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ABSTRACT

This paper is concerned with the design problem of non-fragile controller for a class of two-dimensional (2-D) discrete uncertain systems described by the Roesser model. The parametric uncertainties are assumed to be norm-bounded. The aim of this paper is to design a memoryless non-fragile state feedback control law such that the closed-loop system is asymptotically stable for all admissible parameter uncertainties and controller gain variations. A new linear matrix inequality (LMI) based sufficient condition for the existence of such controllers is established. Finally, a numerical example is provided to illustrate the applicability of the proposed method.
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1. Introduction

In the past decades, the two-dimensional (2-D) discrete systems have received much attention due to its practical and theoretical importance in the fields such as multidimensional digital filtering, image processing, seismographic data processing, thermal processes, gas absorption, water stream heating etc. [1-4]. The stability analysis and feedback stabilization problems are among the central issues of 2-D discrete systems. Many significant results on the solvability of the stability problem for 2-D discrete systems described by the Roesser model [5] have been proposed in [6-12].

In [13], the solutions for the $H_{\infty}$ control and robust stabilization problems for 2-D systems in Roesser model using the 2-D system bounded realness property have been presented. The design methods for the $H_{\infty}$ and mixed $H_{2}/H_{\infty}$ control of 2-D systems in Roesser model have been developed in [14]. In [15], the optimal guaranteed cost control problem for 2-D discrete uncertain systems described by the Roesser model has been discussed.

In the recent years, the problem of non-fragile control has been an attractive topic in theory analysis and practical implement. In the implement for the state feedback control, there are often some perturbations appearing in the controller gain, which may result from either the actuator degradations or the requirements for readjustment of controller gains during the controller implementation stage [16]. Since controller fragility is basically the performance deterioration of a feedback control system due to inaccuracies in controller implementation, the non-fragile control problem for 1-D system has been investigated in [17-23]. The non-fragile control problem for uncertain 2-D systems described by the Roesser model is an important problem. However, to the best of the authors’ knowledge, such problem has not been addressed so far in the literature.

This paper, therefore, addresses the non-fragile robust stabilization problem for 2-D discrete uncertain systems described by the Roesser model. The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 deals with the problem formulation of non-fragile control for the uncertain 2-D discrete system described by the Roesser model. Some useful results are also recalled in this section. In Section 3, an LMI based sufficient condition for the existence of non-fragile state feedback controller is established and the feasible solutions to this LMI provide a parameterized representation of the controller. In Section 4, a numerical example is given to illustrate the feasibility and effectiveness of the proposed technique.

Throughout the paper the following notations are used: The superscript $T$ stands for matrix transposition, $R^n$ denotes real vector space of dimension $n$, $R^{n \times m}$ is the set of $n \times m$ real matrices, 0 denotes null matrix or null vector of appropriate dimension, $I$ is the identity matrix of appropriate dimension, $G = G_1 \oplus G_2$ denotes direct sum, i.e., $G = \begin{bmatrix} G_1 & 0 \\ 0 & G_2 \end{bmatrix}$, and $G < 0$ stands for the matrix $G$ is symmetric and negative definite.
2. Problem Formulation and Preliminaries

This paper deals with the design problem of non-fragile controller for a class of 2-D discrete uncertain systems described by the Roesser model [5]. Specifically, the system under consideration is given by

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{bmatrix}
    x^h(i+1, j) \\
    x^v(i, j+1)
\end{bmatrix} &= (A + \Delta A) \begin{bmatrix}
    x^h(i, j) \\
    x^v(i, j)
\end{bmatrix} + Bu(i, j) \\
\end{align*}
\]

where \(x^h(i, j) \in \mathbb{R}^n\) and \(x^v(i, j) \in \mathbb{R}^n\) are the horizontal and vertical state, respectively, \(u(i, j) \in \mathbb{R}^q\) is the control input. The matrices \(A \in \mathbb{R}^{(n+m)\times(n+m)}\) and \(B \in \mathbb{R}^{(n+m)\times q}\) are known constant matrices representing the nominal plant. The matrix \(\Delta A\) represents parameter uncertainty which is assumed to be of the form

\[
\Delta A = L_1 F_1(i, j) M_1, \tag{1b}
\]

