S-Nitrosoglutathione Administration Ameliorates Cauda Equina Compression Injury in Rats
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ABSTRACT

Lumbar spinal stenosis (LSS) causes ischemia, inflammation, demyelination and results in cauda equina (CE) syndrome, with pain and locomotor functional deficits. We investigated whether exogenous administration of S-nitrosoglutathione (GSNO), an endogenous redox modulating anti-neuroinflammatory agent, hastens functional recovery in a CE compression (CEC) rat model. CEC was induced in adult female rats by the surgical implantation of two silicone blocks within the epidural spaces of L4-L6 vertebrae. GSNO (50 μg/kg body weight) was administered by gavage 1 h after the injury, and the treatment was continued daily thereafter. GSNO induced change in the pain threshold was evaluated for four days after the compression. Tissue analyses and locomotor function evaluation were carried out at two weeks and four weeks after the CEC respectively. GSNO significantly improved motor function in CEC rats as evidenced by an increased latency on rotarod compared with vehicle-treated CEC rats. CEC induced hyperalgesia was decreased by GSNO. GSNO also increased the expression of VEGF, reduced cellular infiltration (H&E staining) and apoptotic cell death (TUNEL assay), and hampered demyelination (LFB staining and g-ratio). These data demonstrate that administration of GSNO after CEC decreased inflammation, hyperalgesia and cell death leading to improved locomotor function of CEC rats. The therapeutic potential of GSNO observed in the present study with CEC rats suggests that GSNO is a candidate drug to test in LSS patients.

Keywords: LSS; VEGF; Demyelination; g-Ratio; Neuroprotection

1. Introduction

Lumbar spinal stenosis (LSS) is a stable disorder with mild to severe disability and neurological deficits. LSS is one of the most frequent reasons for spinal surgery associated with chronic low back pain in the elderly population. In the US, 90 out of 100,000 persons older than 60 years undergo LSS related spinal surgery every year [1]. The socioeconomic burden due to LSS is huge and has been increasing rapidly, despite considerable technological advances in diagnosis [2,3]. LSS compresses cauda equina (CE) fibers over time and results in intermittent claudication/pseudoclaudication [4]. Further, CEC results in neurodegeneration and neuronal dysfunction through Wallerian-degeneration [5,6]. LSS-induced CEC interrupts normal spinal microvascular circulation [7,8], and leads to secondary tissue damage from hypoxia/ischemia injury. Secondary damage also augments inflammation-mediated neuronal damage to the CE fibers and spinal cord. Increased synthesis of inflammatory cytokines, chemokines, and matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) during CEC are reported to result in defects in motor functions [9,10]. The enhanced inflammatory cytokine synthesis also hampers the recovery after CEC. Therefore, dampening the inflammatory response following LSS/CEC by pharmacological strategies will be a key approach in LSS treatment.

Decompressive laminectomy has been the most sought surgical treatment option for LSS [11]. However, the success rate of surgery is highly variable (between 45% to 72%) [12]. Advances in therapeutic treatment of LSS are limited by the complexity of the pathology involved. In addition, there is a lack of suitable animal model to delineate the molecular mechanisms involved in the pathophysiology [13,14]. Recently, a rat animal model of CEC was developed by Takenobu et al. [15] by using silicon blocks to induce LSS. This model was further validated by Watanabe et al. [16]. In the present study, we followed the same animal model and evaluated the efficacy of GSNO therapy in treating LSS.
GSNO, an endogenous thiol produced by the reaction of nitric oxide (NO) with glutathione (GSH), is an efficient nitrosylating agent [17]. Nitrosylation, like phosphorylation, plays a major role in several physiological and pathological processes [18,19]. Pharmacologically, GSNO protects the central nervous system (CNS) from excitotoxicity, inflammation, and reactive oxygen species (ROS) [20]. Our recent studies have proven GSNO to enhance motor function recovery and neurological function in rat animal models of traumatic brain injury (TBI) and ischemia reperfusion injury [21,22] and ameliorate spinal cord injury (SCI) induced sexual dysfunction [23]. GSNO elicited anti-inflammatory effects were attributed to the down regulation of NF-κB, cell adhesion molecules, cytokines, and inducible NOS (iNOS) [21,22, 24-26]. Decreased expression of ICAM-1, ED1, and MMP-9 proteins and edema has been attributed to the enhanced integrity of blood brain barrier [21]. Furthermore, GSNO inhibits platelet activation and reduces embolization in humans [27-31]. The neuroprotective effect of GSNO has also been attributed to its ability to reduce neuronal apoptosis by inhibiting caspase-3 activity [22,32]. GSNO’s antioxidant/anti-apoptotic property is also attributed to the redox modulation [33] through increasing the level of antioxidant GSH [34] and reducing the level of oxidant peroxynitrite [35,36].

