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ABSTRACT

The present paper discusses the development of the first and second order model for predicting the chemical etching variables, namely, etching rate, surface roughness and accuracy of advanced ceramics. The first and second order etching rate, surface roughness and accuracy equations were developed using the Response Surface Method (RSM). The etching variables included etching temperature, etching duration, solution and solution concentration. The predictive models’ analyses were supported with the aid of the statistical software package—Design Expert (DE 7). The effects of the individual etching variables and interaction between these variables were also investigated. The study showed that predictive models successfully predicted the etching rate, surface roughness and accuracy readings recorded experimentally with 95% confident interval. The results obtained from the predictive models were also compared with Multilayer Perceptron Artificial Neural Network (ANN). Chemical Etching variables predictive by ANN were in good agreement with those with those obtained by RSM. This observation indicated the potential of ANN in predicting chemical etching variables thus eliminating the need for exhaustive chemical etching in optimization.
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1. Introduction

Advanced ceramic is categorized into oxides, non-oxides and composite ceramics. It possesses great mechanical properties, such as the capability to operate under high temperature, high abrasion resistance, longer service life and dimensional stability. Their versatility has been demonstrated in the development of aerospace and refractory materials, and electrical, thermal, structural and medical application [1].

Among advanced ceramics, machinable glass ceramics (MGC) is one of the common materials in the industry. MGC is polycrystalline material, produced with controlled nucleation and crystallization. These materials are unique because of their ability to be machined to precise tolerances with a good surface finish. MGC possesses low thermal conductivity and is highly recommended as high temperature insulators. It shows excellent properties, especially in semiconductor and electronics industries. MGC is white in colour and it can be highly polished without damaging its properties. This makes MGC a useful in the manufacture of medical and optical devices [2,3]. Silicon Carbide (SiC) is the most attractive material in manufacturing devices used in high power and high temperature applications. This arises from its high thermal conductivity, high electric field breakdown voltage, and wide bandgap. SiC is also known as one of the hardest materials among advanced ceramics and it is widely used for tribological applications in extreme conditions because of its unique properties, such as high hardness, good corrosive resistance, and excellent chemical stability [4]. Another MGC material is boron nitride (BN). BN consists of equal numbers of boron and nitrogen atoms. B is isoelectronic to similarly structured carbon lattice and thus exists in various crystalline forms. Its hardness is inferior only to diamond, but its thermal and chemical stability is superior. Because of its excellent thermal and chemical stability, BN is widely used in the building of high-temperature equipment.
Chemical etching (CHM) is the oldest non-traditional machining method of removing selected surface areas by immersing the work piece material into a chemical reagent. The removal process will continuously take place even though the penetration rate of etching rate may be very small and over-etching might happen during the process. CHM was applied in 2500 B.C. to produce jewelry out of copper in the citric acid and replaced hand-tool engraving process [5-7]. The development of CHM has rapidly progressed after the Second World War when North American Aviation started running mass production of CHM on rocket materials. In the 20th century, CHM was employed as a key process in the fabrication of integrated circuits, bioMEMS, and microfluidic devices [8-10]. CHM is well-known for its efficiency in lightening surface weight, fabrication and the production of dimensionally precise components [5,11]. In terms of cost efficiency, CHM is economically acceptable among other machining operations. With the minimum set up procedure and equipment, CHM can be carried out easily. On the other hand, CHM must be conducted in fume hood or a place that is totally covered due to the usage of chemical reagents and their hazardous effect towards human health. The disposal of waste chemical reagents is another problem encountered if CHM is chosen. However, CHM requires only a short necessary machining time, hence resulting in low cost production and causing no damage on mechanical properties.

Mask patterning is the most common method of fabrication in CHM. Yet, this method is usually accompanied with a few problems such as undercutting, mask adhesive issues and their unstable resistivity to chemical reagents. Thus, a new technology that is based on employing CHM after indentation has been introduced. This technique has demonstrated its versatility and lower production cost using basic facilities and manufacture, simplicity of process with no material selectivity required [12]. One of the key issues of this technology is its ability to increase and control the etching rate difference between indented and non-indented areas. Saito et al [13,14] were the first to develop this technique and prove its feasibility for micro-machining and fabrication of alumina-silicate glass. Nagai et al. [15] and Kang and Youn [12] who fabricated micro-patterns on advanced ceramics, found in this technique a substitute for the application of masks.

