Effects of Polysilane-Coating on Interface of Electrofusion Joints for Maintaining Strength
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Abstract

The fusion strength of electrofusion joints using the polyethylene (PE) pipe connection greatly depends on the amount of sand which adheres to the interface by wind and so on, because there is no flow of melted resin at the fusion interface on electrofusion joints. Therefore, it is necessary to develop a method to prevent the fusion strength from reducing even in the case of sand adhesion. In this study, the fusion interface coated with polysilane, a kind of silicon polymer, effectively prevented the reduction of the fusion strength even if contaminated by sand. It was found that it brought the improvement of the fusion strength since when there was polysilane on the fusion interface. PS deeply permeated the polyethylene layer and lowered the viscosity of polyethylene.

Keywords

Polysilane, Electrofusion Joint

1. Introduction

Since the Gas Utility Industry Law was revised to permit the use of PE pipes in 1982 in Japan, the PE pipes are steadily spreading as gas feed pipes. After the Hanshin-Awaji Great Earthquake Disaster in 1995, flexibility of the PE pipe and reliability of the joint systems have been highly evaluated and the pipe and joint systems are being rapidly introduced. Therefore, the PE pipes and joint systems play an important role in gas supply. It is an inevitable mission for the gas supply business to perform technical innovation for improving reliability of the PE pipes.

pipes and joint systems.

The most popular and generally applicable method for connecting the PE pipes is to use electrofusion joints. They have higher quality of fusion strength as compared with the older, heat fusion joints. In terms of electrofusion joints, scraping the pipe surface and cleaning it with PE cleaner including ethanol are generally applied on the work sites before electrofusion (Figure 1). Scraping and cleaning using a PE cleaner are considered to be pre-treatment for removing the oxidation layer and dirt on the surface.

However, the pipe surface may suffer from dirt such as fine sand particles due to wind blowing at the work sites irrespective of pre-treatment as described above. The fusion strength of electrofusion joints depends on the amount of sand particles adhered to the interface (Figure 2) because flow rate of molten resins is poor at the fusion interface on electrofusion joints. Although simple methods, for example, “non-destructive inspection tests”, for inspecting the condition of an electrofusion joint have been developed, they are often ineffective when particles adhere on the interface. Therefore, it is necessary to develop a method to prevent the fusion strength from reduction even when sand particles adhere on the joint interface [1]-[4].

Polysilane has recently attracted considerable attention due to their usefulness as the precursors for thermally stable ceramics [5] [6], a material for microlithography [7]-[9], and also due to their potentiality [10] [11] in the preparation of new types of material showing semiconducting [12] [13], photo-conducting [14] [15], or nonlinear optical [16]-[18] property. Polysilane is a kind of silicon polymers composed of only silicon atoms (Si-Si bonds) as the main chain and having organic substituents such as methyl and phenyl groups. As may be conjectured from the chemical structure formula, polysilane has an affinity to sand particles (SiO$_2$) owing to the presence of silicon as constituents of the main chain, as well as an affinity to polyethylene because Polysilane is a non-polar substance similar to polyethylene (Figure 3) [19].
From the viewpoint as described above, it is considered that decrease of fusion strength may be suppressed in the presence of polysilane at the fusion interface of electrofusion joints, even when sand particles are adhered on the joint. It has been found in our study that a kind of polysilane was very effective for maintaining the fusion strength at electrofusion joints when sand particles were adhered on the fusion interface. Some analyses were conducted from the chemical and physical point of view and it was observed that polysilane molecule permeated into the PE resin deeply and hence the PE resins of the PE pipe and electrofusion joint were thought to be thoroughly mixed with each other.

