ICT and Inclusion in Higher Education: A Comparative Approach

Valentina Della Volpe
Department of Human and Social Sciences, Educational Research, Foro Italico University, Rome, Italy
Email: valentina.dellavolpe@uniroma4.it

Received 1 June 2015; accepted 13 September 2015; published 18 September 2015

Abstract

The following research describes an attempt to combine ICT and Special Education through an inclusive pedagogical perspective. It examines how an innovative use of Information and Communication Technology can help create inclusive environments on the web. Specifically, the study focuses on the way the University responds to diversity and to e-inclusion through some development phases of an experimental research project, which is most far-reaching called “Network@ccessible: teaching/learning together and for everyone in a life project” carried out by six Italian universities and research institutions, from 2009 to 2014, and involved 1174 students (1.6% disabled students). This study is split into two main parts: 1) description and analysis of the data collected following the administration of an inquiry tool, developed to detect the climate experienced by the students involved in the online working groups; 2) investigation and detection of the elements of change that can encourage the process of inclusion in online learning environments to acquire credits allocated to the university courses. This study proposes further research to take place in the area of inclusive online university courses.
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1. Introduction

The Information and Communication Technologies (ICT) can offer good chances to spread and create inclusion processes: putting together different people and different subjects moving from an informal to a formal dimension and vice-versa during the learning process can enhance and develop a common background dimension to encounter the different educational needs of people [1].

In an inclusive context we can offer more learning opportunities by favoring the development of knowledge and enhancing the process of creating the competences in regards to the potentialities of everyone. This paper examines the roles of both multimedia and special education applied to distance university courses.

The concept of an inclusive approach of multimedia here focuses not only on the ways in which the needs of all students, including those with disabilities, in accessing, attending and achieving potentials on the program are met, but also on the ways the University responds to diversity under the following elements: quality of educa-
tional path, method and organization of educational environment, tutors’ professional skills, integrated strategies and methodologies [2].

The research aims at creating the conditions to develop inclusive contexts on the Internet, by connecting learning and pedagogical aspects in the learning process together with the Internet technologies [3]-[5]. A specific focus is kept on the structural and cultural accessibility, based on the new concept of accessibility, as established by the Convention on Rights of People with Disabilities from the United Nations in 2006, validated in Italy in 2009, and reiterated in the more recent programs of the European Union [6].

We discuss the elements of change, both pedagogical and technological, that are introduced in the training in order to define an environment for virtual inclusive education.

2. Description of the Study

2.1. Delimitations of the Study

The FIRB research project Network@ccessible is a scientific experiment that has identified both the short-term and the long-term effects of an inclusive online education program for students with and without disability of master degree courses.

From 2009 through 2014, six Italian Universities and research institutions, coordinated by Lucia de Anna from the University of Rome “Foro Italico” operated the Network@ccessible program for university students, including those with disabilities, in order to help them know and think about inclusion, but mainly to be able to understand and to deal with different situations. This allows them to become participant citizens by dealing with the responsibilities coming from the principles of the above mentioned UN Convention on rights of disabled people and related problems.

If all of us fully understand the meaning of participation, i.e. helping other people’s participation, it means that we are able to listen to others and that we are creating an environment for the others, in which we can operate together [7]. Such environment can be the Internet, platforms, discussion forums, the creation of knowledge, the exchange of experiences, the diffusion of knowledge of ourselves, our issues, but also our desires and challenges.

2.2. Research Problems

The current research is aimed at finding out the answers of the following questions:

1) Tutorship Dimension. The tutor, which is expert in the inclusion processes and has the competences to develop independent learning skills in every student within the online environment by integrating pedagogical and technological competences, is he/she able to manage complexity in an online learning environment?

2) Educational Purposes Dimension. Can an online learning environment, developed to include miscellaneous university students and for the inclusion of those with disabilities, favour the cooperative creation of knowledge through a sort of social negotiation and the awareness of the different learning paces of everyone? What is the best way to organise an online learning environment to offer permanent and accessible features for everybody?

