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Abstract

The pattern of isentropes in the vicinity of a first-order phase transition is proposed as a key for a sub-classification. While the confinement-deconfinement transition, conjectured to set in beyond a critical end point in the QCD phase diagram, is often related to an entropic transition and the apparently settled gas-liquid transition in nuclear matter is an enthalpic transition, the conceivable local isentropes w.r.t. “incoming” or “outgoing” serve as another useful guide for discussing possible implications, both in the presumed hydrodynamical expansion stage of heavy-ion collisions and the core-collapse of supernova explosions. Examples, such as the quark-meson model and two-phase models, are shown to distinguish concisely the different transitions.
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1. Introduction

The beam energy scan at RHIC [1]-[7] is aimed at searching for a critical end point (CEP) in the phase diagram of strongly interacting matter, which is related to confinement-deconfinement effects. At a CEP [8]-[11], a line of first-order phase transitions (FOPT) is conjectured to set in. Still, the hypothetical CEP could not (yet) be localized by ab initio QCD calculations. Therefore, details of the FOPT curve and details of the equation of state in its vicinity are unsettled to a large extent.

The utmost importance of the search for a CEP is also manifested by the fact that further ongoing relativistic...
heavy-ion collision experiments, such as NA61/SHINE [12]-[15], have it on the their priority list, and planned experiments at FAIR, e.g. CBM [16], at NICA, e.g. by the MPD group [17], and at J-PARC, e.g. by the J-PARC heavy-ion collaboration [18], are primarily motivated by it. The proceedings of the CPOD conferences [19][20] document well the theoretical expectations and experimental achievements in this field.

The CEP itself (which may occur also as a tricritical point [21]) is interesting, as it is expected to show up in specific fluctuation observables [22]-[27], related to critical exponents, however, also the emerging FOPT curve can give rise to interesting physics phenomena. If the hypothetical FOPT curve continues to small or even zero temperatures, astrophysical consequences for neutron stars [28]-[41] proto-neutron star formation and core-collapse supernova explosions [33][36][42]-[44] are directly related to the physics of heavy-ion collisions, supposed the FOPT curve is accessible in such experiments (cf. [45] for searches for two-phase mixture effects related to the deconfinement FOPT).

From the theory side, the famous Columbia plot (cf. [21] for an update) unravels the following qualitative features: (i) At zero chemical potential, three-flavor QCD in the chiral limit displays a first-order confinement-deconfinement transition which extends to non-zero strange-quark masses \( m_s < m_s^{\text{ui}} \) and light-quark masses \( m_{ud,d} \to 0 \); the delineation curve to the region \( m_{ud,d} > 0 \) is related to a 2nd order transition with \( Z(2) \) symmetry, beyond which the transition turns into a cross-over; for \( m_s > m_s^{\text{ui}} \) and \( m_{ud,d} \to 0 \), the 2nd order transition line is related to \( O(4) \) symmetry. The physical point \( m_{ud,d} > 0 \) is in the cross-over region. (ii) For \( m_s > m_s^{\text{ui}} \) and \( m_{ud,d} \to 0 \), the phase structure in the temperature-chemical potential plane is determined by a 2nd order transition curve of presumably negative slope (with the above mentioned universal \( O(4) \) scaling properties) which ends in a tri-critical point, where the 1st order transition sets in, expected to continue to zero temperature. (iii) Upon enlarging \( m_{ud,d} \) toward the physical values and keeping the conjectured \( m_s > m_s^{\text{ui}} > 0 \), the 2nd order transition curve turns into the pseudo-critical (cross-over) curve which ends at non-zero chemical potential in a CEP. The latter one can be thought to arise from the previous tri-critical point along a 2nd order \( Z(2) \) curve when enlarging \( m_{ud,d} \). Therefore, the expectation for 2 + 1 flavor QCD with physical quark masses is the existence of a CEP at a temperature below the pseudo-critical temperature of \( (154 \pm 9) \) MeV and non-zero chemical potential and an emerging 1st order transition curve going to zero temperature [21]. Present day lattice QCD evaluations attempt to quantify these features, cf. [46], for example.

