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Abstract

This paper considered the increasingly sophisticated role of artificial neural networks (ANNs) after its applications at the interdisciplinary discipline incorporating neuroscience, education, and cognitive sciences. Recently, those applications have resulted in some interesting findings which recognized and adopted by neurological, educational, in addition to linguistic researchers. Accordingly, ANN models vary in relation to the nature of assigned brain functioning to be modeled. For example, as human learning that takes place autonomously according to received stimuli that are realistically simulated through self-organization modeling. This paper adopts the conceptual approach of (ANN) models inspired by functioning of highly specialized biological neurons specified in reading brain based on the organization the brain’s structures/substructures. Additionally, in accordance with the prevailing concept of individual intrinsic characterized properties of highly specialized neurons, presented models closely correspond to performance of these neurons for developing reading brain in a significant way. More specifically, introduced ANN models herein concerned with the importance of reading brain’s cognitive goal in fulfillment of enhanced academic achievement. That’s to translate visualized (orthographic word-form) into a spoken voiced word (phonological word-form). In this context, the presented work illustrates via ANN simulation and practical obtained results: How ensembles of highly specialized neurons could be dynamically involved in performing the cognitive function of developing reading brain. In more details, this paper presents an interdisciplinary approach adopting a fairly realistic approach of comparative academic performance assessment of two diverse educational methodologies. More specifically, this piece of research aims to improve conventional (classical) academic performance of Teaching How to Read Arabic Language using Methodology.
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via application of a designed Computer Based Learning module. That’s shown to be in well agreement likewise the Artificial Neural Network (ANN), associative memories theories, cognitive multimedia, and classical conditioning. More specifically, coincidence detection learning process has been adopted for evaluation of brain reading performance. Interestingly, presented comparative study originated from the children’s brain response time till reaching learning process convergence that is mapped into academic achievement (outcome mark) values. Accordingly, there response time has been adopted as an appropriate ANN’s candidate parameter for assessment of both educational methodologies. Moreover, analysis of students’ individual differences has been presented after reaching desired output (correct) answer.
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1. Introduction

Overwhelming majority of neurobiologists’ research efforts as well as neurological researches have recently revealed findings associated with the increase of commonly sophisticated role of artificial neural networks (ANN). This piece of research adopts the conceptual approach of (ANN) role inspired by functioning of highly specialized biological neurons in reading brain processing. Interestingly, observed reading function is dependable upon individual differences of brain’s ability that originated from its organizational structures/substructures and even sets of neurons. More specifically, introduced models concerned with Performed tasks by human brain are commonly coupled tightly to the two main brain functions. These are: learning that defined as brain’s ability to modify behavior in response to stored experience (inside brain synaptic interconnections), and memory is that capability to store that modification (information) over a period of time as well as to retrieve spontaneously modified experienced (learned) patterns i.e. Pattern Recognition. Based on neural network modeling, mutual tight relation between learning and memory brain functions has been presented at [1] and illustrated at two more recently published pieces of researches [2] [3]. All of these publications and more [4] [5] have been motivated by a challenging educational debated issue titled: “how to learn reading?” which announced—before two decades—by the great debate at [6], and during last decade as well at [7] [8].

At educational field practice, it has been observed that working memory components contribute to development of for a reading brain function on the basis of translation visualized (orthographic word-from) into a spoken voiced word (phonological word-form) [9] [10]. Interestingly, one of revealed findings by majority of neuroscientists
suggests the concept that huge number of neurons besides their interconnections constituting the central nervous system and synaptic connectivity performs behavioral learning in human [11] [12] [13] [14]. Moreover, in practice that learning performed by other non-human creatures (mammals) such as: cats, dogs, ants, and rats [15]. The general role of human brain while performing learning is based on two principles [12]. The first is that many different levels of analysis can be applied to the brain’s structures and functions. The second is that a system approach is needed to understand how the various component functions might be organized when a brain performs either reading or writing tasks [9] [16].

