Antioxidant and antimicrobial properties of five medicinal Libyan plants extracts
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ABSTRACT

Five Libyan medicinal plants Thapsia garganica, Hammada scoparia, Euphorbia serrata, Hyoscyamus albus and Retama rateam were selected to evaluate their biological activities. Their total phenolic and flavanoid contents were assessed. The antioxidant activity was estimated using 2, 2-di-phenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) as free radical scavenger. Their crude extracts showed reducing potential proportional to their concentration. The correlation coefficient (R²) between antioxidant activity and their total phenolics and flavanoids content is 0.77 and 0.98 respectively. Crude aqueous, methanolic as well as alkaloids extracts of the five plants were tested against a number of G +ve and G –ve sensitive resistant (e.g. MRSA) bacteria beside some fungal species. The aqueous extracts displayed weak antibacterial activity whereas methanolic extracts were profoundly effective against both G +ve and G –ve bacteria. The extracts of E. serrata and H. scoparia were highly effective against E. coli in particular. The alkaloid-rich extracts of H. albus and H. scoparia induced remarkable bacteriostatic and fungistatic effects. The bioactive ingredients of H. scoparia, E. serrata and R. rateam extracts are shown to be potential sources of natural antioxidant and antimicrobial ingredients favoring their possible use in industrial pharmacology on large scale.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Medicinal plants MP have been used in folk medicine in Libyan rural areas at relatively cheaper expenses than modern medicine. They have been widely used as diuretics, topical anti-inflammants, and haemostatics [1]. Plants generally produce several secondary metabolites like phenols, flavonoids, quinones, tannins, alkaloids, saponins, and sterols which are important sources of biocides and many other pharmaceutical drugs [2-8]. Medicinal plants are important in pharmacological research and drug development [9]. The most important secondary plant metabolites are phenols and flavonoids [10,11], they have distinctive biological activity as natural antioxidants which exceed many other synthetic ones [12].

Antioxidants are used to preserve food quality mainly because they arrest oxidative deterioration of lipids [13, 14]. Moreover, the exploration of naturally occurring antimicrobials for food preservation receives increasing attention due to consumer awareness of natural food products and a growing concern of microbial resistance towards conventional preservatives [15]. These factors have inspired the widespread screening of new plant species for possible medicinal and antioxidant properties, while the isolation and characterization of diverse phytochemicals [16,17].

The relationship between oxidative stress and antioxidants is very often explained in a very simple manner. First, reactive oxygen species (ROS) are reacted together as one functional entity producing destruction compounds such as secondary lipid peroxidation products. However, there are many different ROS that have separate and essential roles in normal physiology and are required for a variety of normal processes. Toxic reactive oxygen (ROS) can be produced by many sources such as ultra violet radiation (UV) and heat from sunlight. These species are substantially reactive and interact with a number of cellular molecules and metabolites thereby leading to a number of destructive processes causing cell damage and neurodegenerative diseases [18]. The aim of this study was to evaluate in vitro antioxidant as well as the antibacterial and antifungal properties of crude methanolic and alkaloid extracts of some Libyan medicinal plants.
tem, Thapsia garganica, Hammada scoparia and Hyoscyamus albus that commonly used in folk medicine in Libyan countryside with reference to their utilized parts were subjected to extraction. All plants were collected from different regions of Libya between spring and early summer of 2009, then classified and authenticated by taxonomists, Department of Botany, Faculty of Science, Tripoli University (Tripoli, Libya).

2.2. Microorganisms

The bacterial (Bacillus subtilis, Staphylococcus aureus, Escherichia coli, Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Salmonella typhi) and fungal (Rizochtonia solani, Trichoderma spp., Sclerotium spp and Aspergillus niger) species were kindly provided by the Microbiological Laboratory, Faculty of Pharmacy, Dr. Najat Elghariany Department of Plant protection, Faculty of Agriculture, (Tripoli University) and Mohamed Elghazally Department of Microbiology Biotechnology Research Center (Twaisha), while resistant bacteria (clinically isolated) were kindly provided by the Microbiological Laboratory, Faculty of Agriculture, Plant protection, Dr. Najat Elghariany and Mohamed Elghazally Department of Microbiology Biotechnology Research Center (Twaisha), and remaining bacteria (clinically isolated) were kindly provided from the Clinical Microbiology Laboratory, Tripoli Medical Center (Tripoli, Libya).