In the present study, we tested the therapeutic potential of GSNO in treating CEC-induced by LSS in a rat animal model. We investigated the efficacy of GSNO therapy in locomotor functional recovery, cellular infiltration and apoptosis and expression of VEGF in the spinal cord and CE fibers of CEC rats.

2. Methods

2.1. Animals and Experimental Design

Female Sprague-Dawley rats (225 - 250 g), purchased from Harlan Laboratories (Durham, NC) were housed in the animal facility at 12 h dark and light cycle. Ambient temperature was maintained at 25°C. The animal procedures for the study were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) of the Medical University of South Carolina. Animals were acclimatized to the DPA for about 15 minutes before recording. In addition, animals were acclimatized to the testing area of DPA for 3 - 5 days before the actual experiment. Animals were placed individually in the testing area with a wire mesh floor. The instrument raised the filament through the wire mesh to touch the foot and progressively increased the force until it reached a maximum of 20 g of force. The foot withdrawal/latency was recorded using the software provided by the manufacturer. AM (Ugo Basile, Italy) was used to measure the influence of GSNO on nociception of CEC rats following the method described before [38]. Continuously increasing pressure was applied to the dorsal surface of the hind paws. The actual load (g) applied at the moment the animal withdrew its paw was recorded. Three trails were made on each paw with 5-minute intertest intervals.

2.2. Cauda Equina Compression

CEC was induced following the method described by Takenobu et al. [15]. Briefly, animals were anesthetized with a ketamine-xylazine cocktail (80 mg/kg and 10 mg/kg body weight respectively). After confirming the validity of anesthesia by toe pinching, the animals were depilated on the dorsal spine line, and the spine was exposed at the L3-S2 level. Two appropriate holes were made to expose the dura overlying the spinal cord of the L4 and L5 vertebrae. Two pieces of silicone rubber (4 mm × 1 mm × 1 mm) (Bentec Medical Inc., Woodland, CA) were placed into the L4 and L5 epidural spaces. The wound was irrigated with sterile phosphate buffered saline (PBS) solution, and the incision was closed in layers using polysorb 4. Sham animals underwent the same procedure except for the placement of silicone blocks. Animals were returned to cages, kept on a 37°C heating blanket overnight. The animals were closely monitored for symptoms of hind limb paralysis and urinary bladder incontinence. Animals that showed either hind limb paralysis or loss of tail sensation on pinching were excluded from the study.

2.3. Locomotor Function Evaluation

CEC-induced locomotor deficit was measured with a rotarod. Latency on a rotarod was measured as described previously from our laboratory [21]. Animals were pretrained on an automated 4-lane rotarod unit supplied by Columbus Instruments (Columbus, OH). Total time in seconds that the animal could stay on the drum was recorded. Each animal was given three trials, and the mean was presented as the final data.

2.4. Hyperalgesia and Nociception

Pain threshold of experimental animals were measured using dynamic plantar aesthesiometer (DPA) and algometry meter (AM). DPA (Ugo Basile, Italy) is an automated version of von Frey hair analysis used to measure changes in the development of mechanical allodynia resulting from neuropathic pain [37]. Animals were acclimatized to the DPA for about 15 minutes before recording. In addition, animals were acclimatized to the testing area of DPA for 3 - 5 days before the actual experiment. Animals were placed individually in the testing area with a wire mesh floor. The instrument raised the filament through the wire mesh to touch the foot and progressively increased the force until it reached a maximum of 20 g of force. The foot withdrawal/latency was recorded using the software provided by the manufacturer. AM (Ugo Basile, Italy) was used to measure the influence of GSNO on nociception of CEC rats following the method described before [38]. Continuously increasing pressure was applied to the dorsal surface of the hind paws. The actual load (g) applied at the moment the animal withdrew its paw was recorded. Three trails were made on each paw with 5-minute intertest intervals.
2.5. Myelination and g-Ratio
CE fibers from sham, vehicle, and GSNO-treated animals were extracted 7 weeks after the induction of CEC. The tissues were fixed overnight in 2% cacodylate glutaraldehyde and post-fixed in 2% osmium tetroxide for 2 h. Following rinsing in distilled water, the tissues were dehydrated in ascending grades of alcohol, infiltrated with propylene oxide and embedded at proper orientation [39]. Thin sections of 0.5 micron were cut with Teichert ultra microtome and stained with toluidine blue. Ultrathin sections of 70 nm were then cut and picked up on copper grids. Sections were stained with uranyl acetate and lead citrate. Ultra structural details were observed and recorded with a JEOL 1010 Transmission electron microscope. Myelination was determined based on the g-ratio, calculated following the procedure of Chomiak and Hu [40].

