Neuroprotective Effects of Vitamin D in Multiple Sclerosis
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ABSTRACT

Multiple sclerosis (MS), an auto-immune and neurodegenerative disease with no available cure, is marked by both physical and cognitive disability. In MS, central nervous system white matter lesions, believed to be consequences of inappropriate immune system reactivity, compromise inter-neuronal communication and, depending on the location of damage, beget a variety of symptoms including fatigue, loss of sensation, weakness of limbs, slowed psychomotor processing, and impaired memory. Recently, low vitamin D levels have been identified as a potential risk factor for MS, precipitating research into the immunomodulating properties of this vitamin that allow it to work in both a protective and therapeutic manner. Despite its promise as a disease-modifying agent, however, there is scant research that looks explicitly at vitamin D levels and cognitive symptoms of MS. Given the cognitive enhancing effects of vitamin D in other chronic inflammatory conditions such as chemotherapy-induced cognitive dysfunction and Alzheimer’s disease, there is urgent need to research whether vitamin D may prove equally beneficial in reducing cognitive sequelae in MS. Guidelines for future research are suggested.
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1. Introduction

Multiple sclerosis (MS) is an autoimmune, neurodegenerative disorder marked by chronic inflammation of the central nervous system (CNS). It has a complex etiology involving both genetic susceptibility and exposure to environmental factors. Although the distal cause of MS is still in question, the proximal cause of the disability is better understood. In MS patients, the immune system mistakenly learns to identify myelin, the fatty insulation surrounding axons, as "non-self" and, thus, attacks and destroys the substance. As a consequence of reduced axonal insulation, communication between neurons is severely compromised, and long-term disturbance of neural communication may eventually lead to neural death. The combination of decreased efficacy of inter-neuronal communication and intermittent neural death is responsible for the observed symptoms of MS. The qualitative nature of MS symptoms depends upon the location of the damage and can include muscle weakness, mental and physical fatigue, loss of sensation, visual impairment, lack of coordination, muscle spasticity, bladder disturbance, sexual dysfunction, and cognitive decline [1].

MS is debilitating, moderately unpredictable, and prevalent in certain geographical locations. Although MS tends not to be life-shortening, its impact socioeconomically is second only to trauma in young adults [2]. Tracking and treatment of the disease is expensive, and, depending on the severity of the symptoms, it may be impossible for affected individuals to maintain employment [3]. It is estimated that 250,000 to 350,000 persons have a diagnosis of MS in the United States alone, with 200 new cases diagnosed every week [4]. Unfortunately, there is no cure for MS; current treatment involves regular use of disease-modifying agents (e.g., interferons) or, when needed, weekly steroid injections to depress the immune system, resulting in an increased risk for opportunistic infection throughout the body. In this paper, we will review the known immunological character of MS and then describe the possible neuroprotective effects of vitamin D, highlighting the particular utility of vitamin D for cognitive enhancement in those affected by the illness.

2. Immunology of MS

MS is characterized by several inappropriate actions of
the immune system (Figure 1). Based on genetic predisposition, individuals with MS tend to present certain human leukocyte antigen (HLA) class II receptors on their antigen presenting cells [5]. These particular HLA class II receptors are structurally more likely to expose proteins that are similar to myelin basic protein (MBP) as ‘non-self’ to immune system components. Genes that are associated with susceptibility on chromosome 6p21 in the area coding for the HLA are thought to account for 10% - 60% of the genetic risk of MS [6,7]. In MS, CD4 + T-cells become particularly activated by HLA class II receptors and, thus, become reactive against self. These auto-reactive T-cells often become further activated by an infection. The proposed mechanisms by which infection exacerbates T-cell activity is via “molecular mimicry” or via “bystander activation” or both [5]. In ‘molecular mimicry’, the infectious antigen shares a similar structure to a ‘self’ myelin peptide and thereby activates T-cells to myelin as well as to the infectious agent. In ‘bystander activation’, the general immune response to the infection creates a cytokine profile that activates myelin auto-reactive T-cells, a result of the genetic profile of the HLA receptors.

