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Abstract

This paper aims to analyze participation by Spanish industrial firms in the marked process of international production modularity or fragmentation that is taking place on a global scale. It studies whether firms use offshoring (that is, transfer activities abroad), what type of activities are offshored, the type of offshoring used, the main target countries, the reasons for offshoring and the benefits it brings. Qualitative research into four Spanish business groups shows that they all use offshoring, mostly outsourcing manufacturing to international suppliers. When choosing offshore location, these groups aim to achieve not only cost savings but also advantages from the agglomeration of the agents with which they need to interact, as well as access to new markets and relevant resources (infrastructure, auxiliary industry, production capacity, technology and know-how).
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1. Introduction

Globalization, coupled with the current dynamic nature of markets, fast technological change—especially in communications—and increasing competition from new international players—particularly emerging countries with lower income and wage levels, is placing firms under great pressure, leading them to adopt changes in the organization and location of their value chains.

On the one hand, globalization provides firms with opportunities to target a larger number of markets and suppliers of raw materials and components [1]. On the other hand, constant technological change is breaking down the barriers associated with physical, geographic and/or cultural distance, and even with time differences [2]. As a result, businesses can adopt a modular approach, breaking up their value chains, specializing in their core activities and transferring the rest to foreign countries which now have more open markets in addition to unique resources and/or capabilities. In this way, firms are able to take up opportunities for cultural, administrative, geographic and economic arbitrage, making the most of differences between countries and searching for economies through international specialization [3].

The combination of modularity and offshoring, that is, of fragmentation and the use of resources outside the firm’s home country, is creating a worldwide panorama of value chains that are broken up into separate, specialized activities—innovation, component design, industrial design, logistics, manufacturing, sales and after-sales service, amongst others—which are carried out by different firms in different parts of the world, giving rise to international production networks.

The purpose of this research is to analyze whether Spanish industrial firms are participating in this process of international production fragmentation by modifying the organization and location of some of the activities in their value chains. More specifically, the aim of the study is to identify which activities are being outsourced to other firms in foreign countries and which ones are being carried out internally but relocated to different countries. The study also focuses on the reasons why such decisions are reached, the benefits sought and the risks taken.

The remainder of the paper is structured as follows. Section 2 describes what is understood by offshoring. Section 3 describes the methodology used for the empirical research. This is based on case study and can therefore be categorized as qualitative. Section 4 presents the analysis of four large Spanish business groups and discusses the main findings. Finally, section 5 concludes.

*The authors would like to thank Spanish Ministerio de Ciencia y Tecnología (MICINN-09-ECO2009-08485) for its financial support.
2. International Modularity and Its Consequences: Offshoring

The option of combining fragmentation (modularity) of the production system with economic internationalization leads many firms to take key decisions on the organization and location of the activities in their value chain. With regard to organization, firms have to decide which activities will continue to be carried out internally within the firm and which to outsource to other independent national or international companies. And with regard to location, they have to decide whether those activities carried out internally are to be located in the firm’s home country or offshore.

Offshoring includes both international outsourcing and offshore location choices. That is, offshoring is the transfer of activities to foreign countries, either to subsidiaries so that the firm gives up neither ownership nor control (offshore location or captive offshoring), or to independent firms (international outsourcing or offshore outsourcing) (see Table 1). This definition of offshoring is in line with that used by authors such as [4] and [5].

The choice of offshore outsourcing or captive offshoring1 will depend on the relative advantages firms consider they will obtain from carrying out activities internally rather than having them done by outside suppliers, as well as on the advantages of transferring them in both cases to a foreign country. In offshore outsourcing, firms acknowledge the advantages of outsourcing activities, that is, to focus on their core competencies and to resort to the greater capabilities of specialized suppliers. The resulting benefits of cost, quality and organizational learning make up for the transaction costs involved. However, in captive offshoring, the advantages of outsourcing seem limited. When the transaction costs (mainly opportunism), the possibility of losing management control and the risks associated with knowledge spillovers towards outside suppliers are too high, then firms choose to internalize activities while reaping the benefits obtained from the superior resources to be found abroad. In such cases, the advantages of setting up subsidiaries in foreign locations make up for the location-specific risk factors [2].

