Baryon Magnetic Moment in the Scalar Strong Interaction Hadron Theory
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Abstract
The magnetic moments of the baryon octet are derived from a first principle’s theory, the scalar strong interaction hadron theory, and are in approximate agreement with data. It is conjectured that this agreement may be improved by including the “spin-orbit coupling” term not evaluated here.
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1. Introduction
The existing treatments of baryon magnetic moment are all phenomenological and based upon models [1]. The predicted results depend upon free parameters introduced and lack theoretical foundation, unlike the derivation of electron’s magnetic and anomalous magnetic moments from the established Dirac equation and QED. Here, the main stream first principle’s theory, Quantum Chromodynamics [2], cannot be applied to this problem; it does not work at low energies. Therefore, the scalar strong interaction hadron theory, a first principle’s theory which has proven to be rather successful in accounting for low energy, so far mainly mesonic phenomena, has been applied to this problem

2. Baryon Wave Equations in Electromagnetic Field
The baryon magnetic moment has been treated [3] using a first principle’s theory, the scalar strong interaction hadron theory [4]. In [3], however, the quark coordinates in the electromagnetic potential were approximated by those of the baryon. The quarks were also assumed to be static. The results of [3] are about 1/3 of the measured ones [2].
These two approximations are removed here and the factor 1/3 drops out; the results are now in approximate agreement with data. This paper is thus nearly the same as the 1994 paper [3] without these two approximations and relies on the book [4]. The total equations of motion for the octet baryons ([4] (9.3.9)) have been generalized to include U(1) gauge fields \( A_i \) to become [3] (5.1),

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + i q_{op} (z) A_i (x) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + i q_{op} (v) A_i^{\delta^g} (x) \right) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} + i q_{op} (u) A_i^{\delta^g} (x) \right) \left( \xi^{\delta^g} (z, v, u) \right)
\]

(1a)

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + i q_{op} (z) A_{bc} (x_i) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + i q_{op} (v) A_{bc}^{\delta^g} (x) \right) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} + i q_{op} (u) A_{bc}^{\delta^g} (x) \right) \left( \xi^{\delta^g} (z, v, u) \right)
\]

(1b)

Here, \( I, II \) and \( III \) refer to the three quarks, \( x \) stands for the 4 vector \( x^\mu \), \( \chi \) and \( \psi \) the baryon wave functions in space time where the undotted and dotted spinor indices run from 1 to 2, \( \Phi_b \) the interquark scalar strong interaction ([4] (9.2.11)) producing confinement, and analogous to [4] (3.1.4).

\[ \delta (x - y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } a = b \\ 0 & \text{for } a \neq b \end{cases} \]

(2)

The \( \delta \) function is not a Lorentz invariant. Further, \( z, u, \) and \( v \) stand for the internal coordinates \( z_I, z_{II} \) and \( z_{III} \), respectively, \( \xi \) the internal baryon function, \( p, s, q \) the flavors of the three quarks, \( m_{3op} \) the quark mass operator ([4] (9.3.8, 12, 13)), and \( q_{op} \) the quarks charge operator ([3] (2.8)), ([4] (2.3.14)),

\[ q_{op} (z) = q_p (z^\mu \partial / \partial z^\mu - z^\mu \partial / \partial z^\mu) = -q_{op} (z), \quad q_t = 2e/3, \quad q_s = q_3 = -e/3, \quad z \rightarrow v, u \]

(3)

### 3. Internal Baryon Wave Functions

The normalized internal functions for the octet baryons reads ([3] (8.1)),

\[ \xi (p) = \xi^{112} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} (2z^1v^1u^2 - z^1v^2u^1 - z^1v^1u^1), \quad \xi (n) = \xi^{221} \]

\[ \xi (\Sigma^+) = \xi^{113}, \quad \xi (\Sigma^0) = \xi^{123}, \quad \xi (\Sigma^-) = \xi^{311}, \quad \xi (\Xi^+) = \xi^{312} \]

\[ \xi (\Xi^0) = \xi^{223} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{12}} (2z^1v^1u^2 + 2z^2v^2u^3 - z^1v^2u^3 - z^2v^3u^1 - z^3v^3u^1 - z^1v^3u^1) \]

\[ \xi (\Lambda) = \xi^{123} = \frac{1}{2} (z^1v^1u^1 + z^3v^3u^1 - z^1v^3u^1 - z^1v^1u^3) \]