2.3. Preparation of Plant Crude Extracts

Collected plants were dried at room temperature (25°C ± 2°C). The dried leaves were powdered with the aid of a clean electric blender and passed through a reasonable mesh sieve, then preserved in an air-tight dark colored glass container. Milled plant material (20 g) was soaked for 6 hours in 100 ml of distilled water in tightly sealed vessels at room temperature. The same weight of plant materials were extracted with boiling water for 10 - 15 min. The methanolic extracts were prepared by extracting the dry leaves powder (20 g) three times each with 200 ml of methanol. All crude extracts were filtered through Whatman filter paper and kept at 4°C until tested.

2.4. Phytochemical Screening

Phytochemical screening for major constituent (alkaloids, anthraquinones, coumarins, flavonoids, saponins, tannins, and terpenes) was undertaken using standard qualitative methods that has been described previously [19-23].

2.5. Alkaloids Extraction

Powdered plant materials (50 g) were extracted several times with methanol (300 ml). Methanol extraction was continued until the plant material gave a negative result for alkaloids (Mayer’s test). The obtained methanolic extract was evaporated under reduced pressure at 40°C, to minimize any possible thermal degradation of the alkaloids and other thermolabile compounds. The crude alkaloid mixture was then separated from neutral and acidic materials, and water soluble ingredients. The initial extraction was carried out with aqueous acetic acid. After which, the dichloromethane extraction was carried out and basification. The aqueous solution subjected to further dichloromethane extraction thereafter [24].

2.6. Determination of Total Polyphenol Content

The phenolic containing extracts were obtained using a method described by Marinova (2005) [25]. The phenolic contents were determined with the Folin-Ciocalteu method [26]. The tenfold diluted extract was left to react with the Folin-Ciocalteu reagent (1 ml), and then the mixture was neutralized with Na2CO3. The mixture was thereafter incubated at room temperature for 90 min. Finally, the absorbance was measured using spectrophotometer at 750 nm. The total phenolic content was expressed as mg gallic acid equivalents (GAE)/g sample.

2.7. Determination of Total Flavonoids Content

Flavonoid content of the crude extracts was estimated according to the modified method of Marinova (2005) [25]. The diluted standard solutions or plant extract (0.5 ml) were separately mixed with 1.5 ml of 80% ethanol, 0.1 ml of 10% aluminum chloride (Merck, Germany), 0.1 ml of 1M potassium acetate (Kemika, Croatia) and 2.8 ml of distilled water. After incubation at room temperature for 30 minutes, the absorbance of the reaction mixture was measured at 415 nm. Rutin (Sigma, Germany) was used for calibration curve (25, 50 and 100 μg·ml−1 in 80% ethanol).

2.8. Determination of Antioxidant Activity

2.8.1. Reducing Power

The antioxidant activity was investigated using the ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP) assay for plant extracts was investigated according to the method of Oyaizu (1986) [27]. Plant extract of 1, 10, 50 or 100 mg in 1 ml of ethanol was mixed with 2.5 ml of phosphate buffer (2 M, pH 6.6) and 2.5 ml of potassium ferricyanide (10 g/L) then the mixture was incubated at 50°C for 20 min. 1.5 ml of trichloroacetic acid (100 g/L) was added to the mixture, which was then centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 10 min. Finally, 0.5 ml of the supernatant solution was mixed with 1.0 ml of distilled water and 0.5 ml of FeCl3 (1.0 g/L) and the absorbance was measured at 665 nm. Increased absorbance of the reaction mixture indicates increasing of reducing power of plant tissue ingredients.
2.8.2. DPPH Radical Scavenging Activity

