Investigation of electronic structure of Nd$_2$O$_3$: Experiment and theory
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ABSTRACT

In the present paper, first ever experimental Compton profile of Nd$_2$O$_3$ have been measured using $^{137}$Cs Compton spectrometer at an intermediate resolution of 0.34 a.u. Theoretical profiles are computed using PP-DFT-GGA, PP-DFT-LDA and PP-DFT-SOGGA within the framework of LCAO scheme in, and are compared with experimental results. Theoretical anisotropies in directional Compton profiles are explained in term of degenerate states along the Fermi level.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Compton scattering is a well known technique to scrutinize the electronic properties of materials [1-2]. In Compton scattering technique one measures the double differential scattering cross-section, which is directly proportional to the Compton profile of the material. The Compton profile (CP), $J(p_z)$, is the one dimensional projection of electron momentum density $n(p)$ along the scattering vector and is given by:

$$J(p_z) = \int \int n(p_x, p_y) dp_x dp_y \alpha (d \sigma/d \Omega d \omega_z)$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $p_z$ is the component of electron momentum along the z-axis and $\omega_z$ is the energy of photon after scattering. Equation (1) is valid only within the impulse approximation (IA) [3]. According to IA interaction between photon and electron is so fast that electron does not have any chance to move in the potential well and hence to change its energy. Thus the moving and bound electron can be considered as a free and at rest.

Rare earth sesquioxides (Ln$_2$O$_3$, Ln = rare earth metal) are the typical compound of rare earth metals. In these compounds all the lanthanides are trivalent. Ln$_2$O$_3$ are wide band gap semiconductors. Due to the wide band gap they are technically very important materials [4]. Out of these compounds, Nd$_2$O$_3$ have attracted the several researchers due to its wide range of applications. Nd$_2$O$_3$ is used in ceramic capacitors, color TV tubes, high temperature glazes, coloring glass, carbon-arc-light electrodes and vacuum deposition. It is also used to dope glass, including sunglasses and in making solid-state lasers. Nd$_2$O$_3$ doped glass turns purple due to the absorbance of yellow and green light and is used in welding goggles. It is also used as a polymerisation catalyst. Nd$_2$O$_3$ crystallise in hexagonal structure with the space group $P6_3/mmm$ [5] have determined the structural parameters of Nd$_2$O$_3$ and Nd$_2$O$_2$S from the refinement of neutron powder diffraction data at 4 K. They found that structures of Nd$_2$O$_3$ at 4 and 300 K are not significantly different. Band gap variation for the series of rare earth sesquioxides, sulphides and selenides are determined by [6]. A periodicity in the band gap variation for the Ln$_2$O$_3$ series has been observed by the authors [7] have measured the reflectivity spectra of Ln$_2$O$_3$ in the energy range from 0.5 to 50 eV at 300 K. They have also explained the electronic structure of these compounds from the reflectivity data. Structural properties of the Ln$_2$O$_3$ are reported by [8] using density functional theory (DFT). They have investigated the lattice parameters and Wyckoff positions of Ln$_2$O$_3$ compounds in hexagonal and cubic structures and have compared their results with the available data. [9] have studied the rare earth valencies in their dioxides and sesquioxides using the self interaction corrected local spin density approximation. Authors have calculated the energy band gap and optical properties of these compounds. Using the full potential linearised augmented plane wave method, [10] have investigated the electronic and optical properties of Ln$_2$O$_3$ (Ln = La, Pr, Nd). They have reported the energy bands, density of states and band gap in these compounds.

The main objectives of the present work were i) to measure the first ever isotropic CP of Nd$_2$O$_3$ using 661.65 keV $\gamma$-radiations ii) to compute the theoretical CPs of Nd$_2$O$_3$ using the CRYSTAL09 code iii) to com-
pute the anisotropies in theoretical CPs and analyse them in term of energy bands iv) to compute the electronic band, density of states (DOS) of Nd$_2$O$_3$, and v) to deduce the band gap in Nd$_2$O$_3$ and to compare it with the available data. Due to the non-availability of the large size (diameter 13 mm and thickness 3 mm) single crystal of Nd$_2$O$_3$ we have measured the isotropic Compton profile of Nd$_2$O$_3$.

