When Reaching Our Potential Predicts Low Values: A Longitudinal Study about Performance and Organizational Values at CallCentres
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ABSTRACT

The present study was executed using data from a call centre in Sweden in which agents answered questions regarding financial advice. The aim of the study was to investigate the relationship of call centre agents’ perceptions about the work climate and the organizational values to their performance, as measured by the organization. In Study 1, agents (N = 106) reported their experience about the work climate and organizational values. Performance (i.e., percent of time on the phone for each work day) was then assessed for the next six consecutive months. In Study 2, agents’ perceptions of organizational values were measured among a new sample (N = 262) from the same call centre. Performance was measured during the next two consecutive years. With regard to the working climate, workers’ autonomy was negatively related to their own performance. The results show also a negative relationship between organizational values and performance during the two following years. Agents seem to maintain high productivity levels at the cost of organizational core values, perhaps due to the visible and rewarding nature of productivity.
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1. Introduction

Organizations that work with specific values are more successful than the ones that do not emphasize values [1]. These core values need to be explicitly declared and lived by the organizations leaders and employees [2], and should reflect the organizations business plan and marketing strategy [3]. Moreover, it might be crucial for the organization to integrate the values into all management process, such as, performance assessment, rewards, and punishments [3,4]. If organizational values and measures of performance don’t fit, the organization risks either low productivity or that workers perceive values as shallow.

The call centre environment is a compelling and special environment in which this hypothesis could be tested, mainly due to high performance monitoring [5,6]. Most call centres define performance as quantitative indicators such as length of call, number of calls, and the percentage of the scheduled “time on the phone” [5]. This specific type of work design might imply unfavourable working conditions that might affect employees’ ability to learn how to cope with the rapid external and internal changes in working life. This work design perhaps even minimize employees’ opportunities to organize their own work, diminish their sense of freedom for making decisions and initiating action, and limit their opportunities to learn from supportive colleagues with expertise. Indeed, Taylor and Bain ([5], p. 102) suggest that “call centre managements face a plethora of problems concerning motivation and commitment, labour turnover, the effectiveness of supervision and the delivery of quality and quantity performance”.

The work climate (i.e., employees’ perceptions of how they are treated and managed in their organization) is important when the organizations try to motivate employees to allocate and enhance their efforts into their work [7,8]. The effectiveness of an organization in creating a climate in which employees are able to learn from each other or/and new experiences is crucial for the effectiveness of the development of the organization but also for the well-being of employees [9]. Call centre agents who experience less autonomy (e.g., follow without deviations a scripted dialog, intensive performance monitoring), for example, show higher levels of strain [10].
The present study was executed using data from a call centre in Sweden in which agents answered questions regarding financial advice. The aim of the present set of studies is to investigate the relationship of call centre agents’ perceptions of organisational values, their work climate, and their performance measured by the organization as percentage of “time on the phone” over a six-month-period in Study 1 and two-year-period in Study 2. This specific performance measure was used by the organization as the most important part of the reward system, that is, agents that performed the best got, for example, higher payments—a common reward system among call centres [5]. It is plausible to suggest that the core values might not be integrated in the reward system in this specific case. Hence, although a positive working climate was expected to be related to a positive view of organizational values, performance was expected to be negatively related to both variables. Due to the working design of call centres, this negative relationship between work climate and performance was particularly expected with regard to workers’ experience of autonomy, that is, opportunities for employees to organize their own work and the opportunities given for making decisions and initiating action.

2. Study 1: Learning Work Climate, Values, and Performance

2.1. Method

2.1.1. Participants and Procedure
The whole population consisted of 135 call centre agents who were invited to self-report their perception of the work climate and organizational values. All agents, no supervisors were invited to participate, were informed that their participation was voluntary and confidential. Agents were instructed to provide their “worker number” in order to trace responses from the first and second part of Study 1. All agents participated in the first part of the study and received cinema tickets for their collaboration. Participants’ performance was then assessed for the next six consecutive months by the same system handling the calls. At the end of the six months, participants were asked to retrieve their performance and to report it directly to one of the researchers along their “worker number”. Agents who provided their performance at the second part of the study received a cinema ticket for their collaboration. A total of 106 (mean age = 43.07 SD = 12.68) agents, 84 females and 25 males, retrieved this information successfully.

2.1.2. Measures

2.1.2.1. Working Climate
The Learning Climate Questionnaire (LCQ) [11] was used to measure agents’ experience of the working climate. The LCQ comprises 70 items (1 = extremely disagree, 5 = extremely agree), organized in seven subscales that provide means for looking at the working climate in more detail: Management Relations and Style (e.g., “My immediate manager makes me feel like a valuable member of the team”), Time (e.g., “I have time to do my job properly”), Autonomy and Responsibility (e.g., “I feel free to organize my work the way I want to”), Team Style (e.g., “If we ask each other for help it is given”), Opportunities to Develop (e.g., “There are lots of different ways to learn new jobs here”), Guidelines on How to Do the Job (e.g., “Information relevant to my job is kept up-to-date”), and Contentedness (e.g., “People tend to put each other down”).

2.1.2.2. Perception of Organizational Values
The agents’ perception of organizational values was assessed by simply asking agents to rate to what extent (1 = not at all, 5 = extremely good) they thought the organization lived up to the three core values explicitly stated by the managers and the organization: proactive, trustful, and helpful.

