Anaerobic Digestion of Olive Oil Mill Wastewater Pre-Treated with Catalytic Wet Peroxide Photo-Oxidation Using Copper Supported Pillared Clay Catalysts
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Abstract

Because phenolic compounds are toxic for methanogenic bacteria many problems concerning the high toxicity and biodegradability of the olive oil mill wastewater (OMW) have been encountered during anaerobic treatments of this effluent. In this work, we try to develop a new catalytic process for the degradation of phenolic compounds, producing less toxic OMW for methanogenic bacteria, facilitating the anaerobic digestion. This process consists of an oxidative reaction using copper supported on alumina pillared clay in presence of a photocatalytic system ($\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ with UV light). Preliminary results showed that the use of the copper supported catalyst in presence of 0.88% $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ (v/v) allows after 2 h colour reduction (25%), significant abatement of total organic carbon (40%), and important removal of polyphenolic compounds (63%) especially those of high molecular mass and subsequently decreases the OMW toxicity from 100% to 70%. This catalytic pre-treatment process of OMW was efficient for anaerobic digestion.
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1. Introduction

The olive oil industries are an important economic activity of many countries particularly throughout the Mediterranean Sea, with a world production that passes beyond 106 tons/year [1]. However, during olive oil production large quantities of strongly polluted waters, known as olive oil mill wastewaters (OMW), are generated. The seasonal production and high organic loading of OMWs make anaerobic biological treatment a promising alternative and a process of choice for these wastes. Furthermore, this treatment process produces a digested effluent.
with a significant reduction of the organic load and energy (methane) as a valuable end product and may offset the associated treatment costs, further add to the positive aspects of anaerobic treatment. However, the effectiveness of this technology is not always satisfactory because of its highly phytotoxicity and strong antimicrobial properties of OMWs [2]-[4]. Physicochemical treatment processes are also limited by some technical and economical constraints. Recently, the research is focused on original techniques which combine the biological and the physicochemical treatments. Therefore, anaerobic or aerobic biological treatment of these effluents requires a pre-treatment able to eliminate toxic compounds and to increase the biodegradability index. Advanced oxidation methods (AOP) could provide a solution for such environmental problem [5]-[7]. Although the wet air oxidation (WAO) process has demonstrated high effectiveness in the abatement of the organic pollutants [8] [9]. It consists of an oxidation of organic and inorganic compounds in an aqueous solution or suspension by air or oxygen at high temperature and pressure: 423 - 573 K and 2 - 15 MPa [10]. In order to improve the conditions of such a process, homogenous and heterogeneous catalysts were developed [11]-[13] using strong oxidants such as H2O2 [14] [15]. The heterogeneous catalysis would be preferred to the homogeneous one if the catalyst is stable in an aqueous medium and selective towards the formation of CO2 and H2O. Indeed, the biological treatment requires the development of a physicochemical pretreatment process allowing the elimination or the reduction of the amount of toxic compounds e.g. cinamic acid and its derivates. With this general aim in mind, we try to develop a new catalytic process which degrades those phenolic compounds, producing less toxic OMW for methanogenic bacteria, facilitating the anaerobic digestion. This process consists of an oxidative reaction using copper supported on alumina pillared clay in presence of a photocatalytic system (H2O2 with UV light) coupled to biomethanisation. In this context, we have developed in previous work [16] a new methodology of synthesis of rich Cu-supported Al-pillared clay system by solid exchange reaction as catalyst for WHP CO of model pollutant p-Hydroxyphenylethanol (one of the most significant phenols in OMW). This catalyst could be used efficiently in the pretreatment of OMW to reduce toxicity before a biomethanisation treatment.

2. Experimental Section

2.1. Catalyst Preparation and Characterization

The copper supported Al-pillared clay (Cu5.6Al-PILC(t)) was prepared by the heterogeneous solid reaction [16]. Quantitative chemical analysis of the copper in the modified clay and physisorption of N2 are carried out as described in [16]. The main characteristics of the catalyst are summarized in Table 1.

