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Abstract

Background: The effectiveness of a standard anti-tuberculosis (TB) treatment regimen correlates with *in vitro* drug susceptibility pattern of the infecting tubercle bacilli. The results of the drug susceptibility tests help select a proper treatment regimen or modify treatment regimen for a better management of patients and surveillance and timely control of the spread of the drug resistant TB in the community. Treatment of drug resistant TB is costly, and the outcomes, including survivorship, can be poor. As the result, the drug susceptibility test has become more important than ever. Objective: To determine the drug-susceptibility pattern of *M. tuberculosis* and *M. bovis* isolated from selected sites of Ethiopia. Methods: The conventional indirect Löwenstein-Jensen (L-J) proportion method was used to detect the drug susceptibility pattern of 29 isolates of *M. tuberculosis* and 21 isolates of *M. bovis* to four anti-TB drugs (streptomycin, rifampicin, isoniazid and ethambutol). Results: Resistance was observed only in *M. tuberculosis* isolates while all isolates of *M. bovis* were fully susceptible to the four drugs. Thus, the overall resistance of *M. tuberculosis* isolates to any of the four drugs was 51.7%. As such, any type of drug resistance was most frequent to streptomycin (41.3%) followed by isoniazid (20.6%) while it was minimal to rifampicin (6.8%) and ethambutol (3.4%). Multidrug resistant TB (MDR-TB) was not detected in the study. Conclusion: This preliminary study showed high level of resistance in *M. tuberculosis* isolates warranting appropriate use of anti-TB drugs in those sites from where the isolates were obtained.
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1. Introduction

The treatment of tuberculosis (TB) has been threatened by the increasing number of patients with drug resistant TB [1]. The most significant emergence has been that of the multi-drug resistant (MDR) strains, where single isolate is resistant to rifampicin and isoniazid, the two most potent anti-TB drugs. The treatment of MDR strains is prolonged and expensive with toxic second line drugs [2] [3].

Drug resistance has reached alarming levels with the emergence of strains that are virtually untreatable with existing drugs. MDR TB strains have emerged in all regions of the world and in Africa may be more prevalent than previously appreciated [4].

In Ethiopia, resistance to individual first-line anti-TB drugs is increasing. A study conducted a decade ago in the country revealed the overall prevalence of resistance to one or more anti-TB drugs to be 37.3%. Primary and acquired resistance was 32.5% and 51.2% respectively. MDR was detected in 3.5% of cases who had previous history of treatment [5]. But another study in 2001 indicated that over all resistance to one or more of the anti-TB drugs was 19.5% [6] and in 2008, Desta et al. reported no MDR TB but 13.5% of polyresistant (resistant to two or more drugs other than simultaneous resistance to rifampicin and isoniazid) strains from smear negatives [7].

Human TB of animal origin caused by *Mycobacterium bovis* (*M. bovis*) is becoming increasingly important in developing countries. In Sub-Saharan Africa, humans and animals share the same microenvironment and water holes, especially during droughts and the dry season, thereby, potentially promoting the transmission of *M. bovis* from animals to humans. It is zoonotic, being transmitted to humans by an aerogenous route and/or through consumption of infected milk and other cattle products [8] [9]. It has been estimated that *M. bovis* accounts globally for 3.1% of all human TB cases (2.1% of all pulmonary and 9.4% of all extra-pulmonary tuberculosis (EPTB) cases) [10].

In Ethiopia, there is increasing evidence that *M. bovis* infections may be much more significant than generally considered [11]. Several studies conducted in Ethiopia have confirmed the transmission of *M. tuberculosis* and *M. bovis* between humans and cattle [12]-[15].

MDR strains of *M. bovis* have been associated with human cases in European countries with genotypes matching both medical and veterinary isolates. For example, Guerrero et al. reported the nosocomial transmission of *M. bovis* resistant to eleven drugs in people with advanced human immunodeficiency virus-1 (HIV-1) infection in Spain [16]-[18]. Patients infected with MDR TB strains not only pose a threat to themselves but also to the community as well [19]. Although the endemic nature of bovine tuberculosis (BTB) and the risk of human infection have been known for long, the pattern and extent of drug susceptibility of the etiologic agent of this human threat largely remained undetermined. Therefore, evaluation of drug susceptibility of *M. bovis* strains is important, not only for estimating such risk but also to understand the effect of uncontrolled use of antibiotics and the magnitude of influence of the transmission of drug resistant or MDR *M. bovis* strains on treatment of human TB [20], necessitating continued periodic monitoring of *M. bovis* isolates for development of antimicrobial resistance [16] [17].

