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Abstract

Interactive patterns are able to promote the notion of reusability in groupware application development. Hence, these patterns support the comprehensiveness of both the interaction design and implementation while easing code modification for groupware application. Groupware is used to describe a broad range of technologies that support person-to-person collaboration. How to design and develop a groupware in an effective manner remains a research question worth exploring. This paper introduces a reusable method, patterns, to develop a single shared display application. Nine different interactive patterns that allow participants to interact collaboratively or non-collaboratively in a single shared display application are introduced in this paper. This is followed by the presentation of our quantitative results on the usability of the interactive patterns and the reusability of the patterns in designing and maintaining a single shared display application. From the results, not only are the patterns useful and easy to understand, the patterns actually ease the process of designing and maintenance during the application development under a single shared display.
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1. Introduction

Single Shared Display application, also known as Single Display Groupware (SDG), supports face to face collaboration among members over a single shared display where each member will have his/her own input device. It enables collaboration among people from different cultures and social practice, enriches existing collaboration at a computer, encourages peer learning and peer teaching and strengthens communication skills [1].

While various SDG applications have been developed [2]-[4], we argue that the development of SDG is still a trivial job. Neither the applications disclose the details design nor the activities behind the interaction. Hence, how to develop a SDG that is engaging, communicative, coordinating and interactivity is still a challenge. We are taking a reusable approach in dealing with this development. Our motivation is to reuse the recurring problem and solution in rapidly developing a SDG application.

We introduce a reusable method, patterns, to develop a single shared display application. Patterns are defined according to a generic template form. This template form is also known as a problem-frame in the literature [5]. The template is generally expressed by means of the pattern elements like name, intent, applicability, problem, force, solution, example, implementation, consequence and related patterns.

Nine different interactive patterns that allow participants to interact collaboratively or non-collaboratively in a single shared display application are introduced in this paper. This is followed by the presentation of our quantitative results on the usability of the interactive patterns and the reusability of the patterns in designing and maintaining a single shared display application. From the results, not only are the patterns useful and easy to understand, the patterns actually ease the process of designing and maintenance during the application development under a single shared display.

Patterns for general development of collaborative applications are proposed in [6]. When developing collaborative application, we should consider the design aspect of group memory, handle the collaborators information (e.g. access rights to the information), handle the floor of individual user and handle the collaborative session by monitoring who is entering or leaving from the collaboration. Our work is related to [6] [7]. We further detail the floor control policy pattern by introducing various “floor control” for single shared single display application. Asenso et al. [7] have introduced two collaborative activity patterns for collaborative application. We introduce more collaborative activities as reported in this paper.

In this paper, Section 2 introduces the proposed reusable interactive patterns. We first present the description on method to populate a pattern, known as pattern mining. This is followed by the description of interactive pattern catalog, details discussion on one interaction pattern and provides the brief description of the rest of the proposed interaction patterns. Section 3 presents the evaluation of the proposed patterns with the results showing the usability and reusability of the patterns in developing a shared single display application. We conclude with some remarks of the proposed methods on shared single display application development in Section 4.

2. Introduction to Patterns Pattern Language for Interactive Shared Single Display Application

Producing a pattern is not a straightforward process [8]. Systematic approaches have been proposed to identify and produce pattern by the first author. We had reviewed the development process of the multiplayer games in SDG applications previously done by others. We then captured the important aspects and this is followed by producing a draft version of the pattern description. This is known as the blue-print for the interaction design. Then, we started to prototype those interactions. Upon the completion of the prototype, we record our experience in designing each interaction to the pattern template as designed in [9]. Discussion will be conducted for every cycle of improvement. This involved turning the blue-print into concrete development. Then, we refined the pattern documentation which we known as the beta version of interactive patterns for SDG.

2.1. Pattern Catalogue for Interactive Shared Single Display Application

Figure 1 shows the pattern catalogue for interactive shared single display application. The pattern can be divided into two categories. There are data entry patterns and multi mice interaction patterns or known as interactive patterns. The details on each pattern are elaborated in the following subsections.