In a recent series of papers [47]-[49], the authors promote a useful sub-classification of FOPTs by attributing the confinement-deconfinement transition to an entropic one, while the established gas-liquid transition in nuclear matter [8]-[11] is classified as enthalpic one. The key is the Clausius-Clapeyron equation

\[
\frac{dp_c(T)}{dT} = \frac{s_1/n_1 - s_2/n_2}{1/n_1 - 1/n_2}
\]

which relates the slope of the critical pressure, \( p_c \), along the FOPT w.r.t. temperature, \( T \), to entropy densities \( s_{1,2} \) and baryon densities \( n_{1,2} \). Denoting by the label “1” the dilute (confined/hadron) phase and by “2” the dense (deconfined/quark-gluon) phase, the slope of the critical pressure curve is positive, \( dp_c/dT > 0 \), for larger entropy per baryon in phase “1”, meaning an enthalpic FOPT. In contrast, for larger entropy per baryon in phase “2” the critical curve has a negative slope, \( dp_c/dT < 0 \) meaning an entropic FOPT.

Some guidance for the trajectories of fluid elements is given by the isentropic curves, determined by \( s/n = \text{const.} \), when having in mind the adiabatic expansion of matter created in the course of a heavy-ion collision as long as the respective fluid element is in a pure phase, “2” or “1”. The details of the transit through the two-phase coexistence region depend on the latent heat and other details of the equation of state. With respect to investigations of the heavy-ion dynamics (cf. [50]) seeking for imprints of the conjectured QCD FOPT and CEP signatures, it seems tempting to clarify in a clear-cut picture the different patterns of isentropes being related to a FOPT.

Our note is organized as follows. In Section 2 we discuss obvious types of isentropic patterns which may accompany a FOPT in strongly interacting matter. The pattern classification is put in relation to the entropic and enthalpic sub-classes. We see enthalpic transitions either with incoming-only or incoming + outgoing isentropes, thus qualifying also the latter one for modeling the QCD deconfinement-confinement transition. Examples based on transparent models are presented in Section 3 and Appendix. In Section 4, we summarize.
2. Isentropic Patterns

We restrict our discussion to the grand canonical description of matter by an equation of state \( p(T, \mu) \) with one conserved charge, e.g. baryon number, related to the chemical potential \( \mu \). Entropy density and baryon density are given by \( s(T, \mu) = \partial p/\partial T \) and \( n(T, \mu) = \partial p/\partial \mu \) and the Gibbs-Duhem relation \( e + p = sT + n\mu \) holds (\( e \) is the energy density). Considering the region \( s > 0 \) and \( n > 0 \), the isobars \( p = \text{const} \) have negative slopes in the \( T-\mu \) diagram upon \( dT/d\mu \big|_{p=0} = -n/s \). We assume locally a FOPT which is signaled by a kinky behavior of \( p(T, \mu) \) over the \( T-\mu \) plane, both in \( T \) and \( \mu \) directions. \( p(T, \mu) \) refers here to stable states; if multi-valued regions emerge, the branch with maximum pressure is the stable one. We further assume, for the sake of definiteness, the FOPT curve has a negative slope, \( dT(\mu)/d\mu < 0 \). In fact, \( d(T_1(T, \mu) - T_2(T, \mu))/d\mu = 0 \) on the FOPT curve delivers \( dT_c/d\mu = (n_1 - n_2)/(s_1 - s_2) \), where we suppose \( n_1 < n_2 \) and \( s_1 < s_2 \).

We also recall from the equilibrium conditions \( T_1 = T_2 \), \( \mu_1 = \mu_2 \) and \( p_1 = p_2 \) on the FOPT curve the relation

\[
\frac{dp_c(\mu)}{d\mu} = \frac{n_1/s_1 - n_2/s_2}{1/s_1 - 1/s_2}
\]

which is another form of the Clausius-Clapeyron Equation (1).

