study revealed that about 10% of CS and CSL individuals, negative for germline PTEN mutations, harbor germline variants in SDHB and SDHD. These mutations are associated with a greater risk of developing breast, thyroid, and renal cell carcinomas consistent with germline PTEN mutations and SDHB mutations observations in pheochromocytoma/PGL patients, who were also found to have a risk of papillary thyroid cancer and early-onset renal cancers [40].

4.6. An Overview of PHTS Patients from Southern Italy

We performed mutational analysis of the PTEN gene in three PHTS patients, setting up a combination of RT-PCR reaction of the whole cDNA, PCR of genomic region, including the promoter region from bp −1398 to bp +1, sequencing of the amplified fragments, Real Time PCR and western blot techniques. The first PHTS patient (PHTS1), affected by BRRS, had a missense mutation named c.406T→C in exon 5 of the PTEN gene. This mutation, localized in the catalytic domain of PTEN protein, determines the aminoacidic change of cysteine residue 136 into an arginine. The other two patients, (both affected by CS), showed a significant decrease in the PTEN mRNA expression when analysed by Real Time quantitative RT-PCR.

PI3K-Akt signalling activation is related to β-catenin phosphorylation at Ser 525 and its stabilization, nuclear accumulation and transcriptional activation. Furthermore, PI3K-Akt signalling activation results in the inactivation of GSK-3β and reduces N-terminal β-catenin phosphorylation, which is associated with its degradation.

Thus, we provided the first evidence of β-catenin accumulation in non-neoplastic cells of PHTS patients, caused by germ-line PTEN alteration without a “second hit” of gene inactivation taking place. In light of these data, we suggest that β-catenin could represent a good candidate as a diagnostic marker for hereditary colorectal diseases that determine β-catenin accumulation. This is mainly noteworthy for PHTS syndrome, which is often underdiagnosed. In addition, all PHTS patients analysed showed alterations in the expression of TNFα, its receptors and IL-10 [41].
5. THE DIFFERENTIAL DIAGNOSIS AND SURVEILLANCE OF HEREDITARY GASTROINTESTINAL POLYPOSIS SYNDROMES

Differential diagnosis and identification of the polyposis syndromes is essential for management and cancer prevention for affected individuals, because of each polyposis syndrome has its own distinctive organ-specific manifestation and each requires a different surveillance strategy. Polyposis patients have a high lifetime risk of gastrointestinal and extraintestinal carcinoma and their first-degree relatives have a high risk of recurrence of the syndrome. Differential diagnosis and identification of the polyposis syndromes is essential for management and cancer prevention for affected individuals, because of each polyposis syndrome has its own distinctive organ-specific manifestation and each requires a different surveillance strategy. Polyposis patients have a high lifetime risk of gastrointestinal and extraintestinal carcinoma and their first-degree relatives have a high risk of recurrence of the syndrome. Characterization of a causative mutation in leukocyte DNA is essential for the differential diagnosis among the various adenomatous polyposis syndromes, assessment of the risk of recurrence (autosomal dominant versus autosomal recessive inheritance), determination of familial cancer risks, based on gene-specific cancer associations and predictive testing of asymptomatic at risk individuals. The role of molecular genetic findings in treatment decisions, on the other hand, is limited because identification of a germline mutation rarely allows any estimation of the likely course of the disease. According to international literature data, we suggest that next-generation sequencing is today the better and more efficient technique for molecular diagnosis of hereditary colorectal polyposis syndrome, hereditary colorectal cancer and familial colorectal cancer. Indeed, it allows to consider a number of different genes associated with colon cancer for differential diagnosis. Using this solid technique, we are going to analyze a gene panel including APC, MUTYH, PTEN, STK11, BMPR1A, SMAD4, MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, PMS2, CDH1, CHEK2, EPCAM and TP53. This approach could consent to detect previously unidentified low frequency allelic variants including a novel candidate locus. Moreover, even if no mutation is found, the patient with polyposis still needs to be treated appropriately and clinical follow up should be initiated even before mutation testing is complete [40].
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