Coherency Evaluation of Spatiotemporal Neural Activities in the Molluscan Olfactory Center Applying Extracellular Recording with Wavelet Analysis
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ABSTRACT

The procerebrum (PC) of the land slug Limax is the olfactory center involved in olfactory discrimination and learning [1,2]. In the PC, an oscillation of local field potential (LFP) with 0.5 - 1 Hz is observed by electrophysiological extracellular recording [3]. The oscillatory activity is supposed to arise from synaptic interactions of two types of interneurons [4-6]. Additionally, previous studies using optical recordings revealed spatiotemporal activity patterns in the PC [4,7,8]. The oscillation has a phase delay along the distal-proximal axis, while the neural activities along the anterior-posterior axis are synchronized, resulting in the propagation of waves from distal to proximal regions.

Optical recordings provide direct measurement for spatiotemporal neural activities. Optical recordings, however, have some defects. For example, in the fluorescent voltage imaging, voltage sensitive dyes generally exhibit a relatively small change in the fluorescence intensities, resulting in a low S/N ratio, and often exhibit photobleaching and phototoxicity. Therefore, there are not many reports on long time stable measurement by the fluorescent voltage imaging. Additionally, high speed measurement is difficult for imaging. Recently, we have developed an imaging system which enables us to measure spatiotemporal neural activities for a long time with a high speed and a high S/N ratio [9]. However, extracellular recording is still preferable for long time and high speed measurement, while it is not abundant in spatial information. It is therefore important to elicit spatial in-
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1. Introduction

The procerebrum (PC) of the land slug Limax is the olfactory center involved in olfactory discrimination and learning [1,2]. In the PC, an oscillation of local field potential (LFP) with 0.5 - 1 Hz is observed by electrophysiological extracellular recording [3]. The oscillatory activity is supposed to arise from synaptic interactions of two types of interneurons [4-6]. Additionally, previous studies using optical recordings revealed spatiotemporal activity patterns in the PC [4,7,8]. The oscillation has a phase delay along the distal-proximal axis, while the neural activities along the anterior-posterior axis are synchronized, resulting in the propagation of waves from distal to proximal regions.
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formation from extracellular recording.

In this study, we first measured the LFP oscillations in the PC of *Limax valentianus* by the conventional extracellular recording. We next evaluated spatial information included in the present LFP compared with the spatiotemporal neural activities measured by the fluorescent voltage imaging. Finally, we analyzed the LFP oscillations by the wavelet analysis to evaluate the coherency of the spatiotemporal neural activities in the PC. The wavelet analysis is a frequency analysis, which is more suitable for physiological data than Fourier-based analyses.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Preparations

The land slug *Limax valentianus* was anesthetized by injection of 35 mM Mg$^{2+}$ solution into the body cavity, and the central nervous system (CNS) was isolated with tentacles from the body in the dissection solution (composition in mM: NaCl 35, KCl 2, MgCl$_2$ 28, CaCl$_2$ 4.9, D-glucose 5, HEPES-NaOH 5 (pH 7.6)). The PC is located at the distal part of each cerebral ganglion.

2.2. Extracellular Recording

The recording chamber was consisted of two compartments with a slit between them. The isolated CNS was placed into one of the compartments filled with the slug saline (composition in mM: NaCl 70, KCl 2, MgCl$_2$ 4.7, CaCl$_2$ 4.9, D-glucose 5, HEPES-NaOH 5 (pH 7.6)), and tentacles were placed to pass through the slit to the other compartment exposed air. The slit was sealed with silicon grease, and the odor stimulus was applied to the tentacles by a continuous flow from the odor source. The LFP was measured through a glass suction electrode (tip diameter = 80 μm) filled with the slug saline. The reference electrode was placed into the slug saline in the former compartment. The signals were amplified with an extracellular recording amplifier (ER-1, Cygnus, USA) and transferred through an AD converter (PowerLab, ADInstruments, Australia) into a personal computer (Dell Precision T5600, Dell, USA) with 1 kHz sampling frequency. The sampled signals are expressed as $V(t) = \{V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_M\}$.

2.3. Fluorescent Voltage Imaging

For the fluorescence measurement, a voltage sensitive dye Di-4-ANEPPS (Wako, Japan) was used. The isolated CNS was loaded for 30 min at room temperature with 86 μM Di-4-ANEPPS in the presence of 0.06% cremophor EL and 0.6% ethanol in the slug saline. After the dye loading, the preparation was washed with the slug saline.