**Data Entry Patterns:** The data entry pattern covers the user input while interacting with the application. In general, users can interact with the system through three type’s movement: drag and drop an object into the correct position, clicking on the answer given and type in the answer. The data entry patterns are explained in Table 1.

**Interactive Patterns:** Table 2 shows the list of interactive patterns for shared single display application. The interactive patterns can further define as interaction style or interaction mode for shared single display application. The RimballMu has been designed with collaborative mode and non-collaborative mode. The terminology of non-collaboration and collaborative have been described in [10].
Tables 1. Description of data entry patterns.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Entry Pattern</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Drag &amp; Drop Pattern</td>
<td>Pattern that covers the handling of movement from one position into another position within a shared single display. This may happen within its own working space or region or the whole display.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clicking Pattern</td>
<td>The clicking pattern is the most common pattern for single shared display. It records the handling of mouse click by the user through the onClick handler and onRelease handler.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Typing Pattern</td>
<td>Typing is the basic literacy skills required of the students. In this case, the student needs to spell the words or complete the sentences. The pattern records the experience when the students are sharing keyboard as well as having individual keyboard. It is feasible to design the application with one keyboard per student but this will create confusion due to the screen size limitation. In contrary, having a shared keyboard will promote collaboration and reduce the confusion among the students in a single shared display.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Interactive patterns for shared single display application.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Collaborative Mode</th>
<th>Non-Collaborative Mode/Peer Learning/Self Learning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Feedback</td>
<td>Turn taking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediary</td>
<td>Self service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merging</td>
<td>Taking out</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Do it in reverse</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The collaborative design consists of interaction styles like feedback, intermediary and merging. While, non-collaborative design styles consists of interaction styles like turn-taking, self service, taking out, do it in reviser, non-uniform and timed pattern. How these design styles assist in the different learning approaches are tabulated in Table 3. Due to the space limit, we only briefly describe the intent for the respective pattern. Also, we present the exemplar of interaction that is developed through the proposed pattern. The system is known as RimbaIlmu, a shared single display Malay language system [11].
**Table 3. Interactive patterns description: intent and example.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Learning Approach</th>
<th>Interactive Patterns</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peer learning</td>
<td>Taking out</td>
<td>Learn to identify one or more correct answers. In this activity, the system will display the same question and choices of answers for all of the students. However, students are expected to answer the question by themselves. They are encouraged to compare and discuss their answers with their peers. Figure 2 presents the runtime aspect of the RimbaIlmu which is developed based on taking out pattern. This is the interactive pattern in which every user is assigned with a single mouse. In this interaction, each question will have 1 or more answers and students are expected to select all the correct answers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self learning</td>
<td>Self serving</td>
<td>Learn from multiple topics. In this activity, students are given three topics to choose from. They are able to choose the topic of their choice to answer. Figure 4 presents the runtime aspect of the self serving pattern. This is the interactive pattern in which every user is assigned with their individual mouse. In this interaction, the students will choose at their convenience for their desired topic in which they will have to answer a number of questions to complete the exercise.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turn taking</td>
<td></td>
<td>Learn to take turns. In this activity, turns are being assigned. They will each take turn to answer the questions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-uniform</td>
<td></td>
<td>Learn from own set of questions and region. In this activity, every student is assigned with his own set of question. However, they are encouraged to help each other. Figure 5 presents the runtime aspect of the RimbaIlmu based on the design of non-uniform pattern. This is the interactive pattern in which every user is assigned with a single mouse. In this interaction, students will be given their own respective set of questions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timed</td>
<td></td>
<td>Learn to compete with time. In this activity, time limit is given. Students will have to compete against the time and answer as much question as possible. Figure 6 presents the runtime aspect of RimbaIlmu that is developed based on the timed pattern. This is the interactive pattern in which every user is assigned with a single mouse. In this interaction, the students are given a limited time to complete the activity. Activity will end when the time is up or all the students have finished the activity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collaborative</td>
<td>Intermediary</td>
<td>Learn to take different roles and responsibility. In this activity, students take turns to take the role of student and teacher. The student will have to answer the question and the teacher will have to assess the answer. Figure 7 presents the runtime of RimbaIlmu that is developed based on the intermediary pattern. This is the interactive pattern in which every user is assigned with a single mouse. In this interaction, the students will take turn to be the teacher and the students. The current student who holds the teacher role will have to assess other students' answers by marking it as correct or wrong while other students will answer their questions in their respective region.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merging</td>
<td></td>
<td>Learn to collaboratively merge the correct answers. In this activity, students will be given a question where they will have to collaboratively merge the alphabets to come up with the answer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feedback</td>
<td></td>
<td>Learn to correctly exchange of answers with peers. In this activity, students will be given different questions with their answer falls on other student’s region. They will have to identify where their answer is and request the player who has the answer to drag the answer to his answering pool.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 2. The interaction during runtime of Rimballmu through taking out pattern.

