Greywater Treatment by High Rate Algal Pond under Sahelian Conditions for Reuse in Irrigation
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Abstract

High Rate Algal Pond (HRAP) was constructed and operated using a mixer device to investigate its capability in treating greywater for reuse in gardening. Physico-chemical and microbiological parameters were monitored. With a hydraulic retention time of 7.5 days and a solid retention time of 20 days, the average removal efficiencies (ARE) were 69% and 62% for BOD5 and COD respectively. The ARE for NO3−, NH4+ and PO43− were 23%, 52% and 43% respectively. The removal of suspended solids (SS) was unsatisfactory, which could be attributed to the low average algal settling efficiencies of 9.3% and 16.0% achieved after 30 and 60 minutes respectively. The ARE of fecal coliforms, Escherichia coli and enterococci were 2.65, 3.14 and 3.17 log units respectively. In view of the results, the HRAP technology could be adapted for greywater treatment in sahelian regions. However, further studies on the diversity of the algal species growing in the HRAP unit are necessary in order to increase the removal of SS. Hazards of a reuse of the effluents are discussed on the basis of the various qualitative parameters. The residual content of E. coli was varying from <1 to 1.77 × 104 CFU per 100 mL. Based on WHO guidelines for greywater reuse in irrigation, the effluents could be used for restricted irrigation (E. coli < 105 CFU per 100 mL). Furthermore, the reuse potential is discussed on the basis of FAO guidelines using SAR (3.03 to 4.11), electrical conductivity (482 to 4500 µS/cm) and pH values (6.45 to 8.6).

*Corresponding author.

Keywords
Greywater Treatment, High Rate Algal Pond, Irrigation Reuse, Sahelian Region

1. Introduction
Wastewater reuse in irrigation has been reported worldwide [1] including in low-income arid and semi-arid countries, where water shortage has promoted the use of alternative sources. In Burkina Faso, treated and untreated wastewater are used in gardening and horticulture [1] [2], in spite of the health and environmental risks. Wastewater recycling for reuse in irrigation can have multiple benefits especially for low-income arid and semi-arid regions, since it can contribute to reducing water related diseases with increased possibilities for food production and increased employment opportunities for poor population. Due to the low levels of microorganisms, greywater which constitutes 50% to 80% of the total household wastewater [3], is receiving more and more attention. However, many different kinds of pathogen of fecal origin have been found in greywater [4]. Besides, irrigation with untreated greywater has been demonstrated to contribute to increased soil hydrophobicity [5] and levels of fecal bacteria in the soil [6]. Treatment methods that reduce the number of pathogens are thus necessary if greywater is to be used for vegetables irrigation. High Rate Algal Pond (HRAP) is one of the promising wastewater treatment technologies: it provides cost-effective and efficient treatment with minimal energy consumption and has considerable potential to upgrade oxidation ponds [7]. In addition, the algal biomass harvested from this treatment system could be converted to biofuels, biogas and bioethanol [8] [9]. Previous studies have reported wastewater treatment by HRAP system equipped with an air-lift [10] and a paddlewheel [11]. The present study deals with HRAP system operated with a mixer agitation system for greywater treatment under real sahelian conditions. Furthermore, to our knowledge, it is the first time to assess the operation of a HRAP system in Burkina Faso where more than 300 days per year can be expected to be sunny [12]. HRAP is characterized by their shallow depth and high algal productivity that can negatively impact the irrigated soil. Recycling gravity harvested algae could be a simple and effective operation strategy to maintain the dominance of readily settleable algal species, and enhance algal harvest by gravity sedimentation [11]. This consideration is particularly important when the treated water is intended for reuse in irrigation, since it minimizes the clogging of irrigated soil. Therefore, the experimental HRAP is equipped with an algal recycling system.

The purpose of this study was to evaluate the potential of a HRAP system operated with a mixer, to adequately treat greywater under sahelian climatic conditions for reuse in gardening. The specific objectives were to:

- Evaluate the efficiency of the HRAP in terms of greywater chemical pollutants removal;
- Evaluate the efficiency of the HRAP related to greywater microbial removal;
- Discuss the reuse potential of the treated greywater.

