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ABSTRACT

In this present paper, firstly, the modified positive operators and its discrete operators are constructed. Then, we investigate the statistical approximation properties and rates of convergence by using modulus of continuity of these positive linear operators. Finally, we obtain the rate of statistical convergence of truncated operators.

Keywords: Sequence of Positive Linear Operators; Bohman-Korovkin Theorem; Statistical Approximation; Modulus of Continuity; Rate of Convergence

1. Introduction

First of all, let us recall the concept of statistical convergence. The natural density (or density) of the set \( K \subseteq \mathbb{N} \) is denoted as \( \delta(K) \).

For \( \delta(K) := \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \{ \text{the number } k \leq n : k \in K \} \)

whenever the limit exists (see e.g. [1]) if for every \( \varepsilon > 0, \delta \{ k \in \mathbb{N} : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon \} = 0 \)

then we say that a sequence \( x = (x_k) \) is said to be statistically convergent to a number of \( L \) (see Fast in [2]).

The concept of statistical convergence is very important in approximation theory because although any sequence which is convergent in ordinary sense is statistically convergent, but contrary can not be true all the time. For instance;

If we choose \( (x_k) \) as \( x_k = \left\{ L_1 \mid n = m^2 \right\} \cup \left\{ L_2 \mid n \neq m^2 \right\} \) \((m = 1, 2, 3, \ldots)\),

then we can easily say that it is statistically convergent to \( L_2 \) but not convergent in ordinary sense when \( L_1 \neq L_2 \).

Recently, linear positive operators and their Korovkin type statistical approximation properties have been investigated by many authors. It is well-known that lots of operators were defined with infinite series. Details can be found in [3]. For example, \( n \)-th Favard-Mirakjan-Szász operator was defined by

\[
(S_n f)(x) := \sum_{k=0}^{n} f\left( \frac{k}{n} \right) s_{n,k}(x), s_{n,k}(x) = \frac{(nx)^k}{k!} e^{-nx}
\]

for every \( f \) belonging to Banach lattice \( E_2, x \in \mathbb{R}^+ \) and \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), where

\[
E_2 := \left\{ f \in C([0, \infty)) : \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{f(x)}{1 + x^2} \text{ is finite} \right\}
\]

is endowed with the norm \( \| f \|_{E_2} := \sup_{x \geq 0} \frac{|f(x)|}{1 + x^2} \).

In [4], Doğru investigate the weighted approximation properties of general positive linear operators on infinite intervals. Later, in 2002, weighted approximation properties of Szász-type operators are investigated by same author in [5]. In this note, we investigate the statistical approximation properties considering only the partial sums of the operators. In [6], J. Grof studied on the operator

\[
(S_{n,N} f)(x) := \sum_{k=0}^{n} f\left( \frac{k}{n} \right) s_{n,k}(x)
\]

where \( s_{n,k}(x) = \frac{(nx)^k}{k!} e^{-nx} \) and he verified that if

\[
(N(n))_{n \geq 1}
\]

is a sequence of positive integers such that

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{N(n)}{n} = \infty \text{ then } \lim_{n \to \infty} (S_{n,N} f)(x) = f(x) \text{ for all } x \geq 0 \text{ and } f \in C[0, \infty].
\]

Here, \( f \) satisfies the inequality

\[
|f(t)| \leq A e^{At} \quad (A \in \mathbb{R}^+, m \in \mathbb{N}).
\]

In 1984, Heintz-Gerd Lehnhoff [7] studied the following Modified Szász operators

\[
(S_{n,a} f)(x) := \sum_{k=0}^{n} f\left( \frac{k}{n} \right) s_{n,a}(x), x \geq 0
\]
where \( s_{n,k}(x) = \frac{(nx)^{k}}{k!} e^{-nx} \), \( f \in C[0, \infty) \).

Gadjiev and Lehnhoff obtained the conditions which ensure the convergence of the operators \( (S_{n,k}f) \) to \( f \).

Notice that the notation \( \lceil \gamma \rceil \) shows the largest integer and it is not exceeding the number \( \gamma \).