From selected examples we can infer three different patterns of isentropes in the \( T-\mu \) plane:

Type IA: Isentropes come in from the phase “2”, enter the critical curve \( T_c(\mu) \) and leave it toward the phase “1” at lower temperature, see Figure 1, left top panel. According to Clausius-Clapeyron (1) one has \( dp_c(T)/dT > 0 \), i.e. a gas-liquid or enthalpic transition in the nomenclature of [49].

Type IB: Isentropes come in from the phase “2”, enter the critical curve \( T_c(\mu) \) and evolve toward phase “1” at higher temperature, see Figure 1 middle top panel. Clausius-Clapeyron tells us for that case \( dp_c(T)/dT < 0 \), i.e. a QCD type or entropic FOPT in the nomenclature of [49].

Type II: Isentropes come in from both sides, i.e. phases “1” and “2”, enter the critical curve \( T_c(\mu) \) and run down on it, see Figure 1, right top panel. According to our experience with a number of models, \( s_2/n_2 < s_1/n_1 \) in a point on the critical curve, i.e. also a gas-liquid type or enthalpic FOPT with \( dp_c(T)/dT > 0 \).

Figure 1. Schematic representation of isentropes (lines with arrows indicating the expansion path) for the FOPT types IA (left panels, \( s/n = \text{const} \)), IB (middle panels, \( s/n = \text{const} \)) and II (right panels, \( s_2/n_2 > s_1/n_1 \)) in the \( T-\mu \) plane (upper row) and the \( T-n \) plane (lower row). States in “1” (see text) are left/below the phase border line (fat curves in the upper row), while states in “2” are right/above. The green areas in the lower row depict a part of the two-phase coexistence regions for the respective types. Note that the coexistence regions (green areas) can appear in quite different shapes.
The direction of isentropes is such to describe expansion, i.e. both temperature and density drop in pure phases. Type I is related to in-out (or going-through) isentropes, while type II has incoming-only. A prominent example for type II is the van der Waals equation of state, cf. [51] and Figure 1 in [52]. We emphasize the local character of our consideration, that is the restriction to the vicinity of a $T$-$\mu$ point on the presumed phase boundary. These patterns translate directly into the $T$-$n$ plane, see bottom row of Figure 1, where one verifies that dropping temperatures along isentropes in pure phases imply in fact dropping densities, too, i.e. proper expansion. Types IA and IB are delineated by $s_1/n_1 = s_2/n_2$, resulting in $p_c(T) = \text{const}$ . Types IA and II share as common feature flatter isobars than the critical curve $T_c(\mu)$: for type IB, the critical curve is flatter than the isobars. For the moment being we do not see the need to study further fine details, e.g. slopes and relative slopes of isentropes near the critical curve.

We would like to emphasize that also models of type IA could serve as an illustration of the possible structure of the phase diagram, despite they belong to the gas-liquid transition type: Suppose $n'_1(T \to 0) > n_0$, where $n_0$ is the nuclear saturation density and $n'_1$ denotes the density of phase “1” at the critical curve, then nothing seems to speak against the scenario with an expanding and cooling fluid element initially in phase “2”, which traverses the confinement transition region (two-phase coexistence) and arrives in the hadronic world of phase “1”.$^1$ That means, if “2” is a deconfined state, then both IA and IB allow for a graceful exit into the pure (hadronic) phase “1”, while II ends locally in a two-phase mixture of “1 + 2” for adiabatic expansion dynamics, i.e. some part of matter remains in the deconfined state “2”, e.g. as quark nuggets, contrary to our present expectations and in agreement with the failure of previous searches for them [53]-[57], (see however [58] for considering them as candidates of dark matter). Whether realistic models can be designed to do so (cf. [60] for a recent attempt), in agreement with serving for two-solar mass neutron stars, is a question beyond the schematic phenomenological approaches. Anyhow, type IA supplements the considerations favored in [48] [49].