Various studies have been reported on the machining operations of advanced ceramics [16-24] such as deep reactive-ion etching [25], powder blasting [26-28], laser drilling [29-31], and conventional machining [32-34]. In terms of the etching process, chemical etching is among the most commonly used method compared to other advanced machining methods (laser beam machining, electron discharge machining and etch). Watanabe [35] obtained a linear relationship between etching rate and temperature while comparing wet etching and mechanical machining. Williams et al. [36] stated that not all materials were etched in all etchants due to time limitations. This is probably caused by the limited chemical reaction. Gaiseanu et al. [37] found that relationship between etching duration and etching rate was significant in influencing the HF etching results of boron nitride. Minhao et al. [38] performed their etching experiments on silicon at a constant etching period and reported that the etching rate obtained was surprisingly linear. They concluded that a minor change of etching time had slightly changed the linear shape of etching rate to curvature. Olsen et al. [39] also indicated that an increased in etching time would decrease the bond strengths of alumina silicate in HCl etching.

The present study will provide some important scientific findings on CHM of advanced ceramics with solution of HCl, HBr and H3PO4. This paper will also discuss on various types of DoE and its application, identify material machinability and highlight the relationship between etching rates, surface roughness and dimensional accuracy, and present the predictive models by RSM and ANN.

2. Design of Experiment (DoE)

In manufacturing processes, a few practical problems related to the process parameters that determine the desired product quality, optimization and maximizing of manufacturing system performance often occur. In order to attain a high quality process with suitable variables, different statistical methodologies have been proposed to simulate the various conditions encountered during material processing and establish relationship between parameters and variables for a better system understanding and control. By selecting a suitable experimental design method, it is able to reduce the necessary number of experimental runs and filter out effects due to statistical variations. A number of experimental design methods have been developed for experimental planning and data acquisition. The Design of Experimental (DoE) is well-known in data analysis, process optimization and characterization of complicated processes. With a relatively small number of experimental runs, DoE is able to reasonably establish the relationship between etching parameters and process performance. Many researchers have design their experiment through DoE to filter out the secondary factors.

DoE is combination of mathematical and statistical techniques used to predict and analyze process behavior in different conditions with relatively fewer, but essential number of experiment tests [40]. The technique is cate-
specialty of 2k factorial design is that its ANOVA can be examined and the range of the variables is determined. By applying this method, each of the results is pre-screened method, a linear process and first level model. The 2k factorial design only capable of studying linear relationship between variables exists and a higher level effect will proceed to the first order model analysis. This is because a significant curvature term is used to the nature of process variables on the responses and to estimate the significance of the regression equation coefficients. They also indicated the advantage of DoE in increasing the data accuracy by filtering out the errors from the process. Subramanian et al. [45] reported that DoE significantly improved the etching result of niobium cavities by reducing the number of testing and successfully reduced the parameter range. Chen et al. [46] applied the DoE method to optimize etching process in commercial etchers and the optimization result was in good agreement with the experimental data.

2.1. 2k Factorial Design

The factorial design allows each complete test or replication of all the possible combinations of the levels of the factors to be investigated. The 2k factorial design is a screening method, a linear process and first level model. By applying this method, each of the results is pre-examined and the range of the variables is determined. The specialty of 2k factorial design is that its ANOVA consists of a curvature term, which is used to the nature of the process. If the curvature term is significant, the corresponding process will have to proceed to the next stage (second order model). In contrast, the corresponding result will proceed to the first order model analysis. This is because a significant curvature in ANOVA indicates the relationship between variables exists and a higher level of model should be used to study the related process, as the 2k factorial design only capable of studying linear process.

As a screening method, graphs produced by 2k factorial design are used to determine the range of variables. If the line positively increases, it means that the variables’ range might fall at a higher level, and vice versa. The advantages of this method are that it is able to reduce the number of experimental runs, the process period and increase the cost effectiveness. Using the 2k factorial design of experiment, a mathematical model (first-order) of etching rate, surface roughness and dimensional accuracy as a function of etching temperature, etching duration, etching solution and solution concentration has been developed with a 95% confidence level. These model equations have been used to develop contours of each result [47].

2.2. Response Surface Methodology (RSM)

RSM is a statistical tool used to analyse complicated processes in which a response of interest is influenced by several factor [41]. The Central Composite design (CCD), Box-Behnken design and 3k design are the most common RSM design methods. Each of these is used in different circumstances. CCD is mainly used in sequential experimentation, thus making it flexible for industrial process development. It is widely used because of its ability to be partitioned naturally into two subsets: the first subset is used to estimate linear and two-factor interaction effects; and, second, to estimate the curvature effects of the process. Compared with other RSM designs, CCD is more efficient and able to provide more information with minimum number of experimental runs.

