Matrix Method for Determining Structural Reliability of the System and Significance of Its Elements in Terms of Reliability

Victor Kravets¹, Vladimir Kravets², Olexiy Burov³

¹Department of Automobiles and Transportation Facilities Automobile Sector, National Mining University, Dnipropetrovsk, Ukraine
²Department of Labor Security, Dnipropetrovsk National University of Railway Transport Named after Academician V. Lazaryan, Dnipropetrovsk, Ukraine
³Baskin School of Engineering, University of California-Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz, USA

Received 14 October 2015; accepted 9 November 2015; published 12 November 2015

Abstract

Matrix method is being proposed for qualitative evaluation of the reliability of technical systems on a finite set of structural elements. We are introducing the criteria for qualitative assessment of the reliability in the form of structural reliability of the system as the probability of the troubleproof state of this system and the significancy of the individual elements in ensuring the structural reliability of the system as a general aggregate of conditional probabilities, which compose two (2 × 2) matrices of significancy for each element. We are using chain diagrams for solving the combinatronic problems and matrices for algorithmization of calculating procedures.

Keywords

Structural Reliability, Matrices of Significance, Matrix of States, Chain Diagram

1. Introduction

The reliability of the technical system is one of the main indicators of quality and is taken into consideration in the early stages of design, which addresses different functional, assembling and construction schemes [1]. The technical system consists of a set of related and interacting elements, and operates in conditions of both internal and external random factors [2].

The method of function of the technical system can be implemented with various structures. In general, these
structures determine the reliability of the designed technical system. The ability to assess the structural reliability of the individual blocks and the technical system as a whole, as well as to assess the significance of the individual elements in ensuring the reliability of the system is the relevant problem in conceptual design, which can be solved using mathematical methods, including combinatorial, probability theory, Boolean algebra, matrix calculations [3].

The matrix method, which will be described further, allows both qualitative and quantitative reliability study including structural reliability as well as the importance of the individual elements in this reliability. The method is adapted to use modern computer technologies to solve complex combinatorial problems in assessing the reliability of technical systems on an arbitrary, finite set of structural elements [4] [5].

2. Content

2.1. Formulation of the Problem

It is assumed that the structure of a technical system is given as a finite set of elements and their relationships. It is required to find the value of structural reliability and evaluate the importance of each element in ensuring the reliability of the overall system.

2.2. Structural Reliability

Structural reliability is calculated using the classical formula of calculating the probability

$$R_{sr} = \frac{M}{N},$$

where $N$ is the number of possible states of the system $u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_N$; $M$ is the number of working states of the system.

The number of possible states of the system is calculated using the following formula:

$$M = S^n$$

where $n$ is the number of elements in the system; $S$ is the number of states of each element.

We assume that each element of the system can be in one of two states: operating (working) or failure, i.e. $S = 2$. Then

$$N = 2^n$$

In the case where the elements of the system have the ability to be in one of three states: working, neutral and failure $N = 3^n$, etc.

2.3. State Diagram

The determination of a finite set of possible states of the system $u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_N$ is a combinatorial problem, which is conveniently resolved through chain diagram. Creating the diagram of the states of the system allows us not only to establish the total number of different possible states of the system $N$, but also to get the specific value of each state of the system $u_j$ ($j = 1, 2, \cdots, N$) depending on the status of each individual element $i$ ($i = 1, 2, \cdots, N$).

2.4. State Matrix

The state diagram of the system can be represented by a rectangular matrix of states, which has the dimension $(n \times N)$, i.e., rows of the matrix are determined by the number of the elements in the system and columns—the number of possible states-$N$. The status of each element is a random event $A_i$ that has two possible values: 1—operating (working) state, 0—failure (not working) state, the probability of which is determined by the reliability and unreliability of the respective element, i.e., State Matrix is a rectangular matrix of size $(n \times N)$ and is composed of ones and zeroes in accordance with State diagram.

2.5. State of the System

State of the system in general is also a random event $A$, which has two possible values that are determined using
the matrix of state depending on the structural scheme being considered and is presented by a row matrix \((1 \times N)\).

