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ABSTRACT
It is difficult to find Boolean functions achieving many good cryptographic properties. Recently, Tu and Deng obtained two classes of Boolean functions with good properties based on a combinatorial conjecture about binary strings. In this paper, using different approaches, we prove this conjecture is true in some cases. This conjecture has resisted different attempts of proof since it is hard to find a recursive method. In this paper we give a recursive formula in a special case.
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1. Introduction
Let \( x \) be a nonnegative integer. If the binary expansion of \( x \) is
\[
x = \sum_{i=0}^{n} x_i 2^i,
\]
then the Hamming weight of \( x \) is
\[
w = \sum_{i=0}^{n} x_i.
\]

In [1] Tu and Deng proposed the following conjecture.

**Conjecture 1:** Let
\[
S_t = \{(a,b) : 0 \leq a, b \leq 2^n - 2, a + b = t (\text{mod } 2^n - 1), w(a) + w(b) < n\},
\]
where \( 1 \leq t \leq 2^n - 2 \). Then the cardinality \( |S_t| \leq 2^{n-1} \).

Based on this conjecture, Tu and Deng [1] constructed two classes of Boolean functions with good cryptographic properties. In this paper we always use the following bijection, where \( X_\alpha \) is the set of binary strings of length \( n \) except the string consisting of \( n \) copies of 1.

\[
Z_{2^n} \rightarrow X_\alpha
\]
\[
\sum_{i=0}^{n} x_i 2^i \mapsto x_0 x_1 \cdots x_{n-1}
\]

We use \( |t| \) to denote the length of a binary string \( t = t_0 t_1 \cdots t_{n-1} \). Let \( -t = (1-t_0)(1-t_1) \cdots (1-t_{n-1}) \). And we use the following notation \( 1^0 \cdots 0^m := 11\cdots 100\cdots 0 \), where there are \( k \) consecutive 1 and \( m \) consecutive 0 in the string.

In [1] Tu and Deng construct an algorithm which they used it to show that the conjecture above is true when \( n \leq 29 \). Cusick, Li and Stanica [2] show that Conjecture 1 is true when \( w(t) \leq 2 \) or \( w(t) \geq |t| - 4 \). In this paper, we will consider the following conjecture, which is equivalent to Conjecture 1.

**Conjecture 2:** Suppose that \( 1 \leq t \leq 2^n - 2, n \geq 2 \).

Let
\[
S_t = \{a : 0 \leq a \leq 2^n - 2, w(x) \geq w(a) + 1, t + a = x \text{ (mod } 2^n - 1), w(a) + w(b) < n\},
\]
then \( |S_t| \leq 2^{n-1} \).

The following lemma is easy so we omit the proof.

**Lemma 1.1** Let \( t = t_d t_{d-1} \cdots t_1 t_0 \). Then following statements are true:
1) \( |S(t)| = |S(t_d t_{d-1} t_{d-2} \cdots t_1 t_0)| \);
2) \( w(t) + w(-t) = n \);
3) The map \( \phi : S_t \rightarrow S(-t), \phi((a,b)) = a \) is bijective.

Hence \( |S_t| = |S(-t)| \).

So the authors in [3] actually showed that Conjecture 2 is true when \( w(t) \geq |t| - 2 \) or \( w(t) \leq 4 \).

According to Lemma 1.1, Deng and Yuan [4] show that Conjecture 2 is true if \( w(t) \leq 6 \).

The outline of this paper is as follows. In Section 2 we introduce some notations. In Section 3, we consider what happen if we change some digit 1 into 0 in the strings. We get a recursive formula about \( S(t) \) and prove a new case of the conjecture.

2. A Partition of \( X_\alpha \)

The following lemma is about the relation between \( w(t + a) \) and \( w(t) + w(a) \), which is proved in [4].
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Lemma 2.1 Let 
\[ t = t_0t_1\cdots t_{n-1} \in X_n, \quad a = a_0a_1\cdots a_{n-1} \in X_n. \]
Suppose that 
\[ I = \{ j : 0 \leq j \leq n-1, t_j = a_j \} = \{ i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_l \}, \]
where \( 0 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_l \leq n-1. \) Assume that \( t + a \neq 0^r. \) Then 
\[ w(t + a) = w(t) + w(a) - \sum_{i \in L_i} (i_{i+1} - i_i) \]
where we set \( i_{i+1} = i_i + n. \)
Let 
\[ S(t) = \{ a \in X_n : w(t) + w(a) = w(t + a) = 0 \} \]
for any \( t \in X_n \) and \( s(t) = \frac{|S(t)|}{2^n}. \)
Then 
\[ S(t) = \bigcup_{i=0}^{w(t)-1} S_i(t) \quad \text{and} \quad X_n = \bigcup_{i=0}^{w(t)-1} S_i(t) \]
which are disjoin unions. We define a partition on \( X_n \) according to Lemma 2.1.