The free radical scavenging activity quantitatively tested using 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) based on Wong (2006) method [28]. The initial absorbance of DPPH in methanol was measured at 515 nm until the absorbance remains constant. A total of 40 µl of extract was added to 3 ml of 0.1 mM methanolic DPPH solution. The mixture was incubated at room temperature for 30 min. after that the absorbance at 515 nm was measured. The percentage of inhibition was calculated using the following formula:

\[
\text{Inhibition} \% = \left( \frac{(A_{515} \text{of control} - A_{515} \text{of sample})}{A_{515} \text{of control}} \right) \times 100
\]

The IC50 was calculated as the amount of antioxidants present in the sample necessary to reduce the initial DPPH concentration by 50%. All determinations were performed in triplicates.

2.9. Antibacterial and Antifungal Assessment of Plant Extracts

The antimicrobial activity of the crude and alkaloid extracts was determined by the “hole-plate diffusion methods” [29]. Each test organism was maintained on nutrient agar slant and was recovered for testing by growth on nutrient broth (Biolab, Difco) for 14 h at 37°C before streaking. Cultures were routinely adjusted to a suspension of \(1 \times 10^5\) to \(2 \times 10^6\) CFU/ml using pre-made calibration curve representing viable cell count \((X \times 10^6)\) against OD 660 nm \((Y)\). 150 µl aliquots of the extract were placed into wells of 8mm diameter. The plates were kept for 1h at 4°C for allowing better diffusion of the extract into the agar. Subsequently, plates were incubated at 37°C for 18 h. Vancomycin and Tetracycline (30 µg each) were used as positive control while sterile water or 70% methanol was used as negative control. Diameters of inhibition zones (DIZ) were measured in mm and the results were recorded as the mean of triplicate experiments.

For fungi, Petri dishes containing 25 ml of Sabaroud dextrose agar (SDA) medium were evenly seeded with 0.5 ml of adjusted fungal inoculum. According to CLSI M38-A2 (for filamentous fungi), 0.5McFarland standard was used as a reference to visually adjust the turbidity of the fungal suspension [30]. Holes of 8 mm diameter were punched and filled with 150 µl of plant extract, and the plates were incubated at 28°C ± 1°C for 48 hours [31].

2.10. Determination of Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC)

Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) was carried out using agar two fold serial dilution assays [32]. The concentrations (mg/ml) of extracts were prepared; reference antibiotics and the solvent were also assayed. The MIC was recorded as the lowest concentration of the sample that inhibits visible growth giving clear zones of inhibition after 24 h incubation.

2.11. Statistical Analysis

Data were expressed as means ± standard deviations (SD) of triplicate determinations and then analyzed by SPSS V.16 (Statistical Program for Social Sciences, SPSS Corporation, Chicago, IL) using one way analysis of variance (ANOVA). P values < 0.05 were considered to be significant. The Pearsons correlation analysis was performed between antioxidant activity, total phenolic and flavonoids contents.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Phytochemical Screening

Five Libyan medicinal plants were rottenly screened (as described in methodology) for their phytochemical constituents. Table 1 shows the contents of the phytochemicals obtained from the extraction of the five plants rated from (+ve) for faint to (+++ve) for dense turbidity. Results demonstrated that, most of them are either rich or of moderate content of alkaloids and flavonoids which is the case in most of the families to which these plants belong [33]. Tannins are in almost all investigated plants except H. albus. All are of poor levels of saponins except H. albus (Table 1).

3.2. Total Alkaloids Content

On the dry weight basis, Figure 1 shows the relatively high percentage of alkaloids content in both T. garganica (1.25%) and H. albus (1%), while, H. scoparia and E. serrata are of moderate content (0.63%, 0.83% respectively), however, R. raetem was comparatively poor (<0.2%).

