The Change of Heterosexual Preference in Adolescents: Implications of Stress and Cognitive Regulation on Sexual Orientation
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Sexual orientation is a complex area. It is unclear to date how people precisely establish their preferred sexual objects. This paper presents two cases, whose heterosexual preference were changed in late adolescent age after a severe psychological event, to draw attention to the study of the possible underlying mechanism. Case 1, one identical male twin, was seriously punished at age 12 years, as he loved a girl in his classroom. Afterwards, he feared to contact with girls, and became attracted to young men at age 17 years, and kept same-sex sexual behaviors since then. However, his twin brother is always heterosexual. Case 2, a girl at age 16 years, was unexpectedly betrayed by her boyfriend, she bore great pain and distress in the beginning. Since then, she had a definite opinion that men were unbelievable, and gradually turned her heterosexual preference and had same-sex sexual behaviors with a girl classmate more than 3 years. Our case presentation indicates that severe frustration of primary heterosexual desires or behaviors and the successive cognitive regulation might lead susceptible adolescents into reorienting their sexual preference. The role of prefrontal cortex and related neuromodulatory pathways were discussed.
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Introduction

Sexual orientation is a complex area (Rubio-Aurioles & Wyllie, 2008). It is unclear to date how people precisely establish their preferred sexual objects, although many researchers signify the contributions of sex steroid hormones, sex chromosome genes, and environment and socialization factors (Arnold, 2009; Corsello et al., 2011; Garcia-Falgueras & Swaab, 2010; Hines, 2011). The differentiation of sex-specific gonads determined by the function of sex chromosome genes is a primary step in the physiological development of sex (Blecher & Erickson, 2007; DiNapoli & Capel, 2008). Then, sex-specific traits (including structures and functions) in nongonadal tissues are determined by sex steroids (e.g. testosterone and estrogen) secreted from the developing gonads, according to an absolute hormonal determinism (Arnold, 2009; Garcia-Falgueras & Swaab, 2010). Fetal exposure to sex steroids particularly plays a major organizing influence on the expression of later sexual dimorphism (Arnold, 2009). But the hypothesis of hormonal determinism has been challenged. There are good evidences suggesting that the cell-autonomous information directly provided by sex chromosome genes, but unrelated to gonadal hormones, participates in the establishment of tissue sex differentiation (Arnold, 2009; Bocklandt & Vilaín, 2007). Moreover, after birth, in addition that the early and pubertal surges of testosterone or estrogen continue to play organizing/activating roles in sex-specific body and behavioral development (Berenbaum & Beltz, 2011), environment and socialization factors gain importance (Corsello et al., 2011; Hines, 2011; Joel, 2011).

Parents/caregivers and then peers usually encourage children to develop in their physiological gender-type way, guiding by the widely-recognized psychological characteristics of sexual dimorphism.

Some of brain structures are found to exhibit sexual dimorphism, which is presumably related to sexual orientation (Herbert, 2008; Savic & Lindstrom, 2008). Many researchers pointed to the third interstitial nucleus of the anterior hypothalamus, because it is 2.5 times larger in men than in women, and this volume difference in other mammals results from prenatal testosterone exposure (Herbert, 2008; Savic, Garcia-Falgueras, & Swaab, 2010). Moreover, patterns of cerebral asymmetry and functional brain connectivity/network are also linked to sexual orientation in men and women (Savic & Lindstrom, 2008; Corsello et al., 2011). Some studies indicate that the right hemisphere is larger in men and the connection between left and right hemisphere is more extensive in women, whereas cerebral asymmetry is not pronounced in homosexual men, as well as women (Savic & Lindstrom, 2008; Hines, 2011). Homosexual men and heterosexual women displayed more pronounced between-amygdala connections and greater connections with the anterior cingulate, the subcallosum, and the hypothalamus. However, the sensorimotor cortex and striatum displayed stronger connections in homosexual women and heterosexual men (Savic & Lindstrom, 2008). The sex differences in the adolescent brain were already recognized clearly, implying the organizational effect of sex hormones and genes (Lenroot & Giedd, 2010). It is not known whether social and environmental factors engage the same neural circuitry as underlie the effects of sex hormones and genes (Hines, 2011).
There are several types of sexual orientation, such as heterosexual, homosexual, bisexual (Rubio-Aurioles & Wylie, 2008). Studies and clinical practices disclosed that sexual orientation, at least in some people, is not unchangeable (Davis et al., 1996; Friedman & Downey, 2002, 2010; Jones & Yarhouse, 2011). Some persons who had a heterosexual or homosexual life for more than ten years became bisexual when they had to deal with tough life events at middle age (Friedman & Downey, 2010). Here, we present two cases, whose heterosexual preference were changed in late adolescent age after a severe psychological event and successive cognitive regulation, to draw attention to the study of the possible underlying mechanism.