Definition 2.1 Let \( t = t_0t_1\cdots t_{n-1} \) be a binary string of length \( n. \) Suppose that 
\[ w(t) = r, \quad \text{and} \quad t_m = t_{m-1} = \cdots = t_0 = 1, \]
where \( 0 \leq m < \cdots < m_i \leq n-1. \) Let \( a = a_0a_1\cdots a_{n-1} \) be a binary string. Suppose that 
\[ I_a = \{ j : 0 \leq j \leq n-1, t_j = a_j \} = \{ i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_i \}, \]
where \( 0 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_i \leq n-1. \) We set \( (x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_i) \) to be the subset of \( X_n \) such that \( a \in (x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_i) \) if and only if the following two conditions hold
i) \( x_j = i_{j+1} - i_j, \) if \( m_j = i \in I_a; \)
ii) \( x_j = 0, \) if \( m_j \notin I_a. \)
And we will use that notation \( a' = (x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_i) \) if \( a \in (x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_i). \)

Definition 2.2 Let \( t = t_0t_1\cdots t_{n-1} \) and \( a = a_0a_1\cdots a_{n-1} \) be two given binary strings. For any \( 0 \leq m \leq n-1, \) we set \( a' = a, \) if \( b' = i \) for each \( b = b_1b_2\cdots b_{n-1} \in a', i = 0, 1. \)
We say that \( a' \) is free if there are two strings \( b' \) and \( b'' \) in \( a' \) such that \( b'' = 0 \) and \( b'' = 1. \)
From Definition 2.1 and Lemma 2.1 we see that 
\[ a' = (x_1) \subseteq S_{w(t)}(t) \quad \text{and} \quad |a'| = 2^k, \]
where \( k \) is the number of indices such that \( a_i' \) is free.

Example 2.1 Let 
\[ t = 1100101000, \quad a = 1100101000, \quad b = 1100101100, \quad \text{and} \] 
\[ c = 1000110100. \] 
Then 
\[ t_0 = t_1 = t_2 = t_3 = 1, \] 
and 
\[ I_a = \{0,1,3,5,7,9\}, \] 
\[ I_b = \{0,1,2,3,4,5,6,8,9\}, \] 
\[ I_c = \{0,3,5,6,9\}. \]

So 
\[ a \in (1,2,0,0,0)^r, \quad b \in (1,1,1,1,1)^r \] 
and \( c \in (3,0,0,1,0)^r. \)
Moreover, by Definition 2.2 \( a' \in a' \) if and only if \( a' = 1100*0**0* \) \( = 0 \) or 1. That is, \( a' \) is free for \( i = 4, 6, 7, 9. \) We also have \( b' \in b' \) if and only if \( b' = 1110^r10^r10, \) \( c' \in c' \) if and only if \( c' = 1000^r10^r10^r, \) \( = 0 \) or 1.

3. Main Results
If \( t = 1^r0^r1^r0^r \cdots 1^r0^r \) with each \( r_i \geq 1, \) then we say that the block of \( t \) is \( n. \) Jean-P. Flori and H. Randriam [5] give some asymptotic results when each \( s_i \geq w(t) - 1. \) In particular, they show that Conjecture 2 is true if the block of \( t \) is smaller than 3 or each \( r_i \) is sufficient large for a fixed length of block. We give a recursive formula to show that we can restrict our attention to the case each \( r_i \) is smaller than the block of \( t \) in this situation. They also conjectured that
\[ |S(1^r0^r \cdots 1^{r-1}0^r)| \geq |S(1^r0^r \cdots 1^{r-1}0^r)| \] 
if \( r_n > 3. \)