2. EXPERIMENT

To measure the Compton profile of Nd$_2$O$_3$, we have used our $^{57}$Co/$^{133}$Cs Compton spectrometer [11]. In the present experiment $\gamma$-radiations of energy 661.65 keV were made to incident on the sample. Pellet of Nd$_2$O$_3$ (purity 99.9% +) of diameter 26 mm and thickness 3.3 mm was used as the target. The scattered radiations at an angle of 160 ± 0.6˚ were detected using high purity germanium (HPGe) detector (Canberra made, model GL 0510P) and the associated electronic like, pre-amplifier, amplifier, multi channel analyser, analog to digital converter, etc. The data were accumulated for the 260 h to get a total intensity of $1.5 \times 10^7$ counts at the Compton peak. To extract the true CP from the raw data, data were corrected for a series of several corrections namely, background, instrumental resolution, Compton scattering cross-section, multiple scattering correction, etc using the code prescribe by Warwick group [12,13]. For background correction, background was separately measured for 4 days after removing the sample from the sample holder. Finally data were normalized on the free atom [14] area viz. 57.81 e$^{-}$. The stability of the system was check time to time using two weak sources $^{57}$Co and $^{133}$Ba.

3. COMPUTATIONAL DETAILS

To interpret our experimental CPs, we have computed theoretical CPs, energy bands and DOS of Nd$_2$O$_3$ using CRYSTAL09 [15,16] code of Torino group. It is worth mentioning that the CRYSTAL09 package includes various DFT schemes like local density approximation (LDA), generalised gradient approximation (GGA) and second order generalised gradient approximation (SOGGA). In this code, the one electron crystalline orbitals are the linear combination of Bloch functions given as

$$\Phi_{\nu}(r,k) = \sum a_{\mu}(k) \Phi_{\mu}(r-A_{\mu}-g) \exp(ik\cdot g) \quad (2)$$

The Bloch functions, which are the solutions of one electron equations, are built from local atom by the linear combination of $n_0$ individual Gaussian-type functions.

In the DFT scheme, the one particle Hamiltonian operator involves exchange and correlation potential operator $\hat{\nu}_{xc}(r)$ which is defined as,

$$\hat{\nu}_{xc}(r) = \frac{\delta E_{xc}[n(r)]}{\delta \rho(r)} \quad (3)$$

here $E_{xc}$ is the exchange-correlation density functional energy and $\rho$ is the electronic density at a point $r$.

In LDA, the exchange-correlation energy of an electronic system is constructed by assuming that the exchange-correlation energy per electron at a point $r$ in the electron gas, is equal to the exchange-correlation energy per electron in a homogenous electron gas that has the same electron density at the point $r$. It follows that

$$E_{xc}^{LDA}[n(r)] = \int n(r) \epsilon_{xc}^{LDA}[n(r)] d^3r \quad (4)$$

In the GGA, the appropriate exchange energy form for slowly varying densities is

$$E_{xc}^{GGA}[n(r)] = \int n(r) \epsilon_{xc}^{LDA}(n(r)) \tilde{F}_x^{GGA}(S(R)) d^3r \quad (5)$$

where the variable $s$ is the reduced density gradient, given by the formula

$$s[n(r)] = \frac{\nabla n(r)}{2k_F n(r)} \quad (6)$$

and $k_F$ is defined by

$$n(r) = \frac{k_F^3}{3\pi^2} \quad (7)$$

The functional $\tilde{F}_x^{GGA}(s[n(r)])$ present in Equation (5), is the exchange enhancement function of the GGA and in the case of PBE it is given by

$$\tilde{F}_x^{PBE}(s) = 1 + \kappa \frac{\rho}{1 + \frac{\rho}{\kappa}} \quad (8)$$

where the constants are $\mu = 0.2195$ and $\kappa = 0.804$.

In the case of SOGGA, exchange enhancement factor is taken as equal mixing (50% each) of the PBE [17] and revised PBE (RPBE) [18] exchange functional. Mathematically,

$$\tilde{F}_x^{SOGGA} = 1 + \kappa \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{\mu s}{\kappa} \right) - \frac{1}{2} e^{-\mu s^2/k} \right] \quad (9)$$

The parameters $\mu$ and $\kappa$ are redefined as $\mu = \mu^{GE} = 0.552$ and $\kappa = 0.552$ using Lieb-Oxford bound [19].