Teaching/learn how to read is an interesting critical issue, children must code written words and letters into working memory; this code is called orthographic word-form. The goal is to translate that orthographic seen word-form into a pronounced spoken word (phonological word-form). In this context, ensembles of highly specialized neurons inside human brain considered as a neural network. That dominantly plays a dynamic role in performing developing function of reading process in brain [9]. In other words, the visually recognized written pattern should be transferred and pronounced as its correspondingly associated correlated auditory pattern (previously stored) [10]. Furthermore, individual intrinsic characteristics of such highly specialized neurons (in visual brain area) influence directly on the correctness of identified images associated with orthographic word-form [17] [18]. The rest of this paper is organized as follows. At the next section, revising the basic concepts of learning function inside human brain versus that at ANN is introduced in brief. Additionally, a review of reading process to translate visualized (orthographic word-form) into a spoken voiced word (phonological word-form) is presented. A review of the basis of coincidence detection learning is given at the third section. At the fourth section, practical field results are introduced. Interesting conclusive remarks are given at the final fifth section. At the end, two Appendix I & Appendix II concerned respectively with reading ability modeling and results of three diverse academic levels of tested students.

2. Human Brain versus Artificial Neural Networks’ Concepts

How our minds emerge from our flock of neurons remains deeply mysterious. It’s the kind of question that neuroscience, for all its triumphs, has been ill equipped to answer. Some neuroscientists dedicate their careers to the workings of individual neurons. Others choose a higher scale: they might, for example, look at how the hippocampus, a cluster of millions of neurons, encodes memories. Others might look at the brain at an even higher scale, observing all the regions that become active when we perform a particular task, such as reading or feeling fear [19]. Accordingly, ANN that adopted for modeling brain’s regions while performing specific tasks observed to be varied in relation to the nature of assigned brain functioning to be modeled. More specifically, for example, as human’s reading brain functioning takes place autonomously as responsive action according to received stimuli. Therefore, that action is realistically simulated.
through coincidence self-organized paradigm of ANN originated from Hebbian learning rule modeling [20] [21] [22] [23] [24].

Artificial neural networks are computational systems which are increasingly common and sophisticated. Computational scientists who work with such systems however, often assume that they are simplistic versions of the neural systems within our brains and [25] [26] has gone further in proposing that human learning takes place through the self-organization of such however, artificial neural networks were originally conceived of in relation to how systems according to the stimuli they receive.

Artificial neural networks are mathematical models inspired by the organization and functioning of biological neurons. There are numerous artificial neural network variations that are related to the nature of the task assigned to the network. There are also numerous variations in how the neuron is modeled. In some cases, these models correspond closely to biological neurons [27] [28] in other cases the models depart from biological functioning in significant ways. Functional and structural neuroimaging studies of adult readers have provided a deeper understanding of the neural basis of reading, yet such findings also open new questions about how developing neural systems come to support this learned ability. A developmental cognitive neuroscience approach provides insights into how skilled reading emerges in the developing brain, yet also raises new methodological challenges. This review focuses on functional changes that occur during reading acquisition in cortical regions associated with both the perception of visual words and spoken language, and examines how such functional changes differ within developmental reading disabilities. We integrate these findings within an interactive specialization framework of functional development, and propose that such a framework may provide insights into how individual differences at several levels of observation (genetics, white matter tract structure, functional organization of language, cultural organization of writing systems) impact the emergence of neural systems involved in learning ability and disability of how to read? [29] [30] [31].

In the context of teaching children how to read, individually, intrinsic different number a set of highly specialized neurons at the corresponding visual brain area contribute to the perceived sight (seen) signal is in direct proportionality with the correctness of identified depicted/printed images. These images represent the orthographic word-from has to be transferred subsequently into a spoken word (phonological word-form) during reading process. Furthermore, individual intrinsic characteristics of such highly specialized neurons (in visual brain area) influence directly on the correctness of identified images associated with orthographic word-from.

