The Cerebral Blood Flow Correlates of Emotional Facial Processing in Mild Alzheimer’s Disease
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ABSTRACT

Deficits in facial emotion processing are features of mild Alzheimer’s disease (AD). These impairments are often distressing for carers as well as patients. Such non-cognitive symptoms are often cited as a contributing reason for admission into institutionalised care. The ability to interpret emotional cues is crucial to healthy psychological function and relationships and impaired emotional facility may lead to antisocial behavior. Understanding the origins of the non-cognitive aspects of AD may lead to an improvement in the management of sufferers and ease the carer burden. In a cross-sectional study we recorded patients’ facial processing abilities, (emotion and identity recognition), disease severity (ADAS-cog, Neuropsychiatric Inventory) and investigated the regional cerebral blood flow correlates of facial emotion processing deficits using 99Tc HMPAO rCBF SPECT. Using statistical parametric mapping (SPM) we identified decreased blood flow in posterior frontal regions specifically associated with emotion perception deficits and independent of non-emotional facial processing abilities or/and disease severity. The posterior frontal lobe has been identified in previous studies in the absence of dementia as being important in emotion processing. The results suggest that the cognitive disease severity, in combination with the facial processing ability, do not completely explain facial emotion processing in AD patients and that the posterior frontal lobe mediates such behaviour.
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1. Introduction

Impaired ability to understand the emotions of others has often been reported in fronto-temporal dementia [1], but there is also evidence that the ability to recognize and interpret emotional signals is impaired in other dementing conditions such as Alzheimer’s disease (AD) [2]. These impairments are often distressing for carers and patients. Such non-cognitive symptoms are often cited as a contributing reason for admission into institutionalised care [3]. The ability to interpret emotional cues is crucial to healthy psychological function and relationships [4,5] and impaired emotional facility may lead to antisocial behavior. Behavioral symptoms are common in patients with dementia and can occur throughout the disease [6]. The origin and nature of such emotional impairments in AD is unclear. Understanding such deficits may lead to an improvement in management and ease the burden on patients and carers.

Functional brain imaging studies in people without dementia identified the anatomies activated when presented with emotional stimuli. A meta analysis by Phan et al. [7], concluded that the medial prefrontal cortex has a general role in emotion processing, while the subcallosal cingulate and amygdala are more specifically engaged when processing sadness and fear respectively. Their far-reaching conclusions identify a specific number of candidate neuro-anatomical locations that are potentially altered by AD [8], and when impaired may also result in a failure in emotion processing and the emergence of behavioral symptoms.

Similar conclusions are reached after examining the effects of brain injury on emotion processing. Key areas...
identified are the amygdalae [9], orbito-frontal cortex and adjacent medial prefrontal cortex [8], and the anterior temporal cortex [10]. A more general observation is that the right hemisphere is more important in emotion processing [11]. An investigation using visual stimuli by Rosen et al. [12] of the volumetric correlates of emotion recognition suggested that regions in the right lateral and inferolateral temporal lobe are important in the recognition of emotion. Emotion processing is a broad term describing the features, mechanisms and responses triggered by emotional stimuli. Deficits in emotion processing may be specific to the type of stimulus presented [12]. Similarly, the way in which the stimulus is presented, be it visual, auditory or involving some form of recall, may influence which brain regions are selectively activated [7]. Different dementia types may also have characteristic profiles of emotion processing deficits. Shimokawa et al. [13] identified differences in the comprehension of emotion in AD and vascular types of dementia and Keane et al. [1] found differences between variants of fronto-temporal dementia (FTD).

Early investigations of emotion processing in dementia suggested that deficits could be explained by other neuropsychological impairments in AD [14,15]. These enquiries also found no difference between AD patients and controls when the emotional stimulus was auditory rather than visual. Later reports suggest that emotion processing deficits were not accounted for by cognitive impairment [1,16,17]. However, these studies mostly examined patients with FTD, where emotional deficits are central features of the disorder. Shimokawa et al. [13] found an association between the Mini Mental State Examination (MMSE) score and emotion recognition in patients with vascular dementia, but not in those with AD.

