Growth and feed efficiency of range performance tested beef bulls in the arid sweet bushveld of South Africa
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ABSTRACT

Data consisting of test performance records of 725 bulls was analysed to evaluate trends for growth and feed efficiency, and to determine environmental factors that influence growth of range performance tested bulls in the arid sweet bushveld of South Africa. Performance data were subjected to regression procedures to estimate parameters of the slope ($\beta$) and intercept ($\alpha$) for average daily gain (ADG) and Kleiber ratio (KR). Mixed procedures were applied to test for sources of variation in ADG and KR. There was an increase of 3.481 g/day/year and 0.528 g/day/year in ADG for Nguni and Bonsmara bulls, respectively. The ADG trends' respective feed efficiency as reflected by KR was 0.093 and 0.059. Herd of origin and test-year were the sources of variation for ADG while the variation in KR was due to the herd of origin, test-year, start-age and start-weight. Results indicated a steady increase in ADG and KR for range performance tested bulls in the arid sweet bushveld.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Given that natural grazing is the most important input resource at the disposal of range cattle farmers to convert low quality roughage into high quality protein, genetic improvement of cattle regarding growth and efficiency of production on natural grazing is crucial. Bull selection is the most rapid way to change the genetic composition of a herd of cattle [1]. Bull selection for increased herd performance is essential because of typically low selection intensity in cows owing to low reproduction and high replacement rate. Bulls also represent 50% of the genetic makeup of any year’s calf crop and for producers who retain their own heifers, 90% of cowherd genetic change [2,3].

A key selection aid for young bulls is performance information from a bull performance test. The aim of any testing programme is to identify the parents of the next generation which are likely to contribute to increased herd net income [4]. While several traits are evaluated in a bull performance test, the most important is the bull’s ability to gain weight and the efficiency with which it gains. [5] indicates that, average daily gain (ADG) will be more appropriate than live weight when evaluating the growth potential of beef bulls. Feed efficiency, in general, is a measure of weight gained from feed consumed. In range growth tests, feed intake data is not recorded to enable for estimation of feed efficiency but Kleiber ratio which is a ratio of ADG to metabolic weight (ME) is used as an alternative measure or indicator of feed efficiency [6]. The mean animal weight (MWT) from which the ME is derived, is the average of the start and end of test weight. ME is calculated as $\text{MWT}^{0.73}$ [6]. [7] suggested that feed efficiency as measured in terms of KR is highly affected by the amount of grazing available. Therefore the KR in a range growth test is likely to be linked with the test-year effect.

Producers should make informed decisions when purchasing or selecting for a herd bull since substantial financial and genetic risks are associated with poor quality bulls [2]. Mara Research Station of the Limpopo Department of Agriculture has since 1995 performed annual bull performance tests aimed at aiding range cattle farmers in selecting superior bulls for their production environment. The test follows procedures as described for Phase D of the National Beef Cattle Improvement Scheme (NBCIS) wherein bullocks of about a year of age are received from farmers for range performance testing on the facility [8].

Mara Research Station is found on the arid sweet
bushveld of South Africa. Bulls from farms within the arid sweet bushveld and other veld types in the Limpopo Province are brought together and evaluated for performance as contemporaries on this particular veld type which is the sole feed source for the duration of the test. The Bonsmara and Nguni cattle breeds are the most prominent breeds participating in the Mara Research Station range performance test of young bulls. Growth and feed efficiency, and the traits respective trends over years for bulls of these breeds in a range performance test in the arid sweet bushveld have not been studied. [9] indicated that studying long term trends of performance tested bulls allows producers to understand how cattle have changed over time (performance-wise) and how to further improve their herds today. Therefore the objectives of the current study were to evaluate growth and feed efficiency trends and to determine environmental factors that affected growth and efficiency of range performance tested bulls in the arid sweet bushveld.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Test Site Environment

Data used for this study was collected at Mara Research Station which is located in the summer rainfall area of the Limpopo bushveld 23°05’S and 29°25’E. The natural grazing type (veld type) at Mara Research Station is described as the arid sweet bushveld of the South Africa [10] and has an estimated carrying capacity of 10 ha/LSU. Figure 1 shows the map of South Africa, the Limpopo province with its various veld types and the test site. For the period of testing covered in the analysis, the average annual rainfall was 452.25 mm. Figure 2 shows the mean annual rainfall recorded at test site for the period covering the tests considered in analysis.