In the above, \(L_1\) and \(M_1\) are known real constant matrices with appropriate dimensions and \(F_1(i, j)\) is an unknown matrix representing parameter uncertainty which satisfies

\[
\|F_1(i, j)\| \leq 1. \tag{1c}
\]

Here, the objective of this paper is to develop a procedure to design a memoryless non-fragile state feedback control law

\[
u(i, j) = (K + \Delta K) x(i, j), \tag{2}
\]

such that the resulting closed-loop system given by

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{bmatrix}
    x^h(i+1, j) \\
    x^v(i, j+1)
\end{bmatrix} &= (A + \Delta A + BK + B \Delta K) \begin{bmatrix}
    x^h(i, j) \\
    x^v(i, j)
\end{bmatrix} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
\]

is asymptotically stable for all admissible uncertainties and controller gain variations.

In non-fragile state feedback control law (2), \(K\) is the nominal controller gain, \(\Delta K\) represents the gain perturbation, which is assumed to be of the form

\[
\Delta K = L_2 F_2(i, j) M_2, \tag{4a}
\]

where \(L_2\) and \(M_2\) are known real constant matrices with appropriate dimensions and \(F_2(i, j)\) is an unknown matrix representing parameter uncertainty which satisfies

\[
\|F_2(i, j)\| \leq 1. \tag{4b}
\]

Before concluding this section, we recall the following lemmas which will be used in the next section. As an extension of the result for the global asymptotic stability condition of the 2-D discrete Roesser model given in [6], one can easily arrive at the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.1.** [6] The system (3) is quadratically stable if there exists a \((n+m)\times(n+m)\) positive definite symmetric block diagonal matrix \(P = P_h \oplus P_v\), satisfying

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{bmatrix}
    A + \Delta A + BK + B \Delta K
\end{bmatrix}^T P \times \begin{bmatrix}
    A + \Delta A + BK + B \Delta K
\end{bmatrix} - P < 0 \tag{5}
\end{align*}
\]

for all admissible uncertainties satisfying 1(b), 1(c) and (4), where \(P_h \in \mathbb{R}^{n\times n}\), \(P_v \in \mathbb{R}^{m\times m}\).

The following well-known lemmas are needed in the proof of our main result.

**Lemma 2.2.** [24] Let \(H, E, F, M\) be real matrices of appropriate dimension with \(M\) satisfying \(M = M^T\), then

\[
M + HF E + E^T F^T H^T < 0 \tag{6a}
\]

for all \(F\) satisfying \(F^T F \leq I\), if and only if there exists a scalar \(\varepsilon > 0\) such that

\[
M + \varepsilon H H^T + \varepsilon^{-1} E^T E < 0. \tag{6b}
\]

**Lemma 2.3.** [24] For real matrices \(M, L, Q\) of appropriate dimensions, where \(M = M^T\) and \(Q = Q^T > 0\), then \(M + L^T Q L < 0\) if and only if

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
    M & L^T \\
    L & -Q^{-1}
\end{bmatrix} < 0, \tag{7}
\]

or equivalently

\[
\left[
\begin{array}{cc}
    -Q^{-1} & L \\
    L^T & M
\end{array}
\right] < 0. \tag{7}
\]

3. Main Result

In this section, we are interested in designing a memoryless non-fragile state feedback controller (2) for the system (1) such that the resulting closed-loop system (3) is asymptotically stable for all admissible uncertainties and controller gain variations. Based on Lemma 2.1, we have the following main theorem which can be recast to an LMI feasibility problem.

**Theorem 3.1.** Consider the system (1) and controller gain perturbation \(\Delta K\) in (4). The system (1) is non-fragile stabilizable if there exist a \((n+m)\times(n+m)\) positive definite symmetric block diagonal matrix \(S = S_h \oplus S_v\), and scalars \(\varepsilon_1 > 0, \varepsilon_2 > 0\) such that the following LMI is feasible:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
    -S + \varepsilon_1 L_1 L_1^T + \varepsilon_2 B L_2 L_2^T B^T
    \tilde{A}
    0 & 0 \\
    \tilde{A}^T
    -S & S M_1^{-1} & S M_2^{-1} \\
    0 & M_1 S & -\varepsilon_1 I \\
    0 & M_2 S & -\varepsilon_2 I
\end{bmatrix} < 0, \tag{8}
\]

where \(\tilde{A} = AS + BU\). In this situation, a suitable non-fragile state feedback controller is given by

\[
K = US^{-1}. \tag{9}
\]

