Is there a role for colon capsule endoscopy in acute disease?
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ABSTRACT
Colon capsule endoscopy (CCE) was first put into clinical practice for the evaluation of the small bowel in patients presenting with a gastrointestinal bleed unsuccessfully diagnosed by upper GI endoscopy and colonoscopy. With the recent advent of new technology, there is improved visualization of the intestinal mucosa and subsequently a higher sensitivity for identification of mural pathology, as seen in many recent prospective studies. CCE has now been studied both in the US and in Europe as a modality for colon cancer screening as well as for the diagnosis of inflammatory bowel disease. When compared to conventional colonoscopy, CCE has been shown to have a sensitivity of greater than 88% for identifying 6 mm colonic polyps and over 90% for 1 cm polyps. Therefore its use as a screening tool for colon cancer must be evaluated. In patients suspected to have colitis secondary to inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), it has been shown to have 89% sensitivity for identifying active colonic inflammation. For higher risk patients that requiring urgent colonoscopy, CCE offers an attractive alternative with the potential for a reduced risk on iatrogenic injury. Colon capsule endoscopy may also play an important role in the diagnosis and surveillance of IBD with colonic manifestations. Colonoscopy during active severe disease is associated with an increased risk of perforation due to mucosal inflammation and friability, allowing us to consider CCE as a potentially safer alternative. CCE appears to be most useful for patients with acute lower GI bleeding, inflammatory bowel disease, colonic ischemia or other mucosal-based lesions.
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1. INTRODUCTION
Capsule endoscopy was first put into clinical practice for the evaluation of the small intestine in patients presenting with occult gastrointestinal bleeding unsuccessfully diagnosed by upper endoscopy and colonoscopy. With the recent advent of new technology, the PillCam® Colon capsule endoscopy (GIVEN IMAGING LTD. Yokneam, Israel) was released in 2006 for evaluation of colonic pathology. The software and hardware has since been upgraded, allowing for better visualization of the intestinal mucosa and an improved sensitivity for identification of mural pathology. With this better technology and sensitivity comes an increase in the breadth of application. PillCam Colon 2, the newest generation in colonic capsule endoscopy (CCE), has now been studied as a modality for colon cancer screening, as well as for the surveillance of dysplastic lesions in inflammatory bowel disease.

This new device has some technical differences from the small-bowel capsule in size and function. There are video-capture components on both ends of the capsule and it captures images at a rate of 4 frames per second versus 2 frames per second for the small-bowel capsule. The capsule records images for approximately 10 hours, 2 hours longer than the small-bowel device. Data are recorded via an antenna—lead array similar to that used in other capsule endoscopy procedures. CCE may be useful for patients refusing routine colonoscopy or those with contraindications to colonoscopy or when prior colonoscopy is incomplete (Table 1).

2. RISKS AND BENEFITS
The greatest risk to patients undergoing CCE is camera impaction, resulting in intestinal obstruction. Capsule retention has been reported to occur in about 1 percent [1]. Rarely, this can result in small intestinal perforation [2]. Recent studies have also shown that a reduced volume bowel preparation is just as effective as a standard preparation making allowing this imaging technique to be employed rapidly. Bowel preparation prior to CCE can even be effective without polyethylene glycol electrolyte lavage solution [3].

On the other hand, while colonoscopy offers addi-
therefore important to carefully consider and individual-reported to occur as a result of colonoscopy [8]. It is genic injury during colonoscopy [5-7]. Other complica-tions such as bleeding or splenic injury have also been
tive colonic disease, it can be considerably higher. In
certain groups, even elective colonoscopysubjects pa-
tions for 1 cm polyps [13].

CCE-2 had 90% sensitivity for 6 mm polyps and 93% found to have neoplastic polyps biopsied on colonoscopy, cm [12]. After selecting and analyzing those patients CCE to have a sensitivity of 84% - 89% for identifying colonic polyops up to 6mm and 88% for polyps up to 1 cm [12]. After selecting and analyzing those patients to have neoplastic polyops biopsied on colonoscopy, CCE-2 had 90% sensitivity for 6 mm polyops and 93% sensitivity for 1 cm polyops [13]. Compared to colonoscopy, the rate of agreement with CCE was 76%; the sensitivity was 84% and the specific-
ity 63%, positive predictive value 78%, and negative predictive value 71% [14]. Even in patients with an increased risk for perforation, this CCE has a minimal risk of complication as long as there are no signs of intestinal obstruction. Recently published studies have investigated the safety of capsule endoscopy in older patients (mean age 73) with patients with cardiac pacemakers and implantable cardiac defibrillators (AICD). No adverse out-
comes or device malfunctions were noted, allowing the authors to conclude that capsule endoscopy is safe in this particular subset of the elderly population [15,16].