As mentioned previously, the range of each parameter has been reduced through 2k factorial design. The purpose of doing this is to reduce the time taken in determining the interaction of parameters and their relationship. In this research, the input are etching temperature, etching solution, etching duration, and etching concentration. Their range is stated in Table 2 and a central point is added to the process to determine the peak point for each result. This is the purpose of employing CCD as the statistical method in studying this process. With three numeric factors, one categoric factor and five central points are added to DE7, the CCD is able to randomly generate 40 experimental runs for the three set of results. This is to minimize experimental errors (such as change of temperature during experiment) and to ensure consistency in the result. Similar to other RSM method, p-value is the main consideration used in selecting the model and determining the significant variable.

Table 1. The design selection guideline [40].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of factors</th>
<th>Comparative Objective</th>
<th>Screening Objective</th>
<th>Response Surface Objective</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 factor completely randomized design</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 - 4</td>
<td>Randomized block design</td>
<td>Full/Fractional factorial</td>
<td>Central composite/Box-Behnken</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 or more</td>
<td>Randomized block design</td>
<td>Fractional factorial/Plackett-Burman</td>
<td>Screen first to reduce number of factors</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table 2. Parameter of chemical etching.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable/Parameter</th>
<th>–1</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>+1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Etching temperature, °C</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Etching duration, mins</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solution concentration</td>
<td>–1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Etching solution (categoric variable)</td>
<td>HCl</td>
<td>HBr</td>
<td>H₃PO₄</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.3. Artificial Neural Network (ANN)

ANN is an emulation of biological neural system engineering that presents different computational paradigm in which the solution to a problem is learned from a set of examples, just like the way human brain works [48]. ANN is an adaptive system, most often applied to non-linear system that learns to perform a function from the data. In ANN, the basic unit or building block of the brain is the neuron [49]. ANN consists of several layers, namely input layer, hidden layer and output layer. Training ANN includes supervised learning (self supervised), unsupervised learning (system must develop its own representation of the input stimuli) and reinforcement learning (system grades the action and adjusts its parameters). After the training phase, ANN parameters are fixed and the system is deployed to solve the problem on hand.

In ANN, multilayer perceptron (MLP) is used as supervised network with back-propagation algorithm as the trainer of the network. Input of this process are etching temperature, etching duration, etching solution and solution concentration; and, output consists of etching rate, surface roughness and etching ratio. During the training process, corresponding error parameter is found for etch of the training pattern. After determining the changed weight, each training pattern is again fed to the network to find the level of maximum error. This process is continued till the maximum error becomes less than the allowable error specified by the user. Testing process is always used to validate the training data [50]. MLP neural networks have become a popular technique for modeling manufacturing processes, in addition to many other applications. It has been theoretically proven that any continuous mapping from an m-dimensional real space to an n-dimensional real space can be approximated within any given permissible distortion by the three-layered feed-forward neural network with enough intermediate units [50-53]. Advantages of MLP are it is effective in modeling process mean and process variation simultaneously using one integrated MLP model. The MLP model with a large number of hidden neurons can produce an equivalent or smaller training error and generalization error for the back propagation with momentum (BPM) method [54].

3. Research Methodology

Materials that were investigated in this study include MGC, SiC and BN. Each substrate was cut into 10 mm × 10 mm × 10 mm dimension and cleaned with distilled water for 10 mins and dried in the oven for an hour. Necessary measurements were taken before and after the etching process. The experimental procedure was carried out in three steps: cleaning, etching and neutralization. The material surface was cleaned in the first step to ensure no contamination objects exists on the material surface which might affect surface roughness during etching. Then, the material was removed and cleaned with distilled water. Lastly, the material was baked in the oven for 60 minutes. The variables investigated in this study are etching temperature, etching duration, etching solution and solution concentration (in Table 2). With 95% level of confidence, this experimental study was conducted and analyzed by CCD. Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was provided in CCD and, p-value was used to study the significance of model. The parameters studied and the model’s lacks of fit were as indicated and assessed respectively. Predictive empirical model on this experimental model was also generated. Each of these materials has undergone fifty-four runs of experiments with four variables carried out inside the flat bottom flask equipped with a condenser coil. All experiments were randomly organized to make sure the observation was independently distributed. Figure 1 shows the set up condition of CHM of advanced ceramics.

Figure 1. Chemical etching set up.
All materials were produced by GoodFellow. Inc. MGC used contains 46% SiO₂, 16% Al₂O₃, 17% MgO, 10% K₂O, 7% B₂O₃. SiC used was reaction bonded with low porosity and very fine grain. BN exhibits a hexagonal structure and is sometimes known as white graphite, due to its lubricity and anisotropic properties, heat resistance, and high thermal conductivity. Their properties are as shown in Table 3.

