Probabilistic Analysis of Life Cycle Cost for Power Transformer
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Abstract

The selection of power transformer is very important to power sector. Most methods are utilized according to the initial cost and don't consider the synthetical evaluation of economy and technology. Based on previous research, this paper addresses a new practical probabilistic life cycle cost model. Then, in order to demonstrate the practicability of probabilistic life cycle cost for the power transformer, illustrative investment alternatives of actual power transformers are discussed. From the result of the numerical investigation, it may be positively stated that the optimum investment alternative for the power transformer based on the probabilistic life cycle cost model proposed in this study will lead to a more rational, economical and effective procedure compared with the conventional method only considering the initial cost.
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1. Introduction

The distribution transformer is the most important single piece of electrical equipment installed in electrical distribution networks with a large impact on the network’s overall cost, efficiency and reliability. Life cycle cost (LCC) is a technique to estimate the total cost of ownership (OGC, 2003). It is a structured approach which addresses all the elements of this cost and can be used to produce a spend profile of the product over its anticipated life-span. The international standard was published in 1996. And in 2004, CIGRE proposed the equipment should be managed by life cycle cost. The international standard for life cycle costing, IEC 60300-3-3, has been published by International Electrotechnical Commission [1]. Most LCC models for power transformer assume deterministic behavior of its service life, including initial cost, operational cost, maintenance cost, fault cost and disposal cost. Reference [2] showed life cycle cost economic estimation for the measures on purchase of new power transformers and replacement of the existing ones for power system. Literature review shows that there are two main methods used in modeling life cycle cost: deterministic and probabilistic model [3]-[5]. Canova et al. (2003) showed an improvement of the standard LCC procedures to design electric lines constituted by cables also including the case of their parallel connections and the most convenient types of bus bars [4].

The result of studies above is absolute, input information of which need be selected very carefully. But uncertainty which is essentially inherent in a system exists in all situations that are unknown, unpredictable,
change of technology and economic, or complex, so the evaluation accuracy of life cycle cost will be immensely influenced and it is necessary to make uncertainty analysis.

Jiang et al. (2003) showed that the important nature of LCC estimation was uncertainty and cost elements were usually represented by statistical distributions [6]. The probabilistic cost analysis approach using Monte Carlo simulation for iterative process provided comprehensive and alternatives were presented [7].

Although references above consider life cycle cost with uncertainty as a stochastic model, the investment alternatives emphasize the economic and ignore the comprehensive evaluation of economy and technology. Motivated by gaps and difficulties mentioned above in particular and science research, it is an urgent need to develop a practical life cycle cost framework and the optimum investment alternative based on the comprehensive evaluation of economy and technology for power transformer.

2. Probabilistic Analysis of Life Cycle Cost

Probabilistic analysis involving parameterization of the probability of potential outcomes such that the uncertainty associated with the occurrence of an event is quantitatively evaluated in the decision framework and these decisions are based on maximizing expected utility. So the probabilistic decision analysis can be utilized to combine the life cycle cost.

All inputs with uncertainty can be considered by regarding the data in a life cycle cost analysis as an appropriate probability distribution. Monte Carlo simulation can then be performed to get the true approximate probability distributions of the output variables such as present value (PV).

The triangular distributions are selected as an option because both the minimum and maximum values that can be produced by the distribution are controllable, which is important when modeling inputs where it does not make sense to have any samples in the distribution. The width of the resulting distribution provides a measure of the sensitivity of the computed metric to the uncertainties in the data inputs [8]. The distribution functions of rand variable of triangular distribution:

\[
x = \begin{cases} 
  a + \frac{\sqrt{(m-a)(b-a)}r}{b-a} & 0 \leq r \leq \frac{m-a}{b-a} \\
  b - \frac{\sqrt{(1-r)(b-m)(b-a)}}{b-a} & \frac{m-a}{b-a} \leq r \leq 1 
\end{cases}
\]  

(1)

where \( r \) is the rand variable of homogeneous distribution, \( a \) is the minimum value, \( m \) is the most likely value, \( b \) is the maximum value. The rand variable of triangular distribution \( x \) can be got through by Equation (1).

A life cycle costing model is a simplified representation of the real world, which extracts primary features from the real world and translates them into cost estimating relationships. Therefore the life cycle costing model should represent the characteristics of the system, including range and objective of use, maintenance mode and support scenarios, operation as well as any constrain and limitation.

