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ABSTRACT

Auger Electron Spectroscopy (AES) and Electron Energy Loss Spectroscopy (EELS) have been performed in order to investigate the InP(100) surface subjected to ions bombardment. The InP(100) surface is always contaminated by carbon and oxygen revealed by C-KLL and O-KLL AES spectra recorded just after introduction of the sample in the UHV spectrometer chamber. The usually cleaning process of the surface is the bombardment by argon ions. However, even at low energy of ions beam (300 eV) indium clusters and phosphorus vacancies are usually formed on the surface. The aim of our study is to compare the behaviour of the surface when submitted to He\textsuperscript{+} or H\textsuperscript{+} ions bombardment. The helium ions accelerated at 500 V voltage and for 45 mn allow removing contaminants but induces damaged and no stoichiometric surface. The proton ions were accelerated at low energy of 500 eV to bombard the InP surface at room temperature. The proton ions broke the In-P chemical bonds to induce the formation of In metal islands. Such a chemical reactivity between hydrogen and phosphorus led to form chemical species such as PH and PH\textsubscript{3}, which desorbed from the surface. The chemical susceptibly and the small size of H\textsuperscript{+} advantaged their diffusion into bulk. Since the experimental methods alone were not able to give us with accuracy the disturbed depth of the target by these ions. We associate to the AES and EELS spectroscopies, the TRIM (Transport and Range of Ions in Matter) simulation method in order to show the mechanism of interaction between Ar\textsuperscript{+}, He\textsuperscript{+} or H\textsuperscript{+} ions and InP and determine the disturbed depth of the target by argon, helium or proton ions.
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1. Introduction

InP is expected to be a promising material for both high-speed electrical and optoelectronic device application, in large part due to its high mobility. The most of these applications, metal-InP Schottky structures of good quality is required [1-4]. The nature and quality of surface preparation in semiconductor technology is of the utmost importance during device fabrication and has a pronounced influence on the performance of these devices [5-8].

Many works have been done concerning the study of InP compound in order to understand the origin of the instabilities of components so elaborated from this compound. The most important results so found are the instability of InP against all physical treatment such as the sputter etching by Ar\textsuperscript{+} ion bombardment or heating in UHV [9-12].

The aim of our study is to compare the behaviour of the surface when subjected to He\textsuperscript{+} or H\textsuperscript{+} ions comparatively to the Ar\textsuperscript{+} ions bombardment, which is usually used to clean surfaces. In this interest, we use the spectroscopy methods such as the Auger Electron Spectroscopy (AES) and the Electron Energy Loss Spectroscopy (EELS). So, we present some results about the effect of H\textsuperscript{+} and He\textsuperscript{+} ions bombardment on the InP(100) surface. However, because it is difficult to determine the affected depth of the surface by ions, we combine these analysis techniques with the simulation method TRIM (Transport and Range of Ions in Matter) to determine the affected depth of the InP surface as a function of the ions energy.
2. Experimental

The Auger electron spectra (AES) and electron energy loss (EELS) were performed by using an hemispherical spectrometer. For the best compromise between the transmission and the resolution of the apparatus [13], we use constant pass energy of 80 eV between the deflectors of the analyzer operating in direct mode N (E). The InP(100) surface was characterized by AES (electron beam of 3 KeV, with a low current density $J_p = 10^{-3}$ A·cm$^{-2}$). The incident electron beam was focussed onto an area of 1 mm of diameter. These routine parameters were choose in order to reduce the effect of the electron beam on the material surface and may be changed when needed. An ion pump associated with a cooled titanium sublimator assured a bass pressure of $10^{-9}$ torr.

Before loading in the UHV chamber, the InP(100) samples were chemically cleaned with successively pure H$_2$SO$_4$ acid, 3% Br$_2$ solution in CH$_3$OH and finally rinsed in deionised water and methanol bath [14].

3. Results and Discussion

The remaining contamination layer on the sample was mainly composed of carbon and oxygen as revealed by the first recorded AES spectra (C-KLL and O-KLL) [15-17]. The sample was sputter-cleaned, as usual, by a normal incidence Ar$^+$ ion beam at low energy of 500 eV with a current density of about $2 \times 10^{-6}$ A·cm$^{-2}$ to remove these contaminants. The argon pressure was in the range of $10^{-5}$ torr. Consequently, a system labelled (In; InP) results from such cleaning, where In is the metallic indium as clusters distributed on the InP surface. Furthermore, the argon ion bombardment of InP surface induces a superficial roughness which affects the EELS spectra as reported by other authors [18-20].

3.1. Action of He$^+$ Ions Bombardment on InP(100)

Can we avoid the degradation of the surface by replacing the argon ions by helium ions of the same chemical inertness but small sizes? Just after introduction of the sample in the UHV chamber of the spectrometer, we have recorded the AES spectrum of the contaminated surface as shown in Figure 1(a).

The contaminants are mainly carbon and oxygen. In order to remove these contaminants, we have submitted the InP surface to He$^+$ ions bombardment for 15 mn at 500 V accelerated voltage. Figure 1(b) shows the cleaned surface of InP(100).