The main aim of this paper is to investigate the statistical approximation properties of the operators which constructed and examined the ordinary approximation properties by Agratini in [8].

2. Statistical Approximation Properties

Let us recall the operators which were defined by Agratini in [8].

Throughout the paper \( IN_0 = \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N} \), \( K \) indicates a compact subinterval of \( IR^+ \) and \( e_j \), the \( j \)-th monomial, \( e_j(t) = t^j \).

Let us assume that the following cases for each \( n \in \mathbb{N} \).

1) For every \( k \in IN_0 \), a sequence of \( \gamma_k \) exists such that

\[
x_{n,k} = O(n^{-\gamma}) \quad (n \to \infty)
\]

a net on \( IR^+, \Delta_n = (x_{n,k})_{k \geq 0} \) is fixed.

2) There is a sequence \( (\phi_{n,k})_{k \geq 0} \) such that

\[
\phi_{n,k} \in C^{\ast}(IR^+). \quad \text{Where,} \quad C^{\ast}(IR^+) \quad \text{is the space of all real-valued functions continuously differentiable in} \quad IR^+.
\]

For this sequence \( (\phi_{n,k})_{k \geq 0} \) the following conditions

\[
\phi_{n,k} \geq 0, \quad k \in IN_0, \quad \sum_{k = 0}^{\infty} \phi_{n,k} \quad \text{is fixed.}
\]

3) A positive function \( \psi \in IR^{IN \times IR^+}, \quad \psi(n,x) \in C^\ast(IR^+) \), exists with the property,

\[
\psi(n,x)\phi_{n,k}^\ast(x) = (x_{n,k} - x)\phi_{n,k}^\ast(x), \quad k \in IN_0, x \geq 0.
\]

By using these requirements the operators were defined as

\[
L_n(f;x) := \sum_{k = 0}^{\infty} \phi_{n,k}^\ast f(x_{n,k}), \quad x \geq 0, \quad f \in F
\]

where \( F \) stands for the domain of \( L_n \) containing the set of all continuous functions on \( IR^+ \) for which the series in (5) is convergent.

We note that, with specific choosing these operators turn into the operators mentioned in [1].

Lemma A. [8] Let \( L_n \), \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), be defined by (5) and \( \varphi_{n,r} \) be the \( r \)-th central moment of \( L_n \). For every \( x \in IR^+ \), we have the following identities,

\[
\varphi_{n,0}(x) = 1, \quad \varphi_{n,1}(x) = 0, \quad \varphi_{n,r+1}(x) = \psi(n,x)(\varphi_{n,r}(x) + r\varphi_{n,r-1}(x)), \quad r \in \mathbb{N},
\]

\[
\varphi_{n,2}(x) = \psi(n,x).
\]

A Korovkin type statistical approximation theorem for any sequence of positive linear operators was proved by Gadjiev and Orhan in [9]. First, let us recall this theorem. Where \( C_M[a,b] \) denotes all functions \( f \) that are continuous in \([a,b]\) and bounded all positive axis.

Theorem A. [9] If the sequence of positive linear operators \( A_n : C_M[a,b] \to C[a,b] \) satisfies the conditions

\[
st - \lim L_n f - f \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{K}}[a,b] = 0,\quad j = 0,1,2
\]

then for any function \( f \in C_M[a,b] \) we have,

\[
st - \lim L_n f - f \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{K}}[a,b] = 0.
\]

Now, we can give the following theorem which includes the statistical convergence of the operators in (5).

