Investigation of nonlinear temperature distribution in biological tissues by using bioheat transfer equation of Pennes’ type
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ABSTRACT

In this paper, a two level finite difference scheme of Crank-Nicholson type is constructed and used to numerically investigate nonlinear temperature distribution in biological tissues described by bioheat transfer equation of Pennes’ type. For the equation under consideration, the thermal conductivity is either depth-dependent or temperature-dependent, while blood perfusion is temperature-dependent. In both cases of depth-dependent and temperature-dependent thermal conductivity, it is shown that blood perfusion decreases the temperature of the living tissue. Our numerical simulations show that neither the localization nor the magnitude of peak temperature is affected by surface temperature; however, the width of peak temperature increases with surface temperature.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The evaluation of thermal conductivities in living tissues is a very complex process which involves several phenomenological mechanisms, such as heat transfer due to perfusion of the arterial-venous blood through the pores of the tissue (blood convection), heat conduction in tissues, metabolic heat generation and external interactions, such as electromagnetic radiation emitted from cell phones, evaporation, metabolism, etc. The heat transfer mechanism in biological tissues is important for therapeutic practices, such as cancer hyperthermia, burn injury, brain hypothermia resuscitation, disease diagnostics, cryosurgery, etc.

Many of the bioheat transfer problems have been modeled using Pennes’ equation [1], which accounts for the ability of tissue to remove heat by both passive conduction (diffusion) and perfusion of tissue by blood. Perfusion is defined as the nonvectorial volumetric blood flow per tissue volume in a region that contains sufficient capillaries that an average flow description is considered reasonable. Pennes’ model was adapted by many biologists for the analysis of various heat transfer phenomena in a living body [2-7]. Others, after evaluations of the Pennes model in specific situations, have concluded that many of the hypotheses (foundational to the model) are not valid. Then these latter modified and generalized the model to adequate systems [8-11]. To analyze nonlinear temperature distribution in living tissues, it is sometime useful to modify the one-dimensional (1D) Pennes’ bioheat transfer equation by adding nonlinear terms, which generally account for temperature-dependent variability in tissue perfusion (see for example [12]).

To treat the system of motion in living bodies, we have written the transient 1D bioheat transfer type model in a generalized form as follows [13],

\[
\frac{\partial u^*}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( k c \frac{\partial u^*}{\partial x} \right) - c_i \omega_i(T) \rho c^* + Q_m \\
+ p(x,t), \quad 0 < x < L,
\]

where \( x \) is the distance from the surface to the body core (in \( m \)), \( t \) is the time (in \( s \)), \( \rho, c, k \) are the density (in \( gm/m^3 \)), specific heat (in \( cal/gm\degree C \)) and thermal conductivity of tissue (in \( cal/m\times s\times\degree C \)), respectively and \( c_b \), is the specific heat of blood (in \( cal/gm \degree C \)), \( \rho_b \) is the density of blood (in \( gm/m^3 \)), \( Q_m \) is the metabolic heat production per volume, \( \omega_m \) is the nondirectional mass flow (in \( gm\times s/m^3 \)) associated with perfusion so that \( \omega(T) = c_i \omega_i(T) \rho c \) is the perfusion coefficient, and \( p(x,t) \) is the heat deposited per volume due
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to spatially distributed heating. \( u^* = T - T_s \) is the elevated temperature (in °C) in the \( x \)-direction, where \( T \) represents the temperature distribution (in °C) in the \( x \)-direction and \( T_s \) represents the skin’s steady state temperature (in °C). \( L \) is the distance (in m) between the skin surface and the body core. In this general form, \( \omega_m \) is a function of temperature to include the specific case of temperature dependent perfusion. We assume that the thermal conductivity \( k \) satisfies \( \nu \geq k \geq \mu \), where \( \nu \) and \( \mu \) are two positive constants.

The form of the perfusion coefficient \( \omega(T) \), which incorporates the heat capacity and density of blood and tissue, depends on the characteristics of the response. For a temperature response without an overshoot, the perfusion is defined as a spatially averaged constant, i.e., \( \omega(T) = \omega_h \), where \( \omega_h \) is the steady-state perfusion at a constant heat flux. With an overshoot response, we assume that the perfusion increases with time because of vasodilation and capillary recruitment with a higher temperature.