This proposed model will construct general life cycle cost model, introducing the probabilistic distribution function. And the proposed model is base on the following formula:

\[
LCC = CI + CO + CM + CF + CD
\]  

(2)

where LCC represents the life cycle cost of power transformer, \( CI \) denotes the investment cost which includes purchase of power transformer and supports to operate the system properly; \( CO \) represents operational cost which mainly includes loss cost of power transformer. \( CM \) denotes maintenance cost; \( CF \) represents fault cost; \( CD \) denotes disposal cost.

(1) Investment cost

The initial investment cost contains all cost before the power transformer is utilized, mainly including purchase, mounting cost, test cost and so on.

\[
CI = CI_e + CI_i
\]  

(3)

where \( CI_e \) represents purchase cost, \( CI_i \) denotes installation and mounting cost. The purchase cost contains equipment, spare part cost, worksite service, transport costs, training cost of staff and other cost. Generally, the total cost may be estimated from the vendor quotes.
(2) Operation cost
The operation and maintenance cost mainly consist of the loss of power transformer.

\[ CO = (P_0 + \beta P_1) \times \eta \times 8760 \times EL \]  

(4)

where \( CO \) represents the loss cost of power transformer per year, \( P_0 \) represents the equivalent no-load loss in \( kW \), \( P_1 \) refers to the equivalent load loss in \( kW \), \( \beta \) refers to the load factor (i.e. the mean transformer loading over its time, that is, an equivalent percentage of its normal power), \( EL \) indicates the Purchasing cost of electricity in yuan/kWh, \( \eta \) is the loss rate of load per year,

\[ \eta = K \times \frac{T_{\text{max}}}{8760} + (1 - K) \times \left(\frac{T_{\text{max}}}{8760}\right)^2 \]  

(5)

where \( K \) is the empirical coefficient, \( K = 3 \) [9], \( T_{\text{max}} \) represents the number of hours in maximum load per year.

(3) Maintenance cost

\[ CM = CO_p + CO_m \]  

(6)

where \( CO_p \) indicates the overhaul cost, \( CO_m \) represents the daily preventive maintenance cost. Due to the aging and fault of transformer, the maintenance cost per year is defined as linear increase model according to statistical data.

(4) Fault cost

According to the \( N-1 \) security principle of power system, when the power station loses any one incoming line or power transformer, it must be guaranteed that the next power station normally works. The direct fault of single power transformer causes the probability of losing load very tiny and the punishment cost can be ignored. So the fault cost mainly includes lose cost when power transformer is fault and restoration cost:

\[ CF = b \times S_N \times \beta \times \cos \varphi \times T + RC \times \lambda \times MTTR \]  

(7)

where \( b \) is the profits of unit of electricity (yuan/ kWh), \( S_N \) indicates rating capacity of power transformer (kVA), \( \beta \) represents average load rate, \( \cos \varphi \) refers to average power factor, \( T \) represents interrupted time due to fault (h), \( RC \) represents average restoration cost per hour (ten thousand yuan/hour), \( \lambda \) represents average failure number per year (n/year). \( MTTR \) denotes average restoration time (hour).

(5) Disposal cost

The disposal cost can be described as follows:

\[ CD = CD_T - CD_R \]  

(8)

where \( CD \) represents disposal cost, \( CD_T \) refers to handling cost which mainly includes labor, transportation, environment protection cost and so on, \( CD_R \) indicates residue value. Generally, the disposal cost can be got according to the proportion of purchase cost.

(6) Present value calculation

Due to expenses in an alternative plan occurring at different times, all future costs must be expressed in terms of their values at one given time in order to make the analysis credible. And the cost profile can be developed by running the cost model considering the effect of inflation, discount rate et al. The amount that must be set aside at the present to cover an estimated future cost, considering both inflation and discount. The present value can be computed by utilizing the following equation:

\[ PV = \sum_{n=1}^{n_{\text{last}}} C_n \left(1 + k\right)^{-c-t} \left(1 + d\right)^{-c-t} \]  

(9)

\( PV \) represents present LCC value of an alternative, \( C_n \) refers to cost for year \( n \) (in year \( t_c \) RMB), \( n_{\text{first}} \) represents the first year for which costs are to be accumulated, \( n_{\text{last}} \) represents the last year for which costs are to be accumulated, \( k \) represents inflation rate, \( d \) represents discount rate, \( t_n \) represents the year in which cost is to occur, \( t_{py} \) refers to the year for which \( PV \) is to be calculated, \( t_c \) refers to the year for which cost data are entered.