**Proof:** Using (1b), (1c), (4) and Lemma 2.3, (5) can be
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rearranged as

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
-P^{-1} & (A + B K) \\
(A + B K) \bar{T} & -P
\end{bmatrix}
+ \begin{bmatrix}
L_1 F_1(i, j) M_1 \\
0
\end{bmatrix}
+ \begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
L_1^T B L_2 F_2(i, j) M_2
\end{bmatrix}
+ \begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
M_2^T F_2^T(i, j) L_2^T B^T
\end{bmatrix}
< 0.
\]

Equation (10) can be rewritten as

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
-P^{-1} & (A + B K) \\
(A + B K) \bar{T} & -P
\end{bmatrix}
+ \begin{bmatrix}
L_1 F_1(i, j) M_1 \\
0
\end{bmatrix}
+ \begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
B L_2 F_2(i, j) M_2
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
L_1 \\
0
\end{bmatrix}
+ \begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
M_2^T F_2^T(i, j) B^T
\end{bmatrix}
< 0.
\]

Using Lemma 2.2, (11) can be rearranged as

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
-P^{-1} + \varepsilon_1 L_1 L_1^T & (A + B K) \\
(A + B K)^T & -P + \varepsilon_1^{-1} M_1^T M_1
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\varepsilon_1 B L_2 L_2^T B^T & \bar{T} \\
\bar{T}^T & -S
\end{bmatrix}
< 0.
\]

Premultiplying and postmultiplying (12) by the matrix

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
I \\
0 & P^{-1}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

one obtains

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
-P^{-1} + \varepsilon_1 L_1 L_1^T + \varepsilon_2 B L_2 L_2^T B^T & \bar{T} \\
\bar{T}^T & -S
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\varepsilon_1^{-1} S M_1^T M_2 S + \varepsilon_2^{-1} S M_2^T M_1 S \\
0
\end{bmatrix}
< 0,
\]

where

\[S = P^{-1}.
\]

The equivalence of (13) and (8) follows trivially from Lemma 2.3. This completes the proof of the Theorem 3.1.

Remark 3.1. Note that (8) is linear in the variables \(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, U,\) and \(S\) which can be easily solved using Matlab LMI Toolbox [24,25].

4. Numerical Example

To illustrate the applicability of Theorem 3.1, we now consider a specific example. Consider the 2-D discrete uncertain system represented by (1) with

\[
A = \begin{bmatrix}
1.05 & -3.0 \\
0.1 & 0
\end{bmatrix},
B = \begin{bmatrix}
0.2 \\
0.3
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

\[
L_1 = \begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
2
\end{bmatrix},
M_1 = \begin{bmatrix}
0.007 & -0.007
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

We wish to design a memoryless non-fragile state feedback controller for this system with controller gain variations satisfying (4) with

\[
L_2 = 1, M_2 = \begin{bmatrix}
0.04 & -0.03
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

It is found using Matlab LMI toolbox [24,25] that the LMI (8) is feasible for the present example and the feasible solution is given by

\[
S = \begin{bmatrix}
0.8229 & 0 \\
0 & 1.2662
\end{bmatrix},
U = \begin{bmatrix}
-1.5191 & -0.1948
\end{bmatrix},
\varepsilon_1 = 0.0631, \varepsilon_2 = 1.1237.
\]

Therefore, by Theorem 3.1, a non-fragile stabilizing state feedback control law can be obtained as

\[
u(i, j) = \begin{bmatrix}
-1.8460 & -0.1538
\end{bmatrix} x(i, j).
\]

5. Conclusion

In this paper, we have considered the non-fragile controller design problem for a class of 2-D discrete uncertain systems described by the Roesser model with norm bounded parametric uncertainties. LMI based sufficient condition for the existence of such controllers has been derived. A non-fragile stabilizing state feedback control law can be obtained if this condition is feasible. Furthermore, a numerical example has been provided to illustrate the effectiveness of the proposed technique.
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