For Pennes’ model \( p(x,t) \) is constant and \( \omega_m = \omega_b / \rho_b \), where \( \omega_b \) is the blood perfusion rate. In this case the second term on the right-hand side of the state Eq.1 describes the heat transport between the tissue and the microcirculatory blood perfusion. In biological modeling, the nondirectional mass flow \( \omega_m \) associated with perfusion is of form \( \omega_m = \omega_b / \rho_b + F(T) / u^* \) and \( p(x,t) \) is null, where \( F(T) \) is a function which can be chosen as a polynomial function of the temperature.

The model used in this paper is the following nonlinear bioheat transfer equation

\[
\frac{\partial u^*}{\partial t} = \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( k \frac{\partial u^*}{\partial x} \right) - \omega_b \left( T - T_s - \frac{Q_m}{c_b \omega_b} \right) + Q_m, \quad 0 < x < L, \tag{2}
\]

which is a special case of Eq.1 In this equation \( \omega_b \) and \( \omega_p \) are referred to as the temperature independent (basal) perfusion component and the temperature dependent (vasodilation and angiogenesis) perfusion component, respectively. This model is based on a one-dimensional Pennes bioheat transfer equation and is modified to account for temperature-dependent variability in tissue perfusion [12]. Assuming the metabolic heat production \( Q_m \) to be constant and denoting \( u = u^* - \frac{Q_m}{c_b \omega_b} \), we obtain the following simplified form of Eq.2.

\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( k \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right) - \beta u + \gamma u^2, \quad 0 < x < L, \tag{3}
\]

where \( \alpha = 1 / \rho_c \), \( \beta = c_b \omega_b / \rho_c \), and \( \gamma = \omega_i / \rho_c > 0 \). Then the perfusion coefficient is \( \omega(u) = \beta + \gamma u \). Beside the differential equation, initial and boundary conditions determine the temperature distribution.

Eq.3 can be written, in steady-state form, as \( \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( k \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right) = \beta u + \gamma u^2 \), which, in the case of constant thermal conductivity \( k \), reads

\[
\frac{d^2 u}{dx^2} = \frac{\beta}{\alpha k} u + \frac{\gamma}{\alpha k} u^2. \tag{4}
\]

The first integral of Eq.4 is given by

\[
\left( \frac{du}{dx} \right)^2 = \frac{2\gamma}{3\alpha k} u^3 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha k} u^2 + \frac{\delta}{6\alpha k}, \tag{5}
\]

where \( \delta \) is a constant of integration. Eq.5 is an elliptic ordinary differential equation. This equation can be solved using Weierstrass’ elliptic function method [14]. For either \( \delta = -2\beta^3 / \lambda^2 \) or \( \delta = -200\beta^5 / 343\gamma^2 \), its particular solutions are

\[
u(x) = \frac{\beta}{2\gamma \cos^2 \left( \frac{\beta}{4\alpha k} x \right)} \left[ 3 - 2 \cos^2 \left( \frac{\beta}{4\alpha k} x \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}},
\]

and

\[
u(x) = \frac{\beta}{14\gamma \cos^2 \left( \frac{2\beta}{7\alpha k} x \right)} \left[ \frac{2\beta}{7\alpha k} x + \frac{5}{8} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}},
\]

respectively, where \( cn(x,m) \) denotes the Jacobi elliptic cosine function with modulus \( m \).

Mathematically, solving bioheat transfer equation requires knowledge of both the initial and boundary conditions applicable to the case under study. The initial conditions describe the state of the biological system at time, \( t = 0 \) while the boundary conditions give information both on the surface \( x = 0 \) and at depth \( x = L \). In this work, we use the following initial and boundary conditions. The initial condition is that a biological tissue has a uniform temperature at the steady-state temperature of the biological tissue as in

\[
\nu = 0; \quad u = u_b(x). \tag{6}
\]

Boundary conditions include a constant temperature
on the tissue surface whereas no heat flow is assumed at the boundary $x = L$:
\[ u(0, t) = u_{\text{surf}}, \quad \frac{\partial u(L, t)}{\partial x} = 0, \quad t > 0 \quad (7) \]

$u_{\text{surf}}$ being the surface temperature of the biological tissue.

