Comparison of High-Dose Dexamethasone and Prednisone for Initial Treatment of Adult Primary Immune Thrombocytopenia
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ABSTRACT

Prednisone is the most common first-line treatment for adult primary immune thrombocytopenia (ITP). However, the best initial therapeutic approach is still a matter of debate. Prior studies have shown that high-dose dexamethasone (HD-DXM) produces a high sustained efficacy not achieved by conventional prednisone therapy. However, the definition of response widely differs between individual reports, and this heterogeneity makes comparison of the efficacy difficult. The aim of our study was to compare the therapeutic outcomes of a conventional dose of prednisone with HD-DXM for adult ITP patients as initial therapy. Thirty patients treated with prednisone and 22 patients treated HD-DXM were retrospectively analyzed. No significant differences between the HD-DXM and prednisone groups were observed for the rates of complete response (68% vs. 70%) and response (18% vs. 17%). However, 1 year probability of sustained response was significantly greater in the HD-DXM group than in the prednisone group (78% vs. 38%; P = 0.008). No adverse events necessitating discontinuation of treatment were observed in either group. Our retrospective analysis showed that initial treatment with HD-DXM produced longer response duration compared to a conventional dose of prednisone. Randomized clinical trials are warranted to establish the optimal initial steroid therapy for adult ITP.
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1. Introduction

Primary immune thrombocytopenia (ITP) previously called idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura is an acquired immune-mediated disorder characterized by isolated thrombocytopenia defined as a peripheral blood platelet count less than $100 \times 10^9/L$, and the absence of any obvious initiating and/or underlying cause of the thrombocytopenia [1]. Treatment is generally given for patients with a low platelet count ($<20 \times 10^9/L$ or $\leq 30 \times 10^9/L$) or clinically significant bleeding. The standard initial treatment for adult patients with ITP is corticosteroids. Among corticosteroids, prednisone is widely regarded as the standard first-line treatment. The starting dose is usually set at 1 mg/kg per day and continued for 2 to 4 weeks. If an increase in platelet count is obtained, the dose is gradually tapered. With this approach, an initial response rate of 50% to 60% is obtained, although long-term remission rate after discontinuation are very low (10% to 25%) [2-7].

A short course of high-dose dexamethasone (HD-DXM) has been used in patients with refractory ITP, but its efficacy is controversial [8-10]. In 2003, a study on initial treatment of ITP with HD-DXM found beneficial outcomes. In adult patients with previously untreated ITP, dexamethasone was given in a single 4-day course (40 mg/day, orally). The initial response rate was 85% of patients (106 of 125 patients), and the sustained response (a platelet count $>50,000/\mu L$ 6 months after initial treatment) was 50% [11]. Subsequently, a multicenter study reported that 89% (16 of 18) ITP patients responded to initial HD-DXM treatment (1 to 6 courses) with 59% achieving 2 - 31 months efficacy [12]. In another large cohort study, 4 cycles of HD-DXM given every 14 days for previously untreated ITP patients produced an 85.6% (77 of 90 patients) response rate with 74% efficacy lasting for a median duration of 8 months [13].

The results of these studies suggest that HD-DXM treatment for adult ITP may produce better outcomes than conventional prednisone therapy. However, the definition of response widely differs between individual
reports, and this heterogeneity makes comparison of the efficacy of these two treatments difficult. To address this issue, in 2009, an international working group proposed standard criteria for assessing response to ITP treatments [1]. The aim of this study is to compare the efficacy of HD-DXM and conventional prednisone treatments using these criteria through the retrospective analysis of ITP patients treated at our institution.

2. Patients and Method

2.1. Patient Selection

Adult patients diagnosed with ITP and initially treated with either a conventional dose of prednisone or HD-DXM between 1995-2011 at our institution were retrospectively analyzed for this study. Diagnosis of ITP was based on findings from complete blood cytology, and other laboratory testing, which were used to rule out other causes of thrombocytopenia. To confirm the diagnosis, bone marrow aspirate was obtained from all patients, and only those with the presence of a normal or increased number of megakaryocytes without pathologic alterations of erythroblasts, granulocytes or lymphocytes were included. In addition, all patients had either a platelet count \( \leq 20 \times 10^9/L \) or clinically significant bleeding with a platelet count \( \leq 50 \times 10^9/L \).

2.2. Treatment

For the HD-DXM group, oral dexamethasone was given as at least 1 cycle of a single daily dose of 40 mg for 4 consecutive days. A maximum of 3 cycles of HD-DEX with a treatment interval of 14 days were given. For the prednisone group, 1 mg/kg per day of prednisone was given for 4 weeks, and in responders, the dose was gradually tapered over several weeks and then stopped. During the initial treatment, all patients did not receive other ITP-specific concomitant treatment including Helicobacter pylori eradication.