Surface roughness (nm) = surfacing roughness before-surfing roughness after  
Etching ratio = (Etching rate at nonIndented area)/(Etching rate at indented area) (2)

Etching rate was measured by measuring the weight difference before and after etching and divided by etching duration. The weight of each material was taken in a close-up weight balancer (with a deviation of ±0.0001 g) and time taken with a digital watch. Surface roughness was inspected closely with Atomic Force Microscope (AFM) with a deviation of ±1.5 nm. It is measured by the changes of surface roughness before and after etching (1), where the higher positive change (or lower surface roughness after etching) was preferable. Etching ratio is the measurement of dimensional accuracy as shown in (2) and Figure 2 presents the measurement of etching ratio taken before and after etching. The measurement of the depth of the indented and non-indented area (etching ratio) was completed by using a micrometer (with a deviation of ±0.0001 m).

4. Results and Discussion

ANOVA and p-value were used to determine the adequacy of the models developed by DoE. They were employed to estimate the lack of fit. With 95% of confident interval, all experimental data were analyzed and results that had less than 0.5 p-value were considered as significant. The lower the p-value is, the more critical the respective variable. As mentioned earlier, each experimental design is going through the first order model. The decision on whether to proceed to the second order model or analyze current ANOVA data was made based on the presence of curvature in the result. Once the curvature was verified in the first order model, indicating the experimental process was quadratic and a higher level interaction between the parameters had occurred, we then proceeded to the second order model where CCD was used.

4.1. First Order Model

Table 4 shows the curvature’s p-value of ANOVA data for etching rate, surface roughness and etching ratio. The curvature’s p-value of less than 0.5 is considered significant indicating that a relationship between variables exists and therefore central points are needed to determine the behaviour of the process. Table 5 shows the ANOVA results for etching rate, surface roughness and etching...
Table 5. ANOVA for RSM-second order model.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Etching rate</th>
<th>Surface roughness</th>
<th>Etching ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MGC</td>
<td>2FI</td>
<td>2FI</td>
<td>2FI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>0.0168</td>
<td>0.0376</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>0.0299</td>
<td>0.0012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-Temperature</td>
<td>0.0192</td>
<td>0.4673</td>
<td>0.0152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-Duration</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>0.5510</td>
<td>0.2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-Solution</td>
<td>0.5914</td>
<td>0.2273</td>
<td>0.3224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-Concentration</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB</td>
<td>0.0089</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC</td>
<td>0.0127</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BC</td>
<td>0.2354</td>
<td>0.9987</td>
<td>0.216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of fit</td>
<td>0.0591</td>
<td>0.7238</td>
<td>0.3281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BN</td>
<td>2FI</td>
<td>CUBIC</td>
<td>2FI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>0.0044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model</td>
<td>0.0274</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>0.0863</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-Temperature</td>
<td>0.6767</td>
<td>0.0998</td>
<td>0.0033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-Duration</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>0.0109</td>
<td>0.0907</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-Solution</td>
<td>0.5411</td>
<td>0.2541</td>
<td>0.0112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-Concentration</td>
<td>0.0645</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB</td>
<td>0.0069</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC</td>
<td>0.0127</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BC</td>
<td>0.0192</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of fit</td>
<td>0.0591</td>
<td>0.7238</td>
<td>0.3281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiC</td>
<td>QUA</td>
<td>QUA</td>
<td>QUA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model</td>
<td>0.0052</td>
<td>0.2111</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-Temperature</td>
<td>0.5673</td>
<td>0.0051</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-Duration</td>
<td>0.0433</td>
<td>0.0397</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-Solution</td>
<td>0.2705</td>
<td>0.3203</td>
<td>0.0126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-Concentration</td>
<td>0.2705</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0618</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BC</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0071</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of fit</td>
<td>0.9913</td>
<td>0.9513</td>
<td>0.8770</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-Indicate insignificant value (p-value more than 0.5).

The etching rate, surface roughness and dimensional accuracy of MGC fitted well to the 2-factorial interaction (2FI). Table 5 shows that etching rate of MGC matches the 2FI model, while its surface roughness and etching ratio show a 98.32% and 97.24% agreement respectively with the 2FI model. The etching temperature is found to be the most important variable in CHM of MGC. Both etching temperature and etching duration both affected the etching rate of MGC while, the etching ratio was affected significantly by etching duration. However, solution concentration did not show any effect in the MGC etching process. The interaction between temperature and etching duration and that of temperature and etching solution showed significant effect on the etching rate and etching ratio. Only the interaction between etching duration and solution affected the etching rate significantly.

The BN etching rate and etching ratio matched the 2FI model with a near 100% confidence interval respectively, while, BN surface roughness matched the cubic model. Etching temperature and etching solution were the most significant parameters for etching rate and surface roughness. The etching duration affected the results of etching ratio significantly. The interaction between etching temperature and etching duration influenced the changes of etching ratio with 99.54% confidence interval. The results further showed that the interactions between etching solution and temperature with etching duration appeared to influence the surface roughness.