Lemma 3.1 Let 
\[ t = 10^r10^r \cdots 10^r \]
and 
\[ T = 10^r10^r \cdots 10^r10^{r-1} \]
with 
\[ s_r \geq r - 1 \] 
and \( |t| = |T| = n. \)
Let 
\[ m_i = \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} (s_j + 1) \] 
for \( 1 \leq i \leq r - 1, \)
\[ \chi(T) = \{ a \in X_n : a_i \text{ is free} \} \]
and 
\[ \chi_j(T) = S_j(T) \cap \chi(T). \]
Then 
\[ |S(T)| - |S(t)| = 2^{r-1} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{r-2} 2 \left| \chi_j(T) \right| - 2^{r-3} \left| \chi_{r-1}(T) \right| \right) \]
Proof. Note that for any \( (x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_i) \), if \( x_i \geq m_i + 1, \)
then $x_j = 0$ for each $j > i$, moreover in this case we have

$$\|x_i\| = \left\|(x_1, \ldots, x_i, m_i, 0, \ldots, 0, x_i - m_i)\right\|.$$  

Let

$$I_1 = \sum_{\sum_{j=1}^{r-1} s_j = 0, s_j \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}} \left\|(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_i)\right\|,$$

$$I_2 = \sum_{\sum_{j=1}^{r-1} s_j = 0, s_j \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}} \left\|(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_i)\right\|,$$

then $|S(t)| = I_1 + 2I_2$. Similarly we write

$$|S(T)| = J_1 + J_2,$$

where

$$J_1 = \sum_{\sum_{j=1}^{r-1} s_j = 0, s_j \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}} \left\|(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_i)\right\|,$$

$$J_2 = \sum_{\sum_{j=1}^{r-1} s_j = 0, s_j \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}} \left\|(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_i)\right\|.$$  

We observe that if $a^r = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_i, 0, \ldots, 0)^T$, then $a \in \mathcal{S}$ if and only if each $x_j < m$. Now if $x_j \geq m + 1$, by comparing the number of free indices we have

$$\|x_1, x_2, \ldots, 0, \ldots, 0\| = \frac{1}{2} \left\|x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_i, 0, \ldots, 0\right\|.$$  

Hence, $2J_2 = J_1$. If each $x_j < m$, then

$$\|x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_i\| = 2^{-r-1} \|x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_i\|.$$  

Suppose that $\sum_{j=1}^{r-1} x_j = j \leq r - 1$. Then

$$\sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \left\|x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_i\right\| = \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} 2^{-r-1} \left\|x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_i\right\| = 2 \left(1 - 2^{-r-1}\right) \left\|x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_i\right\|.$$  

So

$$I_1 = \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \sum_{\sum_{j=1}^{r-1} s_j = 0, s_j \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}} 2 \left(1 - 2^{-r-1}\right) \left\|x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_i\right\|$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \sum_{\sum_{j=1}^{r-1} s_j = 0, s_j \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}} \left(1 - 2^{-r-1}\right) \left\|x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_i\right\|$$

Therefore

$$|S(T)| - |S(t)| = J_1 - I_1$$

$$\sum_{\sum_{j=1}^{r-1} s_j = 0, s_j \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}} \left\|(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_i)\right\|$$

$$\sum_{\sum_{j=1}^{r-1} s_j = 0, s_j \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}} \left\|(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_i)\right\|$$

$$2^{-r} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{r-1} 2^j \left|x_j(T)\right| - 2^{-r} \left|x_j(T)\right|\right).$$

This finishes the proof.

**Remark 3.1** Let $t = 10^910^3 \ldots 10^y$ with $s_r \geq r - 1$. It is clear that

$$|S(t^0)| = 2^r |S(t)|$$

for any $n > 0$. So we use the following notation $0^0$ means that there are sufficient consecutive 0 in the string.

We set

$$\chi_j(t) = \{a : a^r = (x_j), x_j = 0 \text{ for } j > \sum_{j=1}^{s_r - 1}\}$$

for $t = 10^010^0 \ldots 10^0$.  