Restricting ourselves to nonnegative $u(x, t)$, we follow Zhao et al. [15] and construct a two level finite difference scheme for (3) which has the same order of accuracy. As in [15] our scheme requires only one initial condition and is also unconditionally stable and convergent. The rest of the paper is organized as follows: in Section 2 we construct a finite difference scheme for the modified Pennes Eq.3 and prove its solvability. Numerical experiments are reported in Section 3, while a brief summary of the results is done in Section 4.

2. NUMERICAL SCHEME

The main difficulties in numerical solving the bioheat transfer Eq.3 are the nonlinearity due to the perfusion term and the different material properties of the tissue. Using a modified Crank-Nicholson method (see the description below), we are able to integrate the heat equation efficiently. Within this approach, the approximate elevated temperature $u^*$ at time $t^*$ is constructed by a combination of previous elevated temperature $u^{n-1}$ at time $t^{n-1}$.

For the numerical computation of the nonlinear heat transfer Eq. 3 with initial and boundary conditions (6) and (7) we use $h$ to represent the space mesh and $\tau$ to represent the time step so that $x$ will be incremented by $h$ and $t$ by $\tau$. We choose an integer $N$ and $h$ such that $Nh = L$. We construct a finite difference scheme for (3) by using the second-order central difference scheme in space and a scheme of Crank-Nicholson [16] type in time. In what follows, $u_j^n$ denotes the approximate elevated temperature at time $t^n$ at depth $x_j$: $u_j^n \approx u(x_j, t^n)$. If $\delta_x$ denotes the central difference operator, the discretization scheme is then

\[
\frac{u_j^n - u_j^{n-1}}{\tau} = \frac{\beta}{2}(u_{j+1}^n - u_j^n) - \frac{\gamma}{2}(u_{j-1}^n - u_j^n) + \frac{\alpha}{2} \delta_x(x_j)(u_j^n - u_{j-1}^n),
\]

\[0 < j < N, \quad u_0^n = u_0, \quad \frac{u_j^n - u_{j+1}^n}{h} = 0.
\]

The difference scheme then takes the form

\[
\frac{-\alpha r k_j^{n-1} - u_j^{n-1}}{2h^2} + \left[ 1 + \beta \frac{r}{2} + \frac{\gamma r}{2} u_j^{n-1} + \frac{\alpha}{2} \frac{r}{2} \right] \left( k_j^{n-1} + k_{j-1}^{n-1} \right) \]

\[
\frac{-\alpha r k_j^{n-1} - u_j^{n-1}}{2h^2} = \frac{-\alpha r k_j^{n-1} - u_j^{n-1}}{2h^2} + \left[ 1 - \beta \frac{r}{2} - \frac{\gamma r}{2} u_j^n \right] \left( k_j^{n-1} + k_{j-1}^{n-1} \right) u_j^{n-1} + \frac{\alpha}{2} \frac{r}{2} \]

\[u_j^{n-1} = \frac{\alpha}{2} \frac{r}{2} \]

\[u_0^n = u_0, \quad u_N^n = u_{N-1}^{n-1},
\]

where $k_j^n = k(x_j = jh, t^n = n \tau)$. The truncation error of this difference schemes in of order $O(h^2 + \tau^2)$ for each interior grid point $(x_j, t^n)$. In matrix form, the difference scheme (8) reads

\[Q_{L_n} u^n = Q_{R_n} u^{n-1}, \quad n = 1, 2, ..., \quad (9)
\]

where the vector $u^n = (u_0^n, u_1^n, u_2^n, ..., u_N^n)^T$ represents the numerical solutions at the time level $t^n$ ($u_j^n \approx (x_j, t^n)$), $Q_{L_n}$ (left-hand side matrix depending on $n$) and $Q_{R_n}$ (right-hand side matrix depending on $n$) are both square tridiagonal matrices of dimension $N+1$ of the form

\[
\begin{cases}
1, & \text{if } p = q \in \{1, N + 1\}, \\
\frac{-\alpha r}{2} \left( k_{p+1}^{n-1} + k_p^{n-1} \right), & \text{if } q = p - 1, \\
\frac{-\alpha r}{2} \left( k_{p-1}^{n-1} + k_p^{n-1} \right), & \text{if } q = p + 1, \\
0, & \text{for other } p \text{ and } q.
\end{cases}
\]

\[
\begin{cases}
0, & \text{if } p = q = 1, \\
1, & \text{if } p = q = N + 1, \\
\frac{-\alpha r}{2} \left( k_{N+1}^{n-1} \right), & \text{if } q = p - 1, \\
\frac{-\alpha r}{2} \left( k_1^{n-1} \right), & \text{if } q = p + 1, \\
0, & \text{for other } p \text{ and } q.
\end{cases}
\]

