Quantifying Hydrologic and Water Quality Responses to Bioenergy Crops in Town Creek Watershed in Mississippi
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ABSTRACT

Bioenergy crops are considered as a feedstock source, which can be grown in marginal soils. However, these crops may have different levels of crop yield potential and environmental benefits. The objectives of this study were to model and compare the effects of four bioenergy crops (corn—Zea mays, soybean—Glycine max (L.) Merr., miscanthus—Miscanthus giganteus, and switchgrass—Panicum virgatum) in the Town Creek watershed (TCW) in northeast Mississippi using the Soil and Water Assessment Tool (SWAT) model. The calibrated SWAT model for TCW was used to quantify impacts to streamflow, crop yield, and sediment yield. The SWAT model reasonably (<−12%) predicted long-term (January, 1990 to September, 2009) monthly streamflow (25.88 m³·s⁻¹) from the TCW when compared with the USGS observed stream flow (29.34 m³·s⁻¹). In addition, model reasonably predicted (±6%) average annual corn yield (4.66 Mg·ha⁻¹) and soybean yield (1.42 Mg·ha⁻¹) as compared to National Agricultural Statistics Service (NASS) reported average annual corn (4.96 Mg·ha⁻¹) and soybean yield (1.34 Mg·ha⁻¹) from the watershed. Further, the model simulated results from this study determined that long-term average annual feedstock yield from TCW is the greatest when growing miscanthus grass (817,732 Mg) followed by switchgrass (477,317 Mg), corn (236,132 Mg), and soybeans (65,235 Mg). The SWAT model predicted the greatest annual average sediment yield (6.62 Mg·ha⁻¹) from continuous corn crop scenario while the perennial grasses (switchgrass and miscanthus) had the lowest sediment yield (2.91 Mg·ha⁻¹ and 3.20 Mg·ha⁻¹ respectively). Overall, producing a perennial grass in the TCW would provide the largest biomass feedstock source with the least environmental impact. The results of this study will help to compare benefits of landuse change practices in bioenergy and water quality.
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1. Introduction

There has been a worldwide increase in energy consumption during the twentieth century, and it is expected to increase by at least 50 percent in the next 20 years [1]. Consequently, there has been an exponential increase in atmospheric carbon dioxide (CO₂) concentrations [2]. Global dependence on oil, coal, and natural gas has already led to several crises directly caused by shortages or sudden price spikes. These events have been tocsins of the shaky and limited future of fossil fuels. At present, there is no dire shortage of fossil fuels. However, the long-term availability and desirability of using fossil fuels are a matter of concern. Bioenergy crops are one of the renewable energy sources of the future [3], and are increasingly considered as the key to any strategy for reduction of fossil fuel dependence, energy independence, and mitigation for global climate change [4]. Bioenergy crops are simply defined as any plant material used to produce bioenergy, which is in turn defined broadly as any conversion of biomass materials into an energy source, such as power, heat, or liquid biofuels. Bioenergy crops produce a large volume of biomass, have high energy potential, and can be grown in marginal soils [5]. To be practical, bioenergy crops must not only be viable feedstock, they must also be attractive to farmers to choose to grow in place of conventional crops [5].

Initial forays in biofuel production focused on food crops, most commonly corn and soybeans. However, using food crops as fuel sources created concern over competition. Thus, second generation bioenergy production has shifted focus to use cellulosic material as feedstock instead [4,6]. Perennial grasses such as switchgrass and miscanthus have garnered increased attention and are the two leading cellulosic biofuels [4,5,7-11]. Cellulosic
biomass yields more fuel per unit land area with less agricultural input such as fertilizer and pesticides than that is achieved in grain-based ethanol production [4,7,10,12], which offers forage material [13], and is proven to be beneficial for water quality [14].

In addition to their promise as energy sources, bioenergy crops, especially grasses, have the capacity to serve as important carbon sinks which could lead to notable offset of greenhouse gases [15]. The need for agricultural involvement in greenhouse gas mitigation via terrestrial carbon sequestration has been widely recognized since the 1990s [3]. A plant removes CO₂ from the atmosphere through photosynthesis whereby the CO₂ is then broken down into carbon and oxygen. The oxygen is re-released to the atmosphere as waste while the carbon is used for food and incorporated into the plant. As plants die or are harvested, the carbon-based biomass (such as leaves and stems) is converted into biofuel, and the leftover plant residue (such as roots and stalks) decay in the soil whereby the carbon becomes soil organic carbon (SOC). In fact, soil organic carbon constitutes more than twice as much stored carbon as that of the earth’s vegetation [16].

Among all of the benefits associated with bioenergy crop production, uncertainties linger [17]. It is important to consider all of the implications associated with land use at varying spatial and temporal scales. Realistic production potential of the candidate bioenergy crops in different regions should be assessed on the state as a whole and on a watershed scale. Additionally it is critical to determine the impact of traditional crops versus cellulosic bioenergy crops on soil and water quality, as well as their impact on overall watershed health. Research should also focus on the long-term effects on carbon sequestration and greenhouse gas emissions. Once the benefits and consequences have been satisfactorily and thoroughly researched, an economic analysis should be performed to determine the feasibility of large or small-scale bioenergy crop production.