The effectiveness of a standard anti-TB treatment regimen correlates with in vitro drug susceptibility pattern of the infecting tubercle bacilli. The results of the drug susceptibility tests help select a proper treatment regimen or modify treatment regimen for a better management of patients and surveillance and timely control of the spread of the drug resistant TB in the community. Treatment of drug resistant TB is costly, and the outcomes, including survivorship, can be poor. As the result, drug susceptibility test has become more important than ever [21]-[24].

In Ethiopia, although a little information is available on the drug susceptibility patterns of *M. tuberculosis* strains, there is no information on drug susceptibility pattern of *M. bovis* strains. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to evaluate the drug susceptibility patterns of *M. tuberculosis* and *M. bovis* strains isolated from selected sites of Ethiopia.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Site and Isolates

We hypothesize that drug resistant *M. tuberculosis* and *M. bovis* are prevalent in the study sites. To prove or disprove this hypothesis, a study was conducted on *Mycobacterial* species previously isolated from humans and
cattle, characterized and appropriately preserved in the mycobacteriology laboratory of Aklilu Lemma Institute of Pathobiology, Addis Ababa University. The *M. bovis* isolates were obtained from cattle tissue samples collected from Kombolcha abattoir, northeastern Ethiopia; while *M. tuberculosis* isolates were recovered from sputum samples of patients who became smear positive from Sellale (Central Ethiopia), Wolaita (Southern Ethiopia) and Nekemte (Western Ethiopia). The patients from Sellale comprise both new and re-treatment cases; while cases from Wolaita and Nekemte are new cases only. At the time of the study, the patients were on DOTS chemotherapy as per the national guideline at local hospital and district health centers. Acid fast (Ziehl-Neelsen) staining [25] was done for all of the study isolates to check for purity of the isolates. The specific number of isolates obtained from different sites is shown in Table 1.

### 2.2. The Drugs

First line anti-TB drugs including, ethambutol, isoniazid, rifampicin, and streptomycin, all from Sigma Chemicals Co., Germany, were used in this study. Stock solutions for all the four drugs were prepared as per the standard procedure. Stock solutions of isoniazid, streptomycin, and ethambutol were prepared in sterile distilled water (SDW), whereas rifampicin was prepared in ethanol and methanol. Working solution of isoniazid was prepared by further diluting it with SDW. All the three stock solution and working solution of isoniazid were sterilized by filtering through 0.22 μm membrane filter. The first 20% of the filtered solution were discarded, as most of the filters may initially retain some of the drug. The drug solutions were added to Lowenstein-Jensen (L-J) media to give a critical concentration (μg/ml) of: streptomycin 4 μg/ml, isoniazid 0.2 μg/ml, rifampicin 40 μg/ml, and ethambutol 2 μg/ml.

### 2.3. Drug Susceptibility Testing

Isolates that were frozen were thawed at room temperature. Three drops were inoculated onto a slant of L-J medium to get a primary culture. All *M. bovis* isolates were inoculated on pyruvate containing media, whereas *M. tuberculosis* on glycerol containing media and incubated at 37°C temperature and examined every week for bacterial growth. To prepare test inoculum, a loop full of *Mycobacteria* from the culture was taken and emulsified in a test tube containing 1 ml of SDW and 6 - 7 glass beads. About 4 ml of SDW was added to it and allowed to stand for the coarse particles to settle down. The *Mycobacterium* solution was carefully decanted to other clear, sterile test tubes, and the opacity/turbidity was matched with McFarland standard no. 1 and adjusted by addition of SDW drop by drop. This bacterial suspension was estimated to contain 10^6 to 10^8 CFU/ml. Two dilutions (10^{-2} and 10^{-4}) were prepared from this bacterial suspension. A loop full of each dilution was inoculated to drug containing and drug free L-J media in test tubes. All the samples were incubated at 37°C and examined every week for growth.