### 3. ACUTE INDICATIONS

Patients with acute inflammatory colonic conditions may benefit from colon capsule endoscopy as adequate bowel preparation may be difficult and the risk of complica-
tion from conventional colonoscopy may be slightly higher. Other diagnostic modalities such as contrast-enhanced GI series, CT or MRI are also utilized but may not yield sufficient mucosal detail. Conditions for which CCE has been utilized are listed in Table 2.

### 4. LOWER GI BLEEDING

CCE can demonstrate the site of lower gastrointestinal bleeding in 67% to 93% of patients [17,18]. However, in patients with a negative CCE, up to 35% will have another clinically significant bleed [19]. Because as many of 15% of lower gastrointestinal bleeds occur proximal to the cecum, CCE is valuable to identification of the location and etiology [20]. Capsule endoscopy can provide information on the entire GI tract; the procedure requires no sedation and is well tolerated. However, because one cannot obtain a biopsy or precisely localize a lesion it cannot replace colonoscopy and other diagnostic studies such as nuclear scintigraphy or angiography. Also, it may provide false-positive and false-negative findings due to its patient movement and the low-resolu-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indications:</th>
<th>Contraindications:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1) Contraindications for conventional colonoscopy</td>
<td>1) Pregnancy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) Monitoring non-specific inflammatory bowel disease</td>
<td>2) Swallowing disorders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3) Incomplete colonoscopy</td>
<td>3) Bowel obstruction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advantages:</td>
<td>4) Implantable medical devices (still being evaluated)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limitations:</td>
<td>1) Sedation is not required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) Higher compliance than colonoscopy</td>
<td>2) Battery lifespan varies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3) Non-operator dependent</td>
<td>depending on colon length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4) Can be performed in an outpatient environment</td>
<td>and motility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5) Cost-efficiency is similar to colonoscopy</td>
<td>3) High refinement is needed in order to accurately locate small lesions.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indications:</th>
<th>Contraindications:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Neoplasia</td>
<td>Adenomatous polyp Adenocarcinoma Lymphoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower gastrointestinal bleeding</td>
<td>Ulcerative colitis Crohn’s disease Infectious colitis Drug induced colitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflammatory conditions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ischemic colitis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiation-induced colitis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diverticular disease</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
to distinguish Crohn’s disease from indeterminate or ulcerative colitis using CCE would be desirable and the presence of proximal small intestinal inflammatory changes would suggest Crohn’s disease. Zhao, et al., found that about 6 percent of patients previously diagnosed with ulcerative colitis actually had inflammatory bowel disease unclassified (IBDU), which could be managed differently. However, studies have shown that the results of CCE may be limited [26]. In addition, one has to be cautious in using CCE for patients with active regional enteritis as perforation of the small intestine has been reported [27]. CCE is commonly utilized to distinguish ulcerative colitis from other etiologies of colitis such as infectious colitis, drug induced colitis, vascular induced ischemic colitis, radiation-induced colitis, or neoplastic disease. It also facilitates the evaluation of the effects of treatment or for the diagnosis of recurrence after surgery [28]. CCE may also be helpful in patients with ulcerative colitis with unexplained anemia or abdominal symptoms. It seems reasonable to perform CCE in patients with ulcerative colitis who experience atypical symptoms or have medically refractory disease, if there are no contraindications [29]. CCE has also been used with good success in pediatric patients with inflammatory bowel disease [30].

6. MUCOSAL ISCHEMIA
It may be helpful in distinguishing intestinal ischemia due to vascular etiologies from other causes as long as there are no signs of mechanical obstruction and there is evidence of peristaltic activity [31].

7. MUCOSAL LESIONS OF THE COLON
CCE has been used to evaluate patients with colonic lymphoma [32]. Colonic findings are also noted in patients undergoing evaluation of the small intestine using capsule endoscopy. In one study, colonic abnormalities were noted in nine percent of patients. This included cecal angiodysplasia, carcinoma, polyp, colon ulcerations with histological diagnosis of Crohn’s colitis and amebic colitis [33]. The use of CCE as an adjunct when colonoscopy was incomplete has also been demonstrated limited success [24]. CCE has also been utilized to diagnose radiation-induced colitis [34].

8. SUMMARY
Capsule colon endoscopy, with its recent technological improvements, has become a reliable, safe, minimally invasive modality for the identification of colonic pathology. While CCE has advanced our ability to obtain diagnostic non-invasive imaging of the colonic mucosa, the data thus far suggests that it has limited application. Colonoscopy remains the most effective method for diagnosing and prescribing treatment for colonic disease. Thus far, CCE has limited application to inspection of the proximal small intestine, examining the colonic mucosa in patients who cannot have colonoscopy or those in whom colonoscopy was unsuccessful. Its greatest application is in patients with lower GI bleeding, inflammatory bowel disease, colonic ischemia or other mucosal-based lesions. This technology has provided a great advance and a new tool. The application still needs to be further examined before it is universally applied.
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