3. Examples

We are going to present a few examples for the above discussed transition types. For that, we select the quark-meson model$^1$ (cf. [63] for a description of the setting used here$^2$) with linearized meson field fluctuations$^3$ and show that only shifting the nucleon/quark vacuum mass parameter $m_q$ relative to the critical chemical potential at zero temperature $\mu^0_c$ is sufficient to switch from IA to II. The latter one is to a large extent determined by the product of the sigma mass parameter $m_\sigma$ and the (classical) vacuum expectation value of the sigma field $\langle \sigma \rangle_0$. We are fully aware of the shortcomings of such a model w.r.t. proper account of nuclear matter properties at low temperatures and QCD thermodynamics at high temperatures, as discussed in [48]. But in view of the pertinent complexity of the QCD degrees of freedom in the strong coupling regime such a model with chiral symmetry breaking and restoration may give some glimpses of what is conceivable, in principle.

Also our model for the type IB (cf. Appendix) has, at best, illustrative character: It is a two-phase construction with states in “2” modeled by the extrapolation of weakly interacting quarks and gluons, supplemented by an effective bag constant to account for some non-perturbative aspects, and states in “1” referring to thermal light-meson (pion) excitations and nucleons in some mean field approximation including a realistic incompressibility modulus.

Figure 2 exhibits the isobars $p = \text{const}$ over the $T$-$\mu$ plane for two parameter sets (see figure caption for the values) of the quark-meson model in linearized fluctuations approximation [63] [65]-[67]. These patterns look fairly similar at a first glance. The isobars are flatter than the phase border line (fat white curve). The CEP

$^1$We chose this since in the chiral limit it obeys the same symmetries (an $O(4) = SU(2) \times SU(2)$) as QCD [62] putting both into the same universality class and thus rendering the model a good prototype for studying the properties of the QCD chiral transition.

$^2$In a nutshell, the employed model, also coined linear sigma model, is based on a doublett of quark degrees of freedom, an iso-scalar sigma field and an iso-triplett pion field with standard coupling among these fields.

$^3$According to our experience with numerical evaluations, the account of linearized meson field fluctuations modifies significantly the results of the mean field approximation. (For the inclusion of the complete fluctuations spectrum within the functional renormalization group approach, see [64].) In particular, the fluctuating meson degrees of freedom deliver explicit contributions to the pressure.
Figure 2. Contour plots of scaled pressure $p/P_{\text{CEP}}$ (i.e. isobars, top row) and entropy per baryon $s/n$ (i.e. isentropes, bottom row) for FOPTs of type IA (left column) and type II (right column) over the $T$-$\mu$ plane. Equation of state from the quark-meson model with linearized fluctuations applying the parameters $\langle \sigma \rangle_{0} = 90$ MeV (expectation value of the sigma field in vacuum, as indicated by the label 0), $m_{\pi} = 138$ MeV (pion mass) as well as either $m_{\sigma} = 1284.4$ MeV (sigma mass), $m_{q} = 390$ MeV (quark mass) (left column) or $m_{q} = 700$ MeV, $m_{\sigma} = 360$ MeV (right column). The pressure is scaled by the pressure at the critical end point, i.e. with $P_{\text{CEP}} = 2.38 \times 10^{5}$ MeV$^{4}$ (left) and $P_{\text{CEP}} = 8.59 \times 10^{5}$ MeV$^{4}$ (right), respectively. The arrow in the bottom left plot points to a state where the density at $T = 0$ is equal to $n_{q} = 0.17$ fm$^{-3}$. On the bottom right plot this point is located at the phase boundary.

Coordinates are $(T_{\text{CEP}}, \mu_{\text{CEP}}) = (O(97$ MeV$), O(377.5$ MeV$))$ for the parameter set depicted on the left panels and $(O(98$ MeV$), O(216$ MeV$))$ on the right ones. (Note that we use actually quark chemical potential $\mu_{q}$ and net quark density $n_{q}$). One must not consider these values as predictions of the CEP location since the proper account of fluctuations can significantly change them. Furthermore, the inclusion of some gluon dynamics, e.g. via a coupling to the Polyakov loop, thermal gluon fluctuations as well as extending the invoked hadron species can also cause substantial changes of the CEP coordinates.

Despite of the apparently marginal differences of the isobar patterns, the isentropes are drastically different. In the left bottom panel of Figure 2, type IA isentropes are seen which mean incoming from phase “2” and outgoing into phase “1” whenever they meet the critical curve. In contrast, the right bottom panel in Figure 2 displays a type II FOPT with incoming-only isentropes into the critical curve.