The etching rate, surface roughness and etching ratio of SiC matched well with the quadratic model, exhibiting near-perfect agreements respectively. Each result showed no lack of fit. For etching rate, etching temperature and etching solution were found to be significant. The interaction between etching duration and etching solution was also found to affect the etching rate. For surface roughness, etching duration, etching solution and interaction between etching temperature and etching duration have a magnitude lower than 0.5 p-value. This means that these factors significantly affect surface roughness. All factors affected etching ratio, however, etching temperature and etching solution exerted the most significance effect, followed by etching duration and solution concentration.

4.2. Etching Rate

Etching temperature was found to have the most significant influence on etching rate of all materials tested. Figure 3 shows the graph of etching rate versus etching temperature for MGC, BN and SiC. The results showed that etching rate was slower at the lower temperature whereas, the rate of etching increased with increasing...
temperature. The peak of etching rate of MGC and BN took place at the boiling point of the solution. For SiC, etching rate reached its peak point at around 60°C and decreased above this temperature. These observations agree with those found in [55]. Cai et al. found that etching rate of copper decreased after a certain temperature. At high temperatures, dissolution of solution is more active and more reaction occurs [56,57]. William et al. and Prudhomme et al. reported a similar result and they concluded that etching rate increased with dissolution of solution, especially at high temperatures. Figure 4 is an Arrhenius plot for MGC, BN and SiC in HBr solution. The Arrhenius law states that the rate of chemical reac-

![Graph of MGC etching rate vs etching temperature](a)
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Figure 3. (a) Graph of MGC etching rate vs etching temperature; (b) Graph of BN etching rate vs etching temperature; (c) Graph of SiC etching rate vs etching temperature.
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Figure 4. Arrhenius plot (a) MGC in HBr; (b) BN in HBr; and (c) SiC in HBr.
tion increases exponentially with the absolute temperature [58]. It is well-known that chemical etching processes are limited by either chemical etching reaction or the transport of etchant molecules by diffusion. Diffusion limiting processes are relatively insensitive to temperature at lower activation energies and are usually encountered at high concentration [59].

The Arrhenius law’s equation suggests that etching rate increases as the temperature increases. Activation energy, $E_a$ is the minimum amount of energy needed to activate molecules to a condition in which it is equally likely that they will undergo chemical reaction. **Table 6** listed the $E_a$ of each material in different etching solutions. A similar phenomenon has been observed and reported by Vartuli *et al.* [60] in the case of advanced ceramics wet etching, that is, that no etching was found at an etching temperature of 75°C; Tehrani and Imanian [61] proved that high temperature greatly increased the oxidizing power, which caused a rapid increase in the etching rate; Makino *et al.* [24] found that all ceramic materials’ tested etching rate was increased with increasing etching temperature but less ideal etching behaviour was also common with more aggressive etching rates [62,63].

The next significant factor is the type of etching solution. The selection of a suitable chemical solution is the key to success. To effectively etch a material, we have to make sure the material is compatible with the etching solution for chemical reaction purposes [6]. **Figure 5** Illustrates etching rate versus etching solution for MGC, BN and SiC. It shows that the etching rate of MGC and BN in HBr acid was higher compared to that of other solutions; and, the etching rate of SiC in $H_3PO_4$ acid was the highest. William *et al.* [36] summarized a list of chemical etching process for various materials, etching solutions and variables. Their results showed that the etching solution was the main priority to successfully machine the materials. Simon *et al.* [64] tested four advanced ceramics in different etchants and the materials were found to exhibit different etching properties. Three materials were well-etched in molten-salt and one was etched with the electrolytic etching method.

**Table 6.** $E_a$ value of Arrhenius plot (kJ/mol).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>HCl</th>
<th>HBr</th>
<th>$H_3PO_4$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BN</td>
<td>0.1132</td>
<td>0.6037</td>
<td>0.0013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MGC</td>
<td>0.4592</td>
<td>0.6685</td>
<td>0.1329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiC</td>
<td>0.4978</td>
<td>0.7769</td>
<td>0.1548</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 5.** (a) Graph of MGC etching rate vs etching solution; (b) Graph of BN etching rate vs etching solution; (c) Graph of SiC etching rate vs etching solution.
4.3. Surface Roughness

The quality of surface roughness after CHM is important, especially in industry. In semi-conductor manufacturing, excessive roughness destroys the integrity of very thin layers, such as gate or tunnel oxide. In optics, surface roughness causes light scattering, which can be good or bad for the product. For high quality X-ray mirrors, surface roughness is measured and must be minimized. For diffusers, controlled surface roughness is important to achieve the diffused scattering that is isotropic and achromatic. In our current study, a smoother material surface is required to produce products of high quality. The surface roughness is judged before and after CHM. So, the higher the positive changes of surface roughness, the better the material surface it is.