2. Material and Methods
2.1. Experimental HRAP: Characteristics, Operation and Greywater Source
Experiments were carried out using a pilot-scale single-loop race truck configuration HRAP treating greywater at the International Institute for Water and Environmental Engineering (2iE) campus of “Kamboinsé”, Ouaga-dougou, Burkina Faso (12.46N, 1.55W). The HRAP had a surface area of 84.4 m², a depth of 0.3 m and a total volume of 21.09 m³. The design characteristics are presented in Table 1.

The pond water was continuously mixed (from 6:00 am to 6:00 pm) by a mixer (Satake Model A640 SATAKE chemical Equipment) allowing a speed of water at the surface of 15 m/s. A top view of the pilot-scale HRAP is shown in Figure 1. Greywater was collected from a dormitory of 40 students at the 2iE campus of “Kamboinsé”. Shower, laundry and washbasin greywater are discharged into a single outlet pipe from which, it flowed by gravity to the water receiving pond (RP) of the treatment unit (Figure 1). The greywater is pumped to the Imhoff tank (IT) using a peristaltic pump (Master flex Model 07591-55) at a flow rate of 2.8 m³/day, the remaining greywater being discharged to the infiltration pond (IP) for infiltration. From IT, the greywater
Figure 1. Top view of the greywater treatment unit showing the high rate algal pond, the associated ponds, the water flow directions and the sampling points.

Table 1. Characteristics and operational conditions of the HRAP.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water depth</td>
<td>0.3 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length</td>
<td>23.96 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width</td>
<td>6.8 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of linear channels</td>
<td>17.16 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Useful channel width (from top)</td>
<td>1.8 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Useful channel width (from bottom)</td>
<td>1.2 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective diameter of the semi-circular channels</td>
<td>External edge 5.8 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Internal edge 2.2 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface area</td>
<td>84.4 m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective volume</td>
<td>21.09 m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Influent flow rate</td>
<td>2.8 m³/day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excess algae flow rate</td>
<td>1.05 m³/day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Return algae flow rate</td>
<td>2.8 m³/day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydraulic retention time</td>
<td>7.5 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid retention time</td>
<td>20 days</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

entered the HRAP by gravity. Greywater circulation and homogenization in the HRAP was obtained using a mixer (M) (Satake Model A640 SATAKE chemical Equipment) rotating at a speed of 150 rpm. The hydraulic retention time was about 7.5 days. From the HRAP, greywater flowed to the Sedimentation tank (ST) by gravity. The algal biomass settled down in ST and the supernatant flowed by gravity into the water collection tank (CT). From CT, treated greywater was pumped to the Storage tank for treated water (TW) using an automatic pump (Master flex Model 07591-55). In order to select settleable algae and allow their growth in the HRAP, the algal biomass collected at the bottom of ST was removed using a peristaltic pump (Master flex Model 07591-55) at a
flow of 2.8 m³/day and recycled back to the HRAP (return algae). To avoid an overproduction of algae in the HRAP, greywater was pumped at mid depth from the HRAP and collected in the Imhoff tank using a peristaltic pump (Master flex Model 07591-55) at a flow of 1.05 m³/day (excess algae). The solid retention time was estimated at 20 days. The experimental system was operated from the start-up in March 2013. Preliminary assays were conducted during the first 5 months in order to test the robustness of the system (clogging of pumps, optimization of hydraulic retention time, and appropriate rotation speed of the mixer). The present study presents the results obtained from October 2013 to April 2014 (7 months).

### 2.2. Monitoring

In order to assess the efficiency of the HRAP system, field measurements and water samples was taken once a week (9:00-10:00 am) to analyze fecal indicators, physico-chemical and organic parameters using influent of IT (I1), influent of HRAP (I2), the HRAP water (HRAP), effluent of ST (E) and the effluent from storage tank (EF). Temperature, pH, dissolved oxygen (DO) and electrical conductivity (EC) were measured in situ using a portable electronic probe WTW multi 340i (WTW, GmbH, Weilheim, Germany). Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD), 5-days Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD₅), Suspended Solids (SS) were measured from homogenized samples to assess the removal efficiency of organic parameters. SS were determined by a gravimetric method using glass microfiber filters Whatman (porosity 1.5 µm). Nitrate, ammonia and orthophosphate were measured as nutrients by spectrophotometry, using filtered samples. Calcium, sodium and magnesium were determined in the treated water using atomic spectrophotometer (Perkin Elmer analyst 200). All analyses were performed according to Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater [13]. Sodium adsorption ratio (SAR) was evaluated using the results from calcium, sodium and magnesium measurements “Equation (1)” to determine the suitability of the treated greywater for irrigation.

\[
SAR = \sqrt{\frac{Na^+}{2 (Mg^{2+} + Ca^{2+})}} 
\]

where Na⁺, Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺ were expressed in milli-equivalents per litre (meq/L).