Imaging techniques allow estimates of regional cerebral blood flow (rCBF) and regional glucose uptake and reveal characteristic patterns of deficits in AD and other dementias. Change in such measurements often precede structural changes [18]. rCBF SPECT has revealed a number of anatomical sites associated with behavioural symptoms in AD [3,19,20]. Studies have also explored the correlates of emotional behaviour in dementia. Lebert et al. [21] investigated AD patients with rCBF SPECT and found greater frontal asymmetry in the exhibiting disturbed emotional behaviour. Le Ber et al. [22] in a group with FTD patterns found evidence that different behavioural presentations at onset were related to different anatomical localizations of degenerative damage. Few studies have applied metabolic imaging to emotion processing in AD. These reports comprise substantial evidence that facial emotion processing is impaired in AD, and prompt examination of the following questions: (1) What are the rCBF correlates of emotion perception impairment? (2) Is there evidence that these correlates are independent of the deficits in non-emotional facial processing? (3) Is there evidence that these correlates are independent of dementia severity or other behavioral symptoms?

2. Methods

2.1. Patient Selection

A retrospective review of our research and clinical database identified 20 patients who satisfied the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-IV) criteria (American Psychiatric Association, 1994) for Probable AD and the NINCDS-ADRDA criteria for AD [23] (12 male, 8 female, aged 73 ± 9 years). The Local Research Ethics Committee approved the study and informed consent was received from all patients and/or carers.

2.2. Dementia Severity

The Alzheimer’s disease assessment scale (ADAS-cog) [24] and MMSE [25] were completed before imaging. Non-cognitive symptoms comprising: delusions, hallucinations, agitation, dysphoria, anxiety, apathy, irritability, euphoria, disinhibition, aberrant motor behavior, night-time behaviour disturbances, and appetite and eating abnormalities were recorded using the using the Neuropsychiatric Inventory (NPI) [26]. These scores were not normally distributed, so the presence/absence of “significant non-cognitive psychopathology” was classified as an NPI score was equal to/greater or less than seven.

2.3. Emotional Expression Labelling (EEL)

Patients’ abilities to identify facial emotions were tested using an emotional expression labelling test (EEL). This test consists of a standard set of 48 photos of facial expressions (Facial Expressions of Emotion: Stimuli and Tests, FEEST) [27]. The expressions depicted were fear, surprise, anger, disgust, happiness and sadness, which were displayed by four individuals. The order of the photographs was randomised, and each was presented one-by-one on a computer screen accompanied by the six emotion labels. The task was to select the emotion label that best described the facial expression. The test score is given as the percentage of faces correctly matched.

2.4. Benton Test (BT)

The ability to detect facial identity was tested using the Benton test of facial recognition, which is a perceptual face-matching test in which each trial entails the comparison of a target face with six faces shown below. All
seven faces remain in view until the participant indicates which of the comparison faces appears to match the target [28]. Each patient is presented with 22 target faces. The test score is given as the percentage of faces correctly matched.

2.5. Image Acquisition

The patient was allowed to rest in a darkened room before injection with 500 MBq of $^{99m}$Tc-labelled hexamethyl propylene amine oxime (HMPAO) [29]. Using a dual headed gamma camera and LEHR collimator we acquired 128 views over $360^\circ$ (pixel size = 3.38 mm). The data were reconstructed using filtered back projection and corrected for attenuation.

2.6. Statistical Parametric Mapping (SPM)

Images were spatially normalised to the SPM SPECT template, re-sampled at 4x4x4 mm voxels and then smoothed with an 8mm Gaussian kernel. This was done using the SPM2 package (University College, Queens Square London). The images were then intensity normalised (to the mean cerebellum uptake).

2.7. Results

Table 1 summarises the study data. NPI scores greater than seven were seen in seven patients. Comparisons between these patients and those with lower scores revealed a significant difference on the EEL task (F = 14.3, p = 0.001) while the group difference was close to significance on the BT task (F = 3.8, p = 0.06). Table 1 also shows Pearson correlation coefficients between the measures. The results show significant associations between emotion labelling and identity recognition and between identity recognition and dementia severity. Age was not significantly associated with any measures. As expected, the MMSE and ADAS-cog score were associated.