**Case Presentation**

Two cases were assessed according to the clinic practice guidelines, follow-up studies were made in case 1 for nearly 4 years by phone calls and two interviews. The study was approved by the institutional research ethics committee of the Tenth People’s Hospital affiliated to Tongji University School of Medicine, and written informed consent was obtained from each subject.

**Case 1**: A young male, at age 24 years. He is the older identical twin of two. His mother forced him to take treatments in order to return his sexual orientation from homosexual to heterosexual, as his twin brother was heterosexual, and he had been interested in girls during his early adolescent period. At age 12 years, he fell in love with an attractive girl in his classroom. He sought any chances to contact with this girl, and wrote letters to her. But the girl did not love him, and passed his letters on to their advisor. The female advisor criticized him seriously, and slapped him across the face, and read his letters in the classroom before all of his classmates. He was astonished and repressed, and felt ashamed of being treated like this. He became timid, and realized that girls were untouchable. He gradually lost interest in girls. Meanwhile, his sexual preference changed. At age 17 years, he acknowledged that he failed to grow attracted to any girl. In contrast, he had interests in young men, although his twin brother dated a girl at that time. Since then, he has been dating several male partners, being high on same-sexual activities, and his sexual preference to men has not been altered after referral to our clinic for nearly 4 years of follow-up observation. There is neither family history of psychiatric diseases, nor DSM-IV Axis II diagnosis for him.

**Discussion**

Our case 1, a male identical twin, changed his heterosexual preference from girls to young men, since being suffered a serious psychological distress at age 12 years, while his twin brother maintained the heterosexuality without any change. Previous data showed familial clustering of same-sex sexual behavior (Bailey, Dunne, & Martin, 2000; Kendler, Thornton, Gilman, & Kessler, 2000; Santtila, Sandnabba, Harlaar, Varjonen, Alanko, & von der Pahlen, 2008), but a new population-based study of twins, analyzed by the biometric modeling, revealed that the genetic effects were moderate or mild (0.34 - 0.39 in men and 0.18 - 0.19 in women), unique environmental factors contributed much more (0.61 - 0.66 in men and 0.64 - 0.66 in women) (Langstrom, Rahman, Carlstrom, & Lichtenstein, 2010). The experience of our case 1 is concordant with this recent finding. But, it is, to some extent, different from the reports in the literature, particularly of the famous “Joan/John (one of identical male twins) case”, that supported that ultimate male or female assignment decisions mainly depend upon the action of sex chromosome genes and the imprinting of prenatal testosterone (Bostwick & Martin, 2007; Friedman, 2001).

Our two cases were young man and woman, but they changed the heterosexual preference during the adolescent period, because their primary sex desires were severely frustrated. They lost their dignity, meanwhile, had to endure the unexpectedly stressful situation. They bore great pain and distress, since the adolescent brain is very sensitive to stress (Casey, Jones, & Somerville, 2011; Sebastian, Tan, Roiser, Viding, Dumontheil, & Blakemore, 2011). The sexual preference was altered in the background of the psychological stress and sufferings and after a series of behavioral/cognitive reactions, such as behavioral withdrawal, reappraisal and cognitive regulation.

Many studies have demonstrated that strong acute stress and mild repeated stress cause functional and structural changes in some brain regions, such as the hippocampus, prefrontal cortex (PFC), and amygdala, that are parts of a neural circuit that plays a central role in fear conditioning and stress responsivity (McEwen, 2010). According to animal experiments, the stress caused dendritic shortening in medial prefrontal cortex and hippocampus, but produced dendritic growth in neurons in amygdala, as well as in orbitofrontal cortex (McEwen, 2010). These structure changes induced by the strong psychological stress might compromise the development of regional sexual dimorphism in the brain/sexually dimorphic brain connectivity guided by sex chromosome and sex hormones, resulting in the conversion of heterosexual orientation in some susceptible adolescents.