**Theorem 3.1** Let

$$t = 10^010^0 \ldots 10^0, T = 10^010^0 \ldots 10^0,$$

$$t' = 10^010^0 \ldots 10^0, T' = 10^010^0 \ldots 10^0,$$

$$t'' = 10^010^0 \ldots 10^0, T'' = 10^010^0 \ldots 10^0,$$

where each $r_i \geq 1$ and $r_i \geq 2$. Then

$$s(t) - s(T) = s(t') - s(T') + 2^2 \left(s(t') - s(T')\right).$$

**Proof.** Suppose that those strings have the same length and $w(T) = \sum_{r_i} r_i = r$. By Lemma 3.1

$$|S(t)| - |S(T)| = 2^{-r} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{r-1} 2^j \left|x_j\right| - 2^{-r} \left|x_j\right|\right)$$

$$|S(t')| - |S(T')| = 2^{-r} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{r-1} 2^j \left|x_j\right| - 2^{-r} \left|x_j\right|\right)$$

$$|S(t'')| - |S(T'')| = 2^{-r} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{r-1} 2^j \left|x_j\right| - 2^{-r} \left|x_j\right|\right)$$

Let

$$a^r = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{s_r - 1}, 0, \ldots, 0)^T.$$  

If $x_1 > 0$, by comparing the number of free indices we have

$$\left\|a^r\right\| = \left\|r_1 - 1, 0, \ldots, r_s, x_1, \ldots, x_{s_r - 1}, 0, \ldots, 0\right\|,$$

where $y = \sum_{i=1}^{s_r} x_i - r_i + 1$. We set

$$\kappa_j(t) = \{a \in \chi_j(t) : a^r = (0, y_2, \ldots, y_{s_r})\}$$

and

$$\lambda_j(t) = \{a \in \chi_j(t) : a^r = (r_1 - 1, y_2, \ldots, y_{s_r})\}.$$  

Then

$$|S(t)| - |S(T)| = 2^{-r} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{r-1} 2^j \left|x_j\right| - 2^{-r} \left|x_j\right|\right)$$

$$+ 2^{-r} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{r-1} 2^j \left|x_j\right| - 2^{-r} \left|x_j\right|\right)$$

Let

$$I_1 = 2^{-r} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{r-1} 2^j \left|x_j\right| - 2^{-r} \left|x_j\right|\right)$$
and
\[ I_2 = 2^{-i+1} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} 2^j \left| \lambda_j (t) \right| - 2^{-i-1} \left| \lambda_{-i} (t) \right| \right). \]

Now consider the following mapping \( \varphi \) and \( \psi \). If \( a \in \lambda_j (t) \) and \( a' = (0, y_2, \cdots, y_{r-1})' \), then
\[ \varphi (a') = (y_2, \cdots, y_{r-1}, 0)' . \]

Then \[ |a'| = |\varphi (a')| . \]

So \[ |\lambda_j (t)| = |\chi_j (t')| . \]

If \( a \in \lambda_j (t) \) and \( a' = (r_i - 1, y_2, \cdots, y_{r-1})' \), then
\[ \psi (a') = (y_1, r_i - 1, y_{r+1}, \cdots, y_{r-1})' . \]

It is easy to see that
\[ \psi (a') \subseteq \chi_{j-n} (t') \quad \text{and} \quad |a'| = 2^{n-1} |\psi (a')| . \]

By the discussion above we obtain
\[ I_1 = |S(t')| - |S(T')| , \quad \text{and} \quad I_2 = 2^{-i} \left( \left| S(t') \right| - \left| S(T') \right| \right) . \]

This finishes the proof.

**Corollary 3.1** With the same notations in Theorem 3.1. Suppose that \( r_i \geq \# \{ i : 1 \leq i \leq n, r_i \geq 2 \} \), then
\[ s(t) > s(T) . \]

Proof. We proof the statement by induction on \( l = r_i \geq \# \{ i : 1 \leq i \leq n, r_i \geq 2 \} \).

The case \( l = 1 \) implies that \( r_1 = r_2 = \cdots = r_{n-1} = 1 \).

This was proved in [4]. Without loss of generality we can assume that \( r_i \geq 1 \) and \( r_i > l \). By induction
\[ s(t^*) > s(T^*) , \]

by Theorem 3.1
\[ s(t^*) = s(T^*) . \]

The proof is completed by induction on \( \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} h_i \).

**Corollary 3.2** Let \( t = 1^6 0^7 1^6 0^7 1^6 0^7 \). Then
\[ s(t) \leq \frac{1}{2} . \]

Proof. By Corollary 3.1 it suffice to show that case when each \( r_i \geq 3 \). So we have \( w(t) \leq 6 \), which is proved in [4].
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