Unsaturated Flow Drying Bed (UFDB): An Alternative for Treatment of Septic Tanks Sludge
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Abstract

Unsaturated Flow Drying Bed (UFDB) principles are based on the ones of wastewater treatment by infiltration-percolation on the one hand and septic tanks sludge solar-drying beds on the other hand. With UFDB, sludge charges are split with low heights, leading to the drying of suspended solids in 2 days, and the infiltration of the flow of liquid in unsaturated middle. For average charges reaching 12.5 cm/d, the treatment efficiency of total oxygen demand and Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen, respectively 95 and 96%, correspond to a treatment capacity of 0.5 kg of O2/d/m2 of drying area. Bacteria reductions of 4 Ulog are obtained from filtrates outlet, with average fecal coliforms charges less than 103 CFU/100 ml. Monitoring the hydrodynamic behavior through rates, moisture, organic matter and respiratory activity demonstrates that the clogging of the massifs is linked to a biofilm growth within them. A four week resting period allows biofilm resorption. Thus, the drying of the infiltration surface in two days, the elimination of carbon, nitrogen and bacterial pollutions, as well as the reversibility of clogging show that UFDB is a credible alternative for the treatment of septic tank sludge in the socio-economic context and climate of tropical Africa.
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1. Introduction

In developing countries where most people live on less than 3.263 dollars per year, less than 0.48% of GDP is devoted to sanitation [1]. In these countries, the coverage rate in service and equipment of sanitation is weak. It’s about 25% in the case of Côte d’Ivoire [2]. Sanitation access is mainly through septic tanks, soak pits or latrines that are used to store sludge [3]. However, the sludge from these in situ sanitations is generally rejected in the wild without prior treatment. This practice has enormous health and environmental risks [4].

Moreover, the climatic conditions of the African continent are characterized by a tropical climate with strong sunning (4 hours/day on average), and annual temperatures which oscillate on average between 26°C and 28°C [5]. In prospect of technologies diversification, we have used methods of sludge treatment based on these climate benefits. The processes most often used are the lagoons, the solar drying bed and the planted bed [4][6]-[9].

For the lagoon, the sludge circulates through several basins. This leads to the removal of COD of the order of 30% to 50%, and BOD5 of the order of 60% to 70%, through the combination of sludge stabilization mechanisms, sedimentation, solid-liquid separation and biochemical reactions [6].

With the solar drying bed, the sludge is continuously discharged on the surface of infiltration forming a layer of 30 to 40 cm of height. Under these conditions, not only sludge drying takes a long time (six weeks on average), but also the flow of liquid is done is saturated middle with enormous risk of clogging of the infiltration surface and the filtering mass [7][10][11]. However, the issue with solar drying bed clogging was attenuated by the adoption of the reed bed whose root systems allow good permeability of the granular mass. In addition, the planted bed technology has the advantage to export nitrogen and phosphorus through the plant [4][8][9][12][13]. This technology allows for the removal of COD of about 70%, BOD5 in the range of 60 to 90% [6][8][9], and a reduction of pathogens in the range of 50% to 60% [8][9][14].

Another improvement can be made through splitting sludge, introduced with low hydraulic charge of 10 cm maximum per application. This can allow short drying times of suspended solids retained on the surface of infiltration due to high temperature and long duration of sunshine. Thus, after two to three days, suspended solids can be dried and scraped off, releasing the infiltration surface for another feeding. Consequently, the risk of filling the infiltration surface and the filtering mass is minimized. Indeed, splitting charges of sludge introduced induces a flow of water in unsaturated medium. The flow then becomes biphasic, liquid and air. This technology is described as Unsaturated Flow Drying Bed (UFDB).

The aim of this paper is to show that the UFDB constitutes a credible alternative for the treatment of septic tanks sludge in the climatic and socio-economic conditions of the tropical countries of Africa.

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Material

The material used consists of laboratory analytical equipment and two reactors. Each reactor is made of cylindrical plastic barrel with a height of 103 cm, with 54 cm inside diameter for a total volume of 200 L and a lateral surface of 1.2 m² (Figure 1). The barrels contain, from bottom to top (Figure 1), a perforated PVC drain, a layer of 16 cm thickness gravels, a geotextile film and a 74 cm thickness layer of sand (M = 0.4 mm) with varied granulometry (CU = 2.35). The residual humidity, the humidity with saturation, and the saturated hydraulic conductivity of the sand are respectively 0.05, 0.48 and 0.0172 cm/s. The barrels are topped with plastic diffusers for uniform distribution of inputs. The Aerated Reactor (AR) is perforated with aeration holes of 2 mm in diameter on its lateral surface with a density of 6 holes per dm². The second barrel constitutes the Non Aerated Reactor (NAR).

