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ABSTRACT

A variety of Software Reliability Growth Models (SRGM) have been presented in literature. These models suffer many problems when handling various types of project. The reason is: the nature of each project makes it difficult to build a model which can generalize. In this paper we propose the use of Genetic Programming (GP) as an evolutionary computation approach to handle the software reliability modeling problem. GP deals with one of the key issues in computer science which is called automatic programming. The goal of automatic programming is to create, in an automated way, a computer program that enables a computer to solve problems. GP will be used to build a SRGM which can predict accumulated faults during the software testing process. We evaluate the GP developed model and compare its performance with other common growth models from the literature. Our experiments results show that the proposed GP model is superior compared to Yamada S-Shaped, Generalized Poisson, NHPP and Schneidewind reliability models.
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1. Introduction

Optimistically one would think that, once software can run correctly, it will be stay so forever. A series of tragedies and chaos caused by software proves this idea about software to be wrong. In fact, software can have small unnoticeable errors or drifts that can cause a disaster. We give two examples, On February 25, 1991, during the Gulf War against Iraq, the chopping error that missed 0.000000095 second in precision in every 10th of a second made the Patriot missile fail to intercept a scud missile [1]. Another example, On June 4, 1996, the maiden flight of the Ariane 5 launcher has ended in a failure. The failure occurred only about 40 seconds after initiation of the flight sequence, the launcher veered off its flight path, broke up and exploded [2].

One of the purposes of software engineering is to produce reliable software. Software plays a critical role not only in scientific and business applications, but also in all our daily life. Although several works have been done towards the production of fault-free software, developing reliable software is one of the most difficult problems facing software industry nowadays. Developing reliable software can be costly and time consuming process. Yet more, the fact that software project managers require accurate information about how software reliability grows in order to effectively manage their budgets and projects [3-5].

Because it is a matter of economy to produce software with reliability above a given specific level, it is necessary to measure and, thus, control its reliability [6]. To do this, and to provide software vendors with information about their products before they are shipped to customers, a number of software reliability models have been developed in literature [7].

There are basically two types of software reliability models: the Defect density models [8] and Software reliability growth models [9]. The Defect density models refer to those models that try to predict software reliability from design parameters, and use code characteristics such as nesting of loops, number of lines, input/output to estimate the number of defects in the software in hand. The second type, Software reliability growth models, refers to those models that try to predict software reliability from test data. These models try to show a relationship between fault detection data (i.e. test data) and known mathematical functions such as logarithmic or exponential functions. The goodness of fit of these models depends on the degree of correlation between the test...
Many approaches were presented to build SRGM using soft-computing techniques such as neural networks and fuzzy logic. Predicting accumulated faults during the software testing process using parametric and non-parametric models were explored in many articles [10-12]. In [13], author provided a strategic solution for estimating software defect fix effort using self-organizing neural network. Fuzzy logic and neural networks were used in software engineering project management [14,15].

In this paper we present a number of software reliability growth models which successfully used to solve the reliability modeling problem, in literature. Further, we propose a genetic programming model to identify a new software reliability growth model. We comparatively evaluate the proposed model against other common growth models. We also study the efficiency of the proposed model in the reliability prediction process. Our experiments show that the proposed genetic-programming model superior compared to other models found in literature.

2. Software Reliability

The demand for software systems has recently increased very rapidly. The reliability of software systems has become a critical issue in software systems industry. With the 90’s of the previous century, computer software systems have become the major source of reported failures in many systems [16]. Software is considered reliable if anyone can depend on it and use it in critical systems. The importance of software reliability will increase in the years to come, specifically in the fields of aerospace industry, satellites, and medicine applications. The process of software reliability starts with software testing and gathering of test results, after that, the phase of building a reliability model [17].

In general, the concept of reliability can be defined as “the probability that a system will perform its intended function during a period of running time without any failure” [18]. IEEE defines software reliability as “the probability of failure-free software operations for a specified period of time in a specified environment” [16, 19]. In other words, software reliability can be viewed as the analysis of its failures, their causes and effects. Software reliability is a key characteristic of product quality. Most often, specific criteria and performance measures are placed into reliability analysis, and if the performance is below a certain level, failure occurred. Mathematically, the reliability function $R(t)$ is the probability that a system will be successfully operating without failure in the interval from time 0 to time $t$,

$$R(t) = P(T > t), \text{ where } t \geq 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)
strings, such as in genetic algorithms, which encode possible solutions to the problem, but they are programs which represent the candidate solutions to the problem in a form of a computer program represented as a tree structure.