3.3. Total Phenolics and Flavonoid Content of Tested Medicinal Plants

Table 2 summarizes the contents of total phenolics, and flavanoid contents of the different plant extracts. Phenolics present in medicinal plants have received considerable attention because of their potential antioxidant activity [34]. Methanol and ethanol were proven as effective solvents to extract antioxidant phenolic compounds [35]. H. scoparia showed the highest total phenolic content (119.76 ± 15.76 mg GAE/g DW), while T. garganica had the lowest value (28.53 ± 3.82 mg GAE/g DW). The amount of polyphenolic compounds in E. serrata was significantly higher \((P < 0.05)\) compared to their amounts.
Table 1. Preliminary phytochemical screening of extracts of the five tested Libyan medicinal plants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phytochemical Compounds</th>
<th>Retama raetem (Fabaceae)</th>
<th>Hammada scoparia (Chenopodiaceae)</th>
<th>Thapsia garganica (Apiaceae)</th>
<th>Euphorbia serrata (Euphorbiaceae)</th>
<th>Hyoscyamus albus (Rhamnacea)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alkaloids</td>
<td>++++ve</td>
<td>++++ve</td>
<td>++++ve</td>
<td>++++ve</td>
<td>++++ve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flavonoids</td>
<td>++++ve</td>
<td>++++ve</td>
<td>++++ve</td>
<td>++++ve</td>
<td>++++ve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tannins</td>
<td>++++ve</td>
<td>++++ve</td>
<td>++++ve</td>
<td>++++ve</td>
<td>++++ve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terpenes</td>
<td>+ve</td>
<td>++++ve</td>
<td>++++ve</td>
<td>++++ve</td>
<td>++++ve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coumarins</td>
<td>+ve</td>
<td>++++ve</td>
<td>++++ve</td>
<td>++++ve</td>
<td>+ve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saponins</td>
<td>+ve</td>
<td>++++ve</td>
<td>++++ve</td>
<td>++++ve</td>
<td>+ve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthraquinones</td>
<td>+ve</td>
<td>++++ve</td>
<td>++++ve</td>
<td>++++ve</td>
<td>+ve</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

+++ve, rich; ++ve, moderate; +ve, poor; -ve, absent.

Table 2. Total polyphenol and flavonoid contents of methanolic extracts of the tested plants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extract</th>
<th>Polyphenols content (mg GAE/g DW)</th>
<th>Flavonoids content (mg Rutin/g DW)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H. scoparia</td>
<td>119.76 ± 15.76</td>
<td>81.07 ± 6.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. raetem</td>
<td>89.35 ± 2.1</td>
<td>45.23 ± 3.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. albus</td>
<td>48.54 ± 7.82</td>
<td>27.39 ± 0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. garganica</td>
<td>28.53 ± 3.82</td>
<td>13.35 ± 1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. serrata</td>
<td>65.93 ± 9.45</td>
<td>36.29 ± 4.76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DW: dry weight; Results were recorded as (mean ± SD); *mg GAE/g DW: milligram gallic acid equivalent per gram dry weight; #mg Rutin/ g DW: milligram Rutin equivalent per gram dry weight.

Flavonoids is one of the most diverse and widespread groups of natural products which are probably the most important natural phenolics. Several flavonoids have been reported to quench active oxygen species and inhibit in vitro oxidation of low-density lipoproteins [36, 37]. A variety of plant flavonoids and alkaloids have also been shown to be anticarcinogenic in several animal models [38,39]. From analysis, it can be concluded that most of these plants are rich in flavonoids except *T. garganica* (Table 2). The amount of flavonoids in the tested plants varies from 13.35 to 81.07 mg/g rutin equivalent of the crude extract. The highest flavonoid content was found in *H. scoparia* which was in agreement with previous study [40], while the lowest amount was obtained in *T. garganica* [41]. The flavonoid contents obtained from *E. serrata* extract was remarkably higher than its content in *R. raetem* and *H. albus* (*P* < 0.05).

3.4. Antioxidant Activity

The antioxidant activity of the investigated botanical methanolic extracts was initially determined using the reducing power and DPPH assays.