The auto-reactive T-cells go on to bind to the blood brain barrier and enter the CNS through gaps created by inflammation between cerebrovascular endothelial cells. Once inside the brain and spinal cord, the T-cells react with the myelin protein surrounding the axons of neurons. This reaction creates release of inflammatory-mediating cytokines (specifically IFN-γ, IL-23, TNF-α, LT, as well as others) and chemokines (RANTES, IP-10, IL-8,) which activate local immune cells (e.g., microglia) and recruit additional immune components to the area, including B-cells, antibodies, complement, monocytes, and mast cells [5]. A subset of the recruited B-cells become activated by the corresponding T-cells in the area and consequently releases auto-antibodies. The majority of subsequent damage is caused by the formation of an in-

Figure 1. Interaction of Vitamin D with Immune System Components in MS. The vitamin D metabolite has the capability to interact with several immune components including B-cells, CD4+ T-cells, and macrophages, resulting in a decrease of cells attacking the myelin.
flamatory lesion around the CNS tissue by the newly recruited white blood cells [5]. Formation of inflammatory lesions, typically visible on structural MRI as white matter hyperintensities, constitutes the attack or flare-up.

Although the immune-mediated destruction of myelin is thought to be the primary pathology in MS, the major cause of irreversible neurologic disability in patients is axon loss [8]. Axon loss can occur early in the disease process but is often clinically silent due to the compensatory capacity of the cortex via functional reorganization in neural networks [9]. Despite this functional compensation, advances in axon staining techniques make it clear that axon transection, or the separation of axon segments proximal and distal to an injury site, begins early in MS. The process of Wallerian degeneration, which involves degeneration of the axon distal to the point of injury, starts quickly after axon transection and is followed by swelling of the axolemma and disintegration of the axonal cytoskeleton and inner organelles. Length of time for full axon degradation is longer in the CNS than in the peripheral nervous system and depends partly on axon diameter [10]. Clearance of myelin and its debris is a protracted process, with CNS myelin persisting for a time as an empty sheath [11], so much so that an individual with MS can experience significant functional axon loss even when white matter appears unchanged with structural MRI [12]. Indeed, the best predictor of cognitive dysfunction in MS is the disease burden associated with macroscopic lesions as well as subtle abnormalities in brain tissue that otherwise appears normal with conventional neuroimaging [13], the latter of which occur both in white matter and grey matter. Although there appear to be key differences in cortical versus non-cortical lesion typology (for review, see [12]), it is evident that grey matter pathology is a significant contributor to the cognitive decline experienced by patients. The nature of this cognitive dysfunction is reviewed later in the article.

3. Vitamin D Deficiency in MS

Recently, low vitamin D levels have been identified as a potential risk factor for MS. Evidence from human epidemiological and experimental animal studies indicates a strong possibility that vitamin D levels influence MS disease susceptibility and disease progression. The first clue that vitamin D may have an impact on MS prevalence comes from the geographical distribution of patients with the disease. As general trends, MS prevalence increases with geographical distance from the equator [14] and develops earlier in life in individuals born in areas of low sun radiation [15]. These trends, once thought to be a result of localized outbreaks of the Epstein-Barr virus, are now considered to be a result of overall lower sun exposure.

Vitamin D is obtained through two distinct pathways into the body: diet and exposure to sunlight. Sunlight, or, more specifically, ultraviolet B radiation, converts a cholesterol found naturally in the skin into vitamin D (Figure 1). Consumption of foods such as fatty fish, cod liver oil, and supplements increases vitamin D levels as well. Once in the body, vitamin D is immediately converted by the liver to 25-hydroxy vitamin D (25(OH)D), which is the metabolite commonly measured in blood serum to indicate overall vitamin D levels. 25(OH)D is then further hydrolyzed by the kidneys to 1,25-dihydroxy vitamin D (1,25(OH)2D). Due to the fact that critical immune system components (i.e., activated T-cells, B-cells, etc.) express binding sites for 1,25(OH)2D, it is believed that this is the functioning metabolite to alter immune system function [16]. The alteration of the immune system through this mechanism is what most likely decreases MS susceptibility and symptom intensity [17].

Seasonal variation in MS symptom presentation has been noted since a landmark study by Stamp and Round in the 1970s [18]. This finding, in conjunction with seasonal variations of 25(OH)D levels in the general population, provided groundwork for subsequent connections between vitamin D and MS. Auer and colleagues [19] found that white matter lesion load, a pathophysiologica marker of MS severity, is higher in the spring and early summer months than in the fall, with the supposition that season-related low vitamin D levels lead to increased lesion load after a temporal lag. Inspired by these data, Embry et al. [20] conducted a follow-up study to look at seasonal variation of vitamin D levels in healthy individuals in the same geographic region as in the Auer et al. [19] study, comparing the healthy controls’ monthly vitamin D levels to the structural MRI data of the MS patients. They found that third-order polynomial curves significantly fit both the vitamin D and sMRI data when a two month lag period was incorporated, indicating that high levels of vitamin D two months prior to neuroimaging predict fewer lesions in patients. The combination of these two studies strongly suggests that low vitamin D levels precipitate an increase in MS symptom severity.