Response was evaluated according to the proposed criteria from an international working group [1]. Complete response (CR) was defined as any platelet count of at least \( 100 \times 10^9/L \), and response (R) was defined as any platelet count between 30 and \( 100 \times 10^9/L \) and at least doubling of the baseline count. No response (NR) was defined as any platelet count lower than \( 30 \times 10^9/L \) or less than doubling of the baseline count. The definition of response required concurrent resolution of bleeding symptoms. Loss of CR was defined as a CR patient whose platelet count later fell below \( 100 \times 10^9/L \), or experienced bleeding. Loss of R was defined as a patient whose platelet count fell later below \( 30 \times 10^9/L \), or to less than 2-fold increase of baseline platelet count or experienced bleeding. Patients who did not respond until 4 weeks after initiation of prednisone, and those who did not respond until 2 weeks after completion of HD-DXM were defined as non-responders.

The duration of response was measured from the time of achievement of CR or R to time of loss of CR or R (from R). We also measured the duration of response from the time of achievement of CR or R to loss of R (from CR or R). This study was approved by the ethical committee of Tokyo Women’s Medical University.

2.3. Statistical Analysis

Prednisone and HD-DXM groups were compared with respect to age, sex, and platelet counts before treatment, initial response rate, and the duration of response. Fisher’s exact test was used to compare categorical variables, and Mann-Whitney U test or Student’s t-test was used to compare continuous variables. The duration of response was analyzed using the Kaplan-Meier method [14].

3. Results

3.1. Patient Characteristics

Fifty two patients diagnosed of ITP were analyzed, of whom 30 were treated with prednisone and 22 treated with HD-DXM. The main clinical characteristics of both groups are listed in Table 1. There were no significant differences between the 2 groups in terms of age, sex, and the pretreatment white blood cell count, platelet count, and Hemoglobin level. In the HD-DXM group, 11, 4, and 7 patients received 1, 2, or 3 treatment cycles, respectively.

3.2. Treatment Response

The rates of CR, R and overall response (CR plus R) are shown in Table 2. No significant differences between the two treatments groups were observed with respect to the rate of CR, R, and overall response.

3.3. Duration of Response

Loss of CR and loss of R (from R) were observed in 10 of 19 patients in the HD-DXM group with a median time of 5.8 months (range 1 - 71 months) after response achievement, and in 22 of 26 patients in the prednisone group with a median time of 5.9 months (range 1 - 147 months). Loss of R (from CR or R) was observed in 5 of 19 patients in the HD-DXM group with a median time of 13.6 months (range 1 - 75 months) after response achievement, and in 20 of 26 patients in the prednisone group with a median duration of 8.4 months (range 1 - 147 months).

Kaplan-Meier response duration curves for 2 groups were shown in Figure 1. Response duration calculated
Table 1. Clinical characteristics of patients treated with high-dose dexamethasone and prednisone.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>HD-DXM</th>
<th>Prednisone</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of patients</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (year)</td>
<td>66 (23 - 84)*</td>
<td>56 (20 - 73)*</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (male/female)</td>
<td>6/16</td>
<td>10/20</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WBC (×10^9/L)</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2.6 - 8.7)*</td>
<td>(3.7 - 9.3)*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hb (g/dL)</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7.2 - 16.1)*</td>
<td>(10.2 - 15.7)*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelet (×10^9/L)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2 - 32)*</td>
<td>(2 - 50)*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Median (range); HD-DXM: high-dose dexamethasone; WBC: white blood cell count; Hb: Hemoglobin.

Table 2. Patient outcomes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>HD-DXM</th>
<th>Prednisone</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Complete response (CR)</td>
<td>15 (68)</td>
<td>21 (70)</td>
<td>0.562</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Response (R)</td>
<td>4 (18)</td>
<td>5 (17)</td>
<td>0.585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall response (CR + R)</td>
<td>19 (86)</td>
<td>26 (87)</td>
<td>0.641</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No response (NR)</td>
<td>3 (14)</td>
<td>4 (13)</td>
<td>0.641</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HD-DXM: high-dose dexamethasone; Complete response (CR) was defined as any platelet count of at least 100 × 10^9/L; and response (R) was defined as any platelet count between 30 and 100 × 10^9/L and at least doubling of the baseline count; No response (NR) was defined as any platelet count lower than 30 × 10^9/L or less than doubling of the baseline count.

3.4. Adverse Events

No adverse events necessitating the discontinuation of treatment were observed in either group. Steroid induced hyperglycemia was observed in 2 patients in the HD-DXM group and in 3 patients in the prednisone group. In the prednisone group, 1 patient experience vertebral bone compression fracture, and a transient increase in pancreatic enzyme was observed in 1 patient in each group.