2.2. Catalytic Wet Peroxide Photo-Oxidation Tests

Crude OMW was obtained from a discontinuous olive oil processing plant located in Sfax (southern Tunisia). Fresh dark brown fraction (F3 < 8 kDa) isolated from OMW by ultrafiltration (Gamma Filtration, France), was first centrifuged (20 min at 4000 rpm) to remove suspended solids, prior to experiments. The main characteristics of this OMW fraction were illustrated in Table 2.

Oxidation experiments were carried out in batch mode using a stirred Pyrex well mixed slurry reactor of 100 ml. 80mL of freshly fraction (F3 < 8 kDa) was introduced to the reactor together with 0.5 g.L−1 of the catalyst (Cu5.6Al-PILC(t)) and varied concentrations of H2O2, ranging from 0.8% to 4.4% (V/V). This mixture was, finally, incubated for 24 h without any contact with the air atmosphere and at 298 K, in the presence of UV radiation (366 nm). Irradiation was carried out with 30 W UV-lamp (APLEX, France), which was put above the flasks. The distance between solution and UV source was constant, 15 cm in all experiments. Reaction tests were carried out at the pH of the initial OMW fraction. Samples were withdrawn every 2 h and analysed after being centrifuged at 3500 rpm for 10 min to remove completely catalyst particles. The efficiency of the photocatalytic tests was estimated by the follow-up of the Colour Number, HPLC analysis (SE and C18), total polyphenols abatement, TOC measurement and toxicity tests.

2.3. Anaerobic Digestion and Biogas Analysis

Anaerobic digestion tests were applied to both raw and pre-treated samples for comparison purpose. Two anaerobic filters (AFs) were used in this study. These reactors were made of a glass column having a working volume of 3 L. The inner tubes were enclosed in a jacket through which hot water circulated to maintain
Table 1. Textural properties and copper content of the Cu5.6Al-PILC(t) catalyst.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$S_{\text{BET}}$ (m²/g)</td>
<td>197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_\text{p}$ (cm³/g)</td>
<td>0.128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_{\mu p}$ (cm³/g)</td>
<td>0.081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d_{001}$ (nm)</td>
<td>1.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu (wt.%)</td>
<td>4.70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total surface area obtained from the BET equation ($S_{\text{BET}}$), Micropore volume obtained from the t-plot method ($V_{\mu p}$), and the total pore volume at P/P₀ = 0.99 ($V_p$).

Table 2. Characterisation of isolated F3 fraction.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>F3 &lt; 8 kDa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soluble COD (g·L⁻¹)</td>
<td>28.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COD/BOD₅</td>
<td>4.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total polyphenols (g·L⁻¹)</td>
<td>1.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CN (m⁻³)</td>
<td>257.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IB (%)</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.4. Analytical Methods.

HPLC analysis used for monomeric phenols was performed on a Shimadzu apparatus composed of an LC-10ATvp pump and an SPD-10Avp detector. The column was a C-18 (4.6 mm × 250 mm; Shimpack VP-ODS), and its temperature was maintained at 40°C. The flow rate was 0.5 mL·min⁻¹. The mobile phase used was 0.1% phosphoric acid in water (A) versus 70% acetonitrile in water (B) for a total running time of 50 min and the following proportions of solvent B were used for the elution: 0 - 30 min, 20% - 50%; 30% - 35 min, 50%; and 35 - 50 min, 50% - 20%. The flow rate was 0.6 mL·min⁻¹, and the injection volume was 20 µL [17].

Concentration of high-molecular-mass polyphenol compounds was determined a Progel TSK-G 2000-SW. Supelco column (300 mm × 7.8 mm) was used with a Shimadzu 10Avp apparatus to analyze. The elution was carried out using a phosphate buffer pH 6.8 and 0.6 mL min⁻¹ flow rate. The wavelength of the detector was adjusted to 280 nm. TOC was determined using a 5050A Shimadzu model carbon analyzer.