In addition, there may be other reasons why firms decide to transfer activities to other countries and outsource them to independent suppliers. These may be, among others, volatile demand, fierce competition from new global agents or institutional pressure and increasing costs. By outsourcing firms are able to: transfer the burden of capital investment and the risks of overproduction to third parties; save on labor costs by freeing themselves of labor-intensive processes; utilize crucial resources on key tasks; use the superior, specialized capabilities of other firms; increase their organizational learning by sharing information and resources with other firms; they can make up for shortfalls in in-house resources; access unique resources, gain flexibility and improve production efficiency. When resources are neither limited nor difficult to imitate and/or substitute, firms can achieve great advantages in cost, time and quality by obtaining them externally [7].

As stated above, the final decision on whether to outsource or not will depend on whether the advantages are greater than the costs and risks involved in having to establish transactions with other firms. It should be noted that, in many of the countries to which firms are outsourcing their activities, legal systems are beginning to fall into line with western standards and provide a considerable degree of protection. This means that costs to ensure confidentiality are becoming comparable to those of industrialized countries. Therefore, the costs of information and coordination are decreasing [8], making such countries much more attractive. So the reduction in transaction costs can be said to be facilitating trade across borders.

On the other hand, there may be a number of reasons for deciding to transfer activities abroad but establishing owned units (captive offshoring). Many firms transfer their production to other countries because labor both technical and services is cheaper. Since labor costs often represent a large proportion of a firm’s operating costs, this can be a significant way of achieving cost savings. Other firms may need the sort of skilled professionals that are not available in their home countries2 [9]. Even though cost reduction is an important incentive, it is not the only reason for captive offshoring. Another reason to choose an offshore location may well be the need to sell in the country of destination, either because it is a new market in which customers can now afford to buy or because

Table 1. Organization and location of activities in the value chain.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Who carries out the activity</th>
<th>Within the firm’s home country</th>
<th>Abroad</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The firm</td>
<td>In-house development</td>
<td>Captive offshoring (Offshore location)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An outside supplier</td>
<td>Domestic outsourcing</td>
<td>Offshore outsourcing (International outsourcing)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| ME |

---

1Some authors, such as [6], consider an intermediate hybrid possibility: going abroad through a joint venture. However, this study focuses only on the extreme options-captive offshoring or offshore outsourcing.

2Moreover, in these cases, the relative advantages of human capital stem not only from their cost or their greater skills, but also from time. Having personnel in different locations in different time zones allows a continuous workflow, which substantially increases total productivity and speeds work up [2].
cause a large number of potential customers will start to consume once jobs are available, providing them with regular wages and opportunities [10]. Therefore, potential offshore locations should not be seen only as interesting sources of suppliers, but also as sources of future customers. If a privileged market position can be achieved, this may turn into a competitive advantage for the firm [6]. In addition, if the firm operates in the country in which it hopes to sell its products, proximity to the market will facilitate awareness of customers’ needs, tastes, preferences and local trends, so the firm will be able to keep ahead of the market.

The type of product to be sold may also be a reason for captive offshoring [10]. Localizing production close to markets is especially relevant in the following cases: products that have to be manufactured complying with national specifications, products that have to be tendered, perishable or fragile products that might be damaged during transport to their final destination or market, and heavy or bulky products.

Following market trends and imitating others may also be a reason for captive offshoring. A firm may decide to invest abroad because it has seen other firms do so [11]. But the usual reason is to gain access to resources that are not available in the firm’s home country. In fact, some studies have shown that internationalization may be based on the search for new assets (asset-seeking internationalization) [12-14]. A shortage of land, capital, labor, capabilities, know-how or any other resource may make a transfer to another country inevitable. Captive offshoring may allow firms to enter countries by directly acquiring unique emerging resources, then retaining direct control over them. First-movers can develop this potential and may end up gaining a competitive advantage.

