Speech Encoding Scheme for the Extra-Cochlear Pulsed Electrical Stimulation System
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Abstract

An extra-cochlear stimulation system has been investigated as a less invasive alternative to conventional cochlear implant; however, the system is used primarily as a speech-reading aid. The purpose of this study was to develop a speech encoding scheme for the extra-cochlear stimulation system to convey intelligible speech. A click-modulated speech sound (CMS) was created as a simulation of the extra-cochlear stimulation system. The CMS is a repetitive click with a repetition rate similar to the formant frequency transition of an original sound. Seven native Japanese speakers with normal hearing participated in the experiment. After listening to the CMS, synthesized from low familiarity Japanese words, the subjects reported their perceptions. The results showed that the rates of correctly identified vowels and consonants were significantly higher than those of the control stimulus, suggesting that the CMS can generate at least partially intelligible vowel and consonant perceptions. In all, the speech encoding scheme could be applied to the extra-cochlear stimulation system to restore speech perception.
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1. Introduction

Cochlear implants are widely used to compensate for sensorineural hearing loss. These devices restore hearing in otherwise deaf individuals. In the cochlea, low-frequency sounds activate neurons in the apex of the cochlea, and high-frequency sounds stimulate the basal portion of the cochlea; this organization is known as tonotopicity. A multi-channel electrode is inserted into the cochlea to restore the tonotopic responses of a normal acoustically stimulated
cochlea [1]. By restoring tonotopicity, the cochlear implant can produce detailed
frequency information, and many cochlear implant users perceive electrical stimu-
lation produced by the system as speech sounds.

One of the greatest drawbacks of the cochlear implant is that it requires major
surgical intervention. Since the early stages of its development, the extra-cochlear
implant has been considered a potential alternative to a multi-channel implant.
A pioneering study by Fourcin and colleagues (1979) showed that a single elec-
trode placed in the round window produces various acoustic features of speech,
such as intonation and voiced-voiceless information [2]. Other studies revealed
that extra-cochlear single-channel implants improved lip-reading ability [3].
Despite these early successes, the extra-cochlear implant has not been fully im-
plemented clinically. Because the extra-cochlear single-channel system stimu-
lates all cochlear nerve fibers simultaneously, it cannot replicate fine frequency
structure, and thus this system is less capable of restoring speech perception
compared with the multi-channel system. Thus far, extra-cochlear implants have
been primarily used as a speech-reading aid.

In this study, we attempted to improve the speech encoding schemes of a si-
ngle-channel stimulation system. Because very few individuals have extra-cochlear
implants, the intelligibility of simulated sounds was tested in subjects with nor-
mal hearing. As mentioned earlier, using a single-channel stimulation system, it
is difficult to stimulate the cochlear nerve differentially to replicate tonotopicity;
instead, the system stimulates the entire cochlear nerve simultaneously. We
therefore assumed that single-pulse electrical stimulation by an extra-cochlear
electrode creates a perception similar to a clicking sound, and that continuous
electrical stimulation is perceived as a series of clicks.

Here, we synthesized a click-modulated speech sound (CMS) as a simulated
sound of a single-channel stimulation system. The sound was a click train with a
pitch (repetition rate) similar to the formant center frequency of an original
speech sound. As a first step to show feasibility of the speech encoding scheme,
this research tested only normal hearing subjects as has been done in several
previous researches [4] [5], and we focused on how first and second formant
frequency, well known minimum requirements for stable speech perception [6],
contribute the intelligibility of the CMS.

The CMS is acoustically similar to a sine-wave speech sound (SWS) in a sense
that both sounds replicate the formant frequency of an original sound [7], and
several studies have demonstrated SWSs to be intelligible [8] [9] [10]. Thus, we
reasonably expected the CMS to be intelligible as a speech sound, and that the
speech encoding scheme will revitalize clinical use of the extra-cochlear stimula-
tion system.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Subject

Seven native Japanese speakers (21 - 24 years old) participated in the experi-
ment. None of the subjects had listened to the CMSs prior to the study, and all passed a hearing screening using a threshold hearing level (HL) of 25 decibel (dB) HL at 0.5, 1, 2, and 4 kHz frequencies.

2.2. Stimuli

2.2.1. Click-Modulated Speech Sound

We synthesized a CMS, which is a click train with a repetition rate similar to the formant center frequency of an original speech sound. Figure 1 depicts how to synthesize the CMS. First formant (F1) and second formant (F2) frequencies were extracted by a publicly available MATLAB-based script. The program was commonly used and described in several previous studies [11] [12] [13]. It implements linear predictive coding (LPC) analysis, and LPC was calculated every 2.7 ms over 5.4 ms Hanning windowed segments at 8 kHz sampling rate with an LPC order equal to 8. Table 1 lists the signal processing parameters used in the program. These analytic parameters were identical to previous researches [11] [12] [13], and which allows us to compare our data with these results. After formant frequencies extraction, click train with a repetition rate similar to the formant frequencies were generated. These click trains were combined for creating CMS. We synthesized three types of CMSs: F1CMS, in which the click repetition rate temporally followed the first formant frequency of original speech; F1F2CMS, which was created by summing two click trains, each replicating the temporal structures of first and second formant frequencies (Figure 1); constant frequency click-modulated speech sound (CFCMS), which has a constant repetition rate similar to the average of first formant frequency. CFCMS was used as a control stimulus to evaluate the comprehension of each synthesized speech sound.