2.6. Histology and Immunofluorescence
Animals were sacrificed with an overdose of nembutal (150 mg/kg body weight) and perfused transcardially with saline followed by 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in PBS, pH 7.4. Spinal cord and CE fibers were extracted as described [41], and post-fixed overnight in PFA. After fixation, the tissues were dehydrated in a series of alcohol and infiltrated with paraffin wax using Leica TP-1020 automatic tissue processor. Tissue blocks were sectioned (5 - 8 µm) with a Leica HM-325 rotary microtome. Sections were rehydrated by passing through decreasing grades of ethanol (100%, 95%, 60%, 70% and 30%) and water. Sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) [42] and luxol fast blue (LFB), as described previously [43]. Cellular infiltration was quantitated using NIH image J software.

For immunofluorescence studies, endogenous peroxidase was blocked with 3% H2O2 after deparafinization and rehydration. To enhance immunoreactivity, sections were pretreated with 0.1% trypsin in a 10 mmol/L citrate buffer (pH 6.0) at near boiling for 20 minutes. After blocking with normal goat serum, sections were incubated with the primary antibodies (1:200) overnight at 4°C. The following primary antibodies were used: rabbit anti VEGF (1:200; abcam, San Francisco, CA) and PE-CAM-1 (1:200; Santa Cruz Biotech, Santa Cruz, CA). After washing with PBS (3 times, 10 min each), sections were incubated with Alexafluor conjugated secondary antibodies (1:100; Molecular probes, Invitrogen, Eugene, OR) for 1 h at room temperature. Sections were again rinsed with PBS, counterstained with DAPI (Invitrogen, Eugene, OR) and mounted with aqueous antifade solution. Immunofluorescence was analyzed using an epifluorescence microscope equipped with Axio-Vision 4.5 imaging software. Intensity of myelin staining and VEGF positive cells were quantitated using Image Pro plus 5.1 software [44].

2.7. TUNEL Assay
The terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase-mediated biotinylated UTP nick end labeling (TUNEL) assay was performed using an Apoptag fluorescein in situ apoptosis detection kit (Serological Corp. Norcross, GA). TUNEL positive cells were counted in at least five microscopic frames of each section.

2.8. Statistical Analysis
Statistical analysis was performed using Graph pad prism 3.0 software. Statistical significance was determined using Students’ t-test. Values were expressed as mean ± SD of n determinations. p values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

3. Results
3.1. GSNO Ameliorates Locomotor Dysfunction
Rats were pretrained on the rotarod for 5 days before the experiments began. All the animals were able to walk on rotarod for 280 ± 8 seconds on the day before the surgical induction of LSS. Animals in each group (n = 12) were tested on rotarod for 28 days following CEC. Latency on rotarod of different experimental groups of rats is given in Figure 1. On day 2 post injury, the vehicle group showed 44.3 ± 8.5 sec latency on rotarod. With the progression of natural healing, the walking efficiency increased a little further to 58.4 ± 14.6 sec on the 28th day.

![Figure 1. Effect of GSNO on CEC-mediated locomotor dysfunction in rats. GSNO treatment showed significantly improved motor function of the CEC rats when compared with vehicle group from day 7 onward. Sham rats did not show significant change in locomotor function on all the days tested. Data are expressed as mean ± SD (n = 12 in each group). ***p < 0.001 vs. vehicle.](image-url)
The GSNO group showed significantly improved (p < 0.001) motor function starting from day 7 (84.5 ± 22.8 seconds) to day 28 (149.7 ± 11.6 seconds) after CEC. Sham operated animals did not show any significant change in the walking time on rotarod on any day tested (Figure 1). The data clearly show GSNO mediated amelioration of locomotor dysfunction in CEC rats.