3. Coincidence Detection Learning

A. Revising Pavlov-Hebbian Learning Model

Referring to the original psycho-experimental work of Pavlov [20]; coincidence detection learning process observed to be performed, after the fulfillment two vectors association as shown at Figure 1 (adapted from [21]). More precisely, the coincidence process between input signal vector \((X_1, X_2)\) provided to sensory neurons \((A, C)\), and
Evidence suggests that word-identification problems in reading disability reflect core deficits at the level of orthographic-to-phonological mapping (shown by the bold lines) (adapted from [31]).

Referring to the weight dynamics described by the famous Hebbian learning law [22], adaptation dynamical process for synaptic interconnections given after [32], by the following equation:

$$\frac{dω_y}{dt} = ηz_y y_y - a(z_y)ω_y$$

where, the first right term corresponds to learning Hebbian law and η is a positive constant. The second term represents active forgetting; a \(z_y\) is a scalar function of the output response \(z\). Referring to the structure of the model given at Figure1 the adaptation Eq. of the single stage model is as follows.

$$w_y = -aw_y + ηz_y y_y$$

where, the values of \(η, z_y\), and \(y_y\) are assumed all to be non-negative quantities [32], \(η\) is the proportionality constant less than one, \(a\) is also a less than one constant. The solution of the above Equation (2) given as follows:

$$w(t) = \frac{η}{a}(1 - e^{-at})$$

The above solution considered herein, for investigation of two synaptic plasticity factors (forgetting and learning). That is following both long-term phenomena Potentiation (LTP) and depression (LTD) observed at hippocampus brain area.

**B. Modeling of Coincidence Learning**

The model based on transferring of dot products of coincidence detection vectors,
into learning process curve that closely similar to the well-known sigmoid transfer
(output) function.

Considering normalized two weight and input vectors, it seems a good presentation
of coincidence detection learning process given as:

\[ y = \cos(\alpha) \]  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where \( y \) coincidence learning value presented by cosine of the angle \( \alpha \) between
weight and input vectors.

Therefore, the relation given as: \( x = f(y) \)

where

\[ x = \frac{y}{1 - y} \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \leq y < 1 \]  \hspace{1cm} (5)

This Equation inversely equivalently given by inverse \( y = f(x) \) as:

\[ y = \frac{x}{1 + x} \]  \hspace{1cm} (6)

This function could be easily as an approximation of

\[ y \approx (1 - e^{-x}) \]  \hspace{1cm} (7)

When only two terms of \( e^{-x} \) expansion are considered.

However, this exponentially saturated function behaves as the sigmoid function at
the range \( 0 \leq x < \infty \) at the next section. Considering generalization of this function,
individual differences represented well by relevant choice the parametric value \( \lambda \) in
the following Eq.:

\[ y = (1 - e^{-\lambda x}) \]  \hspace{1cm} (8)

This value corresponds to the learning rate factor suggested when solving Hebbian
learning differential equation using Mathematica [32]. Considering the view of coinci-
dence detection learning, the angle \( \alpha \) is a virtual learning parameter that controlling
individual differences factor. So the parametric value \( \lambda \) expressed as:

\[ \lambda = \frac{1}{\tan \alpha} \]  \hspace{1cm} (9)

The special case where \( (\alpha = \pi/4) \), learning is virtually corresponding to the natural
state (normalized). Consequently, brainier performance supposed to start at \( (\alpha > \pi/4) \),
and exceeded up to the limit at \( (\alpha = \pi/2) \). At this limit, learning curve reaches to hard
limiter performance, simulating maximum brainier (smartest) performance. Converse-
ly, knockout brain functions cases considered for \( (0 \leq \alpha < \pi/4) \).