GAs has several disadvantages, for example the length of the strings is static and limited, and it is often hard to describe what the characters of the string means. These problems could be overcome but a better solution introduces again the original question: How can computers learn to solve problems without being explicitly programmed?

Different problems in machine learning and artificial intelligence can be viewed as requiring the discovery of a computer program that produces some desired output for particular inputs. The process of solving these problems becomes equivalent to searching a space of possible computer programs for a highly fit individual computer program. In GP, populations of computer programs are genetically bred using the Darwinian principle of survival of the fittest and using a genetic crossover (sexual recombination) operator appropriate for genetically mating computer programs [23]. Genetic programming techniques deal with one of the key issues in computer science which is called automatic programming. The goal of automatic programming is to create, in an automated way, a computer program that enables a computer to solve a problem, or in other words as in [24], the goal of automatic programming can be understood after answering the question: How can computers be made to do what needs to be done, without being told exactly how to do it.

5. Software Reliability Growth Models

Software reliability models are statistical models, which can be used to make predictions about software system's failure rate, given the failure history of the system. The models make assumptions about the fault discovery and removal process. These assumptions describe the form of the model and the meaning of the model’s parameters [17].

The models can be classified according to the nature of the failure process as Times between Failures models. The time between (j-1)st and jth failures follows a distribution with parameters depending on the number of failures remaining in the program during this interval and it is expected that these intervals will increase as faults are removed. But, this may not be true for each pair of successive failure times, because failure times are random variables and observed values are subject to statistical changes. Next we describe a number of software reliability models that can be found in literature.

5.1. The Exponential Model

The most widely used software reliability growth model is the exponential model. It is originally proposed by Jelinski and Moranda [25], and then many variations have appeared. The original Jelinski and Moranda exponential model made use of the elapsed wall clock time when a failure was encountered. An important modification was made by Musa, who refined the model in terms of CPU execution time allowing for more accurate predictions. Latter, Goel and Okumoto worked to generalize the model, allowing the initial number of errors in a program to be random rather than fixed, and permitting errors to be independent. This model remains popular and widely used although it has been shown [26] that the exponential model is not generally the most accurate software reliability growth model.

5.2. The Logarithmic Model

The logarithmic model was originally proposed by Musa and Okumoto [27]. The important difference between this model and the exponential model is that the logarithmic model assumes that failure intensity will decrease exponentially with the expected number of failures observed, while the exponential model assumes an equal reduction in failure intensity with each fault observed and corrected.

5.3. Other Models

Jelinski-Moranda (J-M) model [25] has a simple structure and assumptions. This Musa’s basic model was developed in 1975 [27]. It was the first one to explicitly require that the time measurements are in actual CPU time (not calendar time). This model has similar assumptions to those of J-M model [3].

6. Proposed GP Model

Measuring the quality of software products has become one of the basic challenges in software projects. A software product can be released only after some specific reliability criterion has been satisfied. It is necessary to use some heuristics to estimate the needed testing time so that available resources can be efficiently allocated. Software reliability growth models are used to describe and predict the fault population contained within the software product.

A number of software reliability growth models are now available. It is widely known that none of these models performs well in all situations [26,28-30]. For this reason recent work has focused on developing models which can be more accurate, rather than trying to find a model which works in all cases.

Different software reliability growth models have been
suggested, and some appear to be better than others. But, models that are good overall are not always the best choice for a particular data set [3] and it is not possible to know which model to use a priori [6]. Even when an appropriate model is used, the predictions made by a model may still be less accurate than desired. So, recent researches are trying to develop models that are appropriate and efficient for a particular data set. In order to develop a software reliability growth model for particular data sets, the following steps have been performed.

**GP Tuning Parameters**

In order to develop new software reliability growth model using genetic programming techniques, it is very necessary to adopt, recalibrate and adjust the genetic operators and parameters. We have used “ilgp” Programming package [5,31] to accomplish the implementation. In this part, several adjustments have been made for the genetic operators and parameters. The adjusted operations and parameters are:

- **The set of used functions**
  “Plus”, “minus” and “multiply” are the functions used as a basis to develop a new model.

- **The “depth nodes” parameter**
  “depth nodes” parameter takes one of two values. If is set to 1, this means that values of other parameters (i.e. initial maximum level, initial dynamic level, real maximum level) will point to the maximum depth of the tree. If “depth nodes” is set to 2, values of parameters will point to the size of the tree (i.e. number of nodes). Control over maximum depth of the tree and size of the tree at the same time is allowed.