3.4.1. Reducing Power of the Tested Plant Extracts

Determination of reducing potential can reflect some aspects of antioxidant activity in the extracts (Figure 2). The intensity of color resulting from reduction of ferric ions depends on the reducing potential of the compounds.
presented in the extracts. The intensity of the color reflects the absorption which is parallel to antioxidant activity [42]. Reducing potential was of concentration-dependent up to 1 mg/ml pattern and ranged from 0.78 in *T. garganica* to 1.73 in *H. scoparia*. The reducing power of *H. scoparia* extract was significantly higher as compared to *H. albus*, *T. garganica* and *R. raetem* extracts (P < 0.05). The obtained results are comparable with those of the total phenolic and flavonoid contents (Table 2), indicating that these plants represent reliable source of antioxidants.

### 3.4.2. Free Radicals Scavenging Activity

2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) is a commercial oxidizing stable free radical, which is readily reduced by antioxidants. Increased reduction of DPPH is related to the high scavenging activity given by particular sample [43]. The IC$_{50}$ was calculated, and expressed as the amount of antioxidant exists in the sample necessary to decrease the initial DPPH concentration by 50%. The lower the IC$_{50}$ value, the higher is the antioxidant activity.

Although all tested plant extracts were of observed antioxidant activity, the methanol extract of *H. scoparia* was able to reduce the DPPH concentration with an IC$_{50}$ of 8 ± 1.5 μg/ml, which was stronger than that of control antioxidant, ascorbic acid, (IC$_{50}$ = 10 ± 1.6 μg/ml) (Figure 3). The antioxidant activity of *E. serrata* and *R. raetem* extracts were less than *H. scoparia* extract, where IC$_{50}$ of *E. serrata* and *R. raetem* extracts was five-folds higher than of that *H. scoparia* extract (P < 0.05). *T. Gargarica* and *H. albus* extracts was showed the lowest antioxidant activity in comparison to tested extracts. The low antioxidant activity in DPPH assay is related to the amount of the total phenolic compounds of the plant [44]. Since, *T. garganica* has no considerable amounts of phenolic compound in the methanolic extract; yet it could be considered as moderate antioxidant which can be used for different purposes (Table 2) which is true also for *E. serrata* and *R. raetem*.

The correlation coefficient between antioxidant activity and total phenolic contents of the studied plants is R$^2 = 0.77$ (Figure 4), whereas the correlation coefficient between the total flavonoids and antioxidant activity is equal to 0.98 (Figure 4). These results revealed that 77% of the antioxidant capacity is due to the contribution of the phenolic compounds.

The distinguishable relationship between the antioxidant activity and the total phenolics may be explained in various ways, in fact, the total phenolics content does not incorporate all the antioxidants. In addition, the synergism between the antioxidants in the mixture makes the antioxidant activity not only dependant on the concentration, but also on the structure and the interaction between the contained antioxidants. This can be explained because some plants like *H. albus* with respectable amount of phenolic compounds (48 mg/g) give low antioxidant activity compared with *T. garganica* extract (Table 2). The results confirm that there is a direct correlation between total phenolic content and antioxidant capacity of the medicinal plants [45].

### 3.5. Antimicrobial and Antifungal Activities

Many medicinal plants are considered to be potential antimicrobial crude drugs as well as a source of novel compounds such as flavonoids and alkaloids with antimicrobial activity [46,47]. This expectation that some naturally occurring plant ingredients can kill antibiotic-resistant strains of bacteria such as *Bacillus cereus*, *Escherichia coli*, *Micrococcus luteus* and *Staphylococcus aureus* has been confirmed [48].

The antimicrobial activities of medicinal plant extracts against microorganisms examined in the present study and their potency was qualitatively and quantitatively assessed by the presence or absence of inhibition zones,
Figure 3. IC50 (µg/ml) values of plant extracts for free radical scavenging activity by DPPH radical (A lower IC50 value indicates higher antioxidant activity; ascorbic acid was used as positive control).

Figure 4. Linear correlation of free radical activity with respect to the total polyphenols and flavanoids content of the five tested Libyan plants.

zone diameter and MIC values. The results obtained from testing the extracts presented in Table 3 indicated moderate or high antimicrobial activity against some microorganisms. High antibacterial activity against most strains was attained by E. serrata and H. scoparia most probably due to their high content of phenolics and flavonoids, while the high activity of H. albus might be attributed to its high content of alkaloids.