2. Experimental

2.1. Preparation of Polysilane

Polysilane (1) was prepared by reductive coupling of monomers (dichlorosilanes) using metallic magnesium in the presence of specified catalysts and solvents [20]-[22]. Into a 100 ml round bottomed flask were added THF (30 ml, dried over Na), Mg powder (40 mmol), LiCl (20 mmol), and ZnCl₂ (4 mmol) as a Lewis acid under N₂ atmosphere and stirred to solve LiCl and ZnCl₂ to THF for an hour. Dichlorosilane (30 mmol) was added into the flask, and the mixture was stirred for 24 h at room temperature. After 3 ml of water and toluene (50 ml) was added into the reaction mixture, it was filtered to remove Mg salts. The filtrate was poured into an ice cold solution of HCl (1 M/dm³, 100 ml) and the aqueous solution was extracted with toluene (50 ml × 3). The combined organic layers were washed twice with 50 ml of brine, dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated (Scheme 1).

Three-types of polysilane were prepared using above mentioned method (Table 1). 1a and 1b have a linear structure and 1a has much higher molecular weight than that of 1b which is blocked up by trimethylsilyl (TMS) groups. On the other hand, 1c has a cyclic structure (Figure 4).

2.2. Coating Method of Polysilane

A solution of polysilane was added into a PE cleaner soaked in a sheet of wiping paper for use in on-site cleaning of pipes and electrofusion joints. After scraping, a PE pipe with a diameter of 50 mm and an electrofusion joint whose surface was cleaned with the PE cleaner were coated with polysilane. The PE pipe with a diameter of 50 mm was coated with about 4 mg of polysilane by wiping with a polysilane solution with a concentration of about 3 wt%. Finally, sand particles having a diameter of less than 100 μm were scattered on the coating portion of the pipe (Figure 5).

2.3. Evaluation of Fusion Strength of Electrofusion Joints (Peel Test)

As shown in Figure 6, test samples with a width of 1 cm was cut from the joint portion of the PE pipe and electrofusion joint in a direction parallel to the PE pipe. Eight sample pieces were obtained from the PE pipe having a diameter of 50 mm. The test piece was fixed to a tensile machine to determine the maximum peeling load applied at the fusion interface between the PE pipe and electrofusion joint. By elongating the PE pipe, the maximum peeling load was increased up to 700 N, and showed a rapid decrease by peeling at the fusion interface. The weld energy was calculated from the peel load at each elongation distance.

3. Results and Discussions

3.1. Verification of the Effect of Polysilane Coating

3.1.1. Effect of Structure of Polysilane

Peel test results on three types of polysilane comprised of 1a, 1b and 1c are shown in Figure 7. The results show
that decrease of the maximum peel load was greatly suppressed, or decrease of the fusion strength was suppressed by coating 1b as compared with the maximum peel load obtained without coating any polysilane. No suppressing effect was observed by coating 1a. 1c exhibited no effects. Accordingly, it was revealed that poly- methylphenylsilane (1b) having low molecular weight is the most suitable as the molecular structure for enhancing the peeling strength.

3.1.2. The Relation between the Amount of Coating of 1b and Peeling Strength

The relation between the amount of coating of 1b and peeling strength at a sand adhesion amount of 0.1 mg/cm² is shown in Figure 8. Figure 8 shows that both the maximum peel load and the weld energy increase as the
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**Scheme 1. Synthetic method of polysilane using Mg, LiCl and Lewis acids.**

**Figure 4. Detailed chemical structures of polysilane.**

**Figure 5. Schematic illustration of coating method of polysilane.**

**Figure 6. Schematic illustration of peel test and evaluation method thereof.**
amount of coating of 1b increases, or that suppressing effect for the fusion strength increases. Especially, the weld energy in case of coating 2 to 3 mg of 1b has reached three times and as many as five times when 1b was coated 20 mg or more respectively. The results above indicate that coating polysilane (1b) on the fusion interface between the electrofusion joint and PE pipe is effective for suppressing the fusion strength from decreasing, even when sand particles are adhered on the interface.
3.2. Elucidation of Mechanism

The reason why decrease of the fusion strength is suppressed by coating polysilane (1b) even when sand particles are adhered on the fusion interface of the electrofusion joint will be described in this section.