Predicting how changes in the agricultural landscape will influence water quality is a complex issue that requires an appropriate modeling tool capable of representing important aspects of the system [17]. The model selected for this study depended on three factors: 1) its ability to represent watershed influences on water quality at varying spatial scales; 2) its ability to simulate watershed influences of natural, agricultural, and urban land as well as bioenergy crops; and 3) its ability to accurately predict the yields of bioenergy crops. Therefore, the model used in this study was the Soil and Water Assessment Tool (SWAT) [18]. SWAT is a computational hydrologic model that has been used extensively to effectively assess the potential watershed-scale impacts of land management changes across various temporal scales [19] and has shown promise for biofuel-related applications [14,17,20,21]. There is only a limited body of literature available for the second generation bioenergy crops. Switchgrass and miscanthus have only recently been studied using SWAT [14,21].

The objectives of this study were to model and compare the effects of four bioenergy crops in the Town Creek watershed (TCW) in northeast Mississippi using the SWAT model. The calibrated SWAT model for TCW was used to identify impacts to streamflow, crop yields, and sediment yield if all land uses designated as cropland (corn, cotton, hay, soybeans and winter wheat) were converted to corn, soybeans, switchgrass or miscanthus. These four scenarios were compared to values predicted using the baseline model which models current watershed conditions and assumes annual rotation of corn and soybeans, as well as a diversity of other crops throughout the watershed.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Area
The Town Creek watershed (TCW) located in northeast Mississippi was the study area of this study. The TCW is estimated to have about 177,500 ha area (Figure 1), which is a part of the Upper Tombigbee River Basin. The majority of the TCW is located within the Lee, Union, and Pontotoc counties. However, the watershed also has some areas in Chickasaw, Monroe and Itawamba counties. Based on climate data from 1990 to 2009 [22], average annual rainfall is 154 cm with average annual temperature of 16.3˚C. The TCW is known as agricultural watershed with about 1,000 farms of different sizes [23]. The Town Creek runs from the watershed and drains near Nettleton, MS (USGS-02436500) [24].

2.2. Model Description
The SWAT model is freely available from the public domain in different versions. This study utilized ArcSWAT version of the model, which is interfaced with ArcGIS 9.3. The SWAT model is a watershed scale hydrological model that simulates watershed processes on three time steps (daily, monthly, yearly). SWAT model predicts surface runoff, sediment yields, potential evapotranspiration, and crop yields [18]. More detail model algorithms are described in the model documentation [18,25]. This study used the Curve Number (CN) method to estimate surface flow [26]. The SWAT model divides a watershed into sub-watersheds, which are connected by a stream network. Each sub-watershed is sub-divided in to several hydrologic response units (HRUs), which are unique spatial units of soils, land use, and topography. The SWAT simulations and calculations are first performed at the HRU and sub-watershed levels, and then
The SWAT model has built-in Environmental Impact Policy Climate (EPIC) crop growth model [28] to predict crop biomass and crop yields using the harvest index of the crop. Crop growth model considers the accumulation of heat units and crop growth ceases when the crop meets the cumulative heat unit required to reach the maturity of the crop [21]. This study utilizes field level information such as planting date, harvesting date, tillage operations, fertilizer application date and rate in the model management as described in the SWAT model [19,25].

2.4. Crop Simulation

Switchgrass is a warm season, tall-growing, perennial grass that is native to much of the United States including Mississippi. It is well adapted to summer conditions with peak growth occurring from May through September. Switchgrass produces large amounts of cellulose, which can be converted to ethanol and can also produce high-quality forage [5]. The SWAT model default parameters for Alamo switchgrass were used, with a few modifications [17]. The cropland simulated with switchgrass were initialized as mature stands with a leaf area index of 0.5, initial biomass of 500 kg·ha$^{-1}$, and 3 m rooting depth [34]. It was also assumed that switchgrass required 1,100 physiological heat units to reach maturity. Planting and harvesting dates for switchgrass were obtained from published literature [35]. The automatic fertilization option was selected in the SWAT model for fertilizer application management in order to account for spatial and site-specific differences in nutrient requirements for switchgrass and miscanthus grass since that data is currently unavailable [17]. Miscanthus is a relatively new crop to be considered commercially viable and it is not available in the SWAT crop database. Therefore all of the crop parameters for miscanthus were taken from published literatures [14,36].
3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Crop Yield

The long-term crop yields of corn (1989-2011) and soybeans (1989-2011) were compared to the baseline model which was calibrated using observed crop yield data from NASS. The annual simulated yield was averaged across the entire watershed and compared to the average annual yield across the watershed assuming a cropping pattern of soybeans in year one followed by corn in year two. Crop yield scenarios were simulated on a yearly time step from 1990 through 2011. An overall representation of the predicted yields is reported in Figure 2.