In other words,

\[
Q_{L_n} = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
-\alpha r k_1 & 1 + \beta \frac{r}{2} & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & \cdots & \cdots & -\alpha r k_N
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
Q_{R_n} = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & \cdots & 0 & \frac{\alpha}{2} \frac{r}{2} \\
0 & \cdots & \frac{-\alpha r}{2} k_1 & \frac{-\alpha r}{2} \left( k_{N+1}^{n-1} + k_1^{n-1} \right) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots
\end{bmatrix}
\]
analyze the effects of the blood perfusion, we used the following three couples \((\beta, \gamma)\) of parameters \((0.0005,0.001), (0.009,0.01), \) and \((0.005,0.02)\).

### 3. Effects of Blood Perfusion, Thermal Conductivity, and Initial Elevated Temperature

To analyze the effects of the blood perfusion, we used the following three couples \((\beta, \gamma)\) of parameters \((0.0005,0.001), (0.009,0.01), \) and \((0.005,0.02)\). The calculation results of the influences of the blood perfusion, the thermal conductivity, and the initial elevated temperature on the temperature distribution are shown in Figures 1-4. Figures 1 and 3 and Figures 2 and 4 are obtained with the initial conditions \(u_B(x) = u^{01}\left[1 + \exp\left(x / x_u\right)\right]\), respectively, with \(x_u = 1/200, \) \(u^{01} = 6, \) and \(u^{02} = 12.928.\) For all these figures, we used \(u_{surf} = u_B(0).\) Plot (a) gives the elevated temperature profile along the \(x\) direction at time \(t = 5.2 s,\) while plot (b) shows the elevated temperature profile along the \(t\) direction at depth \(x = 0.01148 m.\) Plots (c) and (d) are obtained with \((\beta, \gamma) = (0.005,0.02)\) and show the elevated temperature along the \(x\)-direction for different times \(t\) and along the \(t\)-direction for different depths \(x,\) respectively. Plots (e) and (f) show the elevated temperature for temperature-dependent (plot (1)) and depth-dependent (plot (2)) thermal conductivity \(k.\)

Here, we used the parameter \((\beta, \gamma) = (0.005,0.02)\) for all Figures 1-4. Figures 1 and 2 give the elevated temperature when the thermal conductivity of tissue \(k\) is a function of distance \(x.\) In Figure 1(a) and (b), as well as in Figure 2(a) and (b), the results show that the higher the blood perfusion is, the lower is the elevated temperature. In other words, to decrease the temperature in the living tissue, it is sufficient to increase the blood perfusion.

As in the case of \(x\)-dependent thermal conductivity, plots (a) and (b) of Figures 3 and 4 show that, in the case of temperature-dependent thermal conductivity, the higher the blood perfusion is, the lower is the elevated temperature. We may then conclude from Figures 1-4 that the effect of the blood perfusion is to decrease the temperature in the living tissue.

### 3. Numerical Experiments

For the numerical experiments we use \(L = 0.01208 m\) and \(\alpha = 1/4200000\) [18]. Because our main aim in this work is the impact of the nonlinear term on the temperature distribution, we will work with different choices of nonlinear coefficient \(\gamma.\) As initial elevated temperature \(u_B(x),\) we use one of the functions \(u_B(x) = u^0 [1 + \exp(-x / x_u)]\) and \(u_B(x) = u^0 / [1 + \exp(-x / x_u)],\) where \(u^0\) and \(x_u\) are two constants. The thermal conductivity of tissue \(k\) is taken to be either a function of \(x,\) namely, \(k(x) = -10000x^2 + 112.1x + 0.9\) or a linear function of the elevated temperature, namely, \(k = k_0 + k_1u,\) with \(k_0 = 0.4574\) and \(k_1 = 0.001403\) (see [19]). To
Figure 1. Effect of blood perfusion on the temperature in the case of depth-dependent thermal conductivity of tissue $u(x,0) = \mu B(x) = 6(1 + \exp(-200 x))°C$, with decreasing initial temperature. Plots of the first row show the temperature elevation for different blood perfusion while plots of the second give the temperature elevation for the same blood perfusion at different time $t$ (c) and at different depth $x$ (d).
Figure 2. Effect of blood perfusion on the temperature in the case of depth-dependent thermal conductivity of tissue with increasing initial temperature $u(x,0) = u_d(x) = 12.928 \times [1 + \exp(-200x)]^{-1}^\circ C$. Plots of the first row show the temperature elevation for different blood perfusion while plots of the second and third row give the temperature elevation for the same blood perfusion at different time $t$ ((c) and (e)) and at different depth $x$ ((d) and (f)). Plots (e) and (f) of the third row indicate the elevated temperature obtained when using temperature-dependent and depth-dependent thermal conductivity, respectively.