In the present computation we have taken exchange of Dirac-Slater [20] for LDA and Wu-Cohen [21] for GGA computations, whereas correlation function of PBE[17] has been used for LDA, GGA and SOGGA. Due to the non-availability of all electron basis sets of Nd, we have used the pseudopotential (PP) basis sets from the website http://www.theochem.uni-stuttgart.de [22,23] while all electrons Gaussian basis sets for O were taken from www.tcm.phy.cam.ac.uk/~mdt26/basis_sets. The energy
optimization of the basis sets was undertaken using the Billy software [15]. The lattice parameters and Wyckoff positions in the present computations are taken from the earlier reported data of [10]. The tolerance on the total-energy convergence in the iterative solution of the Kohn-Sham equations is set to $10^{-6}$ Hartree. BRODYEN scheme [24] was used to achieve the fast convergence.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

4.1. Energy Bands and Density of States

In Figures 1(a) and (b) energy bands and total and partial DOS of Nd$_2$O$_3$, using PP-DFT-GGA, are presented. Overall shapes of energy bands computed using PP-DFT-LDA/SOGGA are same as in PP-DFT-GGA, therefore we have not shown the bands and DOS of PP-DFT-LDA/SOGGA scheme. Except some fine structures, our energy bands and DOS are seen to be in good agreement with the earlier reported data [9, 10]. Our data also confirm the indirect band gap in Nd$_2$O$_3$. Some silent features of energy bands and density of states are as follows:

Energy bands of Nd$_2$O$_3$ can be divided into two parts. The energy bands below the Fermi energy ($E_F$) are denser to that of present above the $E_F$. The energy bands below the Fermi level are mainly due to the O atom. A small contribution of Nd is also visible. While the energy bands above this level are mainly originated by the Nd atom. The contribution of O atom above the $E_F$ is almost negligible. This can also be seen from the DOS of Nd$_2$O$_3$. In the energy bands an indirect band gap between the $\Gamma$-K points is observed.

The band gap from the PP-DFT-GGA comes out to be 3.57 eV. In Table 1, we present the energy band gap in Nd$_2$O$_3$ from the different schemes used in the present work. The band gap reported by the other workers is also presented in table. Our theoretical band gap is smaller as compared to the experimental one reported in [6] but is close to the other reported theoretical results.

4.2. Compton Profiles

In Figure 2 we present the difference between the experimental and convoluted theoretical CPs of Nd$_2$O$_3$. All the isotropic theoretical profiles were convoluted at 0.34 a.u., to incorporate the effect of instrumental resolution in theoretical profiles. In Table 2, we have given the experimental and unconvoluted theoretical CPs for Nd$_2$O$_3$. At higher momentum side differences between experimental and theoretical profiles are negligible, owing to