Figure 3 exhibits the isentropes in pure phases “2” and “1” over the $T$-$n$ plane. This presentation verifies that both the temperature and the density drop along the isentropes in pure phases. One can infer directly from the bottom panels of Figure 2 the above claim w.r.t. outgoing isentropes from the low-density phase border curve $n_{q}(T)$ for type IA, see left panel of Figure 3, while for type II (right panel) only incoming isentropes appear (isentropes with $s/n > 5$ enter the two-phase region at smaller densities which are not displayed).
Figure 3. As Figure 2 but for the isentropes in the $T$-$n$ plane for pure phases only. The difference in $s/n$ between two adjacent isentropes is 0.2 and the thick blue isentropes are labeled with their respective $s/n$. The two-phase coexistence regions are depicted as green areas with the CEP (black bullet) on top. The dashed grey curves enclose the regions in $T$-$\mu$ space displayed in Figure 2, i.e. the grey regions correspond to regions outside. The densities are scaled by the nuclear saturation density $n_0 = 0.17$ fm$^{-3}$.

Consistent to the Clausius-Clapeyron Equation (1), the critical pressure as a function of the temperature is increasing, see Figure 4. The inclined numbers at the top axis depict the (critical) chemical potential values corresponding to the temperature given at the lower axis thus highlighting the shape of $p_c(\mu)$ which is actually decreasing in agreement with (2).

We mention that the employed minimum set-up of the quark-meson model does not allow for type IB transitions since thermal gluon fluctuations are not included, i.e. the number of effective degrees of freedom accounting for thermal fluctuations is too small. One may, however, easily construct two-phase models with a high-temperature quark-gluon phase and a low-temperature hadron phase. Figure 5 in the Appendix presents such an example. Without fine tuning, such models do not display a CEP at $\mu > 0$, instead the constructed phase border curve continues from the $T$ axis down to the $\mu$ axis. Reference [68] provides an example of enforcing a CEP at $\mu > 0$ to obtain also a type IB transition.

The focus of the present note is on the isentropes relevant for the expansion dynamics in relativistic heavy-ion collisions. As emphasized, e.g. in [40] and references therein, analog considerations are useful for discussing the impact of peculiarities of the QCD phase diagram in core-collapse supernova explosions. There, one has to consider adiabatic paths along compression with proper leptonic contributions including also trapped neutrinos. For a first orientation, the pressure as a function of the energy density at suitable values of the entropy per baryon is to be analyzed to figure out whether the FOPT effects in iso-spin symmetric matter translate into modifications of neutron star configurations (with $\beta$ stability, no trapped neutrinos) such as the occurrence of a third stable island (cf. [28]), nowadays often refered to as twin configurations [29]-[31] [37] [69]-[74], or modify the core collapse dynamics (with trapped neutrinos) toward proto-neutron stars or even black holes such as discussed in [40] [42] and references therein. We leave according investigations to separate dedicated analyses.

4. Conclusions and Summary

In summary we discuss options for modeling a hypothetical first-order phase transition which is related to a critical end point in a strongly interacting medium. Guided by the expectation that the QCD cross-over (as remnant of the transition of massless $2 + 1$ flavor QCD, cf. [21]) at a temperature of about 150 MeV at small chemical potential turns, at the critical point at large chemical potential, into a first-order transition we consider scenarios where initially deconfined matter can evolve completely into confined (hadronic) matter. We emphasize that both enthalpic and entropic phase transitions are consistent with such an expectation provided a
Figure 4. The critical pressure $p_c(T)$ as a function of temperature for FOPTs of type IA (left panel) and II (right panel). The numbers on the upper axis are the critical chemical potentials (in MeV) corresponding to the temperatures on the lower axis. Equation of state and critical pressures $p_{cA}$ as described in the caption of Figure 2.