The states of the system are determined by a random state \(u_j (j = 1, 2, \ldots, N)\), composing the whole group of exclusive random equally possible events, i.e. cases. Then the number of working states \(M\) of the system \(A\) can be determined as a sum of corresponding and independent random events \(u_j\), i.e. the problem of determining the structural reliability of the system in general is solved in accordance with classical formula of probability

\[
R_{sr} = P(A) \quad (4)
\]

### 2.6. Significance of the Element in the System Depending on Reliability

In general the assessment of different separate elements in ensuring the structural reliability of the system is done using matrices of significance that have the following form

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
P_x(A) & P_x(\overline{A}) \\
\overline{P}_x(A) & \overline{P}_x(\overline{A})
\end{bmatrix}
\text{ or }
\begin{bmatrix}
P_x(A) & P_x(\overline{A}) \\
\overline{P}_x(A) & \overline{P}_x(\overline{A})
\end{bmatrix}, \quad (i = 1, 2, \ldots, n). \quad (5)
\]

The conditional probabilities that compose these matrices can be calculated using formulas:

\[
P_x(A) = \frac{P(A,A)}{R_{sr}}, \quad \overline{P}_x(A) = \frac{P(A,\overline{A})}{R_{sr}}; \quad (6)
\]

\[
P_x(\overline{A}) = \frac{P(\overline{A},A)}{R_{sr}}, \quad \overline{P}_x(\overline{A}) = \frac{P(\overline{A},\overline{A})}{R_{sr}}; \quad (7)
\]

\[
P_x(A) = \frac{P(A,A)}{P(A)}, \quad \overline{P}_x(A) = \frac{P(\overline{A},A)}{P(\overline{A})}; \quad (8)
\]

\[
P_x(\overline{A}) = \frac{P(\overline{A},A)}{P(\overline{A})}, \quad \overline{P}_x(\overline{A}) = \frac{P(\overline{A},\overline{A})}{P(\overline{A})}. \quad (9)
\]

These conditional probabilities characterize to which extent operation or failure of a single element is reflected on working or failure state of the whole system, i.e. on structural reliability or unreliability of the system, as well as how important this element is to ensure working state of the system as a whole. Note that considered here conditional probabilities satisfy the following conditions:

\[
P_x(A) + P_x(\overline{A}) = 1, \quad \overline{P}_x(A) + \overline{P}_x(\overline{A}) = 1, \quad P_x(A) + \overline{P}_x(A) = 1, \quad (10)
\]

\[
P_x(\overline{A}) + P_x(\overline{\overline{A}}) = 1, \quad \overline{P}_x(A) + \overline{P}_x(\overline{A}) = 1, \quad (11)
\]

which can be used for verification immediately by definition we get:

\[
R_{sr} = P(A), \quad \overline{R}_{sr} = P(\overline{A}), \quad P(A) = 0.5, \quad P(\overline{A}) = 0 \quad (12)
\]

and also

\[
R_{sr} + \overline{R}_{sr} = 1, \quad P(A) + P(\overline{A}) = 1. \quad (13)
\]

We are considering products (intersections) of random events of the following types:

- \(A,A\) operating (working) state of the \(i^{th}\) element and operating state of the system as a whole;
- \(A,\overline{A}\) operating (working) state of the \(i^{th}\) element and failure of the system;
- \(\overline{A},A\) failure of the \(i^{th}\) element and operating state of the system;
- \(\overline{A},\overline{A}\) failure of the \(i^{th}\) element and failure of the system.

For each \(i^{th}\) element and \(j^{th}\) state there are products of random events that can be represented in the following matrix form:
From the created matrices \(i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, n\) one can directly determine probabilities of products of considered random events and corresponding conditional probabilities that compose matrices of significance.

Basic theoretical principles of the described method are shown in [3]. The method was applied for determining structural reliability of the electrical block of the hybrid car and for assessment of significance of separate elements in ensuring the reliability of the system as a whole [4]. The described matrix method is represented as an algorithm, which can be used for calculating the reliability of the described qualitative characteristics of the specific system composed of five elements (penta-system).