3. Research Methodology

As stated above, the main purpose of this research is to analyze and draw some relevant conclusions on participation by Spanish industrial firms in international production modularity or fragmentation through offshoring. The analysis focuses on the following questions:

- Of all the activities involved in the firm’s value chain, which ones have been outsourced to foreign suppliers and which ones have been transferred to subsidiaries abroad?
- What are the main reasons why firms make these decisions?
- What benefits has the firm achieved by transferring activities abroad? What risks have been taken?

Given these objectives, case study was adopted as the research methodology as this allows a phenomenon to be studied within its context, using multiple sources of information and analyzing a large number of variables³.

The research covered four Spanish business groups that are active internationally and are known to have transferred some of their activities to foreign countries.

Field work was carried out between March and July 2009. Semi-structured interviews were held with owners, managers and employees at the facilities which each group has in its respective region of origin (two in Spanish region A and two in Spanish region B), requesting information on the whole group. Since the use of a research protocol helps increase both the reliability and the validity of the data obtained from the study [17], a semi-structured questionnaire was drawn up as a guide, though the interviews were always open. The multiple-responder formula was also adopted because in most cases a single person does not have all the knowledge necessary on the subject under study and cannot provide all the data required for the research. Moreover, this eliminates the potential problem that answers from a single respondent may be subjective or subject to personal biases. All the researchers participated in each of the interviews.

When compiling data for a case study, triangulation is important, that is, the use and combination of different sources of information to study a single phenomenon. Such sources may include interviews, questionnaires, direct observation, analysis of documents or research into archives. The use of many sources of data helps increase the reliability of results, because it allows the researcher to carry out more thorough analyses, covering a broader range of factors [16], and basing conclusions on many sources of evidence [18]. In this study, triangulation has been achieved by complementing the information obtained in interviews with information obtained from the press and from the corporate websites. Additionally, once the report about the experience of each firm had been written (with the participation of all the researchers), the document was sent to the interviewees so that they could evaluate to what extent it was accurate and tallied with the conversations held during visits and, where appropriate, make corrections. The final information obtained is presented below.

4. Results and Discussion

This section presents the main results of the empirical research. Firstly, the four cases under analysis are showed. Thus, the organization of each firm’s value chain is described, stressing the activities transferred abroad -both by outsourcing to third parties or by setting

³This methodology is appropriate in the early stages of research [15], when the aim is to study unusual phenomena for which there is no consolidated theoretical basis or when causal explanations are sought [16].
up a subsidiary- and the reasons, benefits and risks involved. Secondly, a comparative analysis of the four cases studied is presented.

4.1. Main Results of the Case Study

4.1.1. Alfa

Alfa is a family-run group that competes mainly in three sectors: open-air toys, sports products and food products. Alfa exports its products to more than 70 countries.

The activities involved in the group’s value chain are: R&D + i/design, logistics (purchasing, transportation and stocks management), manufacturing, quality control, administrative processes (administration, HR, cash…), marketing and after-sales services.

Many of these activities are carried out in-house, some in Alfa’s home region (region A) and some others in Hong Kong. More specifically, the purchasing of materials and coordination of some of its sales and transport logistics have been transferred to Hong Kong. For this purpose, Alfa has set up a commercial subsidiary from which it can sell its products in markets in Asia, America and Europe.

In addition to these in-house activities, Alfa also outsources some activities to independent suppliers, namely, HR administration and most of its manufacturing. HR management and administration is outsourced to an independent firm in region A (domestic outsourcing). Production, except for 16% carried out in-house, is outsourced to a Taiwanese and several Chinese manufacturers (international outsourcing).

Of all the outsourced production, 65% comes from a Chinese manufacturer which, though not owned by Alfa, was set up to operate in the same way as Alfa and to supply it exclusively. For the rest of the outsourced production, Alfa has a portfolio of about 30 suppliers that were selected because they had the equipment, know-how and other resources that the exclusive supplier or other potential suppliers did not have. For example, it works with a firm in Shanghai which is one of the world best suppliers of high technology in traction.