As reported previously [12,14,16], poor surface roughness was obtained with a prolonged etching duration (over etching) and high temperatures. In this work, etching temperature was found to significantly influence the surface roughness of MGC and BN. As shown in Figure 6, reduction of surface roughness for MGC, BN and SiC was increased with etching temperature. Reduction of surface roughness takes place mainly due to the chemical reaction at the desired surface area [69,70]. This phenomenon is similar to etching rate all materials where it increases with rising etching temperature. For MGC and BN (Figure 6(a) and Figure 6(b)), better surface roughness is obtained at a higher temperature while the best surface roughness of SiC was obtained at 80°C. After this temperature, SiC is over-etched and the surface roughness increased. Images in Figure 7 show the respective surface roughness examined by AFM at different temperatures. The surface roughness of MGC improved with temperature and its surface roughness decreased 30 nm after etching at 65°C and decreased 77.5 nm after etching at 100°C. Figure 8 shows the surface condition of BN after etching in 7.5 Morality HBr for 75 mins. The surface condition of BN improved up to 40°C. A higher temperature over-etched the BN surface and was no longer feasible and useful. The best surface roughness was obtained at 40°C. Figure 9 shows SiC etched by 6 M HBr for 180 mins. The changes of surface behavior were similar to MGC, where better surface roughness was obtained at a higher etching temperature. The best surface roughness was obtained at 65°C.

The selection of etching temperature influences the surface finish. In manufacturing, they also tend to apply the highest temperature to etch the substrate [11]. Choi et al. [65] also concluded that etching temperature significantly influenced the degree of transformation of the surface. Several researchers have found that the lower surface roughness was obtained at the beginning of the
process and increased after certain etching temperatures. Jardiel et al. found that increasing the temperature to 50°C improved etching and better surface roughness was observed [66]. Platelets show sharp boundaries instead of rounded ones observed in the thermally etched samples. Choi et al. [68] and Cakir et al. [70] noticed the trend of surface roughness changed with etching temperature. Poor surface roughness is obtained at higher etching temperatures [65,67]. They found that all the treated surfaces were rougher than that of the untreated surface [11]. As the temperature increased near to boiling point, roughness values increased to about 15 um Ry due to preferential etching of the grain boundary areas [24].

The etching process relied on the reaction between anion of etching solution with the material composition. If they are chemically matched, this process will start at the faster rate. This could be the reason why etching solution appears as the dominant factor in chemical etching of BN and SiC. Figure 10 shows a graph of surface roughness versus etching solution of MGC, BN and SiC. However, etching solution has only 44.9%-influence on the chemical etching of BN and SiC. Figures 11-13 show the AFM images of MGC, BN and SiC with different etching solutions. We have demonstrated that the type of etching solution used will have influence on the properties of the surface roughness of the materials. In a typical test, the surface roughness was accessed after etching in 65°C for 120 minutes. MGC
obtained better surface roughness in H$_3$PO$_4$ solution, than HCl followed by HBr with the least effect. However, for BN and SiC, better surface roughness was achieved with HBr solution. The nature of the material microstructure that existed might cause the different trend of surface roughness properties observed in MGC, BN and SiC [11]. With the same type of etching solution and other independent variables, the only difference between these materials is their microstructure and composition. Williams et al. summarized that the degree of roughening probably depends on the microstructure and thus varies with the method of material preparation [36]. Prudhomme et al. [17] also observed that roughness of the same material is different when treated with two different etching solutions.

In regard to the effect of etching duration the changes of surface roughness were less crucial in for MGC and

![Figure 9. AFM images of SiC in 6M HBr for 180 min (a) 19°C before etching and (b) 19°C after etching; (c) 65°C before etching and (d) 65°C after etching; (e) 100°C before etching and (f) 100°C after etching.](image)

![Figure 10. Surface roughness vs etching solution (a) MGC, (b) BN and (c) SiC.](image)
Figure 11. AFM images of MGC at 65°C for 120 min in 0 level etching solution (a) 10 M HCl, 6 M HBr and 12 M H₃PO₄.