Figure 1. Process of encoding the click-modulated speech sound (CMS). Schematic diagram depicting the processes of analyzing the speech signal and synthesizing the CMS of every 2.7 ms segment. See text for details.

Table 1. The condition for creating click modulated speech sound (CMS).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Variable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Analysis window</td>
<td>Hanning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Window length</td>
<td>5.4 ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shift length</td>
<td>2.7 ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulse width</td>
<td>100 μs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPC order</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Original speech sounds were Japanese four-mora words voiced by a female speaker. All sounds were obtained from a publicly available data set of familiarity-controlled word lists (FW07) [14]. We randomly selected 24 words from the low familiarity list (word-familiarity rank of 1.0 - 2.5), and examples were shown in Table 2. Three kinds of CMSs were generated for each original sound (F1CMS, F1F2CMS, and CFCMS). Examples of an original sound and a CMS are shown in Figure 2.

2.2.2. Sine-Wave Speech Sound

The same words that produce CMSs were converted into SWSs. The SWS is a sound composed of various sine-waves that follow time-varying formant frequencies [7]. First and second formant frequencies were extracted from an
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Figure 2. An example of the stimulus. Waveforms are presented in the upper figures and spectrograms in the lower figures. (a) original speech sound, (b) sine-wave speech sound, and (c) click-modulated speech sound of a Japanese word “[a] [ka] [ga] [ne]”. (b) and (c) were synthesized from the original sound (a).

Table 2. Examples of Japanese stimulus words. Thirty-six Japanese words were randomly selected from a familiarity-controlled database of four-mora words (FW07).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Word</th>
<th>Mora</th>
<th>Meaning (English)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>赤金 (アカガネ)</td>
<td>[a] [ka] [ga] [ne]</td>
<td>Gold-copper alloy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>棗灰 (ワラバイ)</td>
<td>[wa] [ra] [ba] [i]</td>
<td>Straw ash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>在方 (ザイカタ)</td>
<td>[za] [i] [ka] [ta]</td>
<td>Countryside</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>川淀 (カワヨド)</td>
<td>[ka] [wa] [yo] [do]</td>
<td>Stagnation point of a river</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>高殿 (タカドノ)</td>
<td>[ta] [ka] [do] [no]</td>
<td>High building</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>柚山 (ソマヤマ)</td>
<td>[so] [ma] [ya] [ma]</td>
<td>A wooded mountain</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
original sound using the same method as that for the CMS. Unlike most previous studies [7] [8] [9] [10], the time-varying amplitude was not replicated in this study, because the primary research focus was on perceptual contributions of formant trajectory created by click train and sinusoid sounds. As with the CMS, three types of stimuli were synthesized (F1SWS, F1F2SWS, and CFSWS). All SWS stimuli had 10 ms rise and fall times.

2.3. Experimental Procedure

All experiments were conducted in a soundproofed room. The stimulus was presented via headphones (STAX Lambda Nova, STAX Industries) with a digital-to-analog converter (Octa-capture, Roland). The sound pressure level of all stimuli was measured using a microphone (ER-7C Series B, Etymotic Research) and calculated at 60 dB sound pressure level (SPL). All subjects were informed that they would be presented with 4-mora Japanese words as the stimulus and were instructed to write down their perceptions on response sheets using Roman letters within 10 s of stimulus presentation. Practice trials were conducted before the experiment, and subjects listened to six different stimuli (F1CMS, F1F2CMS, CFCMS, F1SWS, F1F2SWS, and CFSWS) three times without correct-answer feedback. In the practice trials, three different original sounds corresponded to F1, F1F2, CF conditions respectively, and these original sounds were converted into CMSs and SWSs. These stimuli were not used in the actual experiment. All subjects participated in four sessions, and 36 trials (=36 words) were conducted in each session.

2.4. Statistical Analysis

The mean (±standard deviation) rate of correct answers was calculated for each stimulus. A two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed using the stimulus type (CMS or SWS) and formant frequency condition (CF, F1, or F1F2) as independent variables, followed by pairwise comparisons using Tukey’s honestly significant difference test (with a 5% level of significance). The Tukey’s honestly significant difference test (Tukey’s HSD) is one of a post hoc test. The test is commonly performed after an ANOVA for controlling the possibility of Type I errors while testing all pairwise difference [15]. All analyses were performed using a commercially available statistical program (SPSS, IBM, Armonk, NY, USA).