All the suppliers, which have to comply with a strict protocol drawn up by Alfa, carry out only the physical manufacturing, because all design and development (R&D + i) is still carried out in-house by Alfa in its home region. In fact, this function is so important that it has recently become an independent firm within the group.

In spite of this high level of outsourcing, Alfa still carries out approximately 16% of its production within its plant in region A. This is due to the fact that Alfa considers there are certain high-technology products that cannot be outsourced to other firms, either because such firms do not have the necessary capability to manufacture them or because of the high risk of dissipation and loss of know-how. So, essentially, Alfa takes labor to China but has left the firm’s “brain” (what really adds value to its products) in its home facilities.

Alfa had various reasons for choosing China as its offshore destination:
- The country was not unknown to the firm, as its chairman had had contacts in China since 1985.
- China has the required skilled labor that, in addition, is productive and cheaper.
- China has the necessary infrastructure.
- The Chinese government guarantees protection for foreign investments.
- Many of the firm’s competitors were already in China before Alfa established there.
- Hong Kong is the business hub in the toy sector, and many of Alfa’s most important customers are there.

Alfa considers that it made the right decision in choosing China. In spite of the cultural differences and the difficulties they entail, Alfa has a close relationship with all its Chinese suppliers, and shares information, resources and values with them. In addition to the management team and the Office Manager in Hong Kong, Alfa has four people acting as links with all suppliers. They all speak Chinese and have received specific training for this job.

The main advantages for Alfa of outsourcing product manufacturing to China are: a) cost savings, b) organizational learning, c) larger sales volume and market share, and d) improved profitability.

Alfa considers that the main risks of offshore outsourcing to China are: lower quality, longer lead times, loss of intellectual property, lack of skills in maintaining good relations with suppliers, and the potential for suppliers to turn into future competitors.

Alfa has been able to prevent such risks from turning into real problems, mainly because of its careful selection of suppliers, which have to follow the Alfa philosophy, and also because of its strategy of patenting all its innovations. Perhaps the only real risk is that of longer lead times, but this is offset by all the other advantages.

Alfa plans to continue offshoring activities in China.6

---

6For reasons of confidentiality and at the request of the firms studied, fictitious names are used.
In fact, it is considering creating a buffer warehouse in Hong Kong, similar to the one it has in region A. The aim is to have two strategically-located buffer warehouses in the world one for medium and large customers buying from Europe, America, the Middle East and Africa, and another for medium and large customers buying for the whole world but from Hong Kong. This new project will allow the firm to offer a good service to its customers, while improving the positioning of its products internationally. Moreover, with this investment, Alfa will be setting up a new logistics management business for all the firms that do not have the resources, capability or know-how to manage their own logistics. The firm is also considering the possibility of entering Africa by setting up a facility in Tunisia.

The Alfa group is, therefore, a neat example of a firm that has resorted to offshoring, specifically in China. It uses the two types of transfer modes being analyzed, both offshore outsourcing (mainly manufacturing) and captive offshoring (the purchase of materials and coordination of some sales and transport logistics).

4.1.2. Beta

The Beta group is a business holding that manufactures, provides advisory services and distributes products and services in the electricity and electronics sectors and controls industrial and domestic facilities.

All the administrative processes required in its value chain tax management, accounting, HR, etc. are carried out in-house but in a decentralized fashion, that is, in each of the group’s facilities. The same happens for distribution and sales, carried out internally at each of Beta’s distribution centers located in Spain, Portugal, Poland, Mexico and China.

R&D+i is also carried out in-house, though it is centralized for the whole group. The firm has its own technology center located in its home region (region A), which carries out basic research, industrial research and experimental and industrial development. Beta has maintained this activity in its home region because it runs smoothly as a result of cooperation with the university, with various technology centers and with the local government.