Seasonal differences during neonatal development also appear to predict later development of MS. In a study examining the relationship between birth month and adulthood incidence of the disease, Salzer et al. [21] found that individuals with MS were more likely to be born in the 6-month time window between February and July than the 6-month time window between August and January. While exposure to differing levels of vitamin D during fetal development may be a possible predictive
factor of MS for offspring, the process of pregnancy itself is an interesting lens by which to evaluate the relationship between vitamin D and MS symptom severity. As a general trend across women, pregnancy, especially in the 2nd and 3rd trimesters, significantly increases 1,25(OH)2D levels. These levels then substantially drop post-partum [22]. Frith and McLeod [23] observed that women with relapsing-remitting MS were significantly less likely to relapse during their second and third trimesters when their vitamin D levels were high, yet more likely to relapse during the three month period post-partum when their vitamin D levels were low.

Perhaps the most convincing evidence of the relationship between vitamin D and MS is found in the pilot studies in which vitamin D blood serum levels are measured in patients with MS. In general, lower vitamin D blood serum levels have been found in patients currently experiencing a relapse [24]. Additionally, higher levels have been shown to correlate inversely with disease exacerbation [25]. A longitudinal study tracked dietary intake as well as serum levels of vitamin D in American nurses [26], finding that those who reported taking a high dose of vitamin D generally had higher vitamin D serum levels and were consequently 40% less likely to relapse during the three month period post-partum when their vitamin D levels were low.

There also appears to be a relationship between vitamin D and humoral immune functioning. Correale et al. [16] looked at the impact of 1,25(OH)2D on general progression in mice, further strengthening the idea that vitamin D is working directly to prevent/alleviate MS symptoms in humans.

4. Neuroprotective Mechanism of Vitamin D

Although evidence to implicate vitamin D as a protective factor certainly exists, questions remain as to the exact mechanism by which this protection is achieved. Although there is no consensus to date in the literature, several studies have found potential answers in the immunomodulating properties of vitamin D. Key components of the immune system, including monocytes, dendritic cells, B-cells, CD4 T-cells, and macrophages, express a subtype of the steroid-receptor super family called the vitamin D receptor [29]. Additionally, monocytes, macrophages, and T-lymphocytes increase their vitamin D receptors in response to 1,25(OH)2D exposure, indicating a possible feedback mechanism between vitamin D and immune system functioning [29] (Figure 1). Overall, it can be said that the transcription, proliferation, and differentiation of each of these immune cells are changed depending on circulating vitamin D levels in the blood [29,30]. Thus, it can be expected that varying levels of vitamin D will have an impact on autoimmune diseases like MS.

Supporting this notion, Correale and colleagues [16] compared 152 MS patients to control participants matched for age, gender, race, and place of residence. They looked at naturally occurring 1,25(OH)2D and 25(OH)D levels in both groups, as well as effects of increased vitamin 1,25(OH)2D levels on CD4 T-cells and myelin-specific peptide T-cell lines. Levels of both 1,25(OH)2D and 25(OH)D were significantly lower in relapsing-remitting MS patients compared to controls. Additionally, patients during the “relapse” phase of the disease had significantly lower levels of both vitamin D metabolites compared to the ‘remitting’ phase [16]. These researchers also found that proliferation of CD4 T-cells as well as myelin-specific peptide T-cells, both of which are involved in the learned immunity of attacking myelin, were inhibited by 1,25(OH)2D in a concentration-dependent manner. Interestingly, incubation of 25(OH)D with CD4 T-cells resulted in an increase in 1,25(OH)2D, and low presence of vitamin D receptor mRNA in CD4 T-cells increased 5-10 fold after cell activation. These results suggest that CD4 T-cells are capable of hydrolyzing 25(OH)D into 1,25(OH)2D, which, in turn, inhibits CD4 T as well as other T-cell functioning [16]. It is possible, then, that vitamin D is a key component in establishing an ideal CD4 T-cell equilibrium.
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cytokine production. More specifically, they assessed cytokine production of purified CD4+ T-cells and MBP-specific T-cells, which were pharmacologically stimulated by anti-CD3 mAb (monoclonal antibody), both in the presence and absence of vitamin D. Presence of vitamin D led to an increase in the number of T-cells producing IL-10 but a decrease in the number of T-cells producing IL-6 and IL-7 [16]. Since IL-6 and IL-7 cytokine profiles are associated with high inflammation, decreasing the cells that secrete these would be beneficial to prevent or alleviate inflammatory lesions such as those associated with MS.