The antimicrobial effects of aqueous extracts (ambient or hot) of five medicinal plant species are shown in Table 3. It can be concluded that; the activity of cold water extracts was mostly of weak to very weak against both G+ve and G–ve bacteria except H. albus and E. serrata. However, the antibacterial potential was increased notably using hot aqueous or methanol plant extracts.

The five plant extracts, in most cases, displayed remarkable antibacterial potential against all tested bacteria (Table 3). H. albus extract showed high antibacterial activity exceeds the reference antibiotics vancomycin and tetracycline (at 30 µg/disc) since it gives DIZ range between 26 - 32 mm on G+ve and 18 - 24 cm on G-ve bacteria. High antibacterial activity was also obtained from extracts of E. serrata and H. scoparia, which inhibited the growth of E. coli by 27 mm and 20 mm, respectively. The biological activity of H. scoparia has been reported by other study [49].

Since the antibacterial activity tests demonstrated that, both crude methanolic and/or hot water extracts are of good bactericidal properties against susceptible bacteria (with variations between species), it was advisable to test them against antibiotic-resistant clinical isolates species, including the MRSA. It was found that extract of H. scoparia, E. serrata and H. albus inhibited growth of some tested antibiotic-resistant microbes such as P. aeruginosa and MRSA. It was noteworthy that the MRSA growth was strongly inhibited by methanolic extract of E. serrata followed by H. scoparia and H. albus (Table 4).

Seeking quantitative expression of the antibacterial activity, the MICs of methanolic extracts of tested MPs were determined (Figure 5). The lowest MIC values were recorded with H. albus extract on G+ve and G–ve susceptible bacterial species with values between 3.13 - 6.25 mg/ml (Figure 6). These values were 8 times higher on resistant strains of the same species (e.g. MRSA). The methanol extract of E. serrata showed high antimicrobial activity against Gram-positive bacteria than the other extracts with MICs of 3.13 - 6.25 mg/ml against S. aureus, E. coli, S. typhi, and MRSA. The R. raetem extract was
Table 3. *In vitro* antibacterial activity of the aqueous and methanolic plant extracts against narrow spectrum of susceptible bacteria. Well diameter: 8 mm; W: water at 250°C; HW: hot water [70°C - 80°C].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extract</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>S. aur</th>
<th>B. sub</th>
<th>E. coli</th>
<th>S. typhi</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E. serrata</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HW</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MeOH</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. garganica</td>
<td>HW</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MeOH</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. scoparia</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HW</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MeOH</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. raetem</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HW</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MeOH</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. albus</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HW</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MeOH</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. *In vitro* antimicrobial activity of the methanolic plant extracts against resistant bacteria.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methanolic extract</th>
<th>E. agg</th>
<th>P. Syr</th>
<th>S. spp</th>
<th>S. mar</th>
<th>P. aer.</th>
<th>ESBL+</th>
<th>MRSA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E. serrata</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. garganica</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. scoparia</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. raetem</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. albus</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*S. aur*: Staphylococcus aure; *E. coli*: Escherichia coli; *B. sub*: Bacillus subtilis; *S. typhi*: Salmonella typhi.

Figure 5. *In vitro* antibacterial activity (MIC) of methanolic extract of *H. scoparia* incubated with MRSA, *B. subtilis*, *S. aureus* and *P. aeruginosa* for 24 h.
more effective as antimicrobial agent with relatively low MIC against G–ve such as *P. aeruginosa* in comparison with G+ve like *MRS A*. Methanol extracts of *R. raetem* flowers revealed high antimicrobial activity against Gram-positive bacteria [47] which was in good agreement with our results. The high resistance of tested Gram-negative bacteria to external agents has been reported earlier, and it is mainly attributed to the presence of lipopolysaccharides in their outer cell membranes, which make them inherently resistant to antibiotics, detergent, and hydrophilic dyes [50]. The reason for higher sensitivity of the Gram-positive bacteria than Gram-negative bacteria could be attributed to the differences between their cell wall compositions. The Gram-positive bacteria contain an outer peptidoglycan layer instead of LPS, which is an ineffective permeability barrier [51,52]. Without outer membrane, the cell wall of Gram-positive ones can be permeated more easily and extracts can disturb the cytoplasmic membrane, the proton motive force (PMF), electron flow, active transport and coagulation of cell contents [1]. Therefore, the structural difference of bacterial cell wall plays an important role in their susceptibility. Collectively, *H. albus* followed by *H. scoparia* and *E. serrata* extracts were of higher potential as antimicrobials, (on basis of their MICs) regardless of the sensitivity of the test organisms (Susceptible or Resistant).