2.2. Methods

2.2.1. Feeding Reactors

Feeding is made by short input of about 30 minutes on infiltration surfaces and allowed for two days of rest. The system was followed over a period of 13 months divided between the contributions of five increasing charges (3.5 cm/d, 5 cm/d, 7.5 cm/d, 10 cm/d and 12.5 cm/d). The passage of one charge to another is marked by a rest period of one month (cessation of feeding of the reactors).
2.2.2. Analytical Monitoring
The parameters considered in this study are: pH, outflow, Ammonium, Nitrate, Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (TKN), Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD), Humidity, Organic Matter, Respiratory activity of the biomass, Total Oxygen Demand (TOD) and Fecal Coliforms. Table 1 summarizes some measurements and the analytical methods used during the experiments.

2.2.3. Statistical Analysis
For the statistical analysis of the data collected, the Mann Whitney pairwise comparison test was conducted to highlight any differences between reactors based on the variables considered. Statistical analyzes were performed with the software Statistic Paleontological (PAST) version 2.15 [21].

3. Results
3.1. Characteristics of Sludge
The sludge treated in this study has COD concentrations ranging from 700 to 1500 mg O₂/L. For this parameter, a significant difference (Mann-Whitney: $p < 0.05$) is observed only between the charge 3.5 cm/d and charges 10 and 12.5 cm/d (Figure 2(a)).

The TKN values ranged from 400 to 1000 mg/L. For this parameter, significant differences (Mann-Whitney: $p < 0.05$) were observed between the charge 7.5 cm/d and those of 10 cm/d and 12.5 cm/d on the one hand, and between the charge 10 cm/d and that of 12.5 cm/d on the other hand (Figure 2(b)).

3.2. Treatment Efficiency of the Reactors
Throughout the period of monitoring reactors, the removal efficiencies of the total oxygen demand (TOD) of the Non Aerated Reactor range from 98 to 94% according to the different treated polluting charges. The mean values of the TOD of the effluent leaving the Non Aerated Reactor vary from 89 to 245 mg O₂/L. The Non Aerated Reactor has also less acidic pH (5.2) compared with Aerated Reactor whose pH stabilize at more acidic values (3.3). The removal efficiencies of the TOD of the Aerated Reactor vary from 98 to 96%. This reactor restores the TOD to average values from 100 to 180 mg O₂/L. In addition, reductions in fecal coliforms in the range of 3.5 to 4.6 ULog are obtained for both reactors (Table 2).

For hydraulic loads below 10 cm/d, values of pH TKN and $\text{NO}_3^-$ filtrates obtained were not significantly different (Mann Whitney test: $p > 0.05$) from one reactor to another (Figure 3). The removal efficiencies of...
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Figure 2. Variation of COD (a) and TKN (b) of sludge at different applied charges. \( p \) = significance level of Kruskal-Wallis non parametric test.

Figure 3. Variation of physicochemical parameters of Non Aerated Reactor (NAR) and Aerated Reactor (AR) to different hydraulic charges averages. \( H_m \) = average hydraulic charges. \( p \) = significance level of Mann-Whitney non parametric test.

COD are significant to the Non Aerated Reactor at the level of charges 3.5 cm/d and 5 cm/d. For charges 10 cm/d and 12.5 cm/d, the Aerated Reactor provides the best removal efficiencies of COD and TKN, the lowest pH values and highest concentrations of \( \text{NO}_3^- \) (of about 70 mg/L) (Figure 3).

3.3. Monitoring Output Flows

During feeding reactors with clear water at charge 3.5 cm/d, outflow of each reactor are identical throughout the test period (Figure 4). The results of the first days are the same as the 15th and 30th days of feeding. The maximum outflow (Qmax) at this charge is 7.08 cm³/s for Aerated Reactor and 10.02 cm³/s for Non Aerated Reactor.