Manufacturing is partly carried out by Beta in-house, in its Spanish and its Chinese plants, and partly outsourced to manufacturers in China. More specifically, in Spain it produces the mechanical parts of the electrical equipment because in Spain it has the necessary know-how and technological and intellectual capital, so there would be no point in transferring it elsewhere. Beta manufactures all the electronics components in China. This type of production was previously entirely outsourced to third parties, but it is now done in-house. For this purpose, Beta has a plant in Haian (Jiangsu) and another in Zhen-Zhen. The Haian plant carries out production employing local labor. The Zhen-Zhen plant carries out the final technological development of products, prior to production and based on prototypes sent from Spain, taking into account the need to adapt these products to the conditions in the countries in which they are to be sold. This plant also carries out quality control on all products manufactured in China.

Initially, Beta mainly outsourced because electronics manufacturing know-how was mostly in the hands of Chinese manufacturers but, over the years, the firm has gained greater know-how and experience and now considers that, by maintaining tighter control over costs, labor and overheads, it can be more competitive by manufacturing its products itself rather than by outsourcing. In fact, the only production that Beta currently outsources is the manufacture of highly innovative end products. When Beta detects products with potential, for which it does not yet have the necessary know-how or technological resources, it starts out by outsourcing production to Chinese manufacturers in order to benefit from their higher capability and, meanwhile, learns with the aim of manufacturing the products itself.

Beta chose China for the following reasons:

- Access to the electronics manufacturing know-how that is available in China, the location of the world’s best centers for this type of technology.
- The wish to sell in China, which is not only the world’s largest market but it also has very well-developed infrastructure, facilitating distribution. The group considers that, in order to have a trade presence in China, it is necessary to manufacture there.
- Cost saving. Production costs in China are much lower than in Spain. In electronics components, labor represents a very large proportion of the final cost (these are very labor-intensive processes) and labor is cheaper in China. But, in the case of Beta, it should be stressed that this was not the main reason because, as stated above, the firm has established in China to sell there, not to bring cheaper products to Spain.

Beta sees China as a land of opportunity, not only for technology and industry, but also for sales. By transferring activities to China, the firm can obtain the following benefits: access to new markets, greater knowledge of local customers, cost savings, and enhanced learning possibilities.

For the future, the Beta group is also considering setting up activities in Mexico. The firm believes that this country would be optimal for replicating their Chinese business model, given that operations and costs would be similar. Mexico would also be a good platform for entering other markets.
The Beta group, therefore, is another example of a firm that offshore some of its value chain activities. The chosen destination country is China, and Beta uses the two types of transfer modes analyzed. On the one hand, Beta started out in China with its own subsidiaries and, on the other hand, the group outsources activities to a notable number of local suppliers there.

4.1.3. Gamma
Gamma is a footwear and accessories firm in Spanish region B. In its facilities in Spain, it carries out all R&D, engineering and design, the production of prototypes (the first shoe), 10% of final manufacturing, most of its logistics, administrative processes and sales, and after-sales services.

However, some of Gamma’s activities are not carried out in-house, namely those relating to manufacturing, which is almost totally outsourced (90%). Currently Gamma outsources the production of shoes to independent firms in Spain, China and India. The firm is also carrying out tests in Bangladesh and Morocco.

Offshore outsourcing originally was a strategy for the firm, but has now become a necessity. For reasons of cost, it would no longer be feasible to carry out all manufacturing in Spain but, though production cost is one of the basic reasons why the firm uses foreign suppliers, it is not the only one. Gamma now also internationally outsources an increasing proportion of its production for reasons of production capacity which, in China for example, is enormous. In fact, shoe production can only be outsourced to China when it involves a huge sales volume, that is, large-scale production. If not, Chinese manufacturers are not interested. India is different, however, and there it is possible to achieve very good prices even for small batches.

Auxiliary firms are extremely important in the footwear industry. In Spain, they have gradually disappeared as the industry has developed. Gamma has therefore sought countries where this necessary auxiliary industry is still available. The firm has also sought out technical capability of the sort that can meet Gamma’s quality and service requirements. Even though production is outsourced, quality is strictly controlled by Gamma in order to guarantee product uniformity. This control is carried out by the firm’s own specialists who check that suppliers meet the firm’s stipulations regarding materials, processes, etc.