Although alkaloids are typical natural products of plants, it also produced by bacteria, fungi and animals produce alkaloids. It has been estimated that more than 20% of all plant species have alkaloids, especially members of the families *Ranunculaceae*, *Berberidaceae*, *Cactaceae*, *Apocynaceae*, *Rutaceae*, *Solanaeae*, *Boraginaceae*, and *Leguminosae* [53].

The antibacterial efficacy of the alkaloid extracts of the five investigated medicinal plants against susceptible and antibiotic-resistant bacteria of both species was investigated; the obtained results are shown in Table 5. The antimicrobial results showed that the *H. albus* alkaloid extract was effectively arrested growth of susceptible *G +ve* (DIZ = 41 - 43 mm), *G –ve* (DIZ = 34 - 35 mm) including resistant bacteria, especially MRSA (DIZ = 32 mm). These results showed high antimicrobial activity in comparison with the positive control. This can be partly attributed to the presence of higher alkaloidal content, as reported in other study [54].

Like the alkaloid extract of *H. albus*, *E. serrata* (DIZ = 21 - 41 mm) and *H. scoparia* (DIZ = 22 - 37 mm) alkaloid extracts showed similar results with remarkable effect against tested bacteria. Whereas, *R. raetem* (DIZ = 15 - 33 mm) and *T. garganica* exhibited moderate effect (DIZ = 19 - 24 mm). *E. serrata* alkaloid extract was very effective on *MRS A* (DIZ ~41 mm) compared with other plant species tested.

In terms of MIC values, the quantitative differences between the efficacies of alkaloid extracts of the five plants were determined and the results are illustrated in Figure 7. These results indicate that *H. albus* extract was of the lowest MIC value (0.156 mg/ml) on both G+ve strains (*B. subtilis* and *S. aureus*). Alkaloid extract of *H. scoparia* showed the same effect at doubled MIC values (0.313 mg/ml) *E. serrata* alkaloid extract potentially affected *S. aureus*, *E. coli*, *B. subtilis* and *S. typhi* at MIC values of 0.156, 0.313, 0.625 and 0.625 mg/ml respectively (Figure 7). Although, it has been reported that other species of *Rhamnaceae* family possess moderate antimicrobial and antiviral activities [55], the alkaloids extract of *H. albus* showed remarkable activity on *S. aureus*, *E. coli* and *S. typhi* with MIC values 0.156, 0.313 and 0.313 mg/ml respectively. It is most likely that the toxicity of plant members of this family is mostly related to the high concentration of the alkaloid in their extracts.

Antifungal activity of five botanical extracts was assayed against *Rhizoctonia* sp, *Trichoderma* sp, *Sclerotium* sp, *Aspergillus* and the obtained data are presented in Figure 8. The crude plant extracts of *R. raetem* and *H. scoparia* were exhibited significant reduction in the growth of four fungi which was in parallel with previous study [48] (Figure 8a). It has been reported that *raetem* extract showed an antibacterial, antifungal and anticancer activity [56]. Alkaloids extract of *H. scoparia* and *H. albus* proved to be effective against tested fungi especially on *Trichoderma* spp, *Sclerotium* spp, *Aspergillus* *niger*.

