Robust Non-Fragile Control of 2-D Discrete Uncertain Systems: An LMI Approach
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ABSTRACT

This paper considers the problem of robust non-fragile control for a class of two-dimensional (2-D) discrete uncertain systems described by the Fornasini-Marchesini second local state-space (FMSLSS) model under controller gain variations. The parameter uncertainty is assumed to be norm-bounded. The problem to be addressed is the design of non-fragile robust controllers via state feedback such that the resulting closed-loop system is asymptotically stable for all admissible parameter uncertainties and controller gain variations. A sufficient condition for the existence of such controllers is derived based on the linear matrix inequality (LMI) approach combined with the Lyapunov method. Finally, a numerical example is illustrated to show the contribution of the main result.
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1. Introduction

In recent years, the research on two-dimensional (2-D) discrete systems have received considerable attention, since 2-D systems exist in many practical applications such as image data processing, seismographic data processing, thermal processes, gas absorption, water stream heating, river pollution modeling, etc. [1-5]. The stability properties of 2-D discrete systems described by the Fornasini-Marchesini second local state-space (FMSLSS) model [6] have been studied in [7-15]. The asymptotic stability conditions for linear FMSLSS model based on 2-D Lyapunov equation approach have been established in [7-10]. Many publications related to stability analysis of 2-D discrete systems employing various finite word-length nonlinearities have appeared [10-14]. The problem of robust stability analysis and stabilization of 2-D discrete systems via the linear matrix inequality (LMI) approach has been considered in [15].

Recently, there has been a growing interest in the study of robust non-fragile control problems. The aim of robust non-fragile control is to design a robust controller for a given uncertain system such that the controller is insensitive to some amount of error with regard to its gain. Based on this idea, many significant results have been obtained for one-dimensional case [16-22]. Robust non-fragile control for 2-D discrete uncertain systems in the FMSLSS setting is an important problem.

This paper, therefore, deals with the problem of robust non-fragile control for a class of 2-D discrete uncertain systems described by the FMSLSS model. The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we formulate the problem of robust non-fragile control for a class of 2-D discrete uncertain systems described by the FMSLSS model and recall some useful results. The main result of the paper is presented in Section 3. In Section 4, a numerical example is given to illustrate the effectiveness of the proposed method.

Notations \( \mathbb{R}^n \) denotes real vector space of dimension \( n \), \( \mathbb{R}^{n \times m} \) is the set of \( n \times m \) real matrices, \( 0 \) denotes null matrix or null vector of appropriate dimension, \( I \) is the identity matrix of appropriate dimension, \( \mathbf{G} \) is symmetric and positive (negative) definite, and \( \text{diag}\{\cdots\} \) stands for a block diagonal matrix.

2. Problem Formulation and Preliminaries

This paper studies the problem of robust non-fragile control for a class of 2-D discrete uncertain systems described by the FMSLSS model [6]. Specifically, the system under consideration is given by

\[
\begin{align*}
x(i+1, j+1) &= (A_i + \Delta A_i)x(i, j) \\
&+ (A_z + \Delta A_z)x(i, j+1) + B_1u(i+1, j) + B_2u(i, j+1),
\end{align*}
\]

(1a)

where \( x(i, j) \in \mathbb{R}^n \) and \( u(i, j) \in \mathbb{R}^m \) are the state and
the control input, respectively. The matrices $A_1, A_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $B_1, B_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ are known constant matrices representing the nominal plant. The matrices $\Delta A_1$ and $\Delta A_2$ represent parameter uncertainties in the system matrices which are assumed to be of the form

$$[\Delta A_1 \quad \Delta A_2] = LF(i,j)[M_1 \quad M_2],$$

where $L \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$, $M_1, M_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ are known structural matrices of uncertainty and $F(i,j) \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times l}$ is an unknown matrix representing parameter uncertainty which satisfies

$$F^T(i,j)F(i,j) \leq I \quad \text{(or equivalently, } \|F(i,j)\| \leq 1).$$

Suppose the system state is available for feedback, the objective of this paper is to develop a procedure to design a non-fragile state control law

$$u(i,j) = (K + \Delta K)x(i,j),$$

where $K \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is the nominal controller gain and $\Delta K$ represents the controller gain perturbation of the form