*Escherichia coli*, fecal coliforms and enterococci were monitored as indicator bacteria for microbiological pollution assessment. The spread plate method was used after an appropriate dilution of the samples in accordance with the procedure in Standard Methods [13]. Chromocult Coliform Agar (Merck KGaA 64271, Darmstadt, Germany) was used as the culture medium for both *E. coli* and fecal coliforms assessment whereas Slanetz and Bartley medium (Biokar Diagnostics, France) was used for enterococci assessment.

### 2.3. Measurement of Algal Settling Efficiency

Samples from HRAP water was collected once a week for the measurement of SS according to standard methods [13]. Algal settling efficiency (ASE) was measured once a week based on the method described by Park et al. [14]. A 1 liter imhoff cone was filled with HRAP water and left under laboratory conditions for sedimentation. To determine ASE (after 30 and 60 minutes), 50 ml of water samples were taken after 30 and 60 minutes respectively, using a syringe from the top of the imhoff cone. SS were assessed in each sample and compared with the initial SS to determine ASE₃₀ and ASE₆₀ according to “Equation (2)”.

\[
ASE_x = \left[ \frac{(SS_i - SS_x)}{SS_i} \right] \times 100
\]

with \(SS_i = \) initial SS; \(SS_x = \) SS remaining in the supernatant after “x” (30 or 60) minutes.

### 3. Results and Discussion

#### 3.1. Climatic Conditions of the Experimental Site

The climatic data at the treatment plant (12.46N, 1.55W) were obtained from the NASA Amospheric Science Data Center (https://eosweb.larc.nasa.gov). The daily average (22-years average) solar radiation (MJ/m²/day) and the minimum and maximum temperature for each month during the period of the study (October-April) are
shown on Figure 2. December, January and February are marked by lower temperature and solar radiation. This period is the cold season in Burkina Faso which is marked by dusty weather that could have decreased the radiation reaching the earth. During the study period, the daily solar radiation was varying from 18.61 to 23.22 MJ/m²/day. The lowest solar radiation was registered in January while the highest value was obtained in April. The lowest minimum temperature (16.3°C) was registered in January while the highest maximum temperature (52.2°C) was obtained in March.

3.2. Physico-Chemical Characteristics of the Raw Greywater

The raw greywater produced at the students’ residence was slightly polluted with organic matter when compared to data obtained in rural area in Burkina Faso. The values were varying from 14 to 88 mg/L for SS, 65 to 170 mg/L for BOD₅ and 145 to 958 mg/L for COD (Table 2). In rural area, for shower, laundry and dishwashing greywater, mean values of 1093 to 3060 mg/L, 533 to 2743 mg/L and 1240 to 6497 mg/L have been reported for SS, BOD₅ and COD respectively [15]. This situation could find an explanation in the effect of dilution due to the differences in amounts of water used to perform the activities. Indeed, greywater production in a household is directly influenced by water consumption which is dependent on a number of factors including the existing water supply service and infrastructure, the number of household members, the age distribution, the life style characteristics, the typical water usage patterns [16]. The authors highlighted that, in areas with water scarcity and rudimentary forms of water supply, water consumption varies from 20 to 30 L/capita/day whereas a household member in a richer area with piped water may generate several hundred liters per day [16].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Average ± SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (°C)</td>
<td>23.3 - 30.8</td>
<td>27.13 ± 2.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>6.29 - 7.54</td>
<td>6.95 ± 0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC (µS/cm)</td>
<td>669 - 5620</td>
<td>3677.05 ± 1549.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS (mg/L)</td>
<td>14 - 88</td>
<td>40.95 ± 16.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOD₅ (mg/L)</td>
<td>65 - 170</td>
<td>109.25 ± 27.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COD (mg/L)</td>
<td>146 - 958</td>
<td>464.4 ± 252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH₄⁺ (mg/L)</td>
<td>1.73 - 34.19</td>
<td>22.55 ± 11.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO₃⁻ (mg/L)</td>
<td>6.2 - 48.29</td>
<td>21.52 ± 10.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PO₄³⁻ (mg/L)</td>
<td>0.82 - 6.6</td>
<td>3.36 ± 1.58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SD = standard deviation; EC = electrical conductivity; SS = suspended solids; BOD₅ = 5 days-Biochemical oxygen demand; COD = Chemical oxygen demand.
water consumption of 11 and 24 L/capita/day with a greywater production of 8 and 13 L/capita/day have been reported in rural area in Burkina Faso [15] whereas water consumption in France was estimated at 150 L/capita/day.