On the twenty eighth and forty second days, test results were recorded by counting the number of colonies grown on each of drug containing and drug-free medium. Simultaneously, colony counts were recorded on a chart prepared particularly for this purpose. No further reading of the test was made for those strains classified as resistant on the twenty eighth day. A second reading was made on the forty second day for those strains whose result was sensitive at the twenty eighth day. The final definitive results for all the four drugs were reported on forty second day. The proportion of resistant bacilli was calculated by dividing the number of colonies obtained on drug containing medium with the number of colonies on drug free medium. Counting was made when the number of colonies on drug free was between fifty and one hundred and fifty so that the number of colonies is countable. The critical proportion of resistant bacilli required to define a strain as a resistant was 1% for each of the 4 drugs. A bacterial growth of more than 1% was taken as resistant. The proportion of bacteria less than 1% was considered as susceptible [26] [27].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Number (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wolaita</td>
<td><em>M. tuberculosis</em></td>
<td>9 (18%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kombolcha</td>
<td><em>M. bovis</em></td>
<td>21 (42%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sellale</td>
<td><em>M. tuberculosis</em></td>
<td>19 (38%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nekemte</td>
<td><em>M. tuberculosis</em></td>
<td>1 (2.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>50 (100%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.4. Quality Control

All the recommended standard procedure [26] [27] were obeyed. The color of the media was checked before using it for culture, and only those media with appropriate color (light green) were chosen. Prior to inoculation all the media prepared in a day were incubated at 37°C for 48 hours to check for any contamination. H37Rv *M. tuberculosis* was used in each batch of drug susceptibility test for internal quality control purpose.

3. Result

This report is the first report on study of drug susceptibility of *M. bovis* in the country. Drug resistance patterns of the study isolates is presented in Table 2.

No drug resistance was observed for *M. bovis*. All the resistant isolates were *M. tuberculosis*. The overall resistance of *M. tuberculosis* to any of the tested drugs was 51.7%, but the rest 48.3% were fully susceptible to the drugs. Resistance to only one drug was observed in 34.4% of the isolates, whereas resistance to any of the two drugs was detected in 13.7% of the study isolates. Resistance to any of the three drugs was detected in 3.4% of the isolates.

Any type of drug resistance was most frequent to streptomycin (41.3%), followed by isoniazid (20.6%), while resistance was minimal to rifampicin (6.8%) and ethambutol (3.4%). Mono-resistance to streptomycin, ethambutol, rifampicin and isoniazid is 24.1%, 0%, 3.4%, and 6.8% respectively.

Combined drug resistance among study isolates was obtained more frequently to streptomycin and isoniazid (10.3%) than to any combination of all other drugs. A single isolate resistant to streptomycin and rifampicin was also observed in this study. Triple resistance to streptomycin, ethambutol and isoniazid was 3.4%. Resistance to all the four first-line anti-TB drugs and MDR-TB was not observed in the study.

*M. tuberculosis* isolates obtained from Sellale showed high rate of any type of drug resistance (41.4%) than isolates from Wolaita Sodo (10.3%). One isolate that was obtained from Nekemte was susceptible to all anti-TB drugs tested.

4. Discussion

The overall resistance rate of *M. tuberculosis* to one or more anti-TB drugs of 51.7% found in the present study, is similar with the previous report in the country, 51.2% [5]. This result shows that there is high rate of resistance in *M. tuberculosis* strains to any of the four first-line anti-TB drugs in the sites from which the study isolates were obtained.