These two-brain state functions are in well correspondence with electrically practical
stimulating signal observed at hippocampus brain area. That by either higher or lower
frequencies than the normalized learning curve \( (\alpha = \pi/4) \). These two states of frequen-
cies results (after stimulation) in long-term Potentiation (LTP) long term Depression
(LTD) respectively [21] [24]. More precisely of presented above analysis for coinci-
dence detection learning. The angle \( \alpha \) between training /learning weight vector and
an input vector have to be detected in accordance with cosine of the angle \( \alpha \). Conse-


sequently, in case of ideal detection learning, \( \tan(\alpha) \) equals zero. However, on the extreme value impossibility of learning detection occurs when \( \alpha = \pi/2 \). So, under the above assumption given at Equation (9)

\[
\lambda = \frac{1}{\tan\alpha}
\]

the value of \( \lambda \) ranges from zero to infinity.

The results of learning process considering Hebbian rule are shown by following the Equation (8). In other words, the value of \( \lambda \) corresponds to the gain factor (slope) in classical sigmoid function at ANN models [Haykin].

\[
y(t) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\lambda t}}
\]

(10)

The exponentially increasing function (8) behaves in a similar way as the sigmoid function as it saturated at unity value \( y(t) = 1 \) when learning/training time approaches to infinity. However, the Equation (8) is closely similar to the odd sigmoid function given as

\[
y(t) = \frac{1 - e^{-\lambda t}}{1 + e^{-\lambda t}} \text{ for } 0 \leq t < \infty
\]

(11)

At Figure 2, three curves are shown representing various individual levels of learning approaches (surface, deep, and strategic). Curve (Y₂) is the equalized representation of both forgetting and learning factors [32]. However curve (Y₁) shown the low level of learning rate (learning disability) that indicates the state of angle \( \alpha < \pi/4 \) conversely, the curve (Y₃) indicates better learning performance that exceeds the normal level of learning at curve (Y₂). When NMDA receptors time open more than normal time this leads to better level of intelligence. That means the saturation level has been reached more rapidly than the normal learning curve (Y₂). More probably, as the slope \( \{\tan(\alpha)\} \) increases as the opening receptor time increases. Consequently, learning convergence time decreases as shown at Figure 3 (t₁, t₂, and t₃). Three different levels corresponding to learning performance curves representing: normal, low, and better cases shown at curves Y₂, Y₁, and Y₃ respectively. The three learning convergence (response) times (t₁

\[\text{Figure 2. The structure of the Hebbian learning rule model representing Pavlov's psycho-experimental work form (adapted from [22]).}\]
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Figure 3. Shows three different learning performance curves $Y_1$, $Y_2$ and $Y_3$ that converge at time $t_1$, $t_2$ and $t_3$ considering different NMDA receptor opening time represented at different slope values corresponding to $\lambda_1$, $\lambda_2$, $\lambda_3$ respectively.

& $t_2$, and $t_3$) have corresponding to values of relative time units (2 & 2.6, and 3.5) as suggested at [1]. They are corresponding to three performance learning curves denoted by ($Y_1$ & $Y_2$ and $Y_3$) respectively. Interestingly, the obtained simulation graphical results (given by graphs: $Y_1$, $Y_2$, and $Y_3$) are realistically corresponding respectively to three students’ academic diversity academic achievements (levels) namely: week, average and excellent. That is well illustrated by three examples given at Appendix II.

Referring to Figure 4 in below, this figure illustrates graphically the presentations for suggested mathematical for mutation in accordance with different specific ANN design parameter namely gain factor values ($\lambda$). Additionally, the effect of this design parameter observed either implicitly or explicitly on dynamical synaptic plasticity weights illustrated by dynamics equations given at [33]. Furthermore, normalized behavior model considers the changes of communication levels (indicated by $\lambda$ parameter). Therefore, gain factor parameter values is analogous to speeds changing for reaching optimum solutions for Travelling Salesman Problem (TSP) using Ant colony System (ACS) [34] [35]. The following equation presents a set of curves changes in accordance with different gain factor values ($\lambda$).