Figure 3. The interaction during runtime in Rimballmu through taking out pattern.
2.2. Interaction Design for SDG-Merging Pattern

**Intent:** Utilizing multi-mice on collaborative learning.

**Context/Applicability:** This pattern is used as one of the strategies in collaborative learning.

**Problem:** How to work together in answering a problem given.

**Forces:** Describes the solution properties in which the pattern is situated or based in the context of the problem.

**Goal:** The main goal for this pattern is to create an interaction that manages and synchronizes multiple users and each having his own mouse.
Quality goal: Allows for simultaneous inputs without the players having to wait for their turns and thus, reduces waiting time for the players.

Role: All the users are regarded as peers in this pattern holding similar roles, i.e. learner or student.

Resource: multi mouse, single display.

Solution: This is the interactive pattern in which the player will merge the answers accordingly. In this interaction, the students will have to collaborate using their mouse and their collective intelligence to derive the answers or complete the activity. The pattern serves the following responsibility to handle multiple mice among more multiple players;
- loading the questions for the player;
- coordinating the players in the combination of the answers given, answer can be merged by replacing or combining into an answer pool.

However, the pattern leads to a constraint in which students may not agree with each other’s answer.

**Dynamic:** The dynamic element details the arrangement of the solution according to a particular context. The application context that is used when modelling the runtime behaviour of this pattern is presented in Table 4, scenario model and the behaviour models as shown in Figure 8. The running exemplar of this pattern is shown in Figure 9.

### Table 4. The scenario model of rules of the merging pattern.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rule</th>
<th>Trigger</th>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Action If Condition True</th>
<th>Action If Condition False</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R1</td>
<td>Start Game</td>
<td>4 mice are connected and initiate game start</td>
<td>Initialize game and mice</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R2</td>
<td>A player is initialized</td>
<td>Number of players initiated is not equal to total number of players</td>
<td>Initialize next mouse available</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R3</td>
<td>Players merge their answer</td>
<td>Answers merged are incorrect</td>
<td>Request answer from players</td>
<td>Record result and inform players of marks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R4</td>
<td>Completion of activity of either all the questions are answered or time has expired</td>
<td>There is next activity</td>
<td>Proceed to next activity</td>
<td>Show final result</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Figure 8. Behaviour model for merging pattern.](image)
3. Evaluation

Interactive patterns for single shared display have been presented in the previous sections. In addition, we had presented the runtime executions of the developed patterns in RimbaIlmu. As mentioned earlier, we started by first drafting the pattern design. Then, we developed a prototype of RimbaIlmu which contains various interactions to promote collaborative and competitive learning among the peers or working as group. The outcome of the prototype is to show the feasibility of the interactive design. In addition, the prototype is also used to further refine the pattern description as presented previously.

In order to evaluate the usability and reusability of the patterns, two experiments had been conducted and will be reported in this section. The study was conducted from the perspectives as both the end user as well as developer.