- **Initial maximum level, initial dynamic level and real maximum level of the tree**
  In order to avoid “bloat”, a phenomenon consisting of an excessive code growth without the corresponding improvement in fitness, Trees in genetic programming may be subject to a set of restrictions on size, by setting appropriate parameters. The standard way of avoiding bloat is by setting a maximum depth on trees being evolved. Consequently, whenever a genetic operator produces a tree that breaks this limit, one of its parents enters the new population instead [21].

  The processing for the initial maximum level, initial dynamic level and real maximum level can be performed in the following schema. For each new tree produced by a genetic operator, there are three possibilities:
  - The new tree does not exceed the “dynamic maximum level”. In this case, the new tree will be accepted because no constraints have been violated.
  - The new tree is larger than the “dynamic maximum level”, but does not exceed the strict “real maximum level”. In this case, the fitness of the tree is measured.
  - If the tree is better than the best tree found so far, “the dynamic maximum level” is increased and the new tree is accepted into the population; otherwise, the new tree is rejected and one of its parents enters the population instead.

  - The new tree is larger than the strict “real maximum level”. In this case, the tree is rejected and one of its parents enters the population instead.
  - The “dynamic maximum level” technique is a recent technique that has shown to effectively control bloat [31].

**Determining the population size**

The population size is set to 2000. We have designed too many experiments to explore the efficient value of the population size. When we explore values larger than 2000, this caused some overhead on the system without increasing the fitness of the produced model. Taking values less than 2000 may not produce the optimal solution. Choosing the value 2000 in our work is experimental result.

**Determining the maximum number of generations**

The maximum number of generations is set to 100. The experimental results show that choosing the value 100 decreased the overhead on the system and led to the most-like optimal solution.

**Determining the crossover and mutation rates**

The crossover rate is set to 0.8, and the mutation rate is set to 0.01.

**Determining the fitness measure**

Normalized RMSE (Root Mean Square Error) is selected as a fitness measure. The RMSE statistic is also known as the fit standard error and the standard error of the regression. An RMSE value closer to zero indicates a better fit. The equation that responsible for calculating the normalized RMSE is

\[ \text{NRMSE} = \frac{1}{n} \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - z_i)^2} \]

where

\[ n: \text{the number of data points.} \]

\[ y_i: \text{the i}^{th} \text{ point of the observed data (original data).} \]

\[ z_i: \text{the i}^{th} \text{ point of the fitted data (model prediction).} \]

**7. Experimental Results**

After we have developed the new model (the GP model), a comparison is performed, in this part, between the GP model and the other four best CASRE models (i.e. Yamada S-Shaped, Generalized Poisson, NHPP and Schneidewind: all) in order to evaluate the ability of the proposed model to predict the behavior of the software after releasing it to the market. CASRE (Computer Aided Software Reliability Estimation) is software reliability
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measurement tool that can perform different functions as making reliability estimates, determining the applicability of a particular model to a set of failure data, displaying the results given by the software reliability models graphically, and allowing users to define several types of combination models. CASER was used to develop the results for four reliability growth model for the sake of comparison with the GP model. The experimental data sets were collected from [32].

Our objective is to study the relation between test interval number and accumulated number of failures. In order to evaluate the ability of the proposed models to predict the behavior of the software after releasing it to the market, a data set represents 60% of the studied data were used in the training phase whereas the rest of the data were used in the testing phase. The experimental results (Table 1) showed that the proposed model achieved a good level of estimation for the reliability of the software product after releasing it in the future.

The results in the Table 1 show that the proposed GP model has achieved the best performance over all of the studied models.

**Table 1. RMSE values for the studied software reliability models.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>RMSE</th>
<th>Normalized RMSE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yamada</td>
<td>22.7066</td>
<td>0.5677</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poisson</td>
<td>23.1365</td>
<td>0.5784</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHPP</td>
<td>23.7988</td>
<td>0.5950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schneidewind: all</td>
<td>23.7988</td>
<td>0.5950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GP Model</td>
<td>16.6478</td>
<td>0.4162</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8. Conclusions

In this paper, we development a new software reliability growth model based genetic programming. In our proposed model, we adopted recalibrated and adjusted GP operators and parameters to speed up the convergence process. The GP model was compared with well known model in the. The results show that the proposed GP model gained the best performance with the adopted data sets provided in [32].
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