3) Planning Approach Dimension. How does a university student, in this specific case belonging to the “Foro Italico” University, evaluate the importance of an online educational path in terms of expectations, impact and results on the topic of inclusion? What is the role of the student in the teaching/learning process? What is the level of satisfaction about the own educational path in regards to knowledge, competences, achieved goals and innovation of the proposed didactics and methodologies used?

4) Interaction Dimension. Given a specific learning environment, in which the creation of the topics are negotiated between teachers and students, is it possible to detect issues, intuitions, needs and hypotheses that in the traditional didactics would get lost and the common potentials with the creation of online forums?

2.3. Research Population and Sample

We identified a sample of 1174 students (1.6% of which were disabled students). 900 of them were students in Psychology and Pedagogy (coming from different universities, namely Roma Tre University, Bologna and Naples University), while the remainder 274 were students of Human Sciences, Sport and Movement Department which were also qualifying in Special Education. 694 students were randomly assigned to a program group...
PG that received a high-quality inclusive training on the Network@ccessible platform while 480 were instead assigned to another group that received no high-quality training NPG. Because of the random assignment strategy, the students’ web training experience remains the only explanation for subsequent group differences in their performance over the project phases.

We collected data on a monthly basis, from both groups during the months September through January and again at February and May, with a missing data rate of only 10% across all measures. After each period of data collection, staff analyzed the information and developed a comprehensive official report.

2.4. Research Tool

As mentioned in the abstract, this work presents the description and the analysis of the data collected following the administration of a questionnaire, developed to detect the climate experienced by the students involved in the online working groups. The questionnaire gathered the opinions of students about the experience they lived in inclusive cooperative activities in view of four dimensions (Tutorship, Educational Purposes, Planning Approach and Interaction). The tool evaluates the quality and efficacy of the education-training experience realized and of the e-tutors formative action in the construction and facilitation of inclusive processes.

The questionnaire was developed based on the literature review, i.e. analysis and review of the literature on the specific research topic.

We have then applied the Delphi method and we have created an expert panel (group of experts) to reach the final stage. More precisely we have used a specific technique of the method, systematic and interactive, face to face meetings, so we can in fact talk about Mini-Delphi or Estimate-talk-Estimate (ETE).

The test has been validated through the measurement of the reliability of the internal coherence between areas and items and their significance (the consistency of the framework has a value of 0.970 Cronbach’s alpha. Such value is close to excellence).

We analyzed the inter-relation between the four dimensions that form the tool by calculating the stability index represented by the Pearson’s coefficient (all correlations in our analysis are substantial and positive).

The questionnaire used in the trial consists of 40 questions: the first three (A, B, C) are open questions with free answers, the others are structured questions, each of them providing predetermined responses articulated according to a Likert scale with four levels (Very, Enough, Little, Not at all). It was decided to administer the questionnaire only at the end of the training process.

Table 1 indicates the four dimensions and the subdivision of the items for each of them.

3. Data Analysis

The number of questionnaires returned and analyzed was 694 from the PG, and 420 from NPG.

The coding and decoding of the responses was conducted in SPSS, with retrospective codification using macro-categories for answers to open questions and with conventional procedures for quantitative answers to closed questions.

The questionnaires were submitted to bivariate analysis, to observe, synchronously, the actual outcome of the experience compared to specific issues; to multivariate analysis to represent the data position related to selected items on a Cartesian axis, according to a specific perspective.

In general the results obtained have been very positive and there were not large gaps between input and output opinions or between the expectations towards cooperative learning and the realization of activities in the group, except for some cases that will be highlighted below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Dimension (one)</th>
<th>Tutorship</th>
<th>Number of items</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dimension (two)</td>
<td>Educational purposes</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimension (three)</td>
<td>Planning approach</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimension (four)</td>
<td>Interaction</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In particular, regarding questions A and B, students considered that cooperative activities have allowed the
construction of relations of positive interdependence, improved the relationship with the e-tutors and have given
a favorable disposition towards the acquisition of topics concerning inclusion culture. They also expressed the
opinion that it would be interesting to have work-group activities also in other courses, as research was useful
and interesting and that in depth analysis has enhanced their learning experience because the team-work “forced”
them to reflect on their own comprehension and cooperation processes.