Genetics research has also helped to identify the mechanisms by which vitamin D may be neuroprotective. For example, Simon et al. [31] identified a specific genotype of vitamin D receptor that, when present, enables vitamin D to act in a protective fashion. These authors suggest that, while abnormal vitamin D receptors may not be part of the etiology of MS, individuals with high density of vitamin D receptors are more likely to benefit from dietary intake of vitamin D. In another study, Fukazawa et al. [32] found that patients with MS significantly expressed more of the homozygous ‘bb’ profile for the vitamin D receptor in comparison to healthy controls. The conjunction of these two studies, although not in complete agreement as to the precise neuroprotective mechanism of vitamin D, further establishes an important relationship between vitamin D and MS.

5. Vitamin D as an Agent for Cognitive Enhancement

Although there are promising data to suggest an ameliorative effect of vitamin D for the physical impairments of MS, it is an open question as to whether vitamin D has benefits for the cognitive sequelae of MS. The cognitive changes associated with MS are often the hardest to identify, due to the fact that they are not outwardly visible, yet tend to be the most intrusive to everyday functioning [1]. Indeed, research has shown that patients with MS may manifest cognitive impairment even when physical disability is mild [33]. Furthermore, cognitive impairment is associated with worse functional outcomes, such as decreased employment [34,35], difficulty completing activities of daily living [36], reduced participation in social and vocational activities [37] and greater rates of comorbid psychopathology [38]. With cognitive dysfunction reported by approximately 70% of patients [39], cognitive status is clearly a major variable in determining quality of life.

From the patient perspective, one of the most frequently reported complaints is decline in memory [40]. However, because memory itself is a multifaceted phenomenon, meta-analysis emerges as a useful tool to pinpoint which aspects of memory are most affected in MS. Substantial deficits are found in both working memory, the processing of short lived, ‘on-line’ information, and long term memory, an unlimited permanent memory store [41]. Other research has found that long term memory deficits may be a result of a specific weakness in retrieval as opposed to encoding or storage [42]. Meta-analysis [43] reveals four additional cognitive domains that are commonly impaired in patients with MS: verbal skills, attention/concentration, cognitive flexibility and abstraction, and manual dexterity. Deficits in verbal skills typically manifest as poor scores on measures of verbal fluency (e.g., Controlled Oral Word Association Test), measures of verbal long term memory (e.g., California Verbal Learning Test), and measures of word retrieval (e.g., Boston Naming Test). Deficits in attention and concentration are evidenced by a small disparity between normal healthy controls and MS patients on the Digit Span subtest of the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale, Revised (WAIS-R). Larger disparities are found in tests examining information processing speed, with MS patients performing significantly worse on the Symbol Digit Modalities Test and the Paced Auditory Serial Addition Test than normal healthy controls [43]. Patients with MS are found to have moderate impairments on tests of concept formation and mental flexibility such as the Categories Test, Raven’s Progressive Matrices, the Stroop Test, Trail-Making Test, the Similarities subtest of the WAIS-R, and the Wisconsin Card Sorting Test. Finally, manual dexterity and motor speed impairments in patients with MS are reflected by low performance on tests like Grooved Pegboard and Finger Tapping [43].

Pharmacological interventions for the cognitive disability associated with MS are typically disease-modifying therapies (e.g., interferons, glatiramer acetate), which likely achieve short-term effects through anti-inflammatory action and long-term effects through reduction in cerebral atrophy. Other drug interventions are symptomatic medications (e.g., acetylcholinesterase inhibitors, glutamate antagonists) which are designed as cognitive enhancers and often used in other neurological conditions such as traumatic brain injury, dementias, and attention deficit disorder. Unfortunately, neither pharmacological approach has proven reliably effective to reduce cognitive symptoms for MS patients [for review, see 44]. Although vitamin D shows promise as a disease-modifying agent, there is scant research that looks explicitly at vitamin D levels and cognitive symptoms of MS. However, a few studies do exist that examine the relationship between vitamin D and cognitive symptoms of other inflammatory conditions, such as chemotherapy-induced cognitive dysfunction and Alzheimer’s disease. These studies may give insight into how well vitamin D
will work in attenuating the cognitive symptoms of MS.