Theorem 1. Let \( L_n \), be the operators defined in (5). If \( st - \lim \psi(n,x) = 0 \), uniformly on \( K \) then for every \( f \in F \) we have,

\[
st - \lim L_n f - f \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{K}}[a,b] = 0.
\]

Proof. Because of (3) we can easily say that

\[
st - \lim L_n e_i - e_i \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{K}}[a,b] = 0
\]

and

\[
st - \lim L_n (e_i - e_i) \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{K}}[a,b] = 0.
\]

We know from (8) that

\[
\psi(n,x) = \varphi_{n,2}(x) = L_n((t-x)^2; x).
\]

By using the linearity of the operator

\[
\psi(n,x) = L_n(t^2; x) - 2xL_n(t; x) - x^2L_n(1; x).
\]

From (3),

\[
\psi(n,x) = L_n(e_i; x) - 2x - x^2 = L_n(e_i; x) - x^2.
\]

Hence,

\[
\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{K}}[a,b] = \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{K}}[a,b] = \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{K}}[a,b] = 0.
\]

Now, we are able to say in the light of Theorem A that

\[
st - \lim L_n f - f \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{K}}[a,b] = 0 \quad \text{which ends the proof.}
\]

By using modulus of continuity, we mention about the rate of statistical convergence of these operators. First, let us remember the definition of modulus of continuity. Let \( f \in C(K), \omega(f; \delta) \) the modulus of continuity of \( f \), is defined as
\[ \omega(f; \delta) = \sup_{t, t' \in K} \left| f(t) - f(t') \right| \]

Let \( L_n \) be defined by (5), for every \( f \in C_1(\mathbb{R}^+) \), \( x \geq 0 \) and \( \delta > 0 \). We know from Theorem 1 in [8] that
\[ \left| L_n(f;x) - f(x) \right| \leq \left( 1 + \delta^{-1} \sqrt{\psi(n,x)} \right) \omega(f;\delta) \tag{13} \]

If we take norm on \( K \) and choose \( \delta = \delta_n = \left[ \psi(n,x) \right]^{1/2} \), we get
\[ \| L_n(f;\cdot) - f \|_{\psi(K)} \leq 2\omega(f;\delta_n). \]
Due to \( \text{st-lim}_n \psi(n,x) = 0 \), we have the rates of statistical convergence of the operators in (5).

3. Modified Discrete Operators

In this section, we recall the modified discrete operators which were defined by Agratini in [8] and investigate the statistical approximation properties of these operators. If we specialize the net \( \Delta_n \) and function \( \psi \) respectively,
\[ x_{n,k} = \frac{k}{a_n} \leq k, \quad \text{st-lim}_n \left( a_n \right)^{-1} \]
\[ \psi_{n,\alpha} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\psi(x)}{a_n}, x \geq 0 \]  
(14)
under these assumptions, the requirement of Theorem 1 is fulfilled. Starting from (5) under the additional assumptions (14) Agratini defined,
\[ L_{n,d}(f;x) := \sum_{k=0}^{\left[ a_n(x + \delta(n)) \right]} \varphi_{n,k}(x) f \left( \frac{k}{a_n} \right), \]  
(15)
where, \( \delta = \left[ \delta(n) \right]_{n=1} \) is a sequence of positive numbers.

The study of these operators were developed in polynomial weighted spaces connected to the weights \( \omega_m, m \in \mathbb{N}_0, \omega_m(x) = \left( 1 + x^{2m} \right)^{-1}, x \geq 0 \). For every \( m \in \mathbb{N}_0 \) the spaces
\[ E_m := \left\{ f \in C(\mathbb{R}^+) : \| f \|_{E_m} := \sup_{x \geq 0} \omega_m(x) |f(x)| < \infty \right\} \]
endowed with the norm \( \| f \|_{E_m} \).

**Lemma B.** [8] Let \( L_n \) be defined by (5) and the assumptions (14) are fulfilled. If \( \psi_i \in C^{2m-2}(\mathbb{R}^+), i = 1, 2, \cdots, l \) then the central moment of 2m-th order verifies
\[ \varphi_{n,2m} \leq \frac{C(m,K)}{a_n^m}, x \in K. \tag{16} \]

Where \( C(m,K) \) is a constant depending only on \( m \) and the compact \( K \).

**Theorem 2.** Let \( L_{n,d} \) be defined by (15). If
\[ \psi_i \in C^{2m-2}(\mathbb{R}^+), i = 1, 2, \cdots, l, \]
\[ \text{st-lim}_n \left[ \sqrt{a_n \delta(n)} \right]^{-1} = 0, \]
\[ \text{st-lim}_n \| L_n \|_{\psi(K)} = 0, \]
holds for every \( f \in E_m \cap F \).