$$y(n) = \frac{1 - \exp(-\lambda_i (n-1))}{1 + \exp(-\lambda_i (n-1))}$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)$$

where $\lambda_i$ represents one of gain factors (slopes) of sigmoid function. ($n$) is the number of training cycles. These curves represent a set of sigmoid functions to reach by time maximum achievement.

where ($n$) is the number of training cycles. That set of curves is illustrated graphically at Figure 4 given in blow. The examples given consider normalization of output response values.
4. Educational Field Practical Results

The obtained results are introduced at this section considering that children preferentially take in and process information in simultaneously two different teaching materials: by seeing and hearing, reflecting and acting, reasoning logically and intuitively, analyzing and visualizing, steadily and in fits and starts. The results concerned with the control group after using conventional methodology is given at the Table 1. Additionally, the Table 2 represent the results of the experimental group based on teaching using computer multimedia program methodology.

The two Table 1 and Table 2 are respectively presented at Figure 5, in two graphically shown colored curves (red and blue).

5. Conclusions and Discussions

This piece of research comes to the following five interesting conclusion remarks:

- ANN modeling is a realistic and relevant tool to obtain interesting results in the context of student’s learning performance.
- Herein, evaluation of academic achievement performance presented via statistical analysis approach that provides educationalists with unbiased fair judgment tool for quality for any of instructional methodologies. The comparative evaluation of statistical analysis results in clearly improvement has been regarding average M [%] (mean) values of academic achievement.
- This paper adopted two educational methodologies modules (applied for teaching a mathematical topic and Arabic language reading). One of these methodologies considers classical (conventional) instructional methodology. However, the other considered simultaneous visual and audible learning materials. It revealed dependency of learning/teaching effectiveness upon children’s sensory cognitive systems. As shown at Table 1 and Table 2.
Table 1. Illustrates the resulting relation between recognition (Time Response) and the mapped mark values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Students</th>
<th>Outcomes after Mapping of response time Considering Classical Teaching measured in (Marks) [%]</th>
<th>Time response assessment after recognition of heard word Considering Classical Group measured in seconds (Section)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>493</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>67.6</td>
<td>333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>78.7</td>
<td>305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>492</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>34.7</td>
<td>416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>76.7</td>
<td>310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>478</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>41.1</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>491</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>75.9</td>
<td>312</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Illustrates the resulting relation between recognition (time response) and the mapped mark values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Students</th>
<th>Outcomes after Mapping of response time Considering Outcomes After Teaching by Computer measured in (Marks) [%]</th>
<th>Time response assessment after recognition of heard word Considering Computer measured in measured in seconds (Section)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>96.5</td>
<td>260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>83.4</td>
<td>293</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>82.6</td>
<td>295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>82.2</td>
<td>296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>99.3</td>
<td>253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>93.3</td>
<td>268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>88.6</td>
<td>280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>95.3</td>
<td>263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>82.6</td>
<td>295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>84.6</td>
<td>290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>85.4</td>
<td>288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>93.7</td>
<td>267</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Interestingly, the above obtained results agree well with Lindstrom’s findings that participants could only remember 20% of the total learning materials when they were presented with visual material only, 40% when they were presented with both visual and auditory material, and about 75% when the visual and auditory material were presented simultaneously [36].

Finally, for future extension of presented research work, it is highly recommended to consider more elaborate investigational analysis and evaluations for other behavioral learning phenomena observed at educational field (such as learning creativity, improvement of learning performance, learning styles,... etc.) using ANNs modeling. As consequence of all given in above, it is worthy to recommend realistic implementation of ANNs models, to be applicable for solving educational phenomena issues related to cognitive styles observed at educational phenomena and/or activities.
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Appendix I