Figure 3. Effect of blood perfusion on the temperature in the case of temperature-dependent thermal conductivity of tissue with decreasing initial temperature $u(x,0) = u_d(x) = 12.928[1 + \exp(-200x)]^\circ C$. Plots of the first row show the temperature elevation for different blood perfusion while plots of the second and third row give the temperature elevation for the same blood perfusion at different time $t$ ((c) and (e)) and at different depth $x$ ((d) and (f)). Plots (e) and (f) of the third row indicate the elevated temperature obtained when using temperature-dependent and depth-dependent thermal conductivity, respectively.
Figure 4. Effect of blood perfusion on the temperature in the case of temperature-dependent thermal conductivity of tissue with increasing initial temperature $u_B(x) = 12.928/[1 + \exp(-200x)]^\circ C$. Plots of the first row show the temperature elevation for different blood perfusion while plots of the second row give the temperature elevation for the same blood perfusion at different time $t$ (c) and at different depth $x$ (d).

Figure 5. Effect of surface temperature on the temperature distribution, location, magnitude, and width of peak temperature. The cooling phenomenon (in the sense that the temperature is almost below the initial temperature) is observed when the initial temperature increases as a function of depth $x$ (Figures 2 and 4).

Plots (e) and (f) of Figure 2 and Figure 3 show that the elevated temperature, numerically obtained in the case of temperature-dependent thermal conductivity (plots (1)) is larger than the one obtained in the case of
depth-dependent thermal conductivity (plots (2)).

3.2. Effect of Surface Heating

The effects of surface heating (when the surface of the living tissue is maintained at different constant temperature) on the temperature distribution and on the location of the peak temperature have been studies and observed when the initial temperature is either a decreasing function of depth $x$ (Figure 5(a) and (b)) or an increasing function of depth $x$ (Figure 5(c) and (d)). The plots of this figure are obtained with $\alpha = 1/4200000$ and $(\beta, \gamma) = (0.005, 0.02)$. Figure 5(a) gives the depth at which the temperature peak occurs at a given time while Figure 5(b) gives the time at which the temperature peak at a given depth occurs. Figure 5(a) shows that the temperature peak does not depend on the surface temperature. These two plots also show that the magnitude of peak temperature does not depend on the surface temperature: the magnitude of peak temperature is the same for all three surface temperatures. As we can see from Figures 5(c) and (d), the depth (Figure 5(c)) and the time (Figure 5(d)) from which the variation of the temperature suddenly changes do not depend on the surface temperature. Many other information about the temperature distribution in the living tissue can be obtained from Figure 5. For example, it is seen from plots (a) and (b) that the width of the peak temperature increases with the surface temperature: the higher the surface temperature is, the higher is the width of the peak temperature.

4. CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we present a numerical investigation of a 1D bioheat transfer equation with either depth-dependent or temperature-dependent thermal conductivity and with temperature-dependent blood perfusion. An implicit unconditional numerical scheme of the Crank-Nicholson type is constructed and used to solve the nonlinear bioheat transfer equation with given initial and boundary conditions. We found that blood perfusion decreases the temperature in living tissue. It is also shown that the localization and the magnitude of peak temperature do not depend on the surface temperature, while its width increases with surface temperature. The computation presented in this paper can be used to predict the temperature distribution in living tissue during many bioheat transfer processes. The method used in this paper has potential to provide the temperature distribution in tissue in the absent of heat flux.
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