Figure 12. AFM images of BN at 65°C for 120 min in 0 level etching solution (a) 10 M HCl, 6 M HBr and 12 M H₃PO₄.
BN as shown in Figures 14(a) and 14(b) compared to 14(c). Etching duration has a marked influence on surface roughness in chemical etching of SiC. This is shown clearly in Figure 14(c) where surface roughness dramatically increases with increasing etching duration. The changes of surface roughness were slow in the beginning probably due to material dissolution that resulted in reduction of chemical reaction. Prudhomme et al. [57] and Cakir et al. [11,67] reported similar results. Better surface roughness was found at higher etching duration. Improvement in the surface state occurred when the solute was dissolved in the etching solution at a longer etching period, which increases the energy gap for generating etch figure and reduces the damage of material’s surface. Baranova and Dorosinskii [68] found that as the duration of etching increased, insoluble reaction products started forming and eroded the surface thus causing the samples to be no longer suitable for further study. Etching time is important while longer etching period produced a constant etching process in the case of aluminium etching, because dissolution of chemical solution was not completed at the beginning of the process. After this period, the etching process was observed to be more stable [67].

4.4. Effect of Indentation

Industrial patterning involves designing and producing a desirable pattern on the material surface. Method of nano-patterning includes dry and wet mask patterning. The selection of mask for patterning in CHM is an issue due to the difficulties associated with the quality of the mask and increasing demand for multi-kind and small-quantity production in a market. In overcoming these difficulties, a new technology has been introduced to replace mask patterning by micro-indentation. This technique enhanced versatility and provided lower cost for initial facilities and manufacture, simplicity of process and material selectivity [12,13,69-71]. Typically, 5N load (P = 500 Nm⁻²) is applied on the material surface where patterning is required and then followed by etching process. By applying the load onto the desired area, it is thought to enhance the bonding of the material structure and delay the chemical reaction happens at the indented area. At the end of the process, measurement is taken at indented area and non-indented area [36]. The indented area will become convex after etching. The etching ratio comprising the relative etching rate between that of non-indented area and indented area was then compared. Patterning is successfully created when etching rate at non-indented area is higher than etching rate at indented area. The higher the etching ratio is the better the feasibility of the patterning process. One of the key points of this technology is inducing an etching rate
change arising from the indentation [13].

Patterning is successfully created when etching rate at non-indented area is higher than etching rate at indented area. A convex is built when e above phenomena takes place. One of the most significant factors is the material properties, which resulted from the production method and subsequent processing. The convex is created by applying a load onto the desired spot. By applying the load onto this area, it is enhancing the bonding of the material structure and delaying the chemical reaction happening at the indented area [36]. A higher etching ratio is preferred where higher etching rate occurred at the non-indented area and lower etching rate at the indented area.

The etching duration was found to be the most significant variable in etching ratio and Figure 15 shows a plot etching rate of indented and non-indented area for MGC, BN and SiC solution. It shows that the highest difference between indented and non-indented area occurs mostly at 200 min. This observation is consistent with the etching rate results shown in Table 4, where etching rate is increased with etching duration. The reduction of etching rate at the longer etching duration is possibly due to the insoluble deposit formed at the indented area [13]. A peak etching ratio is found in the etching of BN at 90 min (Figure 16(a)). Etching rate at non-indented area was found to be higher compared to etching rate at indented area, especially at high temperature. This also indicates that etching depth is dependent on time, where one would expect a square root of time dependence for etching depth and a much lower activation energy [72].

Cakir et al. observed that etching ratio of non-indented to indented area increased with etching process. Similar phenomena are observed in many research works [12,13, 69-71]. Nagai et al. [15] successfully conducted experiments on macro-size patterning and chemical etching, which led to the formation of well-ordered patterns of surface crystal steps. Youn and Kang [12] fabricated Pyrex glass by micro-indentation with HF etching. Saito et al. [13,69,70] proved the feasibility of micro-machining process in fabricating glass ceramic in HF etching. These research works showed that patterning on the glass ceramic surface becomes possible due to etching rate difference between indented and non-indented area. One of the key challenges of this technology is to increase and control etching rate difference between indented and non-indented area.

With less than 0.05 p-value, solution concentration is the main factor that is able to influence the etching ratio of MGC, BN and SiC. Figure 17 shows the graph of etching ratio vs solution concentration. Overall, etching ratio is decreased with etching duration. A peak etching ratio is found in the etching of BN, which is around
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Figure 15. Etching rate of indented area and non-indented area vs etching duration (HCl solution) (a) MGC; (b) BN and (c) SiC.

Figure 16. Etching ratio vs etching duration (HCl solution) (a) MGC; (b) BN and (c) SiC.

–0.50 or 6 M HBr. This implied that higher etching rate difference between non-indented and indented area could be obtained in lower solution concentration. The result suggests that, in higher solution concentration region, the
leaching reaction scarcely occurred even at non-indented area, resulting in a decrease of etching rate ratio. The etching reaction of the material and the leaching reaction are considered to be competitive reaction. When the leaching precedes the etching reaction, the etching rate would be increased. Saito et al. found etching ratio for glass ceramic was obtained at lower pH region [13,69]. They also suggested that etching ratio can be controlled by solution concentration. Saito et al. [70] also reported that not only alkali and alkali earth metal oxide, but also alumina in alumina silicate glass was leached out in HF acid.