### 3. Algorithm

The algorithm will be illustrated as an example on one of the finite set of structural schemes of the penta-system. Elements of the system can be connected by either series or parallel principle. Chosen structural scheme is filled with five inhomogeneous, independently working elements as shown in Figure 1.

#### 3.1. Part 1

Determining the number of possible states of the penta-system: \(2^5\), i.e. \(u_j (j = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, 32)\). It is assumed that each element of the penta-system can exist in two states:
- working, specified as \(\oplus\);
- failed, specified as \(\ominus\).

#### 3.2. Part 2

Chain Diagram of states of the penta-system is built (Figure 2).

Using chain diagram (states graph) each of the 32 possible states of the penta-system is found \(u_j (j = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, 32)\).

#### 3.3. Part 3

Rectangular state matrix \((5 \times 32)\) of the penta-system is then built, where rows of the matrix \(i\) are determined by the index of element in the system \(e_i (i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5)\), and columns \(j\) by the index of the possible state of the penta-system \((j = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, 32)\): here the working state is specified as 1, while failed as 0.

![Figure 1. Structural scheme of the penta-system.](image-url)
3.4. Part 4

Then the row-matrix \( (1 \times 32) \) is found, and working and failed states of the penta-system as whole are determined using structural scheme and State Matrix.

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Here 1 corresponds to the working state of the penta-system, while 0 to failed state respectively.

3.5. Part 5

Structural reliability of the specified penta-system is determined:

\[
P(A) = R_{32} = \frac{17}{32}.
\]

Here the working state of the penta-system as a whole and the corresponding possible state are considered as random events, i.e.

\[
A = u_1 + u_2 + u_3 + \cdots + u_{25} + u_{27} + u_{29}.
\]

3.6. Part 6

Matrixes of significance of each element of the penta-system \( (i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5) \), are determined considering that the failure of the penta-system as a whole as a random event is determined in the following way:

\[
\overline{A} = u_{10} + u_{12} + u_{14} + \cdots + u_{31} + u_{32},
\]

i.e.
Then, obviously, probability of a random event \( A \) — working state of any element in the system—and the inverse of it: random event \( \overline{A} \) — failure of the element in the system, are calculated in the similar way in accordance with the State Matrix, i.e.

\[
P(A) = \frac{16}{32} = \frac{1}{2}; \quad P(\overline{A}) = \frac{16}{32} = \frac{1}{2}.
\]

1) The calculation matrix for the second element \( (i = 1) \) is constructed. The calculation matrix then has the following form:

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccc}
A_i & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
\overline{A}_i & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
A & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
\overline{A} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
A \cdot A & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
A \cdot \overline{A} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
\overline{A} \cdot A & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\overline{A} \cdot \overline{A} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{array}
\]

From which one can get probabilities of multiplication of needed random events, i.e.:

\[
P(A \cdot A) = \frac{11}{32}; \quad P(A \cdot \overline{A}) = \frac{6}{32}; \quad P(\overline{A} \cdot A) = \frac{6}{32}; \quad P(\overline{A} \cdot \overline{A}) = \frac{10}{32}.
\]

Then matrixes of significances for the first element will take the following form:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
11 & 5 \\
17 & 15 \\
6 & 10 \\
17 & 15
\end{bmatrix}
\]

2) The calculation matrix for the second element \( (i = 2) \) is constructed. The calculation matrix then has the following form:

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccc}
A_i & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
\overline{A}_i & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
A & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
\overline{A} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
A \cdot A & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
A \cdot \overline{A} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\overline{A} \cdot A & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
\overline{A} \cdot \overline{A} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
\end{array}
\]
Then:

\[
P(A_2 \cdot A) = \frac{11}{32}, \quad P(A_2 \cdot \bar{A}) = \frac{5}{32}, \quad P(\bar{A}_2 \cdot A) = \frac{6}{32}, \quad P(\bar{A}_2 \cdot \bar{A}) = \frac{10}{32}.
\]

Consequently:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
11 & 5 \\
17 & 15
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
11 & 5 \\
17 & 15
\end{pmatrix}
\]

i.e. elements 1 and 2 have the same significance in ensuring the reliability of the considered penta-system.