In contrast, with sludge, outflows decrease with time (Figure 5). For Aerated Reactor, at the charge of 3.5 cm/d, the Qmax stabilizes to 4.08 cm³/s after three months of operation, which is equivalent to a reduction of 42.40% of outflow. For Non Aerated Reactor, outflow stabilizes to 3.91 cm³/s after three months of operation, equivalent to a reduction of 56.55%. When the charge increases to 5 cm/d, the Qmax stabilizes to 5.20 cm³/s for Aerated Reactor and 4.66 cm³/s for the Non Aerated Reactor. These values correspond respectively to 54.33% and 58.10% of reductions of outflow. At the charge of 7.5 cm/d, the output rates are estimated to 11.52 cm³/s for Aerated Reactor and 10.95 cm³/s for Non Aerated Reactor. The reductions of outflows are of about 56% and
Table 1. Summary of methods used to analyze physicochemical and microbiological parameters [15]-[20].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Methods of analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>In situ measurement using HACH pH meter EC 10. Electrochemical with glass electrode (NF T 90-008) [17].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debit</td>
<td>Instantaneous measurement of volumes restored and their time of appearance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonium</td>
<td>Spectrophotometric indophenol blue method (NF T 90-015) [17].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrate</td>
<td>Spectrophotometric sodium salicylate method (NF T 90-045) [17].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (TKN)</td>
<td>Method after mineralization with selenium (NF T 90-010) [17].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)</td>
<td>Potassium dichromate oxidizability Method (NF T 90-101) [17].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humidity</td>
<td>Difference in mass of the sample before and after drying at 105°C divided by the mass of the sample [16]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic Matter</td>
<td>Difference in sample mass between 105°C and 550°C divided by the sample mass [19]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory activity of the biomass</td>
<td>Trapping CO2 in sodium hydroxide Method [20].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fecal Coliforms</td>
<td>Isolation of fecal coliforms by incorporation in Eosin Methylene Blue (EMB) Method (NF T90-416) [17].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Oxygen Demand (TOD)</td>
<td>TOD is deduced from the concentration of COD and NTK using the following equation: DTO = DCO + 4.57NTK [15].</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Treatment performance of reactors according hydraulic charges applied.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reactor</th>
<th>Treatment efficiency (%) at different Hydraulic charges</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.7 cm/j</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNA</td>
<td>98.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RA</td>
<td>98.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNA</td>
<td>96.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RA</td>
<td>94.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNA</td>
<td>98.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RA</td>
<td>97.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fecal coliform (ULog)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNA</td>
<td>3.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RA</td>
<td>3.52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4. Variation of outflow rate (Qmax) with clean water of the reactors over time.
59.30% respectively. At 10 cm/d, the outflows are stabilizing at 14.82 cm³/s for Aerated Reactor and 13.12 cm³/s for Non Aerated Reactor. Reductions of outflows are respectively equal to 58.60% and 60.74%.

3.4. Organic Matter

After three months of functioning, the essential of organic matter is accumulated in the first five centimeters of the reactors. The accumulations of organic matter in the filter beds are 43.52 mg/g of sand in Aerated Reactor and 53.33 mg/g of sand in Non Aerated Reactor for the hydraulic charge equal to 3.5 cm/d (Figure 6). When hydraulic charge increases to 5 cm/d, the accumulation rate of organic matter passes to 49.56 mg/g of sand for Aerated Reactor and 58.76 mg/g of sand Non Aerated Reactor. At charge 7.5 cm/d, the organic matter increases to 56.54 mg/g of sand for the Aerated Reactor, and 64.75 mg/g of sand for Non Aerated Reactor. For the hydraulic charge equal to 10 cm/d, the organic matters go to 61.57 mg/g of sand and 77.59 mg/g of sand respectively in Aerated Reactor and Non Aerated Reactor.

3.5. Respiratory Activity of Microorganisms in the Filter Bed

The results of the biomass respiratory activity of contained in the filter bed during the feeding phases showed that most of the CO₂ is produced in the first five centimeters of filter bed (Figure 7).

After three months of functioning under the hydraulic charge of 3.5 cm/d, we found a rate of 0.25 mg CO₂/g of sand in the Aerated Reactor and 0.3 mg CO₂/g of sand in the Non Aerated Reactor. At hydraulic charge of 5 cm/d, we collected a CO₂ level of 0.295 mg/g of sand in the Aerated Reactor, and 0.398 mg/g of sand in the Non Aerated Reactor. Under the hydraulic charge of 7.5 cm/d, the CO₂ recorded in Aerated Reactor and Non Aerated Reactor is respectively 0.387 mg/g of sand and 0.48 mg/g of sand. When the charge increases to 10 cm/d, the CO₂ rate moved to 0.498 mg/g of sand for the Aerated Reactor and 0.615 mg/g of sand for the Non Aerated Reactor.

Furthermore, when the reactors are laid to rest after running under hydraulic charges 3.5 cm/d, 5 cm/d, 7.5 cm/d and 10 cm/d the CO₂ levels falls to 0 mg/g sand for two reactors after respectively one, two, three and four weeks of rest.

4. Discussion

This study was devoted to the treatment of sludge from septic tanks by Unsaturated Flow Drying Bed (UFDB), in climatic and socio-economic conditions of the tropical countries of Africa.