3.1. Analysis of the Total Response Time of the Interactive Design

The response time used by the players when giving the answer through various interactions on RimbaIlmu is captured. The response time is measured in second. The aim of this particular part of the study is to understand the usefulness of the patterns for the end users. RimbaIlmu is designed with the expectation of bahasa Malaysia (BM) literacy among the players. The difficulty of the content could influence the players’ interaction in the game, which will jeopardize the validity of our results. In order to minimise such effect, we captured only the response time based on the same level of difficulty in the game. From our observations, most of the students scored well in band 1 and band 2 where almost all of the students managed to score full marks. Hence, we analyzed only the interactions within that group of questions.

We had conducted the experiments with groups of students from two primary schools in the remote area of Sarawak. Here, we will be using the acronym SM to represent St. Micheal’s school while, the SB abbreviation to stand for SK Bario, which is another school. Each group consists of four members as RimbaIlmu is designed to support four players in a single shared display.

One of the benefits of collaborative learning is to encourage the students to hold longer discussion. This is one of the elements that we want to observe from our proposed interactive patterns. The interactive patterns we examined are intermediary pattern, turn taking pattern, feedback pattern and merging pattern. As mentioned earlier,
the intermediary pattern, feedback pattern and merging pattern are categorised under collaborative pattern. These patterns do require students’ cooperation during the working periods. Meanwhile, turn taking pattern falls under non-collaborative pattern but it requires indirect cooperation among the students. In this case, students can observe other students during the working periods.

From our empirical results (Figure 10), it is proven that the interaction time among the students in both schools is less when it comes to turn taking pattern. Despite that no timer was preset during the turn-taking, the students were able to work within a consistent time frame. This further re-enforced that RimbaIlmu is able to capture the attention of the students regardless of genders. Under the circumstances that all players have the same knowledge, students respond in a consistent manner. Further study is required to understand this more. On the other hand, we observed that the students took longer time to complete the exercise within the interactive environment using the merging pattern. The justification for this is the merging pattern requires students to compare what they have and finding a solution.

Our observation on the effect of gender in interaction, boys tend to discuss or talk more during the collaboration, hence they took longer time when working with exercises that are developed using the intermediary pattern, feedback pattern and merging pattern.

3.2. Pattern Workshop

Is the pattern reusable? This section will present an experiment conducted to evaluate the reusability of patterns in developing single shared display application. A half day workshop was conducted among the students in the Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology, UNIMAS with the aim to observe the reusability properties of the interactive patterns.

Background of the population: One of the key aspects for this experiment is that the participants are required to have similar background knowledge of the development platform. A group of second year students currently undergoing their Bachelor degree in Computer Science were selected. This group consists of students from different majoring. They were given four months training with an average of 5 days a week to learn the basic of C# programming and XNA development through Visual Studio IDE. The students are guided to build a simple C# program and XNA games. Although the students have the background knowledge of software engineering (all of them had undergone compulsory first year subjects), they do not have the background knowledge about patterns.

Experimental Design: The aspects taken into consideration when demonstrating the reusability of the patterns are the measurement of the effort, the effectiveness and comprehension of the pattern design documentation. Overall, there are eight participants who were involved. During the experiment, the students are located in a room with three partitions. Each of the students was provided with a laptop with Visual Studio 2010 installed and a mouse. In other words, they were required to develop a single shared display application that consists of two players. Due to the time constraints, the students were provided with the skeleton code. The skeleton consists partially of the code that described turn taking interaction. The experiment is conducted based on the following steps.

Step 1: Briefed the objective of this test that is to evaluate the usefulness of agent patterns. In order to avoid biasness, the specific aim of this test was not specified.

Step 2 (for the purpose of group management): The participants were divided into two groups. One is known as the controlled group and another one is known as the treatment group.

- In the controlled group, the participants were not provided with the pattern documentation. This group consists of four participants.
- In the treatment group, the participants were provided with pattern documentation.