Cancer survivors constitute an important clinical population by which to judge the merits of vitamin D administration as cognitively-enhancing, particularly those survivors who have received chemotherapy as part of their treatment regimen. Common to the 100+ available chemotherapy drugs is their affinity for blocking specific pathophysiological mechanisms or components of cancerous human cells, which unfortunately can also mean blocking vital mechanisms or components of healthy cells. Recent studies have discovered greater-than-expected concentrations of chemotherapy agents in the cerebrospinal fluid and brain tissue, and it has been suggested that virtually all commonly used chemotherapy drugs are capable of permeating the blood brain barrier [45,46]. Three nonexclusive mechanisms are proposed to explain brain insult by these agents. Demyelination is thought to occur by direct neurotoxic assault to the cerebral parenchyma, including microglia, oligodendrocytes, and axons. Secondary inflammatory response may result from allergic hypersensitivity and autoimmune vasculitis. Third, microvascular injury caused by either direct neurotoxic assault or secondary inflammatory response may block small- to medium-sized blood vessels, instigating ischemia/infarction and parenchymal necrosis [47]. Numerous studies have offered evidence for chemotherapy-induced adverse neuropsychological sequelae, independent of the effects of depression or anxiety, in the domains of memory, mental flexibility, processing speed, attention, visuospatial ability, and motor function [48-51]. These impairments are clinically significant, with pervasive impact on functioning in the home, social, educational, and/or professional environments [52]. Although research on outcomes of vitamin supplementation on chemotherapy-induced cognitive dysfunction is scant, one important study indicates a positive role of vitamin D. In a study of women with early-stage breast cancer who were being treated with chemotherapy, Simone et al. [53] report that 88% of the sample indicated improvement in cognitive abilities after completion of a 10-point plan that included a supplement regimen high in vitamin D. Although additional research would be needed to isolate the contribution of vitamin D alone, these results show therapeutic promise for vitamin D in the context of chemotherapy-induced cognitive dysfunction.

More research has examined the results of vitamin D on cognition in Alzheimer’s disease, again with promising results. Oudshoorn et al. [54], for example, reported that Alzheimer’s patients with normal to high vitamin D blood levels perform significantly better on the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE), a common bedside assessment of cognition, relative to those with low vitamin D levels. Another study conducted with patients with mild forms of dementia found that participants with low vitamin D levels were more likely to have an active mood disorder such as depression, to score lower on a measure of memory and concentration (e.g., Short Blessed Test), and to have higher overall dementia scores [55]. There is evidence as well to suggest cognitive benefits from vitamin D in non-demented older adults. In a population-based study of 5,596 community-dwelling women (mean age 80.5 ± 0.1 years), Annweiler et al. [56] found that a subset of participants with high vitamin D levels outperformed a subset with low levels on the Pfeiffer Short Portable Mental State Questionnaire, a measure of global cognitive functioning. Pryzbelski and Binkley [57] reported similar findings using the MMSE to assess cognition in older adults. Moreover, Llewellyn et al. [58] found that low levels of vitamin D were associated with substantial cognitive decline, as measured by scores on the MMSE and the Trail-Making Test, in 858 elderly participants who were studied prospectively over a 6-year period. Although the mechanisms that mediate these cognitive effects are not well understood, several possibilities have been suggested: increasing brain cholinergic levels via effects on choline acetyltransferase, increasing neurotrophin activity via effects on nerve growth factor synthesis, decreasing free radicals by downregulating nitric oxide and upregulating γ glutamyl transpeptidase, and prevention of excitotoxic damage by promoting calcium homeostasis [57]. While the relative contribution of change in each of these pathways is unknown, these mechanisms are a worthwhile starting point by which to evaluate the enhancing effects of vitamin D in MS.

6. Future Research Directions

Taken together, researchers have amassed considerable data to suggest an important role for vitamin D in treating MS, but we still do not yet know the extent to which vitamin D is effective in addressing all aspects of the disease. To determine whether vitamin D is ameliorative for the cognitive dysfunction associated with MS, it will be imperative to utilize neuropsychological assessment measures to document intervention-specific changes. Because there is often mismatch between patterns gleaned from patient self-report measures of cognitive ability and performance-based assessments [59], neuropsychological tools have the advantage of being objective while at the same time providing opportunity for careful, qualitative observation of patient behavior during tasks. Still, self-report measures of cognition have clinical utility as ecologically valid tasks to assess broader, molar components of everyday living; we recommend that such scales be used to complement neuropsychologi-
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