On chromospheric variations modeling for main-sequence stars of G and K spectral classes
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ABSTRACT

We present a method of 13 late-type main-sequence stars chromospheric flux observation data calculations. These Sun-like stars have well-determined cyclic flux variations similar to the 11-year solar activity cycle. Our flux prediction is based on chromospheric HK emission time series measurements from the Mount Wilson Observatory and comparable solar data. We show that solar three-component modeling explains well the stellar observations. We find that the 10% - 20% of K-stars disc’s surfaces are occupied by bright active regions.
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1. INTRODUCTION

This paper continues the study of variability among Sun-like stars. Here the purpose is to obtain the possibility of modeling the behavior of the star’s chromospheric emission in future or for periods of time without measurements.

Observations of chromospheric variability requires at least a decade to reveal variations with timescales to the 11-year solar cycle.

We use the data from the observation program that was initiated by Wilson who discovered the widespread occurrence of activity cycles by monitoring Ca II H and K variations in 91 stars on or near the lower main sequence over 12 year. Two sets of measurements (named “HK-project”) have been combined to make more than 30 years records of stellar chromospheric activity. Wilson made observations from 1966 to 1977 at monthly intervals on 2.5 m telescope at Mount Wilson Observatory. The survey moved in 1977 to 1.5 m telescope with instrument whose measurements can be compared to those of Wilson’s system. Some new stars were added to 91 Wilson’s stars to bring the total in the monitoring program to 111 stars [1,2]. Ca II H (396.8 nm) and K (393.4 nm) emission is observed in stars with spectral class later than approximately F2 V, i.e. less massive than about 1.5 $M_\odot$. Areas of concentrated magnetic fields on the Sun and Sun-like stars emit Ca II H and K more intensely than areas with less magnetic field present. So the contrast of Active Regions (AR) emission (where the local magnetic fields are more then some orders higher than average global magnetic field) in these Ca II lines changes from 1.2 to 1.5 with changing of chromospheric activity cycle phase.

Comparing of variability of H and K emission in main-sequence stars should provide important validation for theories of magnetic activity, as well as place of solar activity in a general perspective.

The influence of photospheric flux in the total solar or stars irradiance we can interpretate as the cyclic flux variations caused by slight imbalance between the flux deficit produced by dark sunspots and the excess flux produced by bright faculae. average emission (that varies with the so-called the 11-year chromospheric cycle).

Besides of such structures as AR in solar and stars chromosphere there is another regular structure—“chromospheric network” (connecting with the supergranulation). It also varies its own relative brightness with chromospheric activity cycle.

We can note that the maximum amplitude of photospheric flux variability in the 11-year solar cycle may be as much as 1% - 3% of the average photospheric flux level but the maximum amplitude of Ca II chromospheric flux may be as much as 20% of the average level. These values are our estimations for the maximum amplitudes of the 11-year variations of Sun-like stars photospheric and chromospheric fluxes.

2. THE THREE-COMPONENT MODEL OF STELLAR CHROMOSPHERIC EMISSION

The processes in solar atmosphere caused the emission in different spectral intervals and lines are studied
well enough. But it’s very difficult to take into account the contribution of all different structures that emitted from the solar surface. As a successful example of solar flux model calculations in spectral intervals of 40 - 140 nm (that are in agreement with SKYLAB’s observations) we can point out the [3].

These calculations (made in [3]) take into account the influence of 6 main different components on the solar surface and their contributions to the total emission in this spectral interval. These components are: the dark areas inside the chromospheric network cells, the centers of networks, the areas of quiet Sun, the average level of network emission region, the bright areas of network, the most bright areas of network. When the observations are not made with high accuracy all this structures we see as quiet Sun

These structures contribute significantly to the full flux emitted from the quiet Sun chromospheric average emission.

The next most important source of solar chromosphere emission is the Active Regions (AR) emission. The SKYLAB’s observations show the brightness of AR are 1.5 - 2.5 times greater the average quiet surface brightness [4]. This AR brightness contrast is depend of wavelengths. They note also that the AR surface brightness depends of the AR area and the number of spots the AR consists of.

The model [5] (that’s made for 40 - 140 nm spectral interval) based on NIMBUS 7 observations assumed that the full flux from chromosphere is determined by three main components.

These components are: 1) the constant component with uniform distributed sources on solar surface, 2) the “active” network component (uniformly distributed too but also connected with destroyed parts of previous AR and so is proportional to total AR areas), 3) the AR component.