Figure 5. Isobars (left top panel) and the critical pressure $p_c$ as a function of temperature (right top panel) as well as isentropes, both over the $T-\mu$ plane (left bottom) and over the $T-n$ plane (right bottom) for the two-phase model of type IB FOPT, based on Equations (3-7). As in Figure 3, the coexistence region is depicted as green area. Our calculations do not map out completely the $T-\mu$ plane, thus leaving some uncharted regions in white in the left column and the bottom right panel.
graceful exit from the deconfined state into pure hadron matter is possible upon adiabatic expansion. At low temperature, the low density part of the two-phase coexistence region must be at larger densities than nuclear matter at saturation (for isospin symmetric nuclear matter). This implies that the pattern of isentropes must “go through” the phase border curve to be conform with the envisaged scenario. In contrast, the van der Waals type transition is of a different kind as it has locally incoming isentropes only. Obviously, more complicated phase border curves may allow for mixtures of the mentioned types. Our discussion also completely ignores flavor-locked color superconducting phases which are expected at larger densities.

Our discussion is based on equilibrium thermodynamics, and the medium is assumed to obey one conserved charge—the baryon density. Accounting for more conserved charges, e.g. related to isospin, strangeness, electric charge etc., complicates the picture. Transient states related to under saturated or over saturated gluons [75] or under saturated quark state occupation [76] give rise to many interesting phenomena beyond our discussion.

The lacking of ab intio information from first-principle calculations of QCD thermodynamics lets many options still be conceivable. This makes the concerted experimental hunt for signals of the critical end point and the related first-order transition so important.
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Appendix
A two-phase model for type IB
The constructed FOPT is based on the extrapolation of a hadron equation of state with pressure
\[ p_1 = n_b \frac{\partial W}{\partial n_b} \]
to be calculated from
\[ W = e/n_b = W_c + W_T \]
with
\[ W_c = \frac{K}{18} \left( \frac{n_b - n_0}{n_b} \right)^2 + W_{\text{bind}} + m_N, \]
\[ W_T = \frac{3}{2} T + \frac{\pi^2 T^4}{18 n_b}, \]
\[ \hat{s} = \frac{s}{n_b} = \hat{s}_N + \hat{s}_s, \]
\[ \hat{s}_N = 2.5 - \ln \left( \frac{n_b}{4} \left( \frac{2\pi}{T m_N} \right)^{3/2} \right), \]
\[ \hat{s}_s = \frac{4 \pi^2 T^3}{30 n_b}. \]
The temperature \( T(n_b, \hat{s}) \) follows self consistently from
\[ T = \frac{\partial W(n_b, \hat{s})}{\partial \hat{s}} \]
and the baryo-chemical potential is then \( \mu_b = W + p_b/n_b - T \hat{s} \). We utilize the nucleon mass \( m_N = 938 \text{ MeV} \), the nucleon binding energy \( W_{\text{bind}} = -16 \text{ MeV} \), nuclear incompressibility coefficient \( K = 235 \text{ MeV} \) and saturation density \( n_0 = 0.17 \text{ fm}^{-3} \). The equation of state in the high temperature phase is defined by the extrapolation of a quark-gluon equation of state from leading-order weak-coupling (cf. [78] for advanced calculations) supplemented by a bag constant \( B \)
\[ p_T = 16 \frac{\pi^2 T^4}{90} + f_q \left( \frac{7 \pi^2 T^4}{8 \times 90} + \frac{1}{24} T^2 \mu_b^2 + \frac{1}{48\pi^2} \mu_b^4 \right) - B, \]
where we employ for the number of effective quark degrees of freedom \( f_q = 2.5 \times 3 \times 2 \times 2 = 30 \) and \( B = (235 \text{ MeV})^4 \). These branches are matched by the above mentioned Gibbs criteria for equilibrium, \( p_1 = p_2 \), \( T_1 = T_2 \), \( \mu_1 = \mu_2 \). The resulting isobars, the critical pressure \( p_c(T) \) as well as isentropes, both over the \( T-\mu \) and the \( T-n \)-planes are exhibited in Figure 5.

\[ ^4 \text{This is a model in the spirit of [77] for nuclear matter and pions.} \]