2.2. Animal Management and Data Collection

Bullocks less than 425 days of age arrive on the testing facility around September to October of every year. Upon arrival, initial weights are recorded then bullocks are put in an adaptation period (21 - 90 days allowable). During the adaptation phase, bullocks are dewormed, vaccinated for quarter evil, anthrax, botulism and lumpy skin. During the test phase, live body weights are recorded at 3 week intervals. Body measurements, scrotum circumference and skin thickness are recorded at the end of the test. Performance results of bulls are expressed as indexes for the test contemporary restricting comparison and bull ranking to a specific test year. Further details on the Phase D testing requirements and procedures are available in NBCIS Guidelines [8]. Noteworthy for the
Mara Research Station range performance test is that throughout the test period, bullocks are kept strictly on natural grazing without lick or supplements. This test environment mimics the production environment of range farmers in which cattle are run on natural pastures throughout the year without supplements.

2.3. Data Editing

The initial data set consisted of test performance records of 725 bulls of which 362 were Nguni and 363 were Bonsmara. The data sets for the two breeds were edited separately. Observations exceeding three standard deviations around the mean for ADG and KR were removed. Herds with less than 3 participations in the test were also removed to ensure the elimination of possible sire effect on performance as multiple sires will be represented across three test-years. The two resultant data sets were as follows: Nguni data had 345 records of ADG and KR from 13 herds; Bonsmara data had 354 records on ADG and KR from 18 herds. The summary of the traits, covariable and class effects for the data sets are presented in Table 1.

2.4. Data Analysis

The assumption of linear increase of growth traits was made based on findings by [9] that suggested linearity ($P < 0.01$) of growth traits in bull performance tests. Therefore, linear function parameters of the slope ($\beta$) and intercept ($\alpha$) were estimated for ADG and KR for both breeds separately using regression procedures of the Statistical Analysis Software packages [11]. Mixed procedures were applied on the combined data to test for sources of variation ($P < 0.05$) in the performance as described by ADG and KR by fitting Eq.1:

$$ y_{ijkl} = \mu + b_i + h_j + p_k + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + e_{ijkl} $$

where:

- $y_{ijkl}$ is a vector of observation for a given trait (ADG and KR);
- $\mu$ is the overall mean for trait;
- $b_i$ is the effect of the $i$th breed of bull;
- $h_j$ is the effect of the $j$th herd of origin;
- $p_k$ is the effect of the $k$th test-year;
- $\beta_1$ & $\beta_2$ are linear regressions of start-age and start-weight;
- $e_{ijkl}$ is the random residual (error).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Results

The rate of change in ADG was 3.48 g/day/year while KR increased at a rate of 0.093 units per year for the Nguni (Figures 3 and 5). The rate of change in ADG was 0.53 g/day/year with KR increasing at a rate of 0.059 units per year for the Bonsmara (Figures 4 and 6).

ADG was affected by test-year and herd of origin but not by start-weight and start-age. Contrary to ADG, KR was affected by all effects considered. Table 2 presents probability values for the significant effect of breed, test-year, herd, initial mass and initial age on ADG and KR.