So one can use formulae from [5] for calculation of the flux in chromospheric lines:

\[
I = I_{dQ} \left(1 + f_N \left(C_{iN} - 1\right)\right) + 2\pi F_{dQ} \left(\Sigma A_i R_i \left(\mu_i\right) \left(C_{pN} W_i - 1\right)\right)
\]

(1)

where \(I\) is the full flux of chromospheric emission, \(I_{dQ}\) is the contribution of the constant component (BASAL), \(C_{pN}\) is the values of AR contrasts and they are similar to contrasts from [6], \(C_{iN}\) is the value of “active network” contrast; they are equal to \(0.5 - C_{pN}\) for continuum and \(1/3 - C_{pN}\) for lines, \(f_N\) is part of disk (without AR) that is occupied by the “active network”.

The second member in the right part of (1) describes emission from all AR on the disk; \(A_i\) are values of their squares, \(\mu_i\) describes the AR position: \(\mu_i = \cos \phi_i \cos \theta_i\) (where \(\phi_i\) and \(\theta_i\) are the coordinates of AR number \(i\)), \(R_i \left(\mu_i\right)\) describes the relative change of the surface brightness \(F_{dQ} \left(\mu_i\right)\) with moving from center to edge of disk. The relative adding AR contribution to full flux from the different AR is determined by the factor \(W_i\) that is linearly changed from the value 0.76 to 1.6 depending of the brightness ball of flocculae (according to ball flocculae changes from 1 to 5).

So the “active” network part in all the surface without AR is determined by the AR decay, the next relationship between \(f_N\) in time moment \(t\) and average values \(A_i\) in earlier time is right:

\[
f_N(t) = 13.3 \cdot 10^{-5} \cdot \left(\Sigma A_i \left(t - 27\right)\right)
\]

(2)

where the time-averaging is taken for 7 previous rotation periods, \(A_i\) is measured in one million parts of the disk.

To analyzed the H and K Ca II flux long-time variations in case of Sun-like stars we assume that full flux \(S_{CaII}(t)\) is consists of three main components:

1) the “constant part” (so-called BASAL in solar physics—we call this component \(P_{min}\)),
2) the “low-changed background” (we call this component \(P_{cal\ t} (t)\) ) and
3) AR on the disk of star (we call this component \(S_{Ar}(t)\)).

So the full flux will be

\[
S_{CaII}(t) = P_{cal\ t} (t) + S_{Ar}(t)
\]

The component \(b) S_{CaII}(t)\) consists of constant BASAL component \(P_{min}\) and low-changed pseudo-sinusitis component which we can see from the Sun observations and will describe it's approximation later.

It’s evident (from solar observations and their interpretations) that between the values \(S_{CaII}(t)\) and \(P_{cal\ t} (t)\) there is close connection.

According to [7] the average amplitude of flux variations may be 20% in maximum phase of chromospheric cycle.

This point of view is according well enough with Lean’s model [5] for solar \(L_{eq}\) line (in case of solar \(L_{eq}\) line flux the maximum amplitude of this flux variation in different 11 yr cycles reached the value of 20%).

Then we determine the analog coefficient \(k\) for star’s chromospheric cycle as equal to ratio of maximum amplitude of so called “background” component to maximum amplitude of full flux in long-term activity cycle:

\[
k = \frac{\left(P_{max}^{cal\ t} - P_{min}\right)}{\left(S_{max}^{CaII} - P_{min}\right)}
\]

(3)

We consider that \(k\) is constant ratio between \(P_{cal\ t} (t)\) and \(S_{CaII}(t)\) for all moments during star’s cycle.

We also assume that \(P_{min}^{cal\ t} = 1.2 P_{min}\).

It’s evident from our previous consideration that \(P_{min}\) is a constant value during all long-term cycles but differs
for different stars and the Sun. Most likely that the value $P_{\text{min}}$ characterizes the average level of outer atmosphere activity of stars and may correlate with ROSAT observations of their X-ray fluxes (X-ray luminosity are observed on ROSAT for 65% “HK-project” stars only).

According to these we connect the full flux value $S_{\text{CaII}}(t)$ and “background” flux value $P_{\text{CaII}}(t)$ by analog coefficient $k$ (3):

$$P_{\text{CaII}}(t) = k \cdot S_{\text{CaII}}(t)$$

(4)

The $S_{\text{CaII}}(t)$ values one can take from observations [2].

In Figure 1 we can see records of relative Ca II H + K emission fluxes ($S_{\text{CaII}}$) for the Sun and HD 81809 star of “EXCELLENT” class as determined in [1] and [2].

It’s evident (from solar observations in different spectral intervals) that $P_{\text{CaII}}(t)$ and $S_{\text{CaII}}(t)$ have similar behavior in chromospheric cycle.