Other important considerations in offshore outsourcing are transport costs and times, as well as production times. Seasonal products (those for which orders are placed months in advance) can be outsourced in distant countries. However, fashion or “replacement” products (which need to be available in just a few days) require proximity.

In all cases, Gamma’s relations with its suppliers are based on long-term collaboration agreements and on a protocol for action which has resulted from the firm’s learning process over the years. Communication is carried out by an international quality manager who deals with all foreign relations and acts as mediator. If problems arise with a supplier, the firm can resort to a group of alternative suppliers that it has in each country.

The main benefits obtained by Gamma from offshore outsourcing its manufacturing are: cost reduction, less capital investment, greater flexibility in line with fluctuating demand and organizational learning relating to management of the whole chain of suppliers. Offshore outsourcing has also led to increased profitability and productivity. However, the group does not obtain advantages in quality (it is the same to outsource internationally as to produce in-house in Spain), or in time (lead times are maintained if outsourcing is in Spain, but increase in offshore production). Nor does the firm achieve greater innovation or faster development. The risks perceived by Gamma from offshore outsourcing are basically increased lead times and the loss of intellectual property.

Gamma is, therefore, another example of a firm that resorts to offshoring though, in this case, only to offshore outsourcing, not captive offshore. As stated above, it outsources internationally those activities in which economies of scale are possible and which are labor-intensive, such as manufacturing, but it has not transferred any plants from Spain to another country. The firm could afford to do so but obtains greater benefits from outsourcing.

4.1.4. Delta
Delta is a toy manufacturer from the same region as Gamma. It has several product lines but two main ones: one for baby entertainment, safety and hygiene (highly-innovative electronics products), and the other for education (toys and teaching materials).

Delta carries out the following activities in its value chain in-house most of its design, purchasing, quality
control, logistics, administrative tasks and part of its sales. Other activities such as marketing, assembly and manufacturing are outsourced marketing and assembly to local firms, and manufacturing to both Spanish and foreign firms. Nevertheless, product line determines the choice of in-house development, national or international outsourcing.

On the one hand, for the educational product line, 100% of engineering and design is carried out in-house, these being the firm’s core competencies. Manufacturing is almost completely outsourced (approximately 50% in Spain and 50% abroad), and only a very small proportion is carried out in-house, that involving a special, unbreakable material designed by Delta which they wish to prevent from being copied. 70% of the firm’s international outsourcing goes to China, 20% to Taiwan and the remaining 10% to Korea, Thailand and Malaysia. Depending on each specific article, either full product manufacturing is outsourced, or just the manufacturing of some components, with assembly taking place in-house in Spain.

On the other hand, for the electronics product line, the physical design is also carried out in-house but the technological design and engineering are fully outsourced. Many of Delta’s technological products have been developed by other firms. Delta’s involvement in product design is then limited to product customization, adaptation to the firm’s product collection and affixation of its own brand. The firm usually looks for fairly exclusive products but, if it cannot find them, it also produces its own designs. In this case, it only carries out the external design, and has the technological development carried out by experts, mostly by firms in Hong Kong and Taiwan. Delta contacts the engineering teams in these firms and explains the specific characteristics it wants the product to have. This method seems reasonable because Delta is not a technology firm, so this activity is not among its strengths. The firm does not have the necessary resources or capabilities to be able to develop this type of product internally. Moreover, considering the speed of technological change, in order to avoid reaching the market with obsolete technology, it is important to resort to manufacturers having the necessary experience and know-how. Rather than producing in-house, it is much faster and more efficient for Delta to outsource the technological development and use the global network of specialists.

In general, this firm considers that one of the main advantages of outsourcing is that they can produce when necessary and determine costs ex-ante (in-house manufacturing is more risky as costs only become clear ex-post). However, in addition to cost, there are many other reasons why offshore outsourcing may be preferred. Delta also takes into account where global manufacturers are located and where it can find the know-how needed for its products. China is a strong player in toy manufacturing and has extensive know-how in technological production, so offshoring there is a guarantee.