It is interesting to observe that within experimental groups, as far as the option “My experience during the
course gave me a new perspective on soft skills (teamwork, problem solving, ability to handle problem situa-
tion…)” in question B is concerned, the out-going data has increased by +3.4% (+3.6% for students with dis-
abilities), and in the context of control group, the alternative “The team-work will force/help you to reflect on
your learning process” has achieved an increase of +2.4% (the same for students with disabilities), and also the
alternative “the learning style and the learning pace of each student were respected” has increased by +4.1%
(+7.3% for students with disabilities). This shows that the students of experimental group found a strong help
relationship, as a scaffolding in order to facilitate the learning process, and support in team-work and hope to
find the same in other courses; on the other hand, the students have especially perceived the metacognitive di-
mension in cooperative work.

3.1. Tutorship

The results of the multivariate analysis confirms the information taken from both the univariate and bivariate
analyses, which provided a very positive feedback, especially about the importance of the e-tutor role in manag-
ing the relationship between the members of the group, both in the relational field and on the taking charge of
common responsibility in the working activity. As previously stated, the presence of an e-tutor which is expert
on special education in the course of experimental group has helped students to create a situation of online co-
operation more relaxed and effective between all students, including those with disabilities.

In particular, for students that received a high-quality inclusive training on the Network@ccessible platform,
the work group experience was satisfactory, especially for the role of the tutor as a valuable support in over-
coming difficulties.

Table 2 summarizes the skills that students attribute to the e-tutors.

This raises the issue that e-tutors of an online inclusive community have to develop, more than methodologi-
ical proficiency and accuracy to transmit an inclusive culture, or strong disciplinary, technology, communicative
skills to deal with an emerging cyber-culture.

To paraphrase Depover [8] and Moliterni [9], developing inclusive pedagogical competences would mean
developing an attitude of openness and flexibility, acquiring knowledge of societal and individual interaction,
and developing skills to critically interpret new cultural knowledge and every learning style. In short as a tutor,
mediator and facilitator of a learning methodology that makes students progressively autonomous in their own
cognitive processes.

The e-tutor, operating in an inclusive virtual environment, has to have deep understanding of the integration
processes. The e-tutor has to be able to create and activate formative processes based on specific needs of any
single student, in order to have the students succeed, by making the learning experience effective and successful.
The e-tutor might also help students follow the most suitable paths necessary to reach their personal goals.

Table 2. Student view of e-tutor roles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soft Skills</th>
<th>Pedagogical and technology skills</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Develop receptivity, collective harmony, apprenticeship, deductive learning</td>
<td>- Be an authority, expert</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Encourage motivation and keep the interest alive</td>
<td>- Be a model: knowing that, how to</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Encourage and develop cooperation and participation of all, creativity, inductive learning</td>
<td>- Promote and support advancement of knowledge on the issues of inclusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Be a facilitator, organiser</td>
<td>- Give answers, clear guidance: teach us what to do</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Be a model of how to find out</td>
<td>- Learn methods, technical advances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Be a friendly critic</td>
<td>- Focus on process of learning, research skills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Encourage to find own answers</td>
<td>- Attention to the specificity of each student</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Develop critical thinking</td>
<td>- Know students’ problems and specificity stile learning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Promote meta-cognitive learning</td>
<td>- Promote and support advancement in technology and the web expertise</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.2. Educational Purpose

In Table 3, we can notice the percentage reported in discriminating items for this investigated dimension. We have compared the values expressed by the experimental group, non-disabled students and disabled students.

The most important fact that emerges is that students who participated in the project seem to have taken a great active role in the inclusive online environment for the implementation of their own educational path.

In more detail, 71.6% of them seem to consider that the online learning environment has favored the cooperative creation of knowledge through a sort of social negotiation and 66.3% the awareness of the different learning paces of everyone.