As the PFC, which is responsible for the higher-order cognitive regulation (Coutlee & Huettel, 2012), is continuing to develop during adolescence and throughout the third decades of life before stabilizing at the adult level (Petanjek, Judas, Rasin, Uylings, Rakic, & Kostovic, 2011). This results in use-dependent patterns of connectivity, and has implications for understanding the effect of environmental impact (including the psychological stress) on the development of human cognitive and emotional capacities as well as the onset of age-related behavioral manifestations (Lee & Hoaken, 2007). The orbital PFC is primed to detect negative effect, and plays important role in the
reappraisal (Kalisch, 2009; Kanske, Heissler, Schonfelder, Bongers, & Wessa, 2011). The previous clinical data revealed that the operations of immature PFC in adolescents is prone to get a distorted cognitive regulation (Casey, Jones, & Somerville, 2011; Ernst & Corelitz, 2009; Sebastian, Tan, Roiser, Viding, Dumontheil, & Blakemore, 2011; Sturman DA & Moggadham B, 2011). The distorted recognition and reappraisal which possibly produced a latently converse regulation might lead susceptible adolescents changing their sexual preference against his or her physiological sex gender and primary heterosexual desires or behaviors.

In both male and female rodents, the vomeronasal system which receives pheromonal inputs was found tonically to inhibit the expression of social and reproductive innate responses typically shown by the opposite sex (Dulac & Kimchi, 2007). Male or female mice in which the vomeronasal organ (VNO) had been surgically or genetically (TRPC2<sup>−/−</sup>) ablated were found to indiscriminate courtship, or do in a complete reversal of sex-specific behavior (Dulac & Kimchi, 2007). The mounting behavior of TRPC2<sup>−/−</sup> male mice was towards both males and females (Stowers, Holy, Meister, Dulac, & Koentges, 2002), and VNO-deficient female mice attempted to copulate with other mice in a male-specific manner (Kimchi, Xu, & Dulac, 2007). It is worth pointing out that elimination of this pheromone-mediated sensory suppression during development or adulthood results in the rapid reversal of sex-specific behavioral responses, independently from the organizational action of gonadal hormones during development (Dulac & Kimchi, 2007). However, there is no reported evidence that human beings have active sensory neurons like those in working vomeronasal systems of other animals (Dulac & Kimchi, 2007). It is worth noting that in human sexual orientation is determined during early development, as well as the physiological gender, and left little room for learning models of sexual orientation (García-Falgueras & Swaab, 2010; Rahman, 2005; Savic, García-Falgueras, & Swaab, 2010; Swaab, 2004). However, their hypothesis is not compatible with the clinical data and genetic research findings (Davis et al., 1996; Friedman & Downey, 2010; Joel, 2011; Langstrom, Rahman, Carlstrom, & Lichtenstein, 2010), and our case presentation. According to the recent phylogenetic studies, there is mounting evidence that supports the roles of social learning and social recognition in determining and shaping mate choice and preferences (Kavaliers & Choleris, 2013; Verzijden, Cate, Servadio, Kozak, Boughman, & Svensson, 2012). Males and females of many species learn their mate preferences, and learning can take place throughout life, although sexual imprinting, which occurs at early stage of life, affecting pair formation at a much later stage in life, is seen in some birds and mammals (Verzijden, Cate, Servadio, Kozak, Boughman, & Svensson, 2012). Human being should not be an exception. An absolute prenatal hormonal determination is not accepted widely, but it is maintained that the hormonal prenatal milieu simply changes the threshold for the development of an appropriate psychosexuality, interacting in any case with a series of postnatal variables (Corsello et al., 2011).

Our case presentation has some limitations. First, because both patients disagreed with further examination, such as sexual and adrenal hormone test, brain imaging, and personality assessment did not carry out, corresponding data was lacking. Second, the stress and successive cognitive regulation in two adolescent cases documented retrospectively took place several years ago and no concrete data detailed the degree and the course. Third, in addition to stress and cognitive regulation, the susceptibility is also essential. Personal history, personality, executive function, family function, brain image, etc. should be studied simultaneously. All of these disadvantages have to be overcome in the future research.

In conclusion, our case presentation suggests that severe frustration of primary heterosexual desires and successive cognitive regulation might lead to reorientation of sexual preference in susceptible adolescents. The PFC and related neuromodulatory pathways may play an important role in a human mate recognition mechanism. The operations of immature PFC and related neuromodulatory pathways which are vulnerable to stress may underlie a converse regulation in these susceptible adolescents, resulting in the conversion of heterosexual orientation.
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