3.2. Gsno Decreases Demyelination and Cellular Infiltration

Spinal cord sections proximal to the CEC region and CE fibers were processed and stained for myelin (LFB) and infiltrated cells (H&E) on day 14 after CEC and the results are given in Figure 2. Spinal cord of the vehicle group showed increased degree of demyelination (Figure 2(a): b & e). LFB staining in the GSNO group was comparable with that of the sham group (Figure 2(a): c, f & a, d). GSNO also decreased cellular infiltration in the spinal tissue (Figure 2(a): i) when compared to the vehicle group (Figure 2(a): h). CEC induced decrease in LFB staining intensity and number of infiltrated cells was quantified by using Image pro plus 5.1 software and the data are given in Figures 2(b) and 2(c). Spinal cord of CEC vehicle rats showed significantly lesser intensity of LFB (b) and increased number of infiltrated cells (e).

![Figure 2. Effect of GSNO on CEC-induced changes in LFB histochemistry (a-f) and cellular infiltration (g-i) of spinal cord 14 days after CEC. Myelin level in the spinal cord was significantly reduced in vehicle (b & e) compared to sham (a & d) and GSNO rats (c & f). Corresponding histogram on quantification of LFB staining intensity was given in Figure 2(b). Increased level of cellular infiltration was seen in spinal cord of vehicle (h) compared with sham (g) and GSNO groups (i). Photomicrographs are representative of n = 5 in each group. Histogram (c) shows the quantitative difference in number of infiltrated cells in the spinal cord of different experimental groups. **p > 0.01; ***p > 0.001, vs. sham and GSNO.](image-url)
A similar trend was also seen with LFB and H&E staining of CE fibers of different experimental groups. While the CE of vehicle group showed reduced LFB staining (Figure 3(a): b) and increased infiltration (Figure 3(a): e), the GSNO group had LFB staining (Figure 3(a): c) and cellular infiltration (Figure 3(a): f) levels similar to that of sham group (Figure 3(a): a & d). To support further, the CEC induced demyelination and degeneration, CE fibers were examined with EM 7 weeks after the CEC (Figure 4). CE from sham animals had compact myelin sheath (Figure 4(a): arrow). The Schwann-like cells encircling the myelinating fiber can also be seen (Figure 4(a): arrow head). Degenerated myelin layer was seen with CE of vehicle rats (Figure 4(a): Vehicle). Schwann-like cells were also reduced in size, and these cells were not in close association with the neurons. Schwann-like cells showed healthy contact with the fibers also in the GSNO group, as indicated in Figure 4(a): GSNO.

As the g-ratio is a reliable factor of axonal myelination, we determined the g-ratio in the CE of sham, vehicle and GSNO group of rats. The g-ratio of CE fibers of sham, vehicle and GSNO rats determined in the present study were 0.67 ± 0.06, 0.71 ± 0.05 and 0.66 ± 0.04 respectively. Vehicle group has significantly higher g-ratio than the sham and GSNO groups. GSNO group showed a slightly lesser g-ratio than the sham group. However, the difference was not statistically significant (Figure 4(b)).

![Figure 3](image_url)  
**Figure 3.** Effect of GSNO on CEC-induced changes in LFB histochemistry (a-c) and cellular infiltration (d-f) of CE nerve fiber 14 days after CEC. Myelin level of the nerve fiber was significantly reduced in vehicle (b) when compared with sham (a) and GSNO (c) groups. Increased cellular infiltration was also observed in vehicle (e) compared with sham (d) and GSNO (f) rats. Photomicrographs are representative of n = 5 in each group. Histograms show the quantitative difference in LFB staining intensity and number of infiltrated cells of CE of different experimental groups. Vehicle group had significantly decreased level of LFB staining (b) and increased number of cellular infiltration (c) compared with sham and GSNO animals. ***p > 0.001 vs. sham and GSNO.
3.3. GSNO Enhances the Pain Threshold

Pain threshold was determined in both naïve (Figures 5(a) and (b)) and CEC (Figures 5(c) and (d)) rats. The pain threshold of naïve rats in the presence and absence of GSNO was measured at 0, 1, 2, 4, 6 and 24 h using AM (Figure 5(a)) and DPA (Figure 5(b)). GSNO induced increase in the pain threshold of animals was maximum at 4 - 6 h after administration. However, 24 h following the treatment, the difference between the untreated and GSNO-treated animals was insignificant.