3. Results

Figure 3(a) shows the rates of correctly perceived vowels for the SWS and CMS; this rate is a reflection of the subject’s perception of vowels, irrespective of consonants. The average rates of correct answers were 22% (CFSWS) and 23% (CFCMS) for the CF stimulus, 25% (F1SWS) and 23% (F1CMS) for the F1 stimulus, and 33% (F1F2SWS) and 30% (F1F2CMS) for the F1F2 stimulus. ANOVA revealed that the effect of stimulus type (CMS or SWS) was not
Figure 3. Intelligibility of sine-wave speech and click-modulated speech sounds. Error bars represent the standard deviation of the mean. Two-way ANOVA was performed to evaluate the effects of stimulus type and formant frequency condition. Regarding the intelligibility of vowel sounds, significant differences were observed between CF and F1F2 and between F1 and F1F2 (**p < 0.001). Regarding the intelligibility of consonants, CF and F1 were significantly different (*p < 0.05). (a) the rates of correctly perceived vowels; (b) the rates of correctly perceived consonants.

Figure 3(b) shows the rates of correctly perceived consonants for the SWS and CMS; this rate is a reflection of the subject’s perception of consonants, irrespective of vowels. The mean percentages of correct answers were 4.1% (CFSWS) and 5.9% (CFCMS) for the CF stimulus, 8.3% (F1SWS) and 8.7% (F1CMS) for the F1 stimulus, and 8.7% (F1F2SWS) and 8.0% (F1F2CMS) for the F1F2 stimulus. ANOVA did not reveal a significant effect of stimulus type (CMS or SWS), while the effect of formant frequency (CMS, F1, or F1F2) was significant ($F_{2,30} = 6.32, p < 0.01$); multiple comparisons showed that the accuracy of the CF condition was significantly lower than those of the F1 and F1F2 conditions ($p < 0.05$).

4. Discussion

Our data showed that subjects were able to perceive the CMS content at least to some extent (Figure 3). As many previous studies have demonstrated, perceptions of syllables are strongly related to formant frequency [6] [16]. Remez and colleagues (1984) developed distorted speech sounds combining several sine waves, each of which replicated time-varying formant frequencies (SWS), and demonstrated that the sound was partially comprehensible, with a syllable comprehension rate of approximately 36% [7]. In terms of information, the CMS we used in this experiment is similar to the SWS, because both sounds have the information provided by time-varying formant frequencies. Figure 3(a) and Figure 3(b) showed that the rates of correctly perceived vowels and consonants were not significantly different between the SWS and CMS, suggesting that the
CMS can be perceived as a speech sound similarly to the SWS.

As shown in Figure 3(b), the CMS generated at least a partially intelligible perception of consonants. Several previous studies have reported F1 as a cue to categorize voiced and voiceless syllables and a place of articulation in stop consonants [17] [18] [19]. A significant improvement in F1CMS from CFCMS could reflect the information on voicing and place of articulation provided by F1. Figure 3(b) also showed that the difference in accuracy between the F1 and F1F2 conditions was not statistically significant (p > 0.05). To improve the comprehension of consonants, there are several straightforward improvements that we can apply. Implementing a higher fundamental frequency (i.e. F3) and/or the temporal envelope of each formant frequency would increase the comprehension of consonants.

In addition to modifying the acoustical parameters, combining audio and visual information can facilitate perception. Many studies on multimodal speech perception have been conducted; a pioneering study by Sumby and (1954) demonstrated that speech perception in noisy environments is improved by as much as +15 dB when listeners were able to see the speaker’s face [20]. Visual information such as the dynamics of an articulating face allows individuals with hearing disabilities to identify the phonetic and lexical information of speech sounds [21]. The comprehension of sine-wave speech was significantly improved when the articulatory location (i.e. movement of the mouth) was provided with the sound stimulus [8]. The intelligibility of the CMS, therefore, was improved if the subject could see the movements of the articulator. As subjects are able to see the speaker’s face in most real-life situations, we expect better performance with the CMS in everyday use than in our experiment. However, because this research dealt only with normal hearing subject, further studies involving cochlear implantees is needed to evaluate the efficacy of our encoding scheme.

5. Conclusion

In this experiment, we quantified the intelligibility of the CMS. The sound was designed to simulate the sensation evoked by single-channel stimulation of the cochlear bundle. All participants were able to comprehend the contents of the CMS, at least partially. The intelligibility of the sounds was comparable with that of conventional sine-wave speech, suggesting that the participants mainly used the formant frequency as their cue to comprehend the sound. In summary, our results demonstrate that single-channel stimulation with the CMS may be a non- or less-invasive alternative to conventional cochlear implants for restoring speech perception.
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