4. Discussion

We found HD-DXM and prednisone to have nearly identical initial response rates for the treatment of ITP (CR: 68% vs. 70%, and R: 18% vs. 17%). However, 1 year probability of response duration calculated from the time of CR or R until loss of R was statistically different between 2 groups (1 year probability of response duration: HD-DXM group; 56%, prednisone group; 23%, P = 0.09) (Figure 1(a)). Response duration calculated from the time of CR or R until loss of R was statistically different between 2 groups (1 year probability of response duration: HD-DXM group; 78%, prednisone group; 38%, P = 0.008) (Figure 1(b)).

Figure 1. Kaplan-Meier response duration curves. (a) Response duration from the time of CR or R until loss of CR or R (from R); (b) Response duration from the time of CR or R until loss of R. HD-DXM group versus prednisone group: (a) P = 0.09; (b) P = 0.008. Solid line: HD-DXM, Broken line: Prednisone.
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The optimal number of cycles of HD-DXM for initial ITP treatment warrants further investigation. Cheng et al. [12], Mazzucchoni et al. [13], and we used between 1 - 6 courses. In the study from Mazzucchoni et al., response rate was significantly improved between the second and third cycles (75.8% vs. 89%, P = 0.018) but not between the third and fourth [13]. This suggests that 3 cycles of HD-DXM may be appropriate.

The mechanism of action of HD-DXM in the treatment of ITP is not entirely clear; however, several mechanisms have been postulated. HD-DXM is an autoimmune disease characterized by T helper 1 (Th1) polarization. Guo et al. reported that correction of Th1 polarization was achieved and maintained after HD-DXM therapy in patients who experienced a sustainable response but not maintained in those who relapsed [15]. A subsequent study from the same group showed that HD-DXM reduced plasma levels of interleukin-18 (IL-18) while increasing levels of its endogenous antagonist, IL-18 binding protein (IL-18BP), yielding a reduction of IL-18/IL-18BP ratio [16]. From these findings, it is suggested that correction of Th1 polarization by HD-DXM is mediated by a decrease in IL-18/IL-18BP ratio, and this could be a potential mechanism of the long-term recovery from ITP.

Disruptions in the balance of Fcγ receptors (FcγRs), including FcγRI, FcγRIIa, FcγRIII, and FcγRIIB have been implicated in the pathogenesis of many autoimmune diseases. In ITP, decreased expression of inhibitory FcγRIIB and elevated expression of activating FcγRs (FcγRIIA and FcγRIII) have been observed on monocytes, and HD-DXM therapy for ITP could shift monocyte FcγR balance toward the inhibitory FcγRIIB, resulting in the decrease of monocyte phagocytic capacity [17]. This suggests that FcγR system is possibly involved in the efficacy of HD-DXM for ITP. Other reports have suggested that HD-DXM may achieve its therapeutic effect by inhibiting immune responses through the suppression of dendritic cell functions [18], reducing B-cell activating factor production [19], and increasing production of the regulatory T-cells [20].

Recently, a prospective randomized study involving previously untreated adult ITP comparing the efficacy of a single course of HD-DEX to that of HD-DEX combined with rituximab was conducted [21]. The rate of sustained response (platelet count > 50 × 10^9/L at 6 months after treatment) was significantly higher in patients treated with HD-DEX plus rituximab than in those treated with HD-DXM alone (63% vs. 36%). Furthermore, the HD-DXM plus rituximab regimen was effective as salvage therapy in the subgroup of patients who were refractory to initial HD-DXM therapy, achieving a sustained response rate of 56%. This suggests that combining HD-DXM with rituximab may be a promising second-line therapy in patients not responding to initial HD-DEX treatment.

There are several limitations to our study. First, because our study is retrospective in nature, some bias may have been present. The dates of treatment tended to be different between HD-DEX and prednisone groups; all HD-DEX patients were treated after 2003, whereas most prednisone patients were treated before 2003. In addition, in Japan, Helicobacter pylori eradication was adopted as initial therapy for ITP patients during 2000s [22]. Therefore, another limitation is that the extent of H. pylori infection in the 2 groups was likely different, and the possibility that this etiological difference affected our findings cannot be ruled out.

In conclusion, treatment involving steroid therapy for ITP is used to obtain not only a high initial response rate but also a sustainable response that avoids the need for any further treatment. Based on our findings, HD-DXM could be used as a first-line treatment for adult patients with ITP because it accomplishes both of these. Our retrospective analysis showed that initial treatment with HD-DXM produced longer response duration compared to a conventional dose of prednisone. Randomized clinical trials are warranted to establish the optimal initial steroid therapy for adult ITP.
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