3.2. Discussion

The significant upward trend for growth rate (ADG) in
Table 1. Summary of data after editing.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trait/Effect</th>
<th>Bonsmara</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Nguni</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ADG (g/d)</td>
<td>354</td>
<td></td>
<td>657.69 ± 137.78</td>
<td>345</td>
<td></td>
<td>604.22 ± 101.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KR</td>
<td>354</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.93 ± 1.63</td>
<td>345</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.73 ± 1.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Start weight (kg)</td>
<td>354</td>
<td></td>
<td>251.91 ± 34.14</td>
<td>345</td>
<td></td>
<td>180.54 ± 33.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final weight (kg)</td>
<td>354</td>
<td></td>
<td>389.71 ± 29.21</td>
<td>345</td>
<td></td>
<td>304.33 ± 27.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Start-age (d)</td>
<td>354</td>
<td></td>
<td>355.50 ± 30.91</td>
<td>345</td>
<td></td>
<td>371.20 ± 33.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herd of origin</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test-year</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ADG = Average Daily Gain, KR = Kleiber Ratio, SD = Standard Deviation.

Figure 3. Average daily gain trends for Nguni bulls in range test.

Figure 4. Average daily gain trends for Bonsmara bulls in range test.
Figure 5. Kleiber ratio trends for Nguni bulls in range test.

Figure 6. Kleiber ratio trends for Bonsmara bulls in range test.

Table 2. Probability values for considered effects on ADG and KR.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trait</th>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>Breed</th>
<th>Test year</th>
<th>Herd</th>
<th>Initial mass</th>
<th>Initial age</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ADG</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KR</td>
<td>0.890</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ADG = Average Daily Gain, KR = Kleiber Ratio.
both breeds is expected as both breeds are selected for higher post weaning ADG. [12] also reported general increases in ADG for beef bulls in test over years. The higher rate of change in ADG in Nguni shows more improvement was made in the test-years considered. The reason could be that the Nguni breed was among the breeds that joined formal performance evaluation later, therefore with the vast genetic variation, and bigger room for improvement in management, higher gains are expected in earlier periods of performance testing as opposed to later when the breed is expected to be at an improved stage. The positive trend of feed efficiency as represented by KR also reflected positive gains that were made for the two traits in both breeds.

The realized non difference in ADG and KR between breeds demonstrates that in a similar environment of testing, a bull’s performance is primarily due to its individual genetic potential for the traits and not the breed to which it belongs. In a Phase D type of test in which the two breeds in this study (Nguni and Bonsmara) were compared together with other breeds, [7] concluded generally that none of the breeds differed in terms ADG and KR. The significant herd of origin and test-year effect for ADG in a range bull test as found in this study has not been previously reported, but in a centralized test it was reported as a combined effect [13,14] and as herd of origin separately [15,16]. The insignificance of age at start of test on ADG was expected given the applied age restrictions of test contemporaries (not more than 100 days between oldest and youngest bull). It has been suggested that live weight increases with chronological age [9,15] but reports on the effect of age of bull on post weaning growth have not been consistent [5,17-20]. [5] suggested that variation in weight at start of test can consequently lead to variation in gain on test which would persist up to the end of test. In the contrary, results of the current study suggest that weight at start of test did not affect ADG. This could also be attributed to restrictions for within test group variation in start weight (not more than 65 kg for Nguni and not more than 75 kg for Bonsmara between the heaviest and lightest bull). The within test group weight ranges were set based on the scientific evidence for fair comparison hence are likely to minimize the unfair advantages in subsequent performance of bulls. Earlier studies have shown that weight at start of test influences growth rate of bulls on test [18,20]. Adversative to the nullifying effect of age and weight restrictions on the effect of start age and weight on ADG, KR was affected (P < 0.0001) by all effects (herd of origin, test year, age at start of test and weight at start of test) fitted. The implication hereby is that the feed efficiency estimated for range tested bull by KR is a trait whose variation is not limited by the start weight and age restrictions as is the case for ADG.

4. CONCLUSION

There are positive trends in annual ADG and KR of Nguni and Bonsmara bulls tested in the arid-sweet bushveld at Mara Research Station. The breed differences in annual rates are attributable to the level of improvement of the breeds. The year in which a bull is tested and the herd from which the bull originated play a major role in the realized ADG and KR. The current results suggest that for the range test in which start-age and start-weight ranges are restricted, age at which a bull starts a test and weight at start of test are immaterial to the realized ADG but important for the realized KR.
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