3.3. Evolution of the Physico-Chemical Parameters through the Pond System

Figure 3(a) shows the distribution of the pH in the influent (I1), the HRAP (HRAP) and the effluent (EF). The pH of the influent greywater was varying from 6.29 to 7.54. In the HRAP, the pH increased to reach values varying between 7.38 and 9.46. Aguirre et al. [17] reported values of 7 to 8.2 in pilot HRAP treating pretreated piggery wastewater while Santiago et al. [18] reported mean pH values of 7.7 ± 0.7 and 8.1 ± 1 in HRAP treating non-disinfected and disinfected effluents from an upflow anaerobic sludge blanket respectively. Diurnal variation of pH has been reported in HRAP [10] [19] with maximum values reached between 1:00 pm and 3:00 pm [19]. Thus, the maximum pH of 9.46 reached in our HRAP, could be higher than this value, due to the fact that the measurements were performed in the morning (9:00 am-10:00 am). The pH of the treated greywater is following the same trend as that of the HRAP water, however at a lower level (6.45 to 8.6).

Figure 3(b) shows the variation of the mean values of pH, DO, temperature and EC through the treatment system. The pH and DO values are higher in the HRAP compared to that of the other ponds. This finding could be explained by the fact that pH and DO are variables associated with photosynthetic activity and that algal photosynthesis in HRAP can raise pH often exceeding pH > 11 [7]. Morning hours are marked by low DO values.
compared to that of the afternoon, with maximum values reached between 1:00 pm and 3:00 pm [19]. In addition, Narcir et al. [10] reported diurnal variation of DO in HRAP with minimum values of 0.5 mg/L. Therefore, the low values of DO in the HRAP compared to reported values is probably due to the fact that the sampling was conducted during the morning.

The EC values in the raw greywater were ranging from 669 to 5620 μS/cm for water temperature ranging from 23.3°C to 30.8°C (Table 2). As EC is varying with temperature, the trend of the later could explain the trend of EC in Figure 3(b).

3.4. Distribution and Removal of Organic Compound

Figures 4(a)-(c) show respectively the variations of the concentrations of SS, BOD₅ and COD in the influent and the effluent of the pond system. In the influent, SS was varying from 14 to 88 mg/L, BOD₅ from 65 to 170 mg/L and COD from 145 to 958 mg/L (Table 2).

The corresponding concentrations in the effluent were varying from 1 to 128 mg/L for SS, 5 to 70 mg/L for BOD₅ and 54 to 366 mg/L for COD. The average removal efficiencies were 69% and 62% for BOD₅ and COD respectively (Figure 4(d)). Narcir et al. [10], using a HRAP equipped with an air-lift have reported a removal efficiency of 44% for BOD₅ while Chen et al. [20] have reported an annual removal efficiency of 50% for COD. In addition, El Hamouri et al. [21] have reported values of 65% removal for BOD and COD under Moroccan climate. The shallow depth (30 cm) and the sunlight (Figure 2) contributed to enhance the algal productivity.
and then, to increase $SS$ in the HRAP, with values varying from 53 to 484 mg/L (Figure 4(a)). Consequently, $SS$ removal in the ST was unsatisfactory with values higher in the effluent compared to the influent in most of the cases. This finding is common in HRAP system. For instance, Chen et al. [20] reported that variables associated with photosynthetic activity such as DO, pH and $SS$ are significantly higher in the effluent compared to the influent.