3) The calculation matrix for the second element \((i = 3)\) is constructed. The calculation matrix then has the following form:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 & 15 & 16 \\
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 & 15 & 16
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Then:

\[
P(A_3 \cdot A) = \frac{11}{32}, \quad P(A_3 \cdot \bar{A}) = \frac{5}{32}, \quad P(\bar{A}_3 \cdot A) = \frac{6}{32}, \quad P(\bar{A}_3 \cdot \bar{A}) = \frac{10}{32}.
\]

Consequently:
i.e. significance of the third element is lower than the significances of elements 1 and 2.

4) The calculation matrix for the second element \((i = 4)\) is constructed. The calculation matrix then has the following form:

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccc}
 & u_1 & u_2 & u_3 & u_4 & u_5 & u_6 & u_7 & u_8 & u_9 & u_{10} & u_{11} & u_{12} & u_{13} & u_{14} & u_{15} & u_{16} \\
A_4 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
\bar{A}_4 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
A & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\bar{A} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
A_4 \cdot A & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
A_4 \cdot \bar{A} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\bar{A}_4 \cdot A & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\bar{A}_4 \cdot \bar{A} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
\end{array}
\]

Then:

\[
P(A_4 \cdot A) = \frac{10}{32}; \quad P(A_4 \cdot \bar{A}) = \frac{6}{32}; \quad P(\bar{A}_4 \cdot A) = \frac{7}{32}; \quad P(\bar{A}_4 \cdot \bar{A}) = \frac{9}{32}.
\]

Consequently:

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
& 10 & 6 & 10 & 6 \\
17 & 15 & 16 & 16 \\
7 & 9 & 7 & 9 \\
17 & 15 & 16 & 16 \\
\end{array}
\]

i.e. elements 3 and 4 have the same significance in ensuring the reliability of the considered penta-system.

5) The calculation matrix for the second element \((i = 5)\) is constructed. The calculation matrix then has the following form:

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccc}
 & u_1 & u_2 & u_3 & u_4 & u_5 & u_6 & u_7 & u_8 & u_9 & u_{10} & u_{11} & u_{12} & u_{13} & u_{14} & u_{15} & u_{16} \\
A_5 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
\bar{A}_5 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
A & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\bar{A} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
A_5 \cdot A & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
A_5 \cdot \bar{A} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\bar{A}_5 \cdot A & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\bar{A}_5 \cdot \bar{A} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
\end{array}
\]
Then:

\[
P(A \cdot A) = \frac{13}{32}; \quad P(A \cdot \overline{A}) = \frac{3}{32}; \quad P(\overline{A} \cdot A) = \frac{4}{32}; \quad P(\overline{A} \cdot \overline{A}) = \frac{12}{32}.
\]

Consequently:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
13 & 3 \\
17 & 15 \\
4 & 12 \\
17 & 15 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
13 & 3 \\
16 & 16 \\
4 & 12 \\
16 & 16 \\
\end{bmatrix},
\]

i.e. the significance of the fifth element in ensuring the reliability of the penta-system is the highest.

**3.7. Part 7**

The elements of the system \( e_i \) \( (i = 1,2,3,4,5) \) are distributed by significance. Analyzing the resulted matrices of significance, one can distribute elements of the penta-system of the considered structural scheme by the following three levels:

1) \( e_5 \);
2) \( e_1, e_2 \);
3) \( e_3, e_4 \).

**4. Conclusion**

Research on the reliability of technical systems on a finite set of structural elements is proposed to carry out on the basis of the developed matrix method that also allows to effectively applying modern computer technologies for solving multidimensional combinatorial problems. Algorithms for the qualitative assessment of the reliability of technical systems, structural reliability, the significance of the reliability of individual elements using chain diagrams of states, State Matrices, Matrices of Significance were developed.
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