Significance of defecography and the role of rectocele in constipated patients
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ABSTRACT

Background: Chronic constipation is a common, chronic and frequent problem of the general population. The aim of this study is to assess the efficacy of defecography in diagnosing the etiology of constipation and the relation between constipation and rectocele.

Material-method: We have investigated 250 patients who have been admitted to our general surgery outpatient clinic with complaint of constipation using Rome III criteria and diagnostic defecography.

Results: Out of 250 patients who were evaluated with defecography only 24 had normal findings. 136 patients were found to have rectocele.

Conclusion: We propose that rectocele is an important etiology of constipation, and defecography should be considered early in the diagnosis of rectocele.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Constipation is a major medical problem affecting 2% to 28% of the population [1]. Individual patients may have different conceptions of what constipation is, and the findings overlap with those in other functional gastrointestinal disorders. In 1999, an international panel of experts laid out specific criteria for the diagnosis of constipation known as the Rome III criteria. (Table 1) When patients present with complaints of constipation, a complete history and physical examination may help elicit the cause of constipation.

Constipation is functionally separated into the following subgroups: slow colonic transit, normal colonic transit, and defecatory or rectal evacuation abnormalities [2]. Disorders that are associated with pelvic floor dysfunction (puborectalis syndrome, descending perineal syndrome), solitary rectal ulcer syndrome, and rectocele are considered functional anorectal disorders. Symp toms of “constipation” are difficult to associate with a specific physiologic subgroup. Diagnosing functional anorectal disorders is difficult. A detailed history can be difficult to elucidate, subjective sensations may not be easily described in consistent terms, and symptoms are frequently variable in manifestation and transient in nature. Defecography, anometry, and electromyography (including pudendal nerve terminal motor latency) are frequently used for diagnosis.

The morphologic and dynamic examination of the anorectal region and the pelvic floor is possible by means of defecography. This technique was first described by Wallden in 1953 [3]. During recent decades, interest in the study of evacuation has grown; today it can also be performed with magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). Nonetheless, this technique still represents a widely available and cost-effective diagnostic tool [4-9].

Evacuation disorders, frequently found in elderly patients, are often caused by morphologic and functional abnormalities that are unlikely to be identified with static imaging techniques. Defecography evaluates in real time, the morphology of rectum and anal canal in correlation with pelvic bony components. Injection of a thick barium...
paste into the rectum and its subsequent evacuation during the defecography provides the evaluation both statically and dynamically. The most common indications are constipation, incomplete evacuation or incontinence (often associated with rectal bleeding), mucous discharge, and perineal pain or discomfort [10]. The technique is also useful for follow-up of patients who have undergone surgery of the pelvic region. Defecography is a cost-effective procedure, simple to perform and widely available in every hospital equipped with a fluoroscopy room. This method has the highest accuracy in diagnosing rectal intussusception, prolapse, and enteroceles. The main limitation of this technique is patient exposure to ionizing radiation in comparison with MR defecography, but MR defecography has limited availability. Defecography still represents a unique diagnostic technique for the examination of defecation dysfunctions’ etiology like rectocele, intussusception, enterocele, puborectalis spasm [11].

The aim of this study is to assess the efficacy of defecography in diagnosing the etiology of constipation and the relation between constipation and rectocele.

2. MATERIAL-METHOD

250 patients who admitted to our general surgery outpatient clinic with a complaint of constipation, (like manual maneuvers, straining during defecation) between January 2009 and January 2011 were included in this study.

All the patients were diagnosed with constipation according to Rome III criteria (Table 1). In the first step colonic transit time were measured. Patients with slow colonic transit time were not included in this study. Patients with normal colonic transit and anorectal outlet obstruction were included the study.

Patients were evaluated by history and physical examination to exclude secondary causes of constipation. Those who had findings (rectal hemoragy vs.) that predict cancer in physical examination were excluded from the study. Findings of hemorrhoid or anal fissure in physical examination were also considered as exclusion criteria because they lead to difficulty in defecation. Patients who had medical conditions that have role in etiology of constipation like psychiatric drug use or diseases like diabetes were not included in this study.

Patients were also assessed by hemogram, BUN, cre, ast, ca, na, k, p, mg, glu and thyroid function tests. Patients who had electrolyte imbalance (hypokalemia, hypophosphatemia, hypocalcemia), hypothyroidism and anemia were excluded because these are secondary causes of constipation.

All of the patients then had a defecography evaluation. Contrast medium (a mixture of barium and wheat flour) was infused into the rectum until the patients had a sensation of defecation (approximately 250 ml). After the infusion patients underwent defecography in physiologic defecation position.

3. RESULTS

48 (19.2%) of patients were male and 202 (80.8%) were female. Mean age was 35 for males, and 37.8 for females. All patients had complaint of constipation.

Defecography in women showed anterior rectocele and internal mucosal intussusception in 114 (56.4%) (Figure 1), only rectocele in 22 (10.8%) (Figure 2), puborectal spasm in 13 (6.4%), mucosal intussusception in 15 (7.4%), total pelvic dessensus in 7 (3.4%), sigmoidocele in 1 (0.49%), rectal prolapsus in 1 (0.49%), megarectum in 8 (3.9%), doligocolon in 5 (2.4%), hypertonic rectosigmoid junction 1 (0.49%), rectovaginal fistula in 1 (0.49%) of the patients. 14 (6.9 %) of the female patients had normal defecographic findings.