*H. scoparia* demonstrated strong growth inhibition of the malaria parasite in earlier study [57]. In addition, methanolic *H. scoparia* extract demonstrated richness in alkaloids and showed significant molluscicidal activity [56], while *H. albus* extract exhibited antiviral activity [54]. The antifungal activity of alkaloids of *T. garganica*
Table 5. *In vitro* antimicrobial activity of the alkaloid extract of the five tested Libyan medicinal plants against susceptible and resistant bacteria.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alkaloids extract</th>
<th>Susceptible Gram +ve</th>
<th>Susceptible Gram −ve</th>
<th>Resistant Bacteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>S. aur</em></td>
<td><em>B. sub</em></td>
<td><em>E. coli</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>H. scoparia</em></td>
<td>31</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>R. raetem</em></td>
<td>25</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>H. albus</em></td>
<td>41</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>T. garganica</em></td>
<td>24</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. serrata</em></td>
<td>33</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vancomycin (30 μg)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetracycline (30 μg)</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Well diameter: 8 mm; *S. aur*: *Staphylococcus aureus*; *B. sub*: *Bacillus subtilis*; *E. coli*: *Escherichia coli*; *S. typ*: *Salmonella typhi*; *MRSA*: Methicillin-resistant; *P. aer*: *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*.

![Graph showing in vitro MIC values of alkaloid extracts](image-url)

**Figure 7.** The *in vitro* MIC values (mg/ml) of alkaloid extracts of tested plants. *S. aur*: *Staphylococcus aureus*; *B. sub*: *Bacillus subtilis*; *E. coli*: *Escherichia coli*; *S. typ*: *Salmonella typhi*.
was increased significantly in comparison with crude extract ($P < 0.05$). The alkaloids extract of *E. serrata* has lower antifungal activity compared with other alkaloid extracts which might be due to its comparatively low alkaloid content (Figure 8(b)), this study suggests that methanolic extracts of the screened plants would be helpful in treating diseases in plants caused by *Rizochtonia solani*, *Trichoderma* spp, *Sclerotium* spp and *Aspergillus niger*.

4. CONCLUSION

Antioxidative and antimicrobial properties of the medicinal plants are proven to be of great interest in both health and industry due to their possible uses as natural food additives to replace synthetic ones. In this respect, the present study was designed to evaluate the *in vitro* antioxidant properties and antimicrobial activity of five Libyan medicinal plant extracts against various microorganisms. The results presented here can be considered as the first information on the antioxidant and antimicrobial properties of those plants that have traditional uses in Libya. *H. scoparia* and *R. raetem* may be useful as excellent antioxidant, antibacterial and antifungal agents while *T. garganica* can be considered as a good source of antioxidant and antimicrobial agents. Additional studies are required on the mode of action of the active ingredients on pathogenic microorganisms. Despite the significant effect of *E. serrata* extract against both bacteria and fungi, it belongs to a family that is known to be toxic. Therefore, consumers of any of the investigated plants should also be familiar with science-based information on dosage, contraindications, and efficacy.

REFERENCES


Abulude, F.O. (2007) Phytochemical screening and min-


oxidant activity of extract from the leaves of Ocimum gratissimum. Scientific Research and Essays, 2, 163-166.

[...] Phytochemical and anti-

enging activity of some Nigerian medicinal plants. Progress in Drug Research, 65, 121-140.

[...] Phytochemical and anti-

enging activity of some Nigerian medicinal plants. Progress in Drug Research, 65, 121-140.

[...] Phytochemical and anti-

enging activity of some Nigerian medicinal plants. Progress in Drug Research, 65, 121-140.

[...] Phytochemical and anti-

enging activity of some Nigerian medicinal plants. Progress in Drug Research, 65, 121-140.

[...] Phytochemical and anti-

enging activity of some Nigerian medicinal plants. Progress in Drug Research, 65, 121-140.

[...] Phytochemical and anti-

enging activity of some Nigerian medicinal plants. Progress in Drug Research, 65, 121-140.

[...] Phytochemical and anti-

enging activity of some Nigerian medicinal plants. Progress in Drug Research, 65, 121-140.

[...] Phytochemical and anti-

enging activity of some Nigerian medicinal plants. Progress in Drug Research, 65, 121-140.