The initial SPM analysis shows significant areas (uncorrected p < 0.005) of correlation between rCBF and the EEL and BT scores (Figure 1) (cluster volumes > 200 voxels), showing that lower performance on these tests is associated with less rCBF. A detailed description of the locations of significant associations is shown in Table 2. Figure 1 also identifies the significant areas of rCBF difference between those with and without significant psychopathology as indicated by NPI scores. No significant correlations were found between ADAS-cog scores and rCBF. The EEL analysis shows three large clusters in the left posterior frontal lobe, the right parietal lobe and in the right posterior frontal lobe, all of which contain voxels of corrected significance (p < 0.05). The BT analysis pin-points four large significant clusters in the parietal lobes bilaterally and in the right and left posterior frontal lobes. Each of these has significant corrected (p < 0.05) cluster level significance and contains voxels that have a corrected significance (p < 0.05). The NPI comparison shows a single region in the left parietal lobe that has only cluster level significance (p < 0.05).

Repeating the SPM analysis for EEL with BT as a nuisance variable, the significant EEL associations in the bilateral posterior frontal lobes were maintained (p < 0.05) (Table 2). When EEL is analysed using ADAS-cog as the nuisance variable, a large, significant, convoluted cluster that extended from the left posterior frontal lobe to the right parietal lobe was detected. This cluster had only one significant voxel level location in the left posterior frontal lobe (Table 2). The analysis also showed a region in the right posterior frontal lobe showed a trend but was not significant (p = 0.053). When EEL was analysed with NPI status as the nuisance variable, among three previously identified EEL clusters, only the left posterior frontal cluster was maintained. Testing for association between EEL and rCBF using all of the potential nuisance variables together in the model, the significant association between EEL and rCBF was maintained in the left posterior frontal lobe (Table 2, Figure 2). As with the previous comparisons, a close to voxel level significant location was indicated in a similar region, on the contra-lateral side (24 2 32, p = 0.083).

3. Discussion

The main finding was that in AD patients there is a significant association between rCBF and facial emotion and non-emotion processing abilities. After adjustment for facial non-emotion processing abilities and disease severity, there remains a significant association between facial emotion processing and rCBF in the posterior.
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Figure 1. The areas of significant uncorrected (p < 0.005) correlation between rCBF and emotion expression labelling (EEL), identity recognition on the Benton Test (BT), and Neuropsychiatry inventory (NPI).

Figure 2. Regions of significant association between EEL and rCBF, (nuisance variables: ADAS—cog, BT and NPI status). The region is super-imposed on the SPM2 t1 template. The region was created using a p < 0.005 threshold. The colour scale is representative of the t value.

frontal lobes. These data are consistent with the findings of Hargrave et al. [30] and Allender and Kaszniaik [31], who in non-imaging studies suggested that an impairment in facial emotion processing in AD cannot be completely explained by deficits in more general aspects of facial processing. In addition, we show that the cognitive disease severity, in combination with the facial processing ability, do not completely explain the association between rCBF in the posterior frontal lobe and facial emotion processing by AD patients. It is not possible to determine from these data if a deficit in this region is representative of disease severity not captured by ADAS-cog or NPI.

The spatial resolution of rCBF SPECT is limited and the degree of atrophy may vary substantially in this sample, so it is difficult to identify with absolute confidence.
Table 2. The SPM results showing the locations and the uncorrected and corrected voxel and cluster level significances (ADAS—cog-Alzheimer’s Disease Assessment Scale (cognition), MMSE—Mini Mental State Examination, EEL—emotion expression labelling, BT Benton Test. * Correlation is significant at the p < 0.05 level (2-tailed), R—Right, L—Left).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cluster Location Description</th>
<th>Peak Coordinates x y z</th>
<th>Voxel p Un-corrected</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>Voxel FDR Corrected p</th>
<th>Cluster Size (voxels)</th>
<th>Corrected Cluster p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EEL L Posterior Frontal Lobe</td>
<td>-28 8 24</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>6.34</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>654</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEL R Parietal Lobe</td>
<td>16 -48 35</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>4.91</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEL R Posterior Frontal Lobe</td>
<td>24 4 36</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>4.86</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BT L Posterior Frontal Lobe</td>
<td>-40 8 44</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>5.75</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>431</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BT L Parietal Lobe</td>
<td>-12 -56 36</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>5.29</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>0.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BT R Parietal Lobe</td>
<td>16 -32 56</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>4.15</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPI R Posterior Frontal Lobe</td>
<td>36 36 40</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>5.13</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>485</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEL (nuisance variable BT) L Posterior Frontal Lobe</td>
<td>-28 8 20</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>6.11</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEL (nuisance variable BT) R Parietal Lobe</td>
<td>28 4 36</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>5.89</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>0.214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEL (nuisance variable ADAS-cog) L Posterior Frontal Lobe</td>
<td>-28 8 24</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>569</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEL (nuisance variable ADAS-cog) R Parietal Lobe</td>
<td>28 4 36</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>4.86</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>0.069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEL (nuisance variable NPI status) L Posterior Frontal Lobe</td>
<td>-28 8 24</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>6.22</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEL (nuisance variable NPI status) R Parietal Lobe</td>
<td>16 -48 40</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>4.97</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEL (nuisance variable NPI status, ADAS-cog, BT) L Posterior Frontal Lobe</td>
<td>-28 8 20</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>6.41</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>0.039</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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those anatomical locations upon which this significant cluster of voxels is superimposed. However, the posterior frontal location identified in this study includes the superior longitudinal fasciculus, the lateral aspects of the corpus callosum, parts of Brodmann area 24 (anterior cingulate) and Brodmann 32 (medial frontal gyrus).

