The Use of Fuzzy Clustering and Correlation to Implement an Heart Disease Diagnosing System in FPGA
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ABSTRACT

In this paper we present a signal processing method capable of detecting cardiopathies in electrocardiograms that was implemented in FPGA. The adopted procedure is based on fuzzy clustering to reduce the amount of data sampling, and a comparison with samples from a previously established database. By using the correlation method on the samples, it is possible to establish an initial indication of a cardiopathy. The reduced number of samples of the clustering process turns the processing simpler and allows its hardware implementation. According to the tests conducted, the method achieves 91% correct diagnoses.
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1. Introduction

Due to the large number of death caused by heart diseases, researchers have been working on the search for solutions that can provide early detection of heart problems, and thus increase the chance of survival [1-3].

In order to diagnose a cardiopathy some factors are taken into account such as patient’s age and physical activity. Nevertheless, the main analysis is based on the electrocardiogram. Some studies [2-6] describe computer systems running signal processing techniques that evaluate the characteristics of the electrocardiograms to obtain a preliminary diagnosis of any disease. Those techniques turn possible an automatic patient diagnostic system. It allows remote monitoring that can trigger an alarm to notify the patient or the medical team, which in turn can take early actions to treat the problem as soon as it appears.

This article intends to show a signal processing technique that uses fuzzy clustering to reduce the amount of data to be processed, and a correlation method used to identify heart problems on the electrocardiogram. The smaller amount of data means also hardware simplification. It does allow FPGA implementation and provides diagnosis similar to software implementations [4-6], as it will be shown in Section 6.

Due to the presence of noise and DC components in the electrocardiograms, signal processing tools such as the third order Butterworth filter were used. The elimination of DC level allows more accuracy to the results.

The signal is them compared to a signal database and a correlation value between then is generated. The diagnosed cardiopathy corresponds to the signal from the database that shows the highest direct correlation with the signal under analysis.

Next section presents the electrocardiogram and its main features that are used to diagnose a cardiopathy. Section three describes the fuzzy clustering process that is used to reduce the processing. The fourth section presents the diagnosis based on the correlation. Section five describes validating system. The sixth section describes the tests conducted and finally the last section presents the conclusions and compares our results with others listed in the literature.

2. Electrocardiogram

The electrocardiogram is a test widely used to assess cardiac rhythm disturbances. By using electrocardiogram, it is possible obtain information of structural cardiac problems such as myocardial ischemia, myocardial electrophysiological disturbances, pericardial diseases, heart position, cardiac pacing, systemic electrolytic and meta-
bolic alterations, documentation of autonomous and pharmacological influences (therapeutic or toxic) [1]. The exam also shows the entire heart conduction path.

**Figure 1** highlights the main signal segments of a typical ECG signal. Segment P represents the depolarization that runs on both atriums, starting on the right atrium and later on the left one. The segment represented by PR is the time interval the electric pulse flows in the His, and the left and right branches. It indicates the beginning of the atrium activation until the ventricular activation.

The ventricular depolarization is represented by segment QRS. The Q wave is the negative segment just before the positive QRS. The R wave is the positive part of the QRS, and the S wave is the negative segment just after the positive QRS.

The ST segment corresponds to the beginning of the ventricular repolarization. The T wave describes the final ventricular repolarization.

The diagnosis of a cardiopathy is made by assessing and detecting any amplitude variation or time variation during each interval

3. Fuzzy Clustering

The fuzzy clustering process for data pruning [7] allows the system to process a smaller number of samples to describe the main features of the original signal. Therefore, the required processing to generate the signal diagnosis is faster and consequently the required hardware to process it can be simplified.

The clustering process consists on the application of orthogonal transformations and fuzzy clustering to extract the fuzzy rules from the input data. Each cluster is characterized by a function that indicates the output tendency due to an input near to certain values.

That process can be used to create a control system. The control actions are implemented by a system training in which the clusters are generated, and their respective memberships will perform the actions at the system output. In this system we have used known values at input and the system is conditioned to generate the desired output. Therefore, the generated clusters are functions that will provide the correct output, according to their cluster training.

In this work, the clustering process was used to identify the position and value of each cluster, since they are generated to operate in the most relevant points of the input signal in order to generate the output control. The input signal used to generate the clusters is the electrocardiogram with a cardiopathy. Therefore, the generated clusters describe the main features of a signal with a certain cardiopathy [7].

In this work, the clustering process is used to indicate the value and location of each cluster, without requiring the generation of functions or rules corresponding to each cluster.

Consider the set of N input-output data pairs where $X$ is the $n$ dimensional input vector $X = [x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n]$ corresponding to the acquisition time of each electrocardiogram signal and vector $Y = [y_1, y_2, \cdots, y_n]$ is the electrocardiogram samples generated each time for vector $X$. Here, $n$ corresponds to the $n^{th}$ sample.