The stained preparation was placed on the recording chamber mentioned above. The chamber was then mounted on the stage of a microscope (E-FN1, Nikon, Japan) with a 10 × objective lens (Plan Apo, 0.45 NA, Nikon, Japan). The dye was excited by a LED of 530 nm with a half width of 25 nm (LEX2-G, Brain Vision, Japan) through an excitation filter (EX510-560). The emitted fluorescence of 705 nm was detected through a dichroic mirror (DM575) and a barrier filter (BA590). The fluorescence images (1024 pixel × 1024 pixel) were acquired at a rate of 10 ms/frame by a sCMOS camera (Zyla, Andor, Ireland). The image sequences were stored into a personal computer (Dell Precision T5600, Dell, USA).

2.4. Wavelet Analysis

The wavelet analysis is a frequency analysis, which is different from Fourier-based analyses in which time stationarity is assumed for the signals. Physiological signals are non-stationary, for example, the present LFP oscillation pattern is transiently changed by odor stimuli. Because an assumption on time stationarity is not needed for the wavelet analysis, it has been applied to physiological signal analyses [10-13]. In the present study, we also used a similar method to the previous studies as briefly described below.

The wavelet analysis is based on the introduction of an appropriate orthogonal basis, which is an orthonormal basis when normalized, and the signals are characterized based on the distribution of amplitude within basis. The orthonormal basis is called mother wavelet. We employed the “Daubechies” function as mother wavelet $\psi$ [14]. The wavelet expansion is expressed as

$$V(t) = \sum_{j,k} C(j,k) \psi_{j,k}(t),$$

$$C(j,k) = \int_{R} V(t) \psi_{j,k}(t) dt,$$

$$\psi_{j,k}(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^j}} \psi\left(2^{-j} t - k\right).$$

Here, $C(j,k)$ are wavelet coefficients, $j$ is a resolution level and $k$ is a time index. $N$ is the number of the resolution levels, which was set to 12 in this study. The resolution level $j$ determines one frequency band. The concept of energy is linked with that in the Fourier theory. The mean wavelet energy at frequency band $j$ in time window $i$ is expressed as

$$E_{i,j}^{(j)} = \frac{1}{N_j} \sum_k |C(j,k')|^2.$$

The corresponding squared coefficients, $|C(j,k')|^2$, are summed over time index $k'$ within the time window $i$. $N_j$ is the number of the wavelet coefficients. The relative wavelet energy in the time window $i$ is expressed as

$$P_{i,j}^{(j)} = E_{i,j}^{(j)} / E_{\text{tot}}^{(j)} = \sum_j E_{i,j}^{(j)}.$$
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\[ P_j^{(i)} \] represents the probability distribution of the energy, where \( \sum_{j=1}^{N} P_j^{(i)} = 1 \). The wavelet entropy in the time window \( i \) is expressed as
\[ S^{(i)} = -\sum_{j=1}^{N} P_j^{(i)} \ln P_j^{(i)}. \] 

3. Results and Discussion

Figure 1 shows time changes in the LFP in the PC. The LFP is induced by the electric current between the glass electrode and the reference. When the electric current is from the glass electrode to the reference, the LFP becomes upward. As another group reported, the LFP showed an oscillation with 0.5 - 1 Hz (Figure 1(a)). While 3 to 5 \( \mu \)m tip glass electrodes were used in the previous reports [3,4,7], however, larger-bore (80 \( \mu \)m tip) glass electrodes were used in the present study. It is therefore supposed that more spatial information is included in the present LFP, which is discussed below. In Figure 1, no change in the LFP oscillation pattern was seen in a wet air stimulus (Figure 1(a)), while a change was seen in an aversive odor (isoamyl acetate) stimulus (Figure 1(b)). Although the frequency estimated from peak-to-peak intervals was not much changed by the odor stimulus, the waveform became different as shown in Figure 2. The waveform had shoulders (thin line in Figure 2(a)) and sometimes some peaks (shown later) before the odor stimulus. The characteristics in the waveform are supposed to be due to a phase difference among neural activities in the measurement area of the glass electrode.