The EEL task requires emotion processing abilities that are not required in the BT tasks. One interpretation is that the region in the posterior frontal lobe identified, is concerned with the semantic labelling of and/or the reaction to emotions depicted by facial cues. The medial pre-frontal cortex which includes Brodmann 24 and 32 has been previously identified as important in the processing of affect-related meanings that are common to many forms of emotion processing [32,33]. In addition, Brodmann 24 and 32 have been shown to be active in the self regulation of emotions in children [34]. The significant associations in the current study appear to be independent of other impairments, measured using ADAS-cog and NPI. This conflicts with another report [14], which suggested that deficits in emotion processing in AD were a result of cognitive and/or neuropsychological impairment. The findings reported in the present study are, however, consistent with those of Keane et al. [1] and Rosen et al. [16] who identified regions in the ventromedial frontal cortex associated with the impaired recognition of emotions in dementia.

The MMSE and ADAS-cog scores place our patients in the range of mild dementia severity. Data derived from Mukaeova-Landinska et al. [35] suggest that mild dementia approximates to Braak stage 3 or 4 of AD neuropathology [36]. AD subjects at Braak stages 3 and 4 have no frontal pathology. Similarly, volumetric studies have found little atrophy in the frontal lobes in patients with mild AD [37,38]. PET studies have also found little evidence to suggest that the frontal lobes have extensive neuropathology early in the course of disease [39]. This would suggest that there is little AD pathology at this location in the current population. The association between emotion recognition and frontal blood flow may therefore reflect pre-existing individual differences in the functioning of brain regions involved in emotion recognition. Individual differences in the processing of emotions have been shown to be present in the context...
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of measuring emotional intelligence [40]. In the face of the cognitive and neural burden brought on by AD, those individuals with greatest frontal lobe blood flow may be most able to maintain emotion processing ability as compared to those with less flow. This indicates that rCBF at this location might be considered as a proxy of ‘emotional reserve’. In this case we describe emotional reserve as the ability to maintain emotion processing in the face of burden brought about by AD. The concept of reserve has been commonly applied to cognition and describes the apparent protection from the onset of clinical dementia and/or cognitive decline in old age [41].

Although pathological, volumetric and metabolic imaging studies have indicated little frontal lobe involvement in early AD, our finding could be explained by co-existing cerebrovascular disease in the frontal lobes. Previously we have shown [42] that vascular burden is commonplace in normal old people and is most evident in the frontal white matter. It may well be that these behavioural findings are a consequence of vascular pathologies, co-existing with AD pathology in the posterior brain.

Although our patients were well characterised, the number is small and an independent replication would strengthen these conclusions. The image resolution of an rCBF SPECT study is also a weakness and limits our ability to anatomically locate the significant findings. An alternative would be to use rCBF or FDG PET, with superior spatial resolution. An fMRI study may also illuminate the situation further; however, implementation of lengthy functional imaging paradigms in this patient group is difficult. The inclusion of a healthy elderly control group would also be informative as to the origins of our findings and potentially answer the questions: (1) are these differences brought about by AD progression; (2) do they reflect pre-existing individual differences; or (3) are they the consequence of additional vascular burden? Increasing the sample size would also enable us to investigate the processing of individual emotions. This seems important because there is evidence that AD impairs recognition of specific emotions while leaving others intact [43].
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