Abscess Formation as a Complication of Injectable Fillers
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Abstract

Importance: Dermal filler use in aesthetic clinics, are now widespread and although complications are rare, the formation of granulomas or abscesses and subsequent defects can be devastating to the patient. Design: Retrospective chart review of 4 cases over the period of 10 years, from 2002-2012 were examined from The Nasal and Facial Plastic Cosmetic Surgery Institute. Results: Four female patients experienced delayed onset reactions (> 2 weeks) with sterile abscess formation and eventual resolution with serial drainage and macrolide antibiotics were observed over a prolonged period until resolution occurred. Only 1 case identified an organism (streptococci) on culture after 8 months, however, the initial culture still showed only sterile abscess. All 4 had a history of previous injectable fillers, 2 patients had evidence of pre-existing autoimmune disorders. Conclusions and Relevance: Since the treatment of all of these patients, there is new evidence that infections may present as delayed onset sterile abscesses due to biofilm formation. Fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH) test has shown to be as specific in identifying responsible organisms in biofilm infections as simple culture but is more sensitive; thus preventing misdiagnosis of sterile abscess. Counter intuitively steroid injection may promote abscesses, while hyaluronidase may be useful.
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1. Introduction

Over a period of 28 years using injectable fillers, at the “The Nasal and Facial Plastic Cosmetic Surgery Institute”, it was noted that despite the majority of patients achieving good to excellent results, during the last 10
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years, 4 patients underwent a long and protracted course of abscess formation which was difficult to treat. Of note many patients had received other injectable fillers prior to attending the clinic including Hyaluronic acid, Polyacrylamide and others. This case study therefore sought to obtain any pattern in these cases which may flag up at risk individuals and also to review current literature to identify optimal management of such complications when they occur.

2. Patients and Methods

Retrospective chart review of all cases performed from 2002-2012 (total of 1559) flagged four cases (0.3%) affected by abscess formation. These charts were reviewed for analysis, with regard to predisposing medical conditions, filler history, treatment given and response.

Case 1: A 52-year-old female was injected on February 2011 with Hyaluronic acid gel filler to the lower lip. An inflammatory reaction ensued. After 5 days hyaluronidase enzyme was injected to break down the filler. After 7 days a lower lip abscess had formed and was incised and drained. Over the next 6 months, incision and drainage of the same perioral site was required a further four times, as well as the use of the antibiotic clindamycin. Initial cultures were sterile but 8 months later, streptococci were cultured although the abscess had nearly settled. There was no recurrence at 12 month review. Of note this patient was taking long term low dose steroid orally for Systemic Lupus Erythematosis and had previous fillers injected in the perioral area, with Hyaluronic acid in 2008 and Polyacrylamide in 2009 and 2010. (Patient did not consent to the use of pictures. See Figure 1 for artist rendition).

Case 2: A 56-year-old female was injected in 2009 with Hyaluronic acid gel to the nasolabial fold. Shortly afterwards the nasolabial fold became inflamed requiring the antibiotic Clindamycin. The next month an abscess had formed at the left angle of the mouth and required incision and drainage with injection of steroid and intravenous Clindamycin for 7 days. All cultures were sterile. No further recurrence was noted. Of note the patient was a smoker with pre-existing contact and solar dermatitis and was injected with Artecoll (Polymethyl methacrylate suspended in collagen) to the nasolabial fold, corner of the mouth and lateral mental groove in September 2002. 4 years later the same substance was injected in the same area without event (see Figure 2).