The parameters $a_i$ and $b_i$ of the corresponding functions in each rule are obtained through expression (1) [7].

$$\theta' = \left[ (X')^T X' \right]^{-1} (X')^T y$$

where,

$$X' = [\Gamma_1, X_e, \Gamma_2, X_e, \cdots, \Gamma_n, X_e]$$

According to expression (2), $X_e$ is a matrix $X_e = [X, 1]$, and the activation of each rule is provided by $\Gamma_i$, which is a diagonal matrix whose normalized degree $u_{ij}$ is the diagonal element.

$$u_{ij} = \frac{A_i(x_i)}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} A_i(k)}$$

where, $u_{ij}$ —is the normalized degree of participation of each input for rule $R_i$ [7]:

$A_i$ is a group of fuzzy antecessors of a given $i$–rule, given by expression (4) [7].

$$A_i(x) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} \mu_j(x_j)$$

where the membership degree of each rule, regarding to the input $x_i$ is given by $\mu_{ij}$.

Once $A_i$ is achieved, the normalized degree of antecessor for rule $R_i$ can be obtained.

By running the algorithm for the reduction of number
of clusters, it is obtained a vector $v$ that provides the prototype of the most important prototypes of clusters. Vector $v$ is given by expression (5) [7]:

$$v^{(i)} = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{N} (u_{ik})^{m} z_{k}}{\sum_{k=1}^{N} (u_{ik})^{m}}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq M$$

(5)

where

$Z_{k}$—is the matrix in which each column represents the input output pair as $Z_{k} = [X_{k}, Y_{k}]$;

$m$—is a fuzziness parameter ($m > 1$);

$M$—is the number of rules;

$N$—is input-output data pairs;

$l$—number of interactions.

The waveform presented in Figure 2 presents a typical electrocardiogram signal. This signal is obtained by detecting and amplifying tiny electrical changes on the skin that are caused when the heart muscle “depolarises” during each heartbeat. A typical electrocardiogram waveform is obtained in millivolts per second according to the PhysioNet database.

According to the fuzzy clustering process, 20 clusters were generated, that describe the cluster prototypes to be processed.

Table 1 presents the points generated by the clustering process for the ECG signal of Figure 2, as given by expression (5). Column Position Vector indicates the position of each cluster during the electrocardiogram signal sampling time, and the column Cluster Vector indicates the voltage value of each cluster.

Figure 3 shows the 20 clusters, from Table 1, obtained by fuzzy clustering process from the signal of Figure 2. Those points describe the main changes in the electrocardiogram signal. The samples were selected according to the fuzzy clustering process. Thus, the system will use the 20 samples for the diagnosis.

4. Correlation

Many computer programs are used to obtain diagnoses, such as Hidden Markov Models [1], Fuzzy classifiers [6], Artificial Neural Network and Rough Set Theory [8], Discrete Wavelet Transform [9], and Adaptive Network-based Fuzzy Interferences System [10]. Correlation was used in this work to reduce the processing required and to simplify the hardware used to implement it.

An electrocardiogram signal does not have an exact equation, therefore the diagnostic system will work with the variation of the features over several signal samples.

By using the fuzzy clustering, these samples will be reduced to a set of 20 samples, which are represented by the generated clusters.
The clusters generated by the fuzzy clustering process are compared with the clusters of signals from a database, whose diagnosis is known. This comparison is done by calculating the correlation among them. In calculating the correlation, three outcomes are possible:

- If the correlation value is equal or close to –1, it indicates a strong inverse correlation between two signals;
- If the result of correlation is equal or close to zero, it indicates no correlation among the signals compared;
- If the result of correlation is equal or close to 1, it indicates a strong direct correlation among the signals compared.

The system will identify the diagnosis as the signal from the database that receives the highest correlation with the assessed signal.

The calculation of correlation between two signals can be obtained by expression (6) [11]:

\[ \rho = \frac{\sum x \cdot y}{n \cdot \sigma_x \cdot \sigma_y} \quad (6) \]

where,

- \( \rho \) is the correlation value;
- \( x \) is calculated according to expression (7).

\[ x = X - MX \quad (7) \]

\( X \) is the set of points of the sampled signal;
\( MX \) is the arithmetic mean of these sampled points, given as (8):

\[ MX = \frac{\sum X}{n} \quad (8) \]

\( y \) is given by equation (9):

\[ y = Y - MY \quad (9) \]

\( Y \) is the set of points of the signal pattern to be compared;
\( MY \) is the arithmetic mean of these sampled points, given as (10):

\[ MY = \frac{\sum Y}{n} \quad (10) \]

\( n \) is the number of points for \( X \) and \( Y \);
\( \sigma_x \) is the standard deviation of \( x \);
\( \sigma_y \) is the standard deviation of \( y \).