Results for the annual yield for soybeans in soybean-only cropping as compared to the baseline alternating corn-soybean cropping pattern were 1.42 Mg·ha$^{-1}$ and 1.19 Mg·ha$^{-1}$ respectively. The watershed average yield reported by NASS was 1.34 Mg·ha$^{-1}$. Average annual yield for continuous corn was 5.14 Mg·ha$^{-1}$ compared with 4.66 Mg·ha$^{-1}$ in the baseline calibrated model. Comparatively, the watershed average yield reported by NASS was 4.96 Mg·ha$^{-1}$. The results showed the 21-year (1990-2011) average yield of 10.39 Mg·ha$^{-1}$ for switchgrass in the TCW.

The yield had a range of 4.18 Mg·ha$^{-1}$ with a minimum predicted yield of 8.30 Mg·ha$^{-1}$ and maximum predicted yield of 12.48 Mg·ha$^{-1}$. These predicted values are slightly lower than what has been reported in other literature for the US [17]. The SWAT-predicted switchgrass yields varied from zero in the northern U. S. to over 16 Mg·ha$^{-1}$ in southern Illinois, Arkansas, western Kentucky, and Tennessee, while yields predicted across the southern extremes of the eastern US were between 6 and 12 Mg·ha$^{-1}$. Other studies showed that the predicted switchgrass yield ranged from 8 to 40 Mg·ha$^{-1}$ in the Midwestern US [9] and from 9 to 24 Mg·ha$^{-1}$ in the entire Upper Mississippi River Basin [20]. The predicted yield values in this study were slightly higher than observed field data from trials in the upper plains states which had 5-year average yield of 5 Mg·ha$^{-1}$ [37].

For miscanthus, the 21-year (1990-2011) average yield was 17.80 Mg·ha$^{-1}$ and had a range of 9 Mg·ha$^{-1}$ (maximum 22.2 Mg·ha$^{-1}$, minimum 13.2 Mg·ha$^{-1}$). Similar to these findings, previous studies also reported great variation. Previous studies reported [9] miscanthus yield between 0 and 62 Mg·ha$^{-1}$ in the Midwestern U. S. and 30 and 42 Mg·ha$^{-1}$ in Illinois [8]. It was estimated that the available cropland of the TCW (45,940 ha) can produce 817,732 Mg of average feedstock annually if miscanthus grass is grown in the watershed. Similarly switchgrass, corn, and soybeans have the potential to produce an average annual feedstock of 477,317 Mg, 236,132 Mg, and 65,235 Mg respectively in TCW.

3.2. Streamflow

Streamflow evaluation was conducted on monthly time scale for each of the four scenarios. The baseline model had an average monthly flow of 25.88 m$^3$·s$^{-1}$ at the watershed outlet located in Nettleton, Mississippi during the 237-month (January 1990 through September 2009) study period. The results of this portion of the study show very similar results to both the baseline model and the four cropping scenarios (Table 1). Mean monthly streamflow at the watershed outlet for both continuous corn and continuous soybean production during the study period were almost identical, as were the mean monthly streamflow values for switchgrass and miscanthus. As expected, the streamflow was reduced in both grass scenarios. Reduced streamflow is a result of the grasses allowing less runoff to contribute to the streamflow. It could also be a result of longer periods of land cover since the growing season for grasses is longer than for either corn or soybeans. The average monthly observed streamflow for the USGS gage station at this location was 29.34 m$^3$·s$^{-1}$.

3.3. Sediment Yield

There was no observed sediment yield data to calibrate the baseline condition of the model. Thus, for this study sediment load for the four new cropping scenarios was compared to the baseline model to assess differences due...
to land use change. For all five scenarios, SWAT predicted similar sediment yield curves. The results show that the continuous corn cropping scenario had the greatest annual average sediment yield (6.62 Mg·ha\(^{-1}\)) while the perennial grasses (switchgrass and miscanthus) had the lowest sediment yield (2.91 Mg·ha\(^{-1}\) and 3.20 Mg·ha\(^{-1}\) respectively) (Figure 3). These results are in agreement with other research which has shown that grasses improve both water quality [14] and soil quality [3].

4. Conclusions

Model estimated mean monthly streamflow evaluation showed very close results to both the baseline model and the four cropping scenarios with corn and soybeans producing similar values and the grasses producing similar values. When compared to observed gage data at the same location, it was found that the model under predicted streamflows. The overall results of this study determined that long-term average annual feedstock yield from the TCW is greatest when growing miscanthus grass as followed by switchgrass, corn and soybeans. Miscanthus grass can produce 817,732 Mg of feedstock annually, followed by switchgrass (477,317 Mg), corn (236,132 Mg), and soybeans (65,235 Mg).

An analysis of sediment yield showed the continuous corn cropping scenario in the watershed, which had the greatest annual average sediment yield (6.62 Mg·ha\(^{-1}\)), and the switchgrass scenario had the least (2.91 Mg·ha\(^{-1}\)) sediment yield. It was also observed that SWAT predicted similar sediment yield curves for all five scenarios. Overall it would seem that producing a perennial grass in the TCW would provide the largest biomass feedstock source with the least water quality and environmental impact.
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