We’ve calculated the regression coefficients (see Table 1) $a$ and $b$ for the linear regression relation:

$$S_{\text{CaII}}(t) = a \cdot P_{\text{CaII}}(t) + b$$

(5)

To make “background” flux prediction we use the method from [8] for solar “background” flux variations in the 11-year cycle. Using considerations from [8] we’ve obtained the next approximation for “background” flux $P_{\text{CaII}}(t)$:

$$P_{\text{CaII}}(t) = P_{\text{min}} \cdot (1 + \sin^4 \pi \cdot tT) \cdot e^{-\pi t}$$

(6)

where $P_{\text{min}}$ is the minimum value of “background” flux is equal to BASAL level flux. It corresponds to the minimum “background” flux value for the star and it’s constant for all observed long-term cycles, see Figure 2. $T$ is the period of long-term chromospheric cycle calculated by [2], $t$ is the time expressed in parts of period $T$:

$$t = 0.1 \cdot T, 0.2 \cdot T, \ldots$$

So we have two methods of “background” flux calculations: 1) from observations records using (3) and (4) (see Figure 2), 2) from analytic approximation (6) using $P_{\text{min}}$ only. Note that the both methods give us very identifiable values of $P_{\text{CaII}}(t)$ which differ some percents only.

So if we want the chromospheric flux to predict we may calculate $S_{\text{CaII}}(t)$ with help of (5) using $a$ and $b$ coefficients which are calculated earlier with help of standard regression methods and presented in the Table 1.

In the Table 1 we present also the relative full flux variation in activity cycle maximum: $(\Delta S_{\text{CaII}}^{\text{max}} / P_{\text{min}})$ and relative AR adding flux in activity cycle maximum: $(\Delta S_{\text{CaII}}^{\text{max}} / P_{\text{min}})$.

These values of flux variations are presented in % of $P_{\text{min}}$. The value $P_{\text{min}}$ - that is equal to BASAL emission for different stars which we can determine from observations [2], see Figure 1.

Figure 1. Records of relative CaII emission fluxes (S-index) from the Mount Wilson observations [1,2] for the Sun and HD 81809 star of “EXCELLENT” class. (B - V) values are presented.
Figure 2. For the Sun and HD 81809 we show BASAL level and other components for (3) - (5).

Table 1. Observed parameters of 13 “EXCELLENT” class stars and regression coefficients a and b calculated from (5).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Object</th>
<th>B - V</th>
<th>$T_{\text{cyc}}$, yr</th>
<th>$P_{\text{max}}$</th>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>$\Delta S_{\text{cyl}}^{\text{max}}$/$P_{\text{max}}$</th>
<th>$\Delta S_{\text{cyl}}^{\text{min}}$/$P_{\text{max}}$, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sun</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.162</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>-0.031</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HD 81809</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>0.155</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>-0.020</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HD 152391</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>-0.180</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>11.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HD 103095</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>-0.040</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HD 184144</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>-0.085</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HD 26965</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HD 10476</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>-0.104</td>
<td>32.4</td>
<td>12.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HD 166620</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>0.175</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>-0.075</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HD 160346</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>-0.21</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>17.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HD 4628</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>-0.183</td>
<td>39.4</td>
<td>19.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HD 16160</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>-0.116</td>
<td>32.6</td>
<td>12.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HD 219834B</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>-0.157</td>
<td>38.2</td>
<td>18.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HD 201091</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>-0.434</td>
<td>37.2</td>
<td>17.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HD 32147</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>-0.147</td>
<td>45.4</td>
<td>25.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Table 1 data we may employed in our full flux chromospheric predictions: for the certain moment \( t \) (\( t \) is the time expressed in parts of period value \( T \)) we can calculated the value \( P_{\text{calc}}(t) \) from (6). Then from (5) for moments \( t \) and for \( P_{\text{min}} \) star’s values we can calculate the predicted flux \( S_{\text{calc}}(t) \).

3. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

When we analyze results of our predictions (in the Table 1 we presented the observed values that we’re discussed in this issue and our estimations as \( \Delta S_{\text{calc}}^{\text{max}} / P_{\text{min}} \) and \( \Delta S_{\text{calc}}^{\text{diff}} / P_{\text{min}} \) ) some conclusions can be made:

K-stars of “EXCELLENT” class (as was for stars with the most evident determination of chromospheric activity cycles [1,2]) have enough number of AR at stars surfaces and these AR can emit the addition flux near 10% - 20% of full flux in chromospheric cycle maximum, see Table. So we can see that in K-stars the most bright floc- culae (its flux is about two times brighter than the average chromosphere flux) may occupy almost 10% - 20% of star’s disk.

This 10% - 20% of AR additional flux (“background” flux evaluation) we show in Figure 2. Also we see the star’s “background” flux \( P_{\text{calc}}(t) \) (smoothly changed in chromospheric cycle) and BASAL component (constant in chromospheric cycle).

Note that all our three components we can see in Figure 1 (“HK-project” observations of the Sun and HD 81809 star) similar to solar case, described in [5].
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