Reading Ability Model System

Referring to the two figures (Figure 1 & Figure 2) shown in below, suggested models obeys that concept as the two inputs $I_1, I_2$ represent sound (heard) stimulus which simulates phonological word-form and visual (sight) stimulus which simulates orthographic word-form respectively. The outputs $O_1, O_2$ are representing pronouncing and image recognition processes respectively. In order to justify the superiority and optimality of phonic approach over other teaching to read methods, an elaborated mathematical representation is introduced for two different neuro-biologically based models. Any of models needs to learn how to behave (to perform reading tasks). Somebody has to teach (for supervised learning)-not in our case--or rather for our learning process is carried out on the base of former knowledge of environment problem (learning without a teacher). The model obeys the original Hebbian learning rule. The reading process is simulated at that model in analogues manner to the previous simulation for Pavlovian conditioning learning. The input stimuli to the model are considered as either conditioned or unconditioned stimuli. Visual and audible signals are considered interchangeably for training the model to get desired responses at the output of the model. Moreover the model obeys more elaborate mathematical analysis for Pavlovian learning process [21]. Also, the model is modified following general Hebbian algorithm (GHA) and correlation matrix memory [29] [A1] [A2]. The adopted model is designed basically following after simulation of the previously measured performance of classical conditioning experiments. The model design concept is presented after the mathematical transformation of some biological hypotheses. In fact, these hypotheses are derived according to cognitive/behavioral tasks observed during the experimental learning process.

The structure of the model following the original Hebbian learning rule in its simplified form (single neuronal output) is given in Figure A1, where A and C represent two sensory neurons (receptors)/areas and B is nervous subsystem developing output response. The below simple structure at Figure A2 drives an output response reading function (pronouncing) that is represented as $O_1$. However the other output response represented as $O_2$ is obtained when input sound is considered as conditioned stimulus. Hence visual recognition as condition response of the heard letter/word is obtained as output $O_2$. In accordance with biology, the strength of response signal is dependent upon the transfer properties of the output motor neuron stimulating salivation gland. The structure of the model following the original Hebbian learning rule in its simplified form is given in Figure A1. That figure represents the classical conditioning learning process where each of lettered circles A, B, and C represents a neuron cell body. The line connecting cell bodies are the axons that terminate synaptic junctions. The signals released out from sound and sight sensor neurons A and C are represented by $y_1$ and $y_2$ respectively.

Reading ability has served as a model system within cognitive science for linking...
Figure A1. Generalized reading model which presented as pronouncing of some word(s) considering input stimuli and output responses.

Figure A2. The structure of the first model where reading process is expressed by conditioned response for seen letter/word (adapted from [A3]).

cognitive operations associated with lower level perceptual processes and higher level language function to specific brain systems [A3] [A4]. More recently, developmental cognitive neuroscience investigations have begun to examine the transformations in functional brain organization that support the emergence of reading skill. This work is beginning to address questions concerning how this evolutionarily recent human ability emerges from changes within and between brain systems associated with visual perception and language abilities, how learning experiences and maturation impact these neural changes, and the way in which individual differences at the genetic and neural systems level impact the emergence of this skill [A5] [A6]. Developmental reading studies have used ERP recordings to examine more directly the experience-dependent nature of the N170 response to visual word forms and the relationship between these signals and the rise of fast perceptual specializations for reading [A4] and difficulties in reading generally (Dyslexia) [A8] and specifically neural tuning for print peaks when children learn to read [A7].
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Appendix II

Four observed print screens’ output of suggested computer based module. The first presents the starting initial print screen. The other three screens present some observed samples of three diverse academic levels of tested students illustrated at Figure A, Figure B, and Figure C. These presented figures are respectively given in details as follows.

- At A, it presents a student that has an excellent academic level. He spends to the reach desired outcome (242 Section).
- At B, it presents a student that have an average academic level. He spends to reach desired outcome (342 Section).
- At C, it presents a student that have a week academic level. He spends to the reach desired outcome (538 Section).

Initially Starting Print Screen
(A) A student has an excellent academic level (242 Section)

(B) A student has an average academic level (342 Section)

(C) A student has a weak academic level (538 Section)
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