Defecography in men showed internal mucosal intussusception in 27 (56.2%), puborectalis spasm in 7 (14.5%), doligocolon in 2 (4.1%), megarectum in 2 (4.1%) patients. There were normal defecographic findings in 10
contraction, and anorectal dyssynergia. This disorder can also be characterized by levator ani syndrome, paradoxical puborectalis muscle contraction. Anismus is also known as spastic pelvic floor syndrome, which occurs when the anal sphincter paradoxically contracts rather than relaxes on attempted defecation (anismus). Patients with megacolon may have stenosis of the pelvic floor muscles, resulting in resistance to defecation (anismus).

Women are three times more likely than men to suffer from constipation and are more likely to have pelvic floor dysfunction [13]. In our study we found a higher incidence in females than males (202 (80.8%) female and 48 (19.2%) male).

Studies showed that incidence of constipation increases by age [14–20]. The reason for this increase is probably the decrease in motility. But in our study mean age of the patients was 36.9.

There are multiple causes of constipation which can be categorized into those caused by mechanical obstruction, metabolic causes, neurologic diseases, psychiatric diseases, and medications. In our study we tried to exclude such diagnoses that can be assessed within the purview of our examination. But without a diagnosis of psychiatric or neurological disorders can be skipped because they did not do a detailed psychiatric examination.

Anorectal outlet obstruction is a form of chronic constipation in which pan-colonic transit time is normal but there is delayed transit in the rectosigmoid segment. Some of these patients have dilatation of the rectum and/or colon (megacolon), while others suffer from a spasm of the pelvic floor muscles, resulting in resistance to defecation (anismus). Patients with megacolon may have loss of the normal myenteric plexus ganglion cells (Hirschsprung disease) or have idiopathic megacolon. Anismus is a condition in which the anal sphincter paradoxically contracts rather than relaxes on attempted defecation. Anismus is also known as spastic pelvic floor syndrome, levatorani syndrome, paradoxical puborectalis contraction, and anorectal dyssynergia. This disorder can be demonstrated on dynamic studies, such as evacuation defecography [21,22]. Clinical studies suggest that up to 38% of patients with constipation have evidence of impaired rectal emptying by evacuation proctography [23, 24]. In our study 24 patients had normal defecography and 226 patients had rectal emptying problem.

Rectocele, which is a protrusion or herniation of the rectal wall, was the most frequent problem in our study. The herniation is usually anteriorly, and patients describe either having to push the posterior vaginal wall or rectal digitation to have a bowel movement. Rectocele is more common in women because of obstetric factors such as multiparity and traumatic births. Rectocele is the most common cause of obstructed evacuation treated by surgery. It consists of an anterior bulge of the rectal wall wider than 2 cm in the anteroposterior diameter [25]. This condition is most commonly found in females because of laxity of the rectovaginal septum (congenital or caused by obstetrical traumas or surgical procedures). Outpouchings smaller than 2 cm are frequently found in asymptomatic females; these outpouchings are without clinical significance and are not considered pathological. Outpouchings larger than 2 cm are significantly associated with evacuation disorders. On defecography, an anterior outpouching of the anterior rectal wall bulges and dislocates the opacified vaginal lumen during straining and evacuation. The diagnosis of rectocele is based on both the clinical picture and the results of defecography. In a study of 2816 patients with constipation, 27% had rectocele (of there 27% >4 cm) [26]. In a study of 23 nulliparous, healthy women who underwent defecography, 81% had a rectocele [27] but only one woman had a rectocele greater than 2 cm. Rectocele greater than 2 cm are associated with in period rectal emptying on defecography [28]. The relevance of rectocele has varied in the literature, with some authors emphasizing size greater than 3 cm or rectocele with retained contrast material as rectocele that are clinically significant [29,30]. Other authors have used rectocele greater than 4 cm with delayed or absent emptying as their guidelines for rectocele relevance [31]. Defecography does not predict the outcome of rectocele repair but identifies the anatomy and any other pathologic abnormalities [32].

The relationship between rectocele and constipation is currently uncertain. Arnold and colleagues reported a series in which constipation persisted in the majority of patients following rectocele repair [33]. Sarles and colleagues have stated that three factors should be demonstrated to delineate a cause-and-effect relationship between a rectocele and anorectal outlet obstruction: 1) the necessity for a digital vaginal maneuver to assist defecation; 2) defecography demonstrating the rectocele with evidence of retained stool; 3) defecography permitting the recognition of associated lesions, such as rectal intussusception [34].

5. CONCLUSIONS

In this study 250 patients who were admitted to our clinic with a complaint of constipation, were evaluated by defecography; only 24 (9.8%) of them had normal defecographic findings. Ratio of normal defecography
was higher in men. Rectocele and intussusception were the most common pathologies in both sexes. According to us defecography is a first line diagnostic procedure in patients with constipation.

The incidence of rectocele was 68% in women with constipation in our study, however the prevalence of rectocele in general population in Turkey has not been determined.

The question is this: Is the rectocele the cause or the consequence of constipation? Further studies are needed to explain this question. But we believe that rectocele is important in the etiology of constipation and defecography are the first line procedure in diagnosis of rectocele and intussusception.
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