According to the correlation calculation presented by equation (6), it can be observed that by using the fuzzy clustering process of the number of points to be processed in the correlation are smaller, thus the processing time becomes shorter.

5. Validation System

In order to demonstrate the effectiveness of the techniques previously described, a system was created to validate the proposed method. The validation system receives the electrocardiogram signal samples to be diagnosed. The signal is filtered and the most important features of the signal are obtained by the clustering process. The diagnostics can be obtained from the smaller set by correlation.

The proposed system was simulated on MATLAB®. The electrocardiogram signals used to create the database and to perform the tests were obtained from PhysioNet database [1]. After the simulation, the system was validated in an FPGA implementation on a XILINX Spartan®-3A Starter FPGA. Figure 4 shows a summary of the validation system used [1].

The Physionet Data presented in Figure 4 represents the electrocardiogram signal acquisition. Each signal is represented by 2,500 samples at a sampling frequency of 333 Hz.

In the first block, the sampled signal is digitally filtered by a third–order Butterworth low-pass filter. The main feature of the filter is a flat frequency response in its bandwidth and a zero response outside its bandwidth. The magnitude of the \( N \)-order function with a bandwidth cutoff frequency \( w_p \) is given by expression (11).

\[ |T(jw)| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + e^{-\frac{w}{w_p}}}^{2N}} \quad (11) \]

Figure 5 shows the Butterworth filter response.

It was calculated the arithmetic average of the signal in order and them subtracted from the signal on each sample in order to eliminate the DC offset.

In the second block, 20 samples are separated to be processed by the fuzzy clustering process. The input signal samples are processed according to expression 1. The block outputs the 20 samples, obtained by the clustering system.

In the third block, the 20 samples are correlated with the database signal samples, as described in Section IV. It outputs the generated correlation values.

![Figure 4. Block diagram of the validation system.](image-url)
6. Results and Conclusions

According to the literature, performance results are presented in terms of sensitivity ($Se$), positive predictivity ($Pp$) and accuracy ($Acc$).

The $Se$ parameter indicates the percentage of correct diagnoses compared to diagnoses not detected. It can be obtained according to the equation (12) [12].

$$Se = \frac{Tp}{Tp + Fn} \quad (12)$$

where $Tp$ and $Fn$ are the correct and undetected diagnoses, respectively.

The $Pp$ parameter indicates the percentage of correct diagnoses in compared to wrong diagnoses. It is given by expression (13) [13].

$$Pp = \frac{Tp}{Tp + Fp} \quad (13)$$

where $Fp$ indicates the wrong diagnoses.

The $Acc$ parameter indicates the accuracy of the system and can be obtained according to Equation (14) [12].

$$Acc = 1 - \frac{N_{err}}{N_{total}} \quad (14)$$

where $N_{err}$ indicates the number of wrong diagnoses and $TN$ indicates the total number of diagnoses.

Tests were applied to the proposed system to verify its effectiveness and the results are summarized in Table 2.

Table 3 shows a comparison of our work with others in the literature.

![Figure 5. Butterworth transfer function.](image)

It can be observed from Table 3 that our work is similar or superior to others. The proposed system allows the development of a fast and simple implementation since the use of fuzzy clustering reduces the number of samples to be processed.

Additionally, our system allows the diagnosis of more than one cardiopathy at the same time. The generated figures of merit were subject to three types of possible diagnoses. Therefore our system shows an additional capacity compared to others.

By using fuzzy clustering, the signal processing is greatly reduced since the correlation is not conducted on all the signal samples.

Since the calculations conducted requires less samples, the system can be easily implemented in hardware, once it requires less memory. It can also be implemented in a dedicated hardware by using VHDL, and thus implementing a fast and efficient system. The system was implemented in a Xilinx Spartan®-3A Starter Kit with the Spartan-3A FPGA. It is a low cost board that runs at 50 MHz. It has 32 MB DDR2 SDRAM memory, I/O RS-232, serial port serial, 4 bottoms, 4 switches, LEDs,
clock counter and JTAG USB download port [14]. Microblaze® is a XILINX 32-bit RISC soft processor Intellectual Property-IP [15]. The obtained results were the same as using MATLAB®.

The system was implemented in a Spartan-3A FPGA according to Section 5. The tests were conducted for many sets of samples, and it was observed that the number of clock cycles for 20 samples is approximately 9 times shorter than the number of clock cycles for 213 samples of the whole signal. Therefore, the fuzzy clustering process, used to reduce the number of samples to be processed, caused a reduction in the number of clock cycles in the hardware implementation.

Thus, there is an almost linear relationship between the number of clock cycles and samples to be processed.
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