4.5. Predictive Models

Predictive models generated by RSM are presented in (3) to (11), where \( t \) is etching duration, \( T \) is etching temperature, \( c \) is solution concentration. These are empirical models and can be applied in the industry for mass production.

For MGC, etching rate (ER)

\[
ER_{\text{H}_3\text{PO}_4} = -0.000257 - 0.00000437T + 0.0000012t + 0.00013c - 0.0000015Tc + 0.0000225c \exp(2TcTc) c \]

(3)

\[
ER_{\text{HBr}} = 0.00004 - 0.00000097T + 0.0000007t + 0.00013c - 0.0000015Tc + 0.000023c \exp(2TcTc) c \]

(4)

\[
ER_{\text{HCl}} = -0.000047 - 0.00000067T + 0.000059c - 0.0000015Tc + 0.0000225c \exp(2TcTc) c \]

(5)

For MGC, improvement of surface roughness (SR)

\[
SR_{\text{H}_3\text{PO}_4} = -69.95 - 0.8037T + 0.0956 + 11.9c - 0.00477T - 0.00417c + 0.395tc \]

(6)

\[
SR_{\text{HBr}} = 74 - 0.7597T - 0.286c + 89.8c - 0.405Tc + 0.00477T - 0.395tc \]

(7)

\[
SR_{\text{HCl}} = -5.005 - 0.3237T - 0.235T + 119.22c + 0.00477T - 0.4057c - 0.395tc \]

(8)

For MGC, etching ratio (R)

\[
R_{\text{H}_3\text{PO}_4} = -1.91 + 0.0427T + 0.023T - 1.40c - 0.000267T + 0.0237c - 0.0057tc \]

(9)

\[
R_{\text{HBr}} = -0.0042 + 0.0177T + 0.029T - 0.68c - 0.000267T + 0.0237c - 0.0057tc \]

(10)

\[
R_{\text{HCl}} = -2.16 + 0.0427T + 0.027T - 0.85c - 0.000267T + 0.0237c - 0.0057tc \]

(11)

5. Comparison between ANN and RSM

After determining ANN programme and RSM predictive model, the two techniques were then compared. This is
presented in Figure 18 (etching rate), Figure 19 (surface roughness) and Figure 20 (etching ratio). It is clear that the two groups of values are close to each other. The error percentage of RSM and ANN techniques is relatively small (0.01%) and can be neglected.

Results obtained by CCD’s predictive model clearly showed its advantages depicted in Figures 18(b), 18(c), 19(c), 20(b) and 20(c). Results of CCD predictive model in these figures are close to the experimental results. The difference of CCD predictive results and experimental results was less than 5%. Overall, both techniques used were in good agreement with the experimental result. With less than 10% error, it is revealed that CCD predictive model performs better.

Figure 18. Predictive etching rate by DOE and ANN compared to experimental result (a) MGC; (b) BN and (c) SiC.

Figure 19. Predictive improvement of surface roughness by DOE and ANN compared to experimental result (a) MGC, (b) BN and (c) SiC.
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6. Optimization

Table 7 shows the optimization for each material. As a result, HBr based etch recipe provides the fastest yet controllable results in the etching of MGC. The optimum of HBr based etch recipe happened at 100°C, with duration of 30 mins and 8.5 Molarity of HBr, where etch rate is 0.0011 g/min, surface improvement, 80.879 nm and etching ratio, 3.277.

7. Conclusions

In summary, we have successfully conducted CHM on MGC, BN and SiC. The results supported the feasibility of wet chemical micro-patterning of advanced ceramics for micro-devices applications. Direct patterning is successfully introduced to meet the increasingly vocal demand for multi-kind and small quantity production. In this leading-edge of research involving the use of micro- and nanotechnology, more flexible patterning techniques are desired. The following concluding remarks can be drawn.
1) CCD as a technique for design of experiment provides useful statistically results in analyzing the chemical etching process of advanced ceramics.

2) Use of ANN in predicting the etching results were found to be effective. These results were in good agreement with those predicted by RSM. One of the disadvantages is that more time is needed in looking for the suitable transfer function.

3) The relationships between etching rate, surface roughness and etching ratio with etching temperature, etching duration, etching solution and solution concentration were successfully established.

4) The optimum chemical etching process was carried out with the optimization technique provided by DE. The etching rate and improvement of surface quality achieved is 0.0011 g/min, 80.789 nm respectively and the etching ratio is 3.277.
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