3.5. Nutrient Removal

Nitrogen and phosphorus are important parameters given their fertilizing value for plant, their relevance for natural treatment processes and their potential negative impact on aquatic environment [16]. Figure 5(a) and Figure 5(b) show the variations in the concentrations of different forms of nutrients (NO$_3^-$, NH$_4^+$ and PO$_4^{3-}$) in the influent and the effluent of the pond system and the corresponding removal efficiencies. The presence of phosphates and nitrates in greywater has been attributed to detergents and washing powders [16]. The nutrient content in the influent is in the range of reported values from Burkina Faso [15]. Nitrogen values are found within 6.3 and 48.29 mg/L for NO$_3^-$ and within 1.73 and 34.19 for NH$_4^+$ (Table 1; Figure 5). In addition, these values are in the range of typical values of nitrogen in mixed household greywater from different countries which are found within 5 to 50 mg/L [16]. The concentrations of PO$_4^{3-}$ in the raw greywater are relatively low (Table 1). The relative use of phosphorus containing detergents and the dilution potential due to high water consumption could explain this finding.

The average removal efficiencies for nitrate and ammonia are 23% and 52% respectively (Figure 5(b)). Generally, the removal efficiency of ammonia by HRAP system is high. Chen et al. [20] and Narcir et al. [10] have reported removal efficiencies of 87% and up to 90% respectively. Furthermore, Aguirre et al. [17] have reported removal efficiencies varying from 68% to 85% for ammonia in a HRAP system treating piggery wastewater. However, El Hamouri et al. [21] have reported a low removal efficiency of 48% for ammonia under arid conditions. More recently, Derabe et al. [22] using artificial greywater at lab. scale have reported removal efficiencies of 20.07% and 53.39% for NH$_4$-N in continuous and batch experiments respectively. The low removal efficiency of nitrate compared to ammonia in our study could be explained by the mechanisms involved in the removal process. Indeed, nitrate is mainly removed through algal uptake while ammonia is removed through stripping and algal uptake [23]. In addition, the same authors, dealing with nitrogen removal in HRAP systems, have reported that ammonia stripping was the most important mechanism for nitrogen removal followed by algal uptake and subsequent algal separation in the clarifiers. Furthermore, the low removal efficiency for nitrate despite the algae uptake could be attributed to the potential occurrence of nitrification during the process [20].

The average removal efficiency for orthophosphate is 43% (Figure 5(b)). Similar removal efficiencies of 40% [20] and 54% have been reported [21]. Orthophosphate is removed through algal uptake allowing algal growth
that in terms raises the pH of the mixed liquor, resulting in orthophosphate precipitation. The low concentration of orthophosphate in the influent (Table 1) could explain its low removal efficiency since phosphorus removal is positively linked to the influent phosphate concentration and the retention time [20].

3.6. Distribution and Removal of Fecal Indicators

During the study period, fecal bacteria content in the raw greywater pumped from the water receiving pond, varied from $2.60 \times 10^4$ to $1.59 \times 10^5$ CFU per 100mL for fecal coliforms, $6.67 \times 10^2$ to $6.27 \times 10^4$ CFU per 100mL for E. coli and from $2.33 \times 10^5$ to $1.73 \times 10^5$ CFU per 100 mL for enterococci (Figure 6(a)). The mean values were $6.73 \times 10^4$ CFU per 100 mL, $1.17 \times 10^4$ CFU per 100 mL and $5.71 \times 10^4$ CFU per 100 mL for fecal coliforms, E. coli and enterococci respectively. In terms of fecal bacteria content, the greywater produced in rural area in Burkina Faso is much more polluted than the greywater from the students’ dormitory, used for the treatment. Indeed, values of up to $6 \times 10^8$ CFU per 100 mL for E. coli and up to $1.63 \times 10^9$ CFU per 100 mL for fecal coliforms have been reported from a household in rural area [24]. The effect of the dilution due to the high amount of water used in urban area than rural area to perform the activities, could explain this difference.

The average removal efficiencies for the whole system were 2.65 log units for fecal coliforms, 3.14 log units for E. coli and 3.17 log units for enterococci. The efficiency of the system is in the same range of reported values from previous studies. El Hamouri et al. [21] have reported a removal efficiency of 2.44 log units for fecal coliforms from the whole HRAP system treating wastewater. The HRAP component of the system, which operated under paddle wheel mixing contributed to a removal efficiency of 1.59 log units. More recently, removal efficiencies of 2.48 log units for fecal coliforms and 2.62 log units for streptococci have been reported from a whole HRAP system treating wastewater in Morocco [10]. The contribution of the HRAP component operated by air-lift mixing was estimated to 1.43 and 1.47 log units for fecal coliforms and streptococci respectively.