The pain threshold of sham, vehicle, and GSNO groups following CEC was measured in a way similar to naïve animals using AM (Figure 5(c)) and DPA (Figure 5(d)). Sham animals did not show any changes in pain threshold on the days measured. Vehicle rats were significantly hypersensitive to the pain stimulus. However, GSNO rats had thresholds comparable to baseline, indicating that GSNO decreases the hyperalgesia in CEC rats.

3.4. GSNO Increases the Expression of VEGF in CE Fibers

The expression of VEGF was determined on the compressed CE nerve fibers 14 days following CEC (Figure 6(a)). Both vehicle and GSNO groups had significantly enhanced expression of VEGF compared with the sham group. However, the GSNO group exhibited remarkably increased expression of VEGF compared with the vehicle group, as shown in Figure 6(b). VEGF expression was seen mainly in cells, also positive for PECAM-1 (Figure 6(c)) in the GSNO group, indicating that GSNO-mediated amelioration of CEC injury involves the participation of endothelial VEGF. PECAM-1 is an integral membrane glycoprotein highly expressed by endothelial cells [45].

3.5. GSNO Reduces the Number of TUNEL Positive Cells in Spinal Cord

Apoptotic cell death was evaluated on days 3 and 14 after CEC using a TUNEL staining assay (Figure 7(a)). TUNEL-positive cell counting was performed as previously described from our laboratory [21]. The spinal cord of vehicle rats had a significantly higher number of TUNEL-positive cells compared with the spinal cord of sham rats. The trend of increase in number of TUNEL-positive cells in the vehicle was similar at day 3 and 14 after the CEC. In contrast, the spinal cord of GSNO group showed significantly reduced number of TUNEL-positive cells on days 3 and day 14 after compression. The data indicate GSNO possesses an anti-apoptotic activity, as has been previously demonstrated by us in a rat model of TBI [21]. Spinal cords from the sham group did not show significant number of TUNEL-positive cells on both the days tested.

4. Discussion

CEC due to narrowing of the spinal canal initiates a complex series of secondary events, including inflammation, altered redox and cellular apoptosis [46-48]. Secondary injury ultimately leads to neurodegeneration and associated functional deficits [4,16,49]. The present study shows that GSNO treatment after the onset of LSS ameliorated motor dysfunction, reduced hyperalgesia, and inhibited tissue degeneration. Mechanistically, the protection was offered through inhibiting cellular infiltration, decreasing demyelination and apoptotic cell death, and increasing the expression of VEGF.