But this cleaning effect is also accompanied by the formation of indium metal as shown in fine structure of AES spectra of indium In-MNN$_{45}$ recorded in Figure 2.

The Auger spectra shape varies as a function of bombardment time of the surface. Indeed, there develops a peak related to the chemical bond of metallic In. This
metallic indium is resulted from the broken of chemical bonds (In-P) and distributed on the surface as clusters.

This result is confirmed by the EELS spectra shown in the Figure 3. These spectra are recorded by varying the primary energy $E_p$. We show in this figure the appearance of characteristic peaks of metallic indium. The energy loss peaks related to surface and bulk plasmons of In metal locate clearly at 8.6 eV and 11.6 eV. These peaks are more pronounced in the spectrum b) because of low primary energy comparatively to the a) one. The low primary energy concerns the first layers of the surface.

3.2. Action of H$^+$ Bombardment on InP(100)

We bombard the surface of InP(100) by proton ions accelerated by a voltage of 500 V. Preferential etching of phosphorus and the formation of indium metal is observed. There is desorption of chemical species as demonstrated by A. Porte and al. [21-22] with a mass spectrometer. These observations also coincides with those of F. Proix and al. [23] who studied the interaction of atomic and ionized hydrogen with cleaved surfaces of InP(110).

Figure 4 shows the In-M$_{\text{45N45N45}}$ AES spectra of InP when bombarded by H$^+$ ions. The spectrum b) involves the formation of a characteristic peak of metallic indium.

The recorded EELS spectra at the same experimental conditions of the Figure 5 confirm this result. Indeed, the formation of the peaks located at 8.6 eV and 11.6 eV related respectively to surface and bulk plasmons of metallic indium appears clearly on the spectrum b) of the

Figure 3. Structure of final state of EELS spectra of InP bombarded by He$^-$ ions for different primary energy $E_p$: a) $E_p = 750$ eV and b) $E_p = 500$ eV.

Figure 4. Evolution of In-MNN Auger spectra of InP: a) After introduction of the sample in the UHV chamber, b) After H$^+$ ions bombardment and c) pure metallic In sample.

Figure 5. The high reactivity between the chemical elements hydrogen and phosphorus led to a rupture of chemical bonds (In-P) and formation of PH and PH$_3$ species, which desorbed from the surface.

3.3. Simulation Method TRIM

The TRIM simulation method is based on the interaction process between the Ar$^+$, He$^+$ and H$^+$ ions and the matter. The ions bombardment is achieved according to the normal incidence with the surface. The effect of ions induces a displacement of atoms of the target with the possibility to form vacancies on irradiated area. Such a process is susceptible to lead to a new arrangement of atoms occurring in the material matrix. We interest to study the interaction mechanism between ions and the InP target. However, our aim is to know also the depth affected by ions. The recorded TRIM spectra are constituted of two main peaks. Disturbed depths, as indicated
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by the position of the second peak vary as a function of ions energy. The interaction process between the ions and the target depends on the chemical nature of target, its physical structure and even on the nature and energy of ions. The interaction process occurs first with the outmost layers of the target. The importance of damage due to ions on the target is related to the location of the TRIM peaks. However, the interaction phenomenon ions-matter is complex. It depends on the cross-section as was reported by other authors [24,25] and on other physical parameters. In Figure 6, we give the variation of the depth at which the TRIM second peak appeared as a function of the ions energy.

The curves reflect the effect of the ions irradiation on the bulk of the material. Its linear variation is a good means for indicating the homogeneity of the physical and chemical structure of clean bulk InP compound. We show on the Figure 6 that the H+ is penetrated deeply that other ions. This might be explained by its small size comparatively to He+ and Ar+ ones. On the other hand, the action of ions depends on the physical properties related to atomic displacement and relaxation phenomenon of the target. This lead to a mean depth of ions calculated as a function of ions energy as shown on Figure 7.

4. Conclusions

Owing to the analysis techniques such as AES and EELS, we show that the substitute of Ar+ ions by a small tall ions like He+ or H+ to clean the InP(100) surface is not

Figure 5. EELS spectra recorded at 1000 eV of InP: a) After introduction of the sample in the UHV chamber, b) After H+ ions bombardment and c) pure metallic In sample.

Figure 6. Variation of the depth at which appears the maxima of the TRIM second peak as a function of H+; He+ and Ar+ ions energy.
advantageous. Indeed, the use of He⁺ and H⁺ ions allow
to remove carbon and oxygen contaminants but induces
damaged and no stoichiometric surface. There appears an
excess of metallic indium distributed on the top of the
surface with desorption of phosphorus.

The association of the simulation method TRIM to
EELS and AES reveals the different interactions in bulk
between the ions and the InP target. The H⁺ ions interac-
tion process affects a higher mean depth than He⁺ and
Ar⁺ ones. However, the damaged depth caused on the
target InP by the Ar⁺ ions is more important than H⁺ and
He⁺ ones. The combination of AES, EELS and TRIM
constitutes a good tool to study the compositional aspect
of disturbed depths by the Ar⁺, He⁺ and H⁺ ions irradiat-
ing the InP compound.
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