Online work sessions have proposed didactic strategies on how to learn through acting, in which students were the main actors of the learning process in regards to self-cognition, responsibility and reflection, with an active role in the creation of their own knowledge and acquisition of competences. In fact, the main goal of the inclusion is to get students to actively participate within the class [10], and everyone has to have the same formative resources. Hence, the analysis of the data in such research area shows that one of the educative goals of the path focused on the development and consolidation of cross competences.

It is necessary to get to a proposing pedagogy that supports the conversational and dialogic value of the learning paths, in which everyone’s issues are gathered and re-elaborated through an effective interactive communication, activating a positive inter-dependence that can incentivize a socialized external learning as well as meta-cognitive skills that allow the development of personal and social skills of the students [11].

3.3. Planning Approach

A multiple correspondence analysis among items requiring considerations about management of the work has been carried out. Answers to questions 38, 39, and 40 have been cross-checked; those questions required students to indicate the course's focus (knowledge, used tools and technologies, methods and practices of inclusive education) in order to better understand the background of the course. Results of the analysis are shown in Figure 1.

The outcome was that the higher percentage of students felt that each skill, listed in 40, could have been acquired and developed through experiences similar to the one done. The most part of the students of groups (51%) that received a high-quality inclusive training on the Network@ccessible platform, responded that they prefer methods and practices of inclusive education study to optimize the working times, to exchange ideas and opinions, to become aware of the contents that are mastered and of those to delve deeper into. In particular, for sample students, the experience about inclusive topic and practices was satisfactory, especially for the management of the work in an informal way, the content sharing and the reflection about it, both in meta-cognitive and in social relation dimension. There are no significant statistical differences between the students in the experimental group (51%) and students with disabilities (52%).

At the same it is also very important to acquire good learning content (29.3% Program group, 26% Disabled students), and technological skills (29% Program group, 19.7% disabled students).

On the other hand, 47% of the students from the control group discovered that team work was more focused

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>I Agree (Strongly Agree/Agree)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The on-line course was a fast and efficient way to acquire and improve my knowledge and my skills</td>
<td>Program group Percentage % 70  Students with disabilities Percentage % 69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The working sessions have always supported my motivation and my interest</td>
<td>Program group Percentage % 85  Students with disabilities Percentage % 87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The training was innovative</td>
<td>Program group Percentage % 90  Students with disabilities Percentage % 90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The course was a creative way to acquire new soft skills (teamwork, problem solving, ability to handle problematic situations)</td>
<td>Program group Percentage % 96  Students with disabilities Percentage % 97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In the course I have actively participated in the creation/sharing of knowledge</td>
<td>Program group Percentage % 71,6  Students with disabilities Percentage % 74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The course was an opportunity to try out new learning and teaching methods</td>
<td>Program group Percentage % 93  Students with disabilities Percentage % 95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
on learning contents.

In Figure 2, we can notice that students who participated in the project seem to have acquired more skills and knowledge when compared to the no-program group. In more detail, 40% of them has familiarized with inclusion

Figure 1. Network@ccessible platform background.

Figure 2. Skills and knowledge acquired.
topics, while 92% of them claim that students with disabilities should have the same educational opportunities also in online courses and has thought of using the acquired skills in other educational settings. 84% of them claim that they have gathered new knowledge and new skills through dynamic, interdisciplinary, informal activities. All program group students claim that they care about the inclusive education more than they used to, and all program group students claim that ICT can advance the inclusion processes. On the other hand, only 40% of the students from no-program group has familiarized with inclusion topics, only 40% of them has thought of using the acquired skills in other educational settings, and they have not used new learning methods. However 70% of them claim that ICT can favor the inclusion processes.