Previous studies showed that sunlight, pH, protozoan grazing and reactive byproducts of oxygen such as peroxide anion radical, hydrogen peroxide and reactive hydroxyl radicals are factors involved in the inactivation of bacteria during the treatment [25]-[27]. Sunlight seems to be a major factor because of its high intensity (18.61 - 23.22 MJ/m²/day) (Figure 2) and the low depth of the pond which allows its lower attenuation. Sunlight is detrimental to bacteria and beneficial to algal growth. UV-B (280 - 320 nm), UV-A (320 - 400 nm) and the Photosynthetically Available Radiation (PAR > 400 nm) of the solar spectrum are responsible of inactivating bacteria [28]. Algal growth increased the pH (highest value of 9.46 from morning sampling) which is detrimental to bacteria. In this connection, Benchokroun et al. [26] have reported that E. coli was inactivated more rapidly when the pH was elevated above 8.5 than at lower pH. In addition, algal growth promoted oxygen production
which is detrimental to fecal bacteria since it has been reported that survival of fecal coliforms in sunlight was completely dependent on the presence of oxygen and decreased with increasing oxygen concentration [26]. Molecular oxygen promotes solar photoinactivation mediated by endogenous photosensitizers [28]. As previously reported [27] [29], the results show that enterococci (Gram positive) (3.17 log units removal) were more affected that fecal coliforms (Gram negative) (2.65 log units removal). The difference in the characteristics of the bacterial cell wall was previously used to explain this finding [30]. The Gram negative bacterial (fecal coliform) cell wall lipopolysaccharide coat offers some protection from the toxic effects of exogenous agents.

### 3.7. Algal Settling Efficiency

Average algal settling efficiencies of 9.3% ± 3.4% and 16.0% ± 7.9% were achieved after 30 and 60 minutes of settling respectively. These low values of ASE corroborate with the unsatisfactory removal of SS. Park et al. [14] have reported ASE values of 86% ± 9.1% and 93.6% ± 2.8% after 30 and 60 minutes when Pediastrum sp. was present at over 80% dominance in the HRAP; ASE reduced to 25.6% ± 10.2% and 35.2% ± 10.1% when Pediastrum sp. dominance declined to less than 40%, for respective settling periods. Colonial algae settle faster than unicellular. Therefore, the characteristics of the algal species growing in the HRAP could explain the low ASE values. For this reason, further studies on the diversity and the identity of the algal species growing in the HRAP are necessary in order to enhance the settling efficiency. In addition, a previous study has reported that algal settling was promoted by calcium and orthophosphate concentrations in alkaline conditions (pH: 10 - 11) [31]. Therefore, the low pH values recorded in the HRAP (maximum value of 9.46) and the low concentration of orthophosphate in the influent could explain the low ASE values.

### 3.8. Reuse Potential

In the final effluent, the range of the concentration of E. coli was varying from less than 1 to 1.77 × 10⁴ CFU per 100 mL with a mean value of 1.96 × 10³ CFU per 100 mL. The maximum values for fecal coliforms and enterococci were 2.37 × 10⁴ and 1.67 × 10³ CFU per 100 mL respectively; their mean values were 3.98 × 10³ and 5.12 × 10² CFU per 100 mL respectively. Based on the WHO guidelines for greywater reuse in restricted (E. coli < 10⁵ CFU per 100 mL) and unrestricted irrigation (E. coli < 10³ CFU per 100 mL) [32], the final effluent could be used for restricted irrigation.

pH is also an important environmental parameter to consider when greywater is intended for reuse in irrigation. The treated greywater was characterized by pH values varying from 6.45 to 8.6 which can have beneficial effect on the bacteria of the irrigated soil, since most bacteria prefer neutral or slightly alkaline conditions, around 6.5 - 8.5 [33]. In turn, bacterial growth can promote irrigated vegetables development by increasing nutrients availability from organic matter.