In other words, the reasons why Delta decided to outsource internationally are: to reduce costs, to focus only on the firm’s core competencies (that is, channel and product specialization) and to better meet its product requirements. In the case of technological products, by outsourcing to foreign firms Delta aims to shorten lead times and to use the higher capabilities of other firms.

Delta’s relations with all its Chinese and Taiwanese suppliers are always based on long-term agreements, aiming to achieve continuity and trust and, essentially, looking for business allies. Supplier selection criteria are price, supplier’s characteristics and trust. These firms should be experts and enjoy a degree of prestige which should stem not only from their low costs. It is, however, the manufacturer that has to guarantee quality.

The greatest risk perceived by this firm in international outsourcing is loss of control over the product, which is inevitable. However, Delta is not afraid of losing data or know-how because it has observed that Asian suppliers tend to respect their customers.

Delta can therefore be considered another example of a firm that uses offshoring but only offshore outsourcing. It has not relocated any of its production facilities.

4.2. Comparative Analysis of the Cases Studied

Table 2 compares the situation of the firms studied with regard to: 1) the activities in the value chain that are offshore internationally, 2) those that are carried out internally but in a different country, 3) the destination countries, and 4) the reasons for choosing them.

The activities transferred abroad by the four groups analyzed are basically the same -primarily manufacturing, although the transfer mode differs. Alfa and Beta not only outsource production to foreign suppliers but have also set up their own facilities abroad. Gamma and Delta only use offshore outsourcing without relocating.

None of the four groups studied have transferred their research and design abroad because of the strategic importance of this activity for all of them. In Alfa and Beta, research and development and, above all, innovation, are a strategic priority and one of the main drivers of their success. For Delta, the educational nature of its toys makes design a priority, the main aim being to guarantee quality and the educational content. And for Gamma, design is one of its core competencies. As a result, none of these business groups seems prepared to accept either
Table 2. Comparative analysis of offshoring decisions in the groups studied.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activities involved in the value chain</th>
<th>Activities offshore (partially or fully)</th>
<th>Method of transfer (outsourcing/subsidiary)</th>
<th>Country chosen</th>
<th>Reasons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R&amp;D + i/Design</td>
<td>Purchasing</td>
<td>Owned facilities</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>It is not an unknown country. Has the necessary infrastructure. Possesses qualified, productive, cheap labor. Guarantees protection of foreign investments. Competitors and customers are in this country.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logistics</td>
<td>Transport (partially)</td>
<td>Outsourcing</td>
<td>China and Taiwan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturing</td>
<td>Manufacturing (84%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality control</td>
<td>Purchasing</td>
<td>Outsourcing</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Possession of know-how on electronics. Desire to sell in China. Lower manufacturing costs because of cheaper labor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative processes</td>
<td>Manufacturing (90%)</td>
<td>Outsourcing</td>
<td>China and India</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales and after-sales services</td>
<td>Manufacturing (50%)</td>
<td>Outsourcing</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Production cost. Production capacity: -Existence of auxiliary firms -Technical capacity -Transport cost -Timing (production and transport)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Electronic</td>
<td>Outsourcing</td>
<td>China</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Engineering</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Design</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Electronic</td>
<td>Outsourcing</td>
<td>China, Taiwan, South Korea, Thailand, Malaysia</td>
<td>Production cost. Concentration of worldwide production. Location of know-how and expertise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Engineering</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Manufacturing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Quality control</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Logistics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Administrative tasks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Marketing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sales and after-sales services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Drawn up by the authors.

the high risks involved in outsourcing R&D (potential undesired dissemination of knowledge amongst other firms and/or loss of control of such activities) nor the operating difficulties involved in locating R&D in other countries (managing the flow of information and coordinating with other related activities in the value chain).

In respect to location, the four groups’ have outsourced/relocated activities primarily to China, although some activities have also been outsourced to other South-East Asian countries and to India. In China, costs, and especially labor costs, are low. For both Alfα and Gamma, China was the inevitable choice because the costs they
faced in Spain did not allow them to produce competitively. Offshoring has allowed them to survive. Beta and Delta also enjoy lower production costs in China, but for them this was not the main reason for their choice.