COD was determined according to Knecket standard method [18].

The colour is measured at the two characteristic wavelengths of OMW 395 and 495 nm. The colour is given by the spectral absorption coefficient (SAC) [19]. SAC can be measured by the absorption $E$ in a cuvette with a layer $x$ at the mentioned wavelength by $\text{SAC} = \frac{E}{x}$.

For practical handing, Dopkens et al. [20] defined the colour number CN which is characterized by the weighted average of the SAC. It can be calculated by
with the spectral absorption coefficients SAC_{395} and SAC_{495} at 395 and 495 nm respectively.

The microtoxicity test consists of the inhibition of the bioluminescence of Vibrio fischeri LCK480 using the (Dr Lange GmbH, Düsseldorf, Germany) Lumistox system and according to ISO 11348-2 [21]. Percentage inhibition of the bioluminescence was achieved by mixing 0.5 mL of OMW F3 fraction and 0.5 mL luminescent bacterial suspension. After 15 minute exposure at 15°C, the decrease in light emission was measured. The toxicity of the sample is expressed as the percent of the inhibition of bioluminescence (%IB) relative to a non-contaminated reference. A positive control (7.5% NaCl) was included for each test.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. WHPCO Treatment of OMW F3 Fraction

As the low molecular-mass phenolics are deemed to be the highly toxic products of OMW, our investigations are focused on the determination of the performance of WHPCO in decreasing their acute toxicity. Thus, preliminary photocatalytic experiments were undergone on real low molecular mass phenolic toxic fraction F3 (IB = 100%). The ethyl acetate extract of this low molecular-mass fraction, analyzed by HPLC (Figure 1) shows that hydroxytyrosol occurs for more than 50% of the ethyl acetate extractable compounds. 3,4-dihydroxyphenylacetic acid, tyrosol, vanillic acid, p-coumaric acid, ferulic acid and some flavonoids are also present.

Figure 2 shows the evolution of colouration, monomers removal, hydroxytyrosol conversion, total phenol and total organic carbon (TOC) removal. Obtained results showed that decolorization of the effluent was obtained only after 12 h for all the tested H₂O₂ concentration. This could be explained by the fact that some coloured low molecular mass polyphenols such as condensed tannins could be more resistant to the catalytic system used in our study. While, HPLC analysis showed the removal of the major monomeric compounds detected in the F3 fraction which resulted in decreasing the toxicity from 100% to 74% after 2 h and up to 26% after 24 h treatment (Figure 3) and this is consistent with the reduced concentration of total phenols recorded (Figure 2(d)). Indeed, after 2 h treatment the polyphenolic compounds conversion is about 60% for 0.88 H₂O₂ concentration. A removal of about 93% is obtained for the hydroxytyrosol, the major ortho-diphenol, treated with 0.88% H₂O₂ during 2 h. The TOC abatement was 60% after 24 h treatment even with high H₂O₂ concentration (data not shown), indicating a preferential reduction of these toxic compounds with respect to TOC abatement in agreement with the objective to reduce the toxicity, to improve biodegradability and to minimize as much as possible the TOC reduction.

![C18-HPLC chromatogram of phenolic compounds present in OMW F3 fraction. 1: hydroxytyrosol; 2: 3,4 dihydroxyphenylacetic acid; 3: tyrosol; 4: vanillic acid; 5: p-coumaric acid; 6: ferulic acid.](image-url)
Since, the catalytic treatment is associated to a methanization process; the aim of these experiences is to reduce the TOC and not its complete removal. Then a treatment with 0.88% H₂O₂ during 2 h can be adopted because it allowed a TOC abatement of 40% (Figure 2(e)). The phenolic polymer evolution during the catalytic treatment is illustrated by Figure 2(d). It is important to note that this catalytic treatment is more significant with the high molecular mass polyphenols (as monitored by Size Exclusion-HPLC using the Progel TSK-G 2000-SW Supelco column) than with the monomer one. Indeed, Figure 2(d) shows that, after 2 h reaction, important concentrations of the polyphenols were removed with concomitant colour reduction (Figure 2(a)). The toxicity of untreated OMW and 2 and 24 h treatments revealed a significant detoxification (Figure 3). According to the previous results we can conclude that the treatment of the OMW F3 fraction by H₂O₂ catalytic oxidation allowed a significant detoxification by decreasing the organic charge (TOC) and the phenol concentration. Then an oxidative treatment with 0.88 % H₂O₂, under UV (366 nm), during 2 h and in presence of 0.5 g L⁻¹ of Cu₅.₆Al-PILC(t) catalyst is adopted in order to test the effect of such treatment on OMW methanization.