2.1.2.3. Performance
Each worker’s performance was assessed by the same system handling the calls each day over a six month period. Basically each worker has a minimum of five hours schedule each day for being logged in the system waiting and handling inbound- and outbound phone calls (i.e., “time on the phone”). The system monitors these actions and divides the cumulated “time on the phone” by the time the agent was originally schedule to be on the phone. In other words, the performance measure is a percentage of the time the organization expects the agents to be working on calls or being ready to receive calls and the actual time agents deliver. The system handles absenteeism, caused by sickness or other type of absenteeism accepted by the organization, by simply not taking those days or hours into account when the performance measure is computed.

2.2. Results and Discussion
All work climate subscales of the LCQ were positively related to agents’ perception of the organizational values. As predicted, the sub-scale of Autonomy was negatively correlated to performance over the six month period (see Table 1). In other words, low perceptions of control over how one organizes one’s work and the opportunities given for making decisions and initiating action were related to high levels of “time on the phone”. These agents do not see themselves as encouraged to take responsibility for learning and lack freedom to experiment
Table 1. Correlations, means and standard deviations, and reliability for work climate, values, and performance in Study 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1) Management Relations and Style</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) Time</td>
<td>0.24**</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3) Autonomy and Responsibility</td>
<td>0.44***</td>
<td>0.65***</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4) Team Style</td>
<td>0.39***</td>
<td>0.42***</td>
<td>0.45***</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5) Opportunities to Develop</td>
<td>0.55***</td>
<td>0.47***</td>
<td>0.69***</td>
<td>0.41***</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6) Guidelines on How to Do the Job</td>
<td>0.58***</td>
<td>0.61***</td>
<td>0.67***</td>
<td>0.63***</td>
<td>0.69***</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7) Contenteness</td>
<td>0.22*</td>
<td>0.44***</td>
<td>0.40***</td>
<td>0.39***</td>
<td>0.45***</td>
<td>0.45***</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8) Proactive</td>
<td>0.45***</td>
<td>0.26**</td>
<td>0.31*</td>
<td>0.35***</td>
<td>0.39***</td>
<td>0.41***</td>
<td>0.26**</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9) Trustful</td>
<td>0.45***</td>
<td>0.30**</td>
<td>0.30**</td>
<td>0.38***</td>
<td>0.43***</td>
<td>0.49***</td>
<td>0.36***</td>
<td>0.50***</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10) Helpful</td>
<td>0.35***</td>
<td>0.16**</td>
<td>0.23**</td>
<td>0.40***</td>
<td>0.21**</td>
<td>0.29**</td>
<td>0.26**</td>
<td>0.43***</td>
<td>0.51***</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11) Performance</td>
<td>-0.03ns</td>
<td>-0.18ns</td>
<td>-0.30**</td>
<td>-0.12**</td>
<td>-0.18**</td>
<td>-0.08**</td>
<td>-0.27**</td>
<td>-0.06**</td>
<td>-0.17**</td>
<td>-0.11ns</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean and stand. dev. (±) 4.13 ± 0.63  3.23 ± 0.81  3.27 ± 0.64  4.18 ± 0.45  3.12 ± 0.62  3.78 ± 0.51  2.87 ± 0.65  3.78 ± 0.72  3.99 ± 0.72  4.49 ± 0.65  79.47 ± 25.57

Cronbach’s α 0.87  0.90  0.81  0.77  0.80  0.73  0.81  -   -   -   -

Note: “non-significant, * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001.

and take risks. Moreover, also Contentedness was negatively related to performance—low feelings of satisfaction with the workplace climate in terms of there being many complaints, moans, and negative attitudes from colleagues led to higher performance through the six month period. A low score in Contentedness arise when colleagues do not get along well, when they tend to blame each other for the work they do and people are resistant to trying new ways of doing things [9,11].

Nevertheless, perception of the organizational values was not related to agents’ performance. Study 2 aims to explore this relationship over a two year period.

3. Study 2: Values and Performance over a Two-Year Period

3.1. Method

As part of an electronic survey regarding work climate conducted by the organization two years earlier, 300 agents from the same call centre had self-reported their perception of organizational values, using the same measure as in Study 1. For Study 2, agents performance, as measured in Study 1, was retrieved at two points in time after the originally measurement of the agents’ perception of organizational values. Data for 262 agents could be traced at the group level for both value perception and performance.

3.2. Results and Discussion

Correlation analysis showed that perceiving the organization as not living up to the value of trustfulness was negatively related to performance both in the first and second year of the study (see Table 2). Nevertheless, the perception of whether the organization lived up to being proactive and helpful was not related to performance during the two years.

As expected, experiencing that the organization did not live up to its core values led to high performance. However, the results can also be seen the other way around: seeing the organization living up to being trustful was related to workers performing less. Perhaps, agents that tried to live up to this specific value, delivering trustful answers to the customers, deviated from the scheduled phone-time they were expected to deliver. Nevertheless, the results of Study 2 need to be interpreted cautiously because only data at the group level was used.

4. Conclusions and Final Remarks

Call centers’ managers and decision makers might need to emphasize the connection between performance measures and the values of the organization. As suggested by Taylor and Bain [5], call centers seem to struggle with quality and quantity performance. Agents at call centres seem to maintain productivity levels at the
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Table 2. Correlations, means and standard deviations for value perception and performance in Study 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Proactive</th>
<th>Trustful</th>
<th>Helpful</th>
<th>Performance one year after</th>
<th>Performance two years after</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Performance one year after</td>
<td>-0.09***</td>
<td>-0.48*</td>
<td>-0.20**</td>
<td>4.27 ± 0.19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance two years after</td>
<td>-0.12**</td>
<td>-0.48*</td>
<td>-0.17**</td>
<td>82.93 ± 4.81</td>
<td>86.21 ± 5.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: *non-significant, ‘p < 0.05, ***p < 0.001.
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