After the odor stimulus, however, it had one peak and a sharp shape (thick line in Figure 2(a)), which suggests a decrease in the phase difference among the neural activities. Similar results were obtained for another aversive odor (cineol). The half width of the waveform was decreased by the aversive odor stimuli (Figure 2(b)). Thus, the present LFP measurement suggests that the aversive odors induce spatially coherent activities in the PC. To confirm it, we next examined the spatiotemporal neural activities in the PC using the fluorescent voltage imaging.

Figure 3 shows time changes in the fluorescence intensity of the dye (Di-4-ANEPPS) in the PC. The four ROIs with 64 pixel \( \times \) 64 pixel (about 40 \( \mu \)m \( \times \) 40 \( \mu \)m) were put on the fluorescent images along the distal-proximal axis of the PC (Figures 3(a) and (b)). The changes in the fluorescence intensity (\( \Delta F \)) are expressed as relative values to the basal level with \%. The fluorescence intensity of each ROI showed an oscillation corresponding to the LFP oscillation simultaneously measured (Figures 3(c)). Here, the fluorescence increase of the dye (\( \Delta F > 0 \)) corresponds to hyperpolarization of neurons. The hyperpolarization induces the electric currents to surroundings. The summation of the electric currents
induces the LFP. When the total electric current is from
the glass electrode to the reference, the LFP becomes
upward.

As shown in Figure 3(c), the fluorescence oscillation
had a phase delay from distal to proximal region in the
PC before the odor stimulus, and the delay time was 100
- 150 ms between distal and proximal regions (Figure
3(d)). Here, the delay time was estimated by the down-
ward peaks, because they were more easily detected than
the upward peaks. On the other hand, the phase delay
disappeared after the aversive (isoamyl acetate) stimulus
(Figure 3(d)). Figure 4 shows pseudo-color images ob-
tained from ΔF/Δt in each image element (16 pixel ¥ 16
pixel (about 10 μm ¥ 10 μm)). ΔF/Δt in each image ele-
ment corresponds to each electric current to surroundings.
This figure also shows that the neural activities propagate
distal to proximal region of the PC before the odor
stimulus and they became coherent all over the PC after
the odor stimulus. As mentioned above, these results
were already supposed from the change in the LFP wave-
form. Therefore, it is suggested that the coherency eva-
ualuation of the spatiotemporal neural activities in the PC is
possible from the present LFP measurement.

We next evaluated the spatial information included in
the present LFP by comparing with the fluorescent volt-
age imaging. Figure 5 shows comparisons of the wave-
forms between the LFP (blue line) and the summation of
ΔF/Δt (red line) in the image elements. Here, the wave-
forms were obtained before the odor stimulus. One of the
LFP waveforms had two peaks (see the left LFP wave-
form). To obtain the waveforms of the summation of
ΔF/Δt, a line of 25 image elements was put perpendicu-
larly to the distal-proximal axis on the center of the glass
electrode, and then the number of lines was gradually in-
creased along the distal-proximal axis (see the area
shown with the red square in the fluorescence image).
When the number of lines was 3, that is, the width of
the area was about 30 μm, the summation of ΔF/Δt could not
reproduce two peaks in the LFP (see the part shown with
the gray arrow in Figure 5(a)). On the other hand, when
the number of lines was 44, that is, the width of the area
was about 440 μm, the summation of ΔF/Δt became too
wide compared with the LFP waveform (see the part
shown with the gray arrow in Figure 5(c)). As seen from
Figure 5(b), when the number of lines was 32, that is,
the width of the area was about 320 μm, the summation
of ΔF/Δt could well reproduce the LFP waveform. We
therefore conclude that the present LFP includes spatial
information within the area of 300 - 350 μm width along
the distal-proximal axis.