While analyzing the data, we have found out that online learning is based on an environment that is free from the strict rules of an objective approach, but it enhances the exchange of knowledge and information, promote study and investigation within authentic contexts; encourages the growth of student responsibility, initiative, decision making and intentional learning; cultivates collaboration among students and students and teachers; utilizes dynamic, interdisciplinary, generative learning activities (methods and practices of inclusive education) that promote higher order thinking processes to help students develop rich and complex knowledge structures. Finally it helps assess student progress in content and learning to learn within authentic contexts using realistic tasks and performances.

Inclusive online learning environment engages students in a continuous collaborative process of building and reshaping understanding as a natural consequence of their experiences and interactions within learning environments that authentically reflect the world around them.

The attention moved from the product to the process, in which the strategy is measured with the variety of situations and problem, and such change impacts the planning that has to be flexible and complementary [12].

We can try to define the inclusive online learning environment as:

- A formative scenario with an integrating background;
- A context intentionally fitted for non-structured activities;
- An informal active environment.

Planning the inclusion means investigating and learning through acting and experiencing [13]; hence the nature of the project develops while it’s being carried out, and the elements of self-analysis impacts the planning hypothesis within an itinerary of development of goals, formative contents and competences.

3.4. Interaction

Figure 3 indicates that the most part of students of program group (87%) that received a high-quality inclusive training on the Network@ccessible platform have gathered new knowledge by sharing their point of view with others and by collaborative writing, 90% of them claim that multimedia tools (forums, chat, wiki, etc.) encourage and simplify communication and group work; 88% of them agree that the covered topics in the forum have offered many points for reflection on the issues and 75% of them claim that subjects and platform’s areas were very accessible and usable.

The theory of active involvement, applied to online learning environments shows that students learn effectively through the interaction with others. The individual shares the same point of view and acts alike the group he/she belongs to, thanks to stimulating working tasks [14]-[16].

Data analysis and this statement suggests that between the components of an online learning environment, the electronic tools for communication, such as discussion forums, offer multiple points of view that help develop cognitive flexibility and represent a space of shared knowledge, enhancing its dialog power.

To create an inclusive didactic environment through multimedia tools, it is important to know and let everybody know how to interact in a virtual classroom, in consideration of all other aspects such as learning, cognitive styles, intelligence quality, self-efficiency and motivation [17].

An online forum hence represents an environment where the individuals involved can observe themselves and the others, confronting with the others and with their points of view [18] [19]. Everyone can share his/her point of view and express it openly. In this way the forum can be a valid educational and formative tool, not only on an informational level, but in particular to create interrelationships with others, thanks to the usability.

4. Conclusions and Future Perspectives

The experimentation of activities carried out in the university inclusive and cooperative learning online in 5
years was overall very positive. All students, including disabled ones (the National Statistics told us that students with disabilities were 1.6% of the university population, the percentage was the same in our experimental courses), felt themselves involved in the learning process and in the construction of the course through the topics developed in work group in the Network@ccessible platform.

Students have discovered that the online work group helps to develop cognitive, meta-cognitive, and social skills. Also, they argue that the ICT can advance the inclusion processes and that similar experiences are desirable in their academic path.

Moreover, the operations of analysis, synthesis, evaluation and correction of the online path followed, the use and testing of methods and practices of inclusive education, have helped to strengthen their self-esteem, a sense of belonging to a learning community where every student should have the same educational opportunities and a positive disposition towards dialogue and openness to the others.

It was also observed, in the comparison between the two program and no-program group, that the presence of an e-tutor, who acted as a systematic and continuous support, helped to create a climate of greater cooperation related to the undertaking of individual responsibility in the performance of assigned tasks, and helped to develop the advancement of knowledge on the issues of inclusion through attention to the specificity of each student.

The findings also suggest that an interdisciplinary and an informal approach, as well as accessible and usable multimedia tools, are crucial to ensure the active participation of all students.

This study proposes further research to take place in the area of inclusive on-line university courses. In the future it would be desirable to incorporate the activities of online inclusive cooperative learning in other courses, to train students on diversity and cooperation, active listening, strategies for inclusive education, sharing of knowledge and skills, as well as to offer them an area of aggregation and dialogue using an e-learning platform.
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