So, in addition to cost, there have been other reasons driving location choice: market size, industry trends, the existence of a powerful auxiliary industry, production capacity and the possibility of accessing better technologies and/or products. For example, for Beta, in addition to collaborating with Chinese firms in technology development, gaining know-how and more advanced technologies had priority. For Alfa, both its main competitors and main customers are present in China so it was practically inevitable for the firm to enter China in order to compete. Similarly, the suppliers of electronics technology for one of Delta’s product lines are concentrated in China, so the firm necessarily has to purchase goods there. And for Gamma, what was particularly relevant about the Chinese market was the concentration of auxiliary firms which are essential for footwear production.

5. Conclusions

Based on case study methodology, this paper analyzes whether and how Spanish industrial firms are internationally reorganizing and relocating at least part of their value chains and, thus, are taking part in the current world scale process of international production disintegration. The study analyzes offshoring decisions (both offshore outsourcing and captive offshoring) by four Spanish groups. More specifically, it is focused on the type of activities transferred abroad, the transfer mode, the choice of destination countries, the reasons driving offshoring decisions, the advantages obtained and the risks faced in the process. The following conclusions may be drawn from the study.

Firstly, of all the activities in the value chain of the Spanish firms analyzed, the ones that tend to be transferred abroad are those relating to product manufacture (and to a lesser extent logistics and sales). Firms tend to leave their R&D + i activities in their country of origin. Most of the activities transferred are routine, standardized, labor-intensive activities, in which a high volume of production can be reached, with a limited technological component and not based on secret information (Figure 1).

Secondly, although the business groups analyzed transfer activities to other countries either by outsourcing them to local, independent firms offshore outsourcing or by setting up subsidiaries captive offshoring, the former prevails over the latter (Figure 2).

Thirdly, activities are primarily offshored to Asian countries, and particularly to China (Figure 3). Although
low costs especially labor costs is one powerful reason why the four analyzed firms chose China over other potential locations, it must be noted that this was not the only, nor the most important motive in this respect. Firms also emphasized the attractiveness of the Chinese market in terms of its large size, its infrastructure endowment, the existence of an important auxiliary industry, its high production and technical capability, the positive attitude of its government towards foreign investment and its better technologies and more advanced know-how in some industries. Also important for choosing China is the existence of other agents with which the firms studied need to interact (competitors, customers, suppliers and auxiliary firms). Thus, the concentration of the relevant agents in a single location is an important reason for selecting an offshoring destination.

Fourthly, the list of advantages derived from the international disintegration of the firms’ value chains is relatively long. Among these the analyzed firms mentioned large cost savings, the possibility of focusing on core competencies, taking advantage of favorable conditions offered by foreign locations or access to new markets. However, improved organizational learning is the most important benefit firms may reap from offshoring. By transferring part of their activities abroad, firms may gain access to greater technological and market knowledge, may improve awareness of state-of-the-art, more efficient processes and may acquire new or improve their existing skills, experience and know-how. Taken together, these will lead to innovative, fast, specialized and integrated operations. As a consequence, firm sales volume, market share, profitability and productivity may improve.

Finally, although the firms studied perceived several potential risks of offshoring (reduced product quality, loss of incentives for R&D + i, increased lead times, loss of intellectual property, the loss of control or suppliers potentially turning into future competitors), none of these have turned into real problems for any of the firms analyzed. Or if they have, the benefits achieved in the process of international disintegration have offset the problems encountered.

Although inferences from this study are limited by the small number of cases analyzed, it can be concluded that Spanish firms are involved in the process of international fragmentation that is taking place on a global scale. Offshoring allows these firms to reap the benefits of specialization and to take advantage of specific locations worldwide. As a consequence, Spanish firms are improving their competitiveness and, above all, their survival chances.

Finally, it should be noted that this qualitative study is only the starting-point for a more extensive future research line. Based on the general conclusions from this first study, the authors have the intention to develop and propose specific hypotheses to be tested in a broader sample of Spanish firms. The authors are particularly interested in analyzing to what extent offshoring is leading firms to enter international networks.
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