**Proof.** We use the following,
\[ t^{2m} \leq 2^{2m-1} \left( x^{2m} + (t - x)^{2m} \right), \]  
(17)
and for \( a_f, b_f \) which are the positive constants \( |f| \leq a_f + b_f e_{2m} \) hold true. Hence,
\[ f(t) \leq g_m(x) + 2^{2m-1} b_f \left( t - x \right)^{2m}, \]
\[ g_m := a_f + b_f 2^{2m-1} e_{2m}. \]
From this inequality,
\[ \left| f \left( \frac{k}{a_n} \right) \right| \leq g_m(x) + 2^{2m-1} b_f \left( \frac{k}{a_n} - x \right)^{2m}, \]  
(18)
\[ k \in \mathbb{N}_0, x \geq 0. \]

If \( k \geq \left[ a_n(x + \delta(n)) \right]_{n=1} + 1 \) then \( \frac{k}{a_n} \geq x \). On the grounds of \( x, \delta(n) \) and \( a_n \) are positive we can write that
\[ \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
 k \in \mathbb{N}_0 : k \geq \left[ a_n(x + \delta(n)) \right]_{n=1} + 1 \} \\
 k \in \mathbb{N}_0 : \frac{k}{a_n} - a_n > \delta(n) \Rightarrow I_{n,k,\delta} \]
(19)
The remaining term is \( R_n := L_n - L_{n,d} \) and taking into consideration both (18) and (19)
\[ \| R_n(f;x) \| \leq \sum_{k \in I_{n,k,\delta}} \varphi_{n,k}(x) \left| f \left( \frac{k}{a_n} \right) \right| \]
\[ \leq \sum_{k \in I_{n,k,\delta}} \varphi_{n,k}(x) g_m(x) + 2^{2m-1} b_f \]
\[ \times \sum_{k \in I_{n,k,\delta}} \varphi_{n,k}(x) \left( \frac{k}{a_n} - x \right)^{2m} \]
\[ \leq g_m(x) \delta^{-2m} \left( n \right) \sum_{k=0}^{\left[ a_n(x + \delta(n)) \right]_{n=1}} \varphi_{n,k}(x) \left( \frac{k}{a_n} - x \right)^{2m} \]
\[ + 2^{2m-1} b_f \varphi_{n,2m}(x) \]
\[ = g_m(x) \delta^{-2m} \left( n \right) \varphi_{n,2m}(x) \]
\[ + 2^{2m-1} b_f \varphi_{n,2m}(x). \]
By using (16)
\[ \| R_n(f;x) \| \leq \left( g_m(x) \delta^{-2m} \left( n \right) + 2^{2m-1} b_f \right) \frac{C(m,K)}{a_n^m} \]
If we take norm on \( K \) we have the following.
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\[ \| R_n(f;x) \|_{c(K)} \leq g_m C(m,K) \left( \sqrt[n]{a_n \delta(n)} \right)^{2m} + 2^{2m-1} b_f C(m,K) a^{-m}. \]

By considering the concept of statistical convergence let us define the sets,

\[ E := \left\{ k \leq n : \| R_k(f;x) \| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \]

\[ E_1 := \left\{ k \leq n : \left( \sqrt[n]{a_k \delta(k)} \right)^{2m} \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2\|g_n\| C(m,K)} \right\} \]

\[ E_2 := \left\{ k \leq n : a_k^{m} \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{2m} b_f C(m,K)} \right\}. \]

It is obvious that \( E \subset E_1 \cup E_2 \) and \( \delta(E) \leq \delta(E_1) + \delta(E_2) \) because of \( st - \lim_{n} \left( \sqrt[n]{a_n \delta(n)} \right)^{-1} = 0 \) and \( st - \lim_{n} a_n^{-1} = 0 \).

The proof is completed.
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