Locomotor deficit is the hallmark of LSS and the consequent CEC [15,16]. The compression causes defects in axonal myelin which affect the functioning of motor neurons [15]. Therefore, improvement of motor function...
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Figure 5. Effect of GSNO on pain threshold of naïve and CEC rats. Naive rats were treated either with sterile water (vehicle) or GSNO after measuring the baseline pain threshold using AM (a) as well as DPA (b). Pain threshold was measured at 1, 2, 4, 6 and 24 hours after the treatments. GSNO-mediated increase in pain threshold was noted 4 - 6 h after administration. However, no significant effect was seen at 24 h. Data are presented a mean ± SD for n = 8 in each group. **p < 0.01 vs. vehicle. Effect of GSNO on pain threshold of CEC animals was given in (c) & (d). Pain threshold was measured with AM. Baseline represents the pain threshold of rats before CEC. There was a significant difference in pain threshold between the vehicle and sham groups on all days tested. However, no significant difference was observed between sham and GSNO groups from day 2. Figure 2(d) shows pain threshold of CEC rats measured with DPA. Vehicle animals showed significantly lesser pain threshold on all days tested compared with the base line. Pain threshold of GSNO animals was not significantly different from the baseline on all days tested except day 2. Data are presented as mean ± SD for n = 8 in each group. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.005 vs. vehicle, #p < 0.05: vs. baseline.
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GSNO. A similar effect of GSNO on myelination and cellular infiltration has been previously reported from our laboratory in TBI and SCI animal models [21,50]. Further, to support GSNO-mediated neuro-protection, CE fibers were analyzed for myelination pattern using EM study. While the myelination pattern and myelin levels were similar in sham and GSNO fibers, the vehicle fibers had significantly increased myelin degeneration and g-ratio (Figure 4). Furthermore, GSNO treatment reduced the number of TUNEL-positive cells in the spinal cord of CEC animals (Figure 7), supporting the neuroprotective potential of GSNO. The neuro-protective effect of GSNO has already been shown against neurotoxins [35], IR injury [22], and TBI [21]. The anti-apoptotic effect of GSNO is shown to be regulated by blocking the activity of caspase-3 and NF-κB [25,32]. Previously, we have also reported GSNO-mediated inhibition of caspase-3 activity in IR injury [22] and NF-κB activity in neural and endothelial cells [22,26]. GSNO-mediated downregulation of caspase-3 through NF-κB is invoked via S-nitrosylation [25,32]. Additionally, GSNO may stimulate the neurorepair process through the upregulation of growth and neurotropic factors including VEGF and BDNF [50,51]. In this study, the increased expression of VEGF was observed in both the GSNO and the vehicle CE fibers (Figure 7). However, the expression was remarkably high in the endothelial cells (PECAM-1 positive) of CE fibers of GSNO group compared with the vehicle group (Figure 6). Increased expression of VEGF following LSS has been reported to contribute to adaptive functional recovery [16]. Obviously, increased VEGF expression in the vehicle fibers was insufficient to achieve functional recovery in this study (Figure 6). VEGF is regulated by the transcription factor HIF1α, which is modulated by NO/nitrosylation [36,53].
fore, GSNO as an endogenous nitrosylating agent seems to be an ideal drug to activate VEGF through HIF1-α, which in turn will stimulate the enhanced repair process of the degenerating spinal cord as well as CE fibers through angiogenesis that restores normal blood flow to the compressed ischemic spinal tissue. Because ischemia is a common occurrence following LSS [54], enhancing blood flow in the compressed area should also contribute to a speedy recovery [55-57]. Experimental drugs increasing blood flow have been shown to ameliorate LSS [58]. A study in a rat model of IR shows GSNO enhancing the cerebral blood flow and thereby improving neurological functions [22]. GSNO was reported also to increase the dermal blood flow in a rat model of diabetes [59]. Therefore, GSNO-mediated protection in LSS may occur, at least in part, through its vasculo protective effect.

Pain is a significant hallmark of pathology associated with LSS [60]. CEC elicits pain of both neurogenic and inflammatory origin. Despite a thorough understanding on the mechanisms of pain, satisfactory pain-management therapy to LSS is not yet available [60]. Moreover, the molecular mechanisms of pain involved in LSS are more complex and poorly understood [60,61]. In view of this, the pain threshold was evaluated in both naïve and CEC rats (Figure 5) using two different modalities. GSNO treatment significantly increased the pain threshold of both naïve and vehicle rats indicating that GSNO has analgesic property in addition to neuroprotection [61]. Significant increase in tissue peroxynitrite level has been reported after spinal compression injury [46,62]. Oxidative obnoxious molecules, including peroxynitrite, are also implicated in both neurogenic and inflammatory pain. Neurogenic nociception originates due to NMDA receptor activation [60]. GSNO, via S-nitrosylation, down regulates NMDA receptors and the activity of neuronal nitric oxide synthase (nNOS) [63,64]. GSNO also reduces peroxynitrite-induced lipid peroxidation in neurodegenerative diseases [33,35,65]. Further, in an oxidative environment peroxynitrite is formed by nitric oxide produced from nNOS as well as iNOS [66]. Induction of iNOS and other inflammatory mediators is inhibited by GSNO via down regulation of the NF-κB transcription factor [22,23,26]. The level of peroxynitrite in the brain is also decreased after GSNO treatment in rat models of IR and TBI [34,51]. All these observations strengthen the therapeutic potential of GSNO in treating neurogenic as well as inflammation-mediated pain in LSS.

In conclusion, exogenous administration of GSNO, reduces neuronal degeneration, demyelination and apoptotic cell death and also increases the pain threshold and improves motor function in a rat model of CEC. Hence, GSNO is a potential and ideal candidate to be evaluated in LSS patients.
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