3. Process of Probabilistic Analysis

Calculate the probabilistic life cycle cost of power transformer, which mainly includes:
1) Input values of parameters and the number N of simulations to be performed.
2) Define the probabilistic distribution and values of LCC variables through Equation (1). In practice, the triangular distribution is both practice and well understood by the engineers and is most often utilized.
3) Construct the LCC estimation model of every step through Equations (2)-(9).
4) Perform Monte-Carlo simulation a selected number of times for the investment data.
5) From result obtained, the probabilistic life cycle cost and standard deviation $R = \text{expectation} / \text{variance}$ can be got. And if the standard deviation meets the requirement ($R \leq 0.1$), the maximum probabilistic life cycle cost can be got.

4. Illustrative Example and Discussions

To demonstrate the process of probabilistic analysis of power transformer, the 500 kV substation be constructing will be the hinge of local power system and the primary electric power source of 220 kV power system as the numerical example. So it will play an important role in improving the ability of power support and guarantee the stable operation of power system. And this project need choose a 750 MVA main power transformer [9]:

Three-phase transformer $515/230 \pm 2 \times 2.5\%/36$ kV adjusting voltage in no load, the equivalent no-load loss $P_0 = 223.33$ kW, the equivalent load loss $P_k = 1183.33$ kW, OFAF. Table 1 summarizes the main parameters and their bounds of triangular distribution for this study.

Figure 1 shows the PLCC for three-phase transformer, which is got through Mont-Carlo 1000 simulations. From the Figure 1, it can be seen that the maximum PLCC three-phase power transformer is 93.42 million RMB and the standard deviation is 0.0703, which satisfies the requirement. Figure 2 shows the relationship among the discount rate, load factor and life cycle cost in three-phase transformer. From the Figure 2, it can be seen that the discount rate is negative correlation with life cycle cost and the load factor is positive correlation with life cycle cost. The relationship shows the discount rate and load factor has an important influence to PLCC.

5. Conclusions

A preliminary assessment can be made through deterministic calculations, but due to the uncertainty of LCC parameters more detailed input can be used for, and far more information obtained from, a probabilistic ap-

Table 1. Model parameter of triangular distribution for PLCC/ ten thousand (RMB).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Lower bound</th>
<th>Nominal value</th>
<th>Upper bound</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Purchase cost</td>
<td>$CI_e$</td>
<td>4326</td>
<td>4400</td>
<td>4614</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Installation and mounting cost</td>
<td>$CI_i$</td>
<td>258.5</td>
<td>261.4</td>
<td>286.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Load rate</td>
<td>$\beta$</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflation rate</td>
<td>$k$</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discount rate</td>
<td>$d$</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchasing cost of electricity</td>
<td>$EL$</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selling price of electricity</td>
<td>$SL$</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td>$n$</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual value</td>
<td>$CD_x$</td>
<td>$CI_e$ 0.04*</td>
<td>$CI_e$ 0.045*</td>
<td>$CI_e$ 0.05*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dismantling cost</td>
<td>$CD_y$</td>
<td>$CI_e$ 0.30*</td>
<td>$CI_e$ 0.35*</td>
<td>$CI_e$ 0.40*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average restoration cost per year</td>
<td>$RC$</td>
<td>$CI_e$ 0.2%*</td>
<td>$CI_e$ 0.25%*</td>
<td>$CI_e$ 0.4%*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power factor</td>
<td>$\cos \phi$</td>
<td>6000</td>
<td>6500</td>
<td>7000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interrupted time due to fault/h</td>
<td>$T$</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of hours in maximum load/h</td>
<td>$T_{max}$</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance cost per year</td>
<td>$CM$</td>
<td>$CI_e$ 0.002*</td>
<td>$CI_e$ 0.05*</td>
<td>$CI_e$ 0.1*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The rationality and validity of Probabilistic analysis for LCC are demonstrated, and the quantitative evaluation of PLCC of power transformer is implemented. At the same time, it shows that the evaluation approach is very clear and practical. However, there still are many critical issues need to be studied deeply, such as choice of vital indicator, improving method of synthetical evaluation and so on. So the next research will be focusing on these points.
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