The resistance rate recorded for streptomycin (41.3%) in *M. tuberculosis* isolates in the present study, is comparable with the report from Bangladesh, 55.65% [28]. On the other hand, it is higher than the report from Arsi zone (11.4% in primary resistance and 10.5% in acquired resistances) [6] and Addis Ababa, 24.3% [7]. As shown in Table 2, most of the streptomycin resistant isolates were from Sellale area. This drug has been in use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug Resistance</th>
<th>Mycobacterial Species</th>
<th>Origin Sites</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>M. tuberculosis</em></td>
<td>Sellale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fully Susceptible</td>
<td>14 (48.3%)</td>
<td>8 (27.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resistance to Any Drug</td>
<td>15 (51.7%)</td>
<td>11 (37.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resistance to One Drug Only</td>
<td>10 (34.4%)</td>
<td>7 (24.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streptomycin</td>
<td>7 (24.1%)</td>
<td>6 (20.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethambutol</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rifampicin</td>
<td>1 (3.4%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isoniazid</td>
<td>2 (6.8%)</td>
<td>1 (3.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poly Resistance</td>
<td>5 (17.2%)</td>
<td>4 (13.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streptomycin &amp; Isoniazid</td>
<td>3 (10.3%)</td>
<td>3 (10.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streptomycin &amp; Rifampicin</td>
<td>1 (3.4%)</td>
<td>1 (3.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streptomycin, Ethambutol &amp; Isoniazid</td>
<td>1 (3.4%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
since the beginning of TB chemotherapy as of 1943 [29]. Furthermore, it is widely used to treat infectious diseases other than TB. Hence, high rate of resistance to streptomycin is expected. The relative ineffectiveness of streptomycin, route of administration, and the low level of resistance to ethambutol justify the most recent replacement of streptomycin by ethambutol by the Ethiopian National TB Program. However, the drug is still in use in the treatment of different types of TB cases [30]. Therefore, follow up of resistance to this long-aged anti-TB drug requires due attention.

Next to streptomycin, the rate of resistance to isoniazid was higher (20.6%) than the rest two drugs: ethambutol and rifampicin in the current study. Previous studies in Ethiopia showed that the frequency of resistance to isoniazid ranges from 4.1% to 21% [6] [7] [31]. An alarmingly high rate of resistance (76.03%) to isoniazid was reported recently from Bangladesh [28].

A resistance rate of 6.8% to rifampicin observed in the present study is higher than the previous reports, 1.4% [7] and 2.5% [31] in the country and not very different from that reported from Tanzania, 4.5% [32]. In the present study, compared to streptomycin and isoniazid, rifampicin has still low resistance rate in spite of its indiscriminate use for other bacterial infections. Although, rifampicin resistance occurs most often in strains that are also resistant to isoniazid, no simultaneous resistance to isoniazid and rifampicin was observed in this study. It has been noted that mono resistance to isoniazid is common but monoresistance to rifampicin is quite rare [33]-[35]. Moreover, detection of polyresistance in the present study indicates the chance of occurrence of MDR-TB. Hence, detection of resistance to rifampicin should be considered seriously because it may accompany resistance to isoniazid, which is very notorious if occurs simultaneously.

In this study, no MDR-TB cases were detected. However, earlier studies in Ethiopia have reported the presence of MDR-TB in 1.2% of new and up to 12% of the re-treatment cases [30] [35]. The possible explanation of not detecting MDR-TB can be due to the small number of study isolates used in the current study. The overall low level of MDR-TB reports from the country can be due to the lack of frequent surveillance and inadequate facilities for susceptibility testing.

On the other hand, although the emergence and trans-continental spread of MDR *M. bovis* was evidenced [17], both mono-, poly- and MDR *M. bovis* was not detected. This is probably due to absence of treatment pressure in cattle population used in the present study. However, this does not guarantee the absence of transmission of drug resistant TB from humans to cattle, as *M. tuberculosis* has been already isolated from cattle in previous studies [12] [14] [36]. If cattle acquire drug resistant TB from humans, they in turn can transmit it to those at risk. The use of anti-TB drugs for prophylactic or therapeutic purposes for TB and other infectious diseases in human than in cattle leads to selective pressure favoring spread of drug resistant bacilli in humans than in cattle.

5. Limitation of the Study

The study may not ideally represent the general population. However, a finding based on representative study isolates does not change the fact that there is drug resistance in *M. tuberculosis* in the sites from where the isolates were obtained.

6. Conclusion

Anti-TB drug resistance to streptomycin, isoniazid, rifampicin and ethambutol is higher in *M. tuberculosis* isolates. Prevalence of streptomycin drug resistance is higher than that of rifampicin, ethambutol, and isoniazid among *M. tuberculosis* isolates. The present absence of drug resistance in *M. bovis* isolates does not mean that there is no drug resistant *M. bovis* in the sites from where the isolates were obtained. A large scale study is required to confirm the presence or absence of drug resistance in *M. bovis*.
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