Finally, we attempted to evaluate the coherency of the
spatiotemporal neural activities in the PC from the LFP
oscillation patterns by the wavelet analysis. Figure 6
shows time changes in the wavelet energy in each fre-
quency band and the wavelet entropy. In this figure, the
wavelet energy is a relative value to the total energy,
which is the relative wavelet energy P^j in Equation (1)
(Figure 6(a)), and the wavelet entropy is also a relative
value obtained by normalizing S^j in Equation (2) by the
maximum entropy S_{max} (Figure 6(b)). S_{max} is obtained
when the energy is distributed equally to each frequency
band, that is, S_{max} = ln 12 in this study. In wavelet anal-
ysis, the resolution level j determines one frequency band.
After the aversive (isoamyl acetate) stimulus, the energy
in the 0.45 - 0.90 Hz band increased and that in the 2.40
- 5.00 Hz band decreased, and the entropy also decreased.
Similar results were obtained for the other aversive

Figure 3. (a) Fluorescence image of the PC. The ROI flames
for fluorescence analysis (red squares) and the glass elec-
trode (blue figure) for extracellular recording are shown;
(b) Preparation of the central nervous system with tentacles.
The blue circle and the red arrow show the left and right
PCs, respectively; (c) Time changes in the LFP (upper fig-
ure) and the fluorescence intensity in the ROI flames (lower
figure). The LFP was simultaneously measured by extracel-
lar recording; (d) Delay times estimated from the peaks of
fluorescence oscillations in the flame 1 and the flame 4. The
aversive odor (isoamyl acetate) stimulus was applied at the
upward.
Figure 4. Pseudo-color images of the spatiotemporal neural activities in the PC. The upper left figure shows the fluorescence image of the PC. The image elements are shown with the yellow mesh in the fluorescence image. The glass electrode for extracellular recording (blue figure) is also shown. The pseudo-color images were obtained from $\Delta F/\Delta t$ in each image element (16 pixel $\times$ 16 pixel (about 10 $\mu$m $\times$ 10 $\mu$m)). The times before and after the aversive odor (isoamyl acetate) stimulus are shown. The odor stimulus was applied at 0.00 s.

Figure 5. Comparisons of the waveform between the LFP (blue line) and the summation of $\Delta F/\Delta t$ (red line) in the image elements. The upper figure in each figure shows the fluorescence image of the PC. The image elements are shown with the yellow mesh. A line of 25 image elements was put perpendicularly to the distal-proximal axis on the center of the glass electrode which is shown as the blue figure. The number of lines was gradually increased along the distal-proximal axis. The area shown with the red square in the fluorescence image was used to estimate the summation of $\Delta F/\Delta t$ in the image elements: (a) 3 lines; (b) 32 lines; (c) 44 lines. The gray arrows in (a) and (c) are explained in the text.

Figure 7 shows the wavelet energy in each frequency band and the wavelet entropy which were averaged within 15 time windows (about 60 s) before or after the aversive odor (isoamyl acetate or cineol) stimulus in some trials. The energy was widely distributed, especially in three frequency bands (0.45 - 0.90 Hz, 0.90 - 2.40 Hz, 2.40 - 5.00 Hz), before the odor stimulus. After that, however, it was concentrated in the 0.45 - 0.90 Hz and 0.90 - 2.40 Hz bands (Figure 7(a)). This result is attributed to the decrease in the width of the waveform (see Figure 2(a)), because the frequency estimated from peak-to-peak intervals was not much changed. Based on the change in the frequency distribution, the wavelet entropy decreased after the aversive odor stimuli (Figure 7(b)). As mentioned above, the aversive odors induced the coherent spatiotemporal neural activities in the PC. The present results therefore show that the coherency of the spatiotemporal neural activities can be evaluated from the LFP oscillation patterns by the wavelet entropy.

As well known, entropy is a measure of the degree of order/disorder of the system [15]. A decrease in entropy shows an increase in coherency of the system, resulting in acquisition of information. In the present study, therefore, the decrease in the wavelet entropy, which results from the coherent spatiotemporal neural activities in the PC, might lead to acquisition of odor information in the land slug.
4. Conclusion

In the present study, we first compared the LFP oscillation measured by extracellular recording with the spatiotemporal neural activities measured by the fluorescent voltage imaging. As a result, the aversive odors induced the coherent spatiotemporal neural activities in the PC, and the increase in coherency was observed as a change in the LFP waveform, that is, a decrease in the width of the waveform. Thus, spatial information was included in the present LFP. We next evaluated the coherency change by analyzing the LFP oscillation patterns by wavelet analysis. The result showed that the wavelet energy became concentrated in two frequency bands and the wavelet entropy was decreased by the aversive odor stimuli. In conclusion, although the LFP provides only one series of signals, the coherency of the spatiotemporal neural activities in the PC can be evaluated by applying extracellular recording with wavelet analysis.
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