3.2. Anaerobic Digestion of Both Raw and Pre-Treated OMW F3 Fraction

3.2.1. Anaerobic Digestion of OMW

Difficulties met in the anaerobic treatment of olive mill effluents suggest the use of a physico-chemical pre-treatment for the removal of bio-recalcitrant and/or inhibiting substances (essentially lipids and polyphenols) as
selectively as possible before anaerobic digestion. The anaerobic digestion of raw OMW was performed in a 3 L anaerobic filter reactor. The yield of methanisation of this crude diluted OMW was higher than 0.25 L CH₄ g⁻¹ COD introduced at low loading rates. However, since the 20th day, when the loading rate reached a mean of 4 g⁻¹L⁻¹d⁻¹ of COD, a decrease in the biogas yield was observed (Figure 4b(R)). This test will serve as control for comparing the efficiency of the WHPCO pre-treatment in the detoxification of this effluent.

3.2.2. Anaerobic Digestion of WHPCO Pre-Treated OMW

The anaerobic filter was loaded with undiluted WHPCO pre-treated OMW at a starting loading rate of 2 g COD L⁻¹d⁻¹. The evolution of the loading rate, biogas productivity, methane yield and are presented in Figure 4(T). During the fermentation of WHPCO pre-treated OMW, the biogas productivity and methane yield increased with increased loading rate (Figure 4(T)). The volume of biogas reached 14 L per day for a loading rate of 8 g of COD introduced. The higher values of methane yields (0.36 - 0.38 L CH₄ g⁻¹ COD introduced) were also obtained for this loading rate. The COD was reduced to 5 g L⁻¹, reaching 95% of COD removal (data not shown).

But when the organic loading increase from 4 to 8 g COD L⁻¹d⁻¹, the percentage of COD removal decrease to 85%. This could be due to the high COD concentration of OMW used (40 g L⁻¹).

Indeed, the WHPCO pre-treated OMW was not diluted prior to feeding in the anaerobic filter. In addition, relatively high concentrations of phenols are introduced in the batch and it’s possible that, using this loading rate, part of this organics (probably high molecular mass polyphenols) are unable to be degraded by anaerobic
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**Figure 4.** Evolution of the loading rate (g COD L⁻¹reactor-d⁻¹) (a), biogas production (L L⁻¹) (b) and methane yield (L CH₄ g⁻¹ COD introduced) (c) during anaerobic digestion of raw OMW (R) and WHPCO treated OMW (T).
digestion. However, organics which were degraded by anaerobic bacteria were transformed mainly to methane. Knowing that untreated OMW causes inhibition of methanisation at a loading rate 2 - 4 g COD L\(^{-1}\) d\(^{-1}\) [22], we can conclude that WHPCO of crude OMW resulted in decreasing the toxic effect of this effluent on anaerobic digestion.

### 3.3. Conclusion

The catalytic wet hydrogen peroxide oxidation using Cu\(_{5.6}\)Al-PILC(t) catalyst applied on crude OMW as pre-treatment resulted in removing a large amount of recalcitrant polyphenolic compounds as well as it allowed a significant detoxification by decreasing the organic charge (TOC).

The anaerobic process applied as post-treatment reached a loading rate of 8 g COD L\(^{-1}\) d\(^{-1}\) without any apparent toxicity.
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