Case 3: A 39 year old female was injected with Hyaluronic acid gel to the upper and lower lips in January 2011. One month later she developed upper and lower lip abscesses, which were incised and drained. Two months
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Figure 1. Hyaluronic acid gel injection to lower lip. (A) Pre injection; (B) Abscess formation; (C) Resolution (post multiple Incision & Drainages and antibiotics).
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Figure 2. Hyaluronic acid gel injection to nasolabial fold. (A) Abscess formation; (B) Resolution of abscess following IV Clindamycin and Incision & Drainage; (C) Re-injection after 4 years.
later these abscesses recurred and were again incised and drained, with no further treatment required. All blood
tests were normal and all cultures were sterile. She had a history of scleroderma and Reynaud’s phenomenon,
later confirmed as part of the CREST syndrome (Calcinosis, Reynauds, Esophageal dysmotility, Sclerodactyly
and Telangectasia). She also had prior injection with polyacrylamide gel in 2009 to the nasolabial folds (See
Figure 3).

**Case 4:** A 58-year-old female injected with Hyaluronic acid gel to the pre-jowl sulcus and nasolabial fold in
April 2009. After 8 months, abscesses formed in the same two areas. Pus aspirated was sterile. The areas drained
pus intermittently over the next month. The areas were injected with steroid three times over the next 6 months.
Four months later the inflammation had settled and therefore the patient underwent geometric broken line scar
revision to the healed areas. The scars were then resurfaced with CO2 LASER 6 weeks later. CT scanning
showed a ruptured cyst wall which was removed using a separate incision, well camouflaged under the rim of
the mandible. A week later the depression resulting from the subcutaneous necrosis was reconstructed with a
Gortex implant and a further Gortex implant added 9 months later with subsequent further CO2 LASER resur-
facing of the scars. The patient had no medical history of note other than injection of Artecoll to the nasolabial
fold in December 2002 (See Figure 4).

3. Discussion

This series brings up three main problems in the treatment and prediction of abscess formation as a complication
of dermal fillers:-

The first is identification of the causative pathogen, within the so called sterile abscess.

The second is, the appropriate treatment of delayed onset abscess formation.

The final issue is that of risk identification within the population of patients receiving dermal filler injections.

The first dilemma is that biofilms which appear to be present in these filler abscesses seem to demonstrate a
dormant state and this may allow the bacteria to temporarily cease replication in foreign environments such as
culture media [1]. This may be potentially addressed by PNA FISH test, which has been used to identify bacteria
in biofilms as the need for replication in culture media is bypassed. PNA FISH test stands for Peptide Nucleic
Acid Fluorescent In Situ Hybridization, which is a cytogenetic technique. It is more commonly used to identify
 genetic anomalies in syndromes and cancers, it can also be used to identify pathogenic organisms. This is done
by introducing fluorescent probes that bind to DNA or RNA sequences. Artificial chromosome sequences of
about 1000 oligonucleotide pairs are introduced to multiplying clonal populations of bacteria and are then stored
in laboratories to allow probe manufacture. These probes undergo hybridization where they are tagged with a

![Figure 3. Hyaluronic acid gel injection to upper and lower lips. (A) Abscess formation; (B) Following incision & drainage; (C) 1 year follow-up.](image)

![Figure 4. Hyaluronic acid gel injection to pre-jowl sulcus. (A) Abscess formation; (B) Following incision & drainage and subsequent steroid injections; (C) Following scar revision and CO2 laser resurfacing; (D) Following 2 Gortex implants and CO2 laser resurfacing.](image)
Delayed onset abscess formation is most likely due to infection associated with the formation of a biofilm. Cult-

4. Conclusion

Delayed onset abscess formation is most likely due to infection associated with the formation of a biofilm. Cul-
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ture is best carried out by PNA FISH test. We postulate that the use of hyaluronidase prior to obtaining samples for culture by any method may increase the sensitivity of the said test. The treatment algorithm described, by Dayan et al. appears to be helpful once this complication has occurred. Finally previous use of polyacrylamide gel (in the same anatomical site) or pre-existing inflammatory disorders are relative contraindications to the use of Hyaluronic acid fillers. In the future, there may be a role for analysis of the exopolysaccharide matrix to determine the presence of a biofilm and possibly identify the offending organism within it.

Conflict of Interests
None declared.

References