The results show that for hydraulic charges below 10 cm/d there is no difference in treatment efficiency of sludge from the aerated reactor and unventilated reactor. For the charge 10 cm/d and 12.5 cm/d, the aerated reactor has the highest yields with discharges of COD and TKN respectively about 60 mg O₂/L and 40 mg O₂/L compared to unventilated reactor. This shows that we need more oxygen to degrade the additional pollution related to the augmentation of manageable hydraulic charge [20].

In aerated reactor, the additional oxygen is brought by lateral ventilation, which is not the case for the unventi-
tilated reactor. The difference in oxygenation is also measured through the difference in pH which is more acid (3.2) for a better oxidation in RA, while it is less acid (5.3) for a worse oxidation in unventilated reactor. Indeed, to the hydraulic loads of 3.5 cm/d, 5 cm/d and 7.5 cm/d, the pH at exit of the two reactors are acid. This shows good oxidation reaction as [22] have observed in the case of slightly alkaline wastewater with high organic charges, where the fall of the pH is related to the acidifying tendency of biological oxidation reactions of carbon and nitrogen.

Thus, for the hydraulic charges below 10 cm/d, the oxygen supplied is sufficient. Indeed, under these charges, the fractional contributions keep pollution in the first centimeters of filter bed [23]. Under these conditions, aeration by diffusion through the infiltration surface, due to two rest days, allows oxygen to reach all the pollution in the two reactors.

This is not the case for the 10 cm/d and 12.5 cm/d charges, where pollution penetrates deeper. In fact, with a hydraulic charge equal to twice the average of hydraulic charge, pollution is accumulated more than 50 cm deep in the filter bed composed of sand with porosity 0.4. Under these conditions, the two days rest were not enough for diffusive oxygen through the infiltration surface, reaching down pollution in the case of Non Aerated Reactor [24].

In contrast, at the aerated reactor, the diffusive ventilation through the lateral surface provides the oxygen necessary for the degradation of pollution in button, so that the elimination of pollution of the Aerated Reactor is better than that of Non Aerated Reactor. Moreover, the pH of the effluent at the outlet of the aerated reactor is more acidic than that of the Non Aerated Reactor. This reflects better oxygenation of the Aerated Reactor compared to Non Aerated Reactor to these charges.
The results also show a strong nitrogen removal with a yield of over 96%. Indeed, fractional inputs of septic tanks sludge allows the mixing of wastewaters of different ages, and the coexistence of aerobic areas and anaerobic areas in the Unsaturated Flow Drying Bed (UFDB). The long periods of rest allow the oxidation of nitrogen. Thus, the new water rich in carbon, brought during feeding, mixes with the old water, rich in nitrate, formed during the rest phases [22] [25]. Furthermore, the nonhomogeneous aeration of the filter bed of the UFDB favors the existence of aerobic and anaerobic zones from the periphery to the center of this type of reactor [26]. These conditions which are met in the case of UFDB, explain the coexistence of nitrification and denitrification to provide the biological removal of nitrogen [27]-[31].

From the hydrodynamic, results of tests with clear water for a period of 30 days showed that the output flows of the reactors do not vary. Contrariwise, these flows decrease in the course of feeding with sludge from septic tanks. For each reactor, the reduction is even more important than the applied hydraulic charge is high. The element that differs in both cases is the quality of the effluent provided (water and sludge from septic tanks). Thus, lower output rates can be explained with sludge from septic tanks, by reducing the hydraulic conductivity due to the reduction of the pore space caused by the establishment and growth of the biofilm [16] [32]. This is highlighted by the results of organic matter on the one hand, and respiratory activity on the other hand. Indeed, after three months of supplying, the rate of organic matter grows from 0 mg/g to 43.52 mg/g in the case of aerated reactor, and from 0 mg/g to 53.33 mg/g in one of Non Aerated Reactor.

The biological nature of this organic matter is revealed by the respiratory activity of biofilm measured by the vertical profile of CO₂ levels. The highest values are measured in the first five centimeters, where there is a large accumulation of organic matter. Moreover, the setting at rest of the reactors allows the reduction of the organic matter, and the cessation of production of CO₂. Indeed, after a month of rest, the rate of organic matter increases from 43.52 mg/g of sand to 7 mg/g of sand for the Aerated Reactor, and 53.33 mg/g of sand to 13 mg/g sand for the Non Aerated Reactor. This fact not only denotes the organic nature of the elimination of carbonaceous and nitrogenous pollution by UFDB, but also the reversibility of the UFDB filter bed clogging [20].
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