After the groups were assigned, the controlled group was given a sample code in which this code was developed based on the turn taking pattern. The treatment group was given a sample code but with the addition of pattern documentation and the mapping guideline. The pattern documentation is described in Section 3. Readers can request for a complete mapping guideline.

Step 3: Briefed both groups. The aim of the briefing is to explain the flow of the educational application (a generic application flow was given to each of the participants).

Step 4: Both of the groups performed the comprehension tasks and questions. Readers can refer to the authors for the elaboration of the comprehension tasks. Since this test focuses on the interaction, the task only focuses
on the interaction behavior class. Game initialization was taken out as it was not feasible for beginners to code the game initialization and so forth. This is to avoid biases on the controlled group.

### 3.3. Results from the Workshop and Discussion

The average time is calculated based on the consumed time in accomplishing task from the treatment group and control group after the experiments and is shown in **Figure 11**. Reader can refer to the author for the details of the tasks given to the students. From the results, the maximum time spent by the treatment group is 87 minutes. Meanwhile the lowest accomplishment time for the treatment group is 47 minutes. On the other hand, the maximum accomplishment time for the controlled group is 190 minutes whereas the lowest accomplishment time is 98 min. From **Figure 11**, we can conclude that the interactive pattern is able to reduce the time to design a single shared display application. Overall, the adoption of interactive pattern is able to reduce the designing time for single display application by a half.

The comprehensiveness of the pattern design contributed to the reduction of time when designing and implementing an application. We had proven that by referring to the documentations when developing RimbaIlmu, the patterns allow the developer or novice to rapidly perform the development activities. The result is shown in **Figure 12**.

When further examination is conducted, we identified that the patterns support better code understanding and making code modification or maintenance easier. **Figure 10** shows the marks given to the participants upon the usage of patterns in performing several activities (*i.e.* understand the code and modify the code) for one of the interactive design pattern (*i.e.* turn taking) in RimbaIlmu.

From the test, the highest score obtained by the treatment group is 17/20 and the lowest score is 12/20. Meanwhile, the highest score for the controlled group is 11/20 and the lowest score is 8/20. Three of four members in the treatment group manage to score 15/20 and higher. By looking into the job accomplishment in modifying the code, two students from the treatment group manage to modify the code based on the tasks given. Overall, 92% of the members from the treatment group are able to modify the code whereas there only 79% of the members from controlled group manage to modify the code.

Even though the sample size is small (four members for each group), our preliminary results demonstrated that users’ satisfaction is high after adopting the patterns when developing single shared display application.

The results validity threat: In this experiment, the participants are only required to adopt one pattern, which is turn taking. Hence, it is understandable that the accuracy of the results is questionable. Under the circumstances that we had presented, the result is acceptable. Furthermore, similar trends are predicted as all of the patterns are designed in a similar format. Another concern of this experiment is the validity of the tasks. This is because the difficulty level of the tasks is included in the overall results of the test. Post-experiment survey questionnaires were conducted among the participants in order to validate the overall results. From the feedback we obtained, most of them agreed that the descriptions of the tasks were clear and the objectives of the tasks were also clear.

![Figure 10. Total response time in respect to interaction style.](image-url)
4. Conclusion and Discussion

As demonstrated in the development of RimbaIlmu, the interactive patterns are usable. Different interactions will lead to different response time, while collaborative style of patterns require much response time compared with non-collaborative style. The response time indicates the usefulness of the patterns in driving the discussion and interaction among the group members. The interaction patterns are reusable as these patterns have assisted the controlled group or novice to develop the single shared display application with minimum guidance. Finally, the patterns lead to source code comprehensiveness and this in return has easily helped the novice in developing the single shared display. As future works, more empirical studies are needed to further validate the usability and reusability of interactive patterns. In addition, more case studies are needed to reveal the potential of interactive patterns in rapidly prototyping a single shared display application.
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