$$\Delta K = L \mathbf{F}_k(i,j)M_k,$$

with $L \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$ and $M_k \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ being known constant matrices, and $\mathbf{F}_k(i,j) \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$ an unknown uncertain parameter matrix satisfying

$$\mathbf{F}_k^T(i,j)\mathbf{F}_k(i,j) \leq I \quad \text{(or equivalently, } \|\mathbf{F}_k(i,j)\| \leq 1),$$

for system (1) such that the resulting closed-loop system

$$x(i+1, j+1) = (A_1 + B_1K + \Delta A_1 + B_1\Delta K)x(i+1, j) + (A_2 + B_2K + \Delta A_2 + B_2\Delta K)x(i, j+1)$$

is asymptotically stable for all admissible uncertainties and perturbation in controller gain.

Now, we recall the following lemmas, which are needed in the proof of our main result. As an extension of [7], one can easily arrive at the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.1** [7] The system (3) is asymptotically stable if there exists an $n \times n$ positive definite symmetric matrix $P$ such that

$$\begin{bmatrix}
(S + \varepsilon_1 L L^T + \varepsilon_2 B_1 L L_1^T B_1^T + \varepsilon_2 B_2 L L_2^T B_2^T) & (A_1 S + B_1 U) & (A_2 S + B_2 U) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
(A_1 S + B_1 U)^T & -\alpha S & 0 & SM_1^T & SM_1^T & 0 \\
(A_2 S + B_2 U)^T & 0 & -(1-\alpha) S & SM_2^T & SM_2^T & 0 \\
M_1 S & M_2 S & -\varepsilon_1 I & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & M_1 S & 0 & -\varepsilon_2 I & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & M_1 S & 0 & 0 & -\varepsilon_2 I
\end{bmatrix} < 0. \quad (7)$$

for all admissible uncertainties (1b) and (2b) satisfying (1c) and (2c), respectively, where

$$\Delta A_1 = A_1 + B_1K, \quad \Delta A_2 = A_2 + B_2K,$$

$$\Delta A_1 = \Delta A_1 + B_1\Delta K, \quad \Delta A_2 = \Delta A_2 + B_2\Delta K,$$

$$0 < \alpha < 1.$$
In this case, a state feedback controller chosen by
\[ K = US^{-1} \quad (8) \]
will be such that, for all admissible uncertainties (1b) and (1c), and controller gain variations in (2b) and (2c), the resulting closed-loop system (3) is asymptotically stable.

**Proof:** Applying Lemma 2.3, (4a) can be written as

Using (1b), (2b) and (4b), (9) can be represented as

Equation (10) can be rewritten as

Using Lemma 2.2, (11) can be rearranged as

Premultiplying and postmultiplying (12) by \( \text{diag}\left[ I, P^{-1}, P^{-1} \right] \), one obtains

where \( S = P^{-1} \) and \( K = US^{-1} \).

The equivalence of (13) and (7) follows trivially from Lemma 2.3. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.

### 4. Numerical Example

As an illustration of Theorem 3.1, consider a 2-D discrete uncertain system represented by (1) with
In this paper, we have investigated the problem of robust non-fragile control for a class of 2-D discrete uncertain systems in the FMSLSS setting under state feedback gain variations. By solving LMI (7) using the Matlab LMI toolbox [24,25] with \(\alpha = 0.7\), we obtain the following feasible solution:

\[
S = \begin{bmatrix} 2.4802 & 0.2958 \\ 0.2958 & 18.1199 \end{bmatrix} , \quad \varepsilon_1 = 7.1774 , \quad \varepsilon_2 = 7.6242
\]

Therefore, by Theorem 3.1, we can see that the robust non-fragile control problem is solvable. A desired state feedback controller to solve this problem can be chosen as

\[
K = \begin{bmatrix} 14.4699 \\ 117.8843 \end{bmatrix} .
\]

5. Conclusion

In this paper, we have investigated the problem of robust non-fragile control for a class of 2-D discrete uncertain systems in the FMSLSS setting under state feedback gain variations. Using the Lyapunov method, a criterion for robust non-fragile control via state feedback is derived in terms of LMI. Finally, a numerical example has been presented to illustrate the effectiveness of the proposed method.
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