The concentrations of nutrients found in the effluents (3.99 - 26.14 mg/L for nitrate, 0.71 - 21.03 mg/L for ammonia, 0.07 - 6 mg/L for orthophosphate) can be beneficial for irrigated vegetables, since nitrogen and phosphorus are essential plant nutrient. However, greywater rich in nitrate can have a negative impact as nitrate is highly soluble and can move easily in soils irrigated with wastewater [1].

The electrical conductivity (EC) values of the treated greywater varied from 482 and 4500 µS/cm. High EC in irrigated water can result in an increase in osmotic potential in the soil solution and interfere with extraction of water by plants [1]. Permissible EC for greywater reuse in irrigation are strongly dependent on soil characteristics and the suggested limits differ in the literature reviewed [16]. According to WHO [32] guidelines, the recommended maximum value for greywater reuse in irrigation is 3000 µS/cm. Grattan [34] reported that EC below 1300 µS/cm should normally not cause problems whereas irrigation with saline greywater (EC exceeding 1300 µS/cm) requires special precautions (use of salt-tolerant plants). According to FAO [35], water with EC varying from 700 to 3000 µS/cm and EC exceeding 3000 µS/cm are considered as “slight to moderate” and “severe degree of restriction on use” respectively. Therefore, the treated greywater is classified between “slight to moderate” and “severe degree of restriction on use” based on FAO guidelines.

In addition, it has been reported that the effect of sodium ions in irrigation water is dependent on the total salt concentration and the sodium ions concentration relative to the concentration of calcium and magnesium ions (as indicated by SAR) [35]. The SAR values of the treated greywater were varying between 3.03 and 4.11 (Table 3). Thus, for surface irrigation, when we consider specific sodium toxicity, the treated greywater is classified as “slight to moderate degree of restriction on use” according to FAO guidelines [35].
Table 3. Range of the concentrations of Ca$^{2+}$, Mg$^{2+}$, Na$^+$ and the corresponding SAR values of the treated greywater.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calcium (mg/L)</td>
<td>5.89</td>
<td>7.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium (mg/L)</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>5.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium (mg/L)</td>
<td>22.74</td>
<td>24.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAR</td>
<td>3.03</td>
<td>4.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SAR = Sodium Adsorption Ratio.

Furthermore, it has been reported that for a given SAR value, an increase in total salt concentration (EC) is likely to increase soil permeability and, for a given total salt concentration, an increase in SAR will decrease soil permeability. Irrigation water with SAR values of 3 to 6 and EC exceeding 1200 µS/cm is considered as “none degree of restriction on use” [35]. Therefore, the possible effect of the high EC values of the treated greywater can be moderated by the SAR values.

4. Conclusions

This study demonstrated that High Rate Algal Pond technique could be suitable for greywater treatment under climatic conditions of Ouagadougou (Burkina Faso). The mean removal efficiencies were 69% and 62% for BOD$_5$ and COD respectively. The average removal efficiencies for NO$_3^-$, NH$_4^+$ and PO$_4^{3-}$ were 23%, 52% and 43% respectively. The relative low removal of nutrients compared to previous studies could be beneficial since the effluents are intended for reuse in gardening, the residual nutrients, being important for vegetables.

The whole treatment system allowed average removal efficiencies of 2.65 log units for fecal coliforms, 3.14 log units for E. coli and 3.17 log units for enterococci. The residual content of E. coli was varying from $<1$ to $1.77 \times 10^4$ CFU per 100 mL with a mean value of $1.96 \times 10^3$ CFU per 100 mL. Based on the WHO guidelines for greywater reuse in restricted (E. coli < $10^5$ CFU per 100 mL) and unrestricted irrigation (E. coli < $10^6$ CFU per 100 mL), the final effluent could be used for restricted irrigation. The pH values of the effluent were in compliance with the recommended values for irrigation. The EC values of the treated greywater were high, sometimes over the recommended values of 3000 µS/cm. However, the effect of these high values on the irrigated soil can be moderated by the SAR values.

Low average algal settling efficiencies of 9.3% ± 3.4% and 16.0% ± 7.9% were achieved after 30 and 60 minutes of settling respectively, which could explain the unsatisfactory removal of SS. To increase the settleability of algae, and then SS removal, further studies on the algal species involved are necessary.
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