3.2.1. Analysis of Fusion Interface State

The macroscopic state of the fusion interface was observed using a polarized light microscope while observing the micro-interaction mode between the polysilane molecule and PE layer by Electron probe micro analyzer (EPMA). The states of the fusion interface of the following samples were observed under a polarized light microscope (Figure 9). Many cavities with a dimension of about 100 μm were observed in the vicinity of sand particles adhered on the fusion interface. When 1b that had exhibited an effect in the peel test was coated, the cavities were almost disappeared, showing that the sand particles were favorably adhered to PE. The concentration of Si atoms contained in the vicinity of the fusion interface was determined using EMPA. The results are shown in Figure 10. In case of 1a with high molecular weight polysilane and silicone oil as a representative silicon polymer that had exhibited no effect in the peel test, Si atoms still remained in the vicinity of the fusion interface. On the other hand, only 1b was permeated into the PE layer from the fusion interface at a width of as many as 1 mm in maximum. It can be concluded from the results above that, although PE having a melting point at around 126 °C is in a melt state since the temperature at the fusion interface reaches about 210 °C, the PE resin is not thoroughly mixed at the portion adhered with the sand particles. When 1b is coated, on the other hand, 1b molecules so deeply permeate into the PE resin, that is, the PE resins of the PE pipe and electrofusion joint are thought to be thoroughly mixed with each other.

3.2.2. Analysis of Mixing State of PE and Polysilane

In order to analyze how physical properties of the PE-1b mixture differs from those of the PE alone at a temperature higher than the melting point, compatibility of PE with 1b was assessed by melting point measurement using Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC), melt flow rate measurement and 13C solid state NMR. The result of the melting point measurement of the PE-1b mixture by DSC is shown in Figure 11. The result indicated that the melting point decreased about 2 °C by mixing 1b with PE. The result of melt flow rate measurement showed that the rate increased by about two times by mixing 33 wt% of 1b with PE, showing that viscosity of PE at the melting point decreased by mixing 1b (Table 2). Mixing of 1b with the PE resin was promoted as a result of increased melt flow rate in the vicinity of the fusion interface. The solid state 13C NMR spectrum of the PE-1b mixture at 70 °C and 110 °C is shown in Figure 12, and peak intensity ratios at each temperature are shown in Table 3.

While the ratio between the crystalline phase peak and amorphous phase peak of PE did not change at a temperature of 70 °C or less by mixing 1b, the ratio of the amorphous phase peak increased at a temperature of 90 °C...
Figure 10. Concentration of Si atoms in the vicinity of the fusion interface as determined by EPMA.

Figure 11. Melting point measured by DSC.
or more. According to Cross polarization/Magic angle spinning (CP/MAS) used in the solid state $^{13}$C NMR measurement, the signal intensity of the crystalline phase is suppressed as molecular motion becomes active [23] [24]. Accordingly, it was shown from the results above that molecular motion of PE molecules was activated by dissociating the crystalline phase at a temperature of 90°C or more. This means that 1b is a material excellent in affinity with PE in molecular levels.

The results of analysis as hitherto described suggest that decrease of the fusion strength when sand particles
was adhered was suppressed by coating 1b on the fusion interface by the following reasons. It can be conjectured from the results of the melting point measurement by DSC and melt flow measurement that viscosity of the PE resin is decreased by the presence of 1b in the PE resin to enhance fluidity of the resin, thereby promoting mixing of the PE resins. The fact that 1b is a material having excellent affinity with the PE resin is an important factor for promoting mixing of the PE resins (see the sections of EPMA and solid state $^{13}$C NMR).

### 4. Conclusion

It had been examined the effects of polysilane for maintaining the fusion strength at electrofusion joints when sand particles were adhered on the fusion interface. A kind of polysilane which had methyl and phenyl group with low molecular weight was very effective to enhance fusion strength. The reasons were also examined from the chemical and physical point of views. Polysilane molecule permeated into the PE resin deeply and hence the PE resins of the PE pipe and EF joint were thought to be thoroughly mixed with each other.
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