Table 1. Energy band gap ($E_g$ in eV) for Nd$_2$O$_3$ calculated using the various first principle methods along with the available data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Approach</th>
<th>Band gap ($E_g$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>i) Present Work</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) PP-DFT-GGA</td>
<td>3.57 eV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) PP-DFT-LDA</td>
<td>3.55 eV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) PP-DFT-SOGGA</td>
<td>3.56 eV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ii) Available data</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) Singh et al. [10]</td>
<td>3.80 eV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Petit et al. [9]</td>
<td>3.58 eV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Prokofiev et al. [6]</td>
<td>4.40 eV</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Isotropic theoretical (unconvoluted) Compton profiles of Nd$_2$O$_3$ deduced from the PP-DFT-LDA, PP-DFT-GGA and PP-DFT-SOGGA schemes. The values of experimental Compton profiles are also given in the last column. Statistical error (±σ) is shown at a few experimental points.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$P_z$ (a.u.)</th>
<th>PP-DFT-GGA</th>
<th>PP-DFT-LDA</th>
<th>PP-DFT-SOGGA</th>
<th>Expt</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>28.107</td>
<td>28.247</td>
<td>28.162</td>
<td>26.094 ± 0.060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>28.097</td>
<td>28.218</td>
<td>28.135</td>
<td>25.951</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>27.786</td>
<td>27.921</td>
<td>27.840</td>
<td>25.689</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>27.142</td>
<td>27.269</td>
<td>27.193</td>
<td>25.271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>26.305</td>
<td>26.416</td>
<td>26.350</td>
<td>24.672</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>25.292</td>
<td>25.386</td>
<td>25.331</td>
<td>23.896</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>24.111</td>
<td>24.186</td>
<td>24.143</td>
<td>22.969</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>22.784</td>
<td>22.838</td>
<td>22.808</td>
<td>21.913</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>21.334</td>
<td>21.336</td>
<td>21.349</td>
<td>20.746</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>18.089</td>
<td>18.067</td>
<td>18.083</td>
<td>18.172 ± 0.046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>12.360</td>
<td>12.272</td>
<td>12.327</td>
<td>13.316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>10.649</td>
<td>10.575</td>
<td>10.620</td>
<td>11.565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>9.513</td>
<td>9.458</td>
<td>9.491</td>
<td>10.212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>8.732</td>
<td>8.694</td>
<td>8.716</td>
<td>9.195 ± 0.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>6.194</td>
<td>6.190</td>
<td>6.191</td>
<td>6.341 ± 0.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.244</td>
<td>4.242</td>
<td>4.242</td>
<td>4.449 ± 0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>3.134</td>
<td>3.134</td>
<td>3.134</td>
<td>3.143 ± 0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>2.457</td>
<td>2.457</td>
<td>2.457</td>
<td>2.461 ± 0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>2.009</td>
<td>2.008</td>
<td>2.008</td>
<td>2.053 ± 0.007</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. (Color online) Difference between the isotropic experimental and convoluted theoretical Compton profiles deduced from PP-DFT-LDA, PP-DFT-GGA and PP-DFT-SOGGA approaches. Experimental errors (±σ) are within the size of the symbols used.

The fact that on higher momentum side CPs are govern by the core electrons (which are well defined by the free atom wave functions) of material or the contribution of valence electrons is very small.

The directional differences in momentum densities using PP-DFT-GGA, PP-DFT-LDA and PP-DFT-SOGGA for Nd$_2$O$_3$ are shown in Figure 3. The anisotropies in the directional Compton profiles depict characteristic oscillations, which are governed by the energy bands.

A general trend of oscillations in the directional differences $J_{10.0} - J_{11.0}, J_{11.0} - J_{00.1}$ and $J_{00.1} - J_{10.0}$ is almost similar, except some fine structures in low momentum region. The anisotropies above the $p_z = 3$ a.u. are hardly visible. It is also seen that the anisotropies in momentum densities derived from different approximations are almost same. Now we will explain the trend of oscillations in anisotropies in term of degenerate states along the $E_F$. For example anisotropies in $J_{10.0} - J_{11.0}$ direction can be explained on the basis of degenerate states in $\Gamma$-M [100].
and Γ-K [110] branches. In Γ-M [100] branch more degenerate states at Γ point are responsible for higher momentum densities in Γ-M branch as compared to Γ-K branch. This leads to positive amplitude of $J_{10.0} - J_{11.0}$ in the low momentum region. In the similar way, we can also explain the other positive and negative anisotropies in different directions.

5. CONCLUSIONS

In the present paper, first ever experimental Compton profile of Nd$_2$O$_3$ have been measured using $^{137}$Cs Compton spectrometer at an intermediate resolution of 0.34 a.u. Experimental Compton profiles are compared with theoretical profiles. Theoretical profiles are computed using PP-DFT-GGA, PP-DFT-LDA and PP-DFT-SOGGA with in the frame work of LCAO scheme. Electronic bands, density of states of Nd$_2$O$_3$ are also presented using DFT-GGA. We have calculated the energy band gap in Nd$_2$O$_3$ and compared our data with the earlier reported data. Theoretical anisotropies in directional Compton profiles are explained in term of degenerate states along the Fermi level.

Finally, in consider of advantages and disadvantages of these three methods, we used an LCAO calculation that contains three methods, therefore we choose the best one of these methods which is closer to the practical result.
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