(4)

In passing, it is pointed out that these internal functions [5] are essential to the present theory. By exploiting a symmetry among \( z, v \) and \( u \), the QCD Lagrangian [2] has been derived from this theory [4] in the high energy limit [6]. These \( \xi \)’s can be removed by multiplying (1) by \( \xi_{op} = (\xi^{\delta^g} \xi^{\delta^g}) \) via [3] (2.5a),

\[ q_t = q_{op} (z, v, u) \xi_{op} (z, v, u) \]

\[ q_{op} (z) \rightarrow q_{op} (v) \]

\[ q_{op} (z) \rightarrow q_{op} (u) \]

(5)

These \( q \)'s are given on lines 2 and 3 of Table 1 below.

Gauge invariance of (1) is shown in the same way as that for mesons in ([4] (6.3.1, 2)) extended to include a third quark. The external electromagnetic field is static and has no time component,

\[ A_\delta^g (x_j) = -\sigma_\delta A_i (x_j) \]

\[ A_\delta^g (x_{im}) = -\sigma_\delta A_i (x_{im}) \]

\[ A_\delta^g (x_j) = \sigma_\delta A_i (x_j) \]

(6)
Table 1. Octet baryon magnetic moments from (17) using the q’s from (5). There are no data for \( \Sigma^0 \), only the transition magnetic moment \( \Sigma^0 \to \Lambda \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>proton</th>
<th>neutron</th>
<th>( \Lambda )</th>
<th>( \Sigma^+ )</th>
<th>( \Sigma^0 )</th>
<th>( \Sigma^- )</th>
<th>( \Xi^0 )</th>
<th>( \Xi^- )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( q/e = q_{III}/e )</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>-1/6</td>
<td>-1/12</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>1/12</td>
<td>-1/3</td>
<td>-1/6</td>
<td>-1/3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( q_{III}/e ) from (5)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1/3</td>
<td>1/6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1/6</td>
<td>-1/3</td>
<td>1/3</td>
<td>-1/3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \mu_b ) (17)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-1.997</td>
<td>-0.841</td>
<td>2.367</td>
<td>0.787</td>
<td>-0.783</td>
<td>-1.427</td>
<td>-0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \mu_b ) data [2]</td>
<td>2.793</td>
<td>-1.913</td>
<td>-0.613</td>
<td>2.458</td>
<td>1.61(( \Sigma^0 \to \Lambda ))</td>
<td>-1.16</td>
<td>-1.25</td>
<td>-0.6507</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Transformation into Laboratory and Relative Coordinates

Taking the left operator of (1b) and operating it on (1a) and making use of (1b, 3-6) leads to [3] (5.4),

\[
\{ (\hat{\partial}_{Ica} + iq_{III}\sigma_{\alpha}A(x_{\alpha}))\left[ (\hat{\partial}_{III} - iq_{III}\sigma_{\alpha}A(x_{\alpha}))\right]\}
\]

\[
\times\left\{ (\hat{\partial}_{III} + iq_{III}\sigma_{\alpha}A(x_{\alpha}))\right\}
\]

\[
\times\left\{ (\hat{\partial}_{III} - iq_{III}\sigma_{\alpha}A(x_{\alpha}))\right\}
\]

\[
\times\left\{ (\hat{\partial}_{III} + iq_{III}\sigma_{\alpha}A(x_{\alpha}))\right\}
\]

\[
\times\left\{ (\hat{\partial}_{III} - iq_{III}\sigma_{\alpha}A(x_{\alpha}))\right\}
\]

\[
= -\left( M^b_{\psi} + \Phi_{\psi}(x_t, x_{III}, x_{II}) \right) 2X_{[a]}^k(x_t, x_{III}, x_{II}) + R^k_{[a]}(\psi, q)
\]

\[
R^k_{[a]}(\psi, q) = i\left[ \Phi_{\psi}(x_t, x_{III}, x_{II})\right] 2X_{[a]}^k(x_t, x_{III}, x_{II}) + R^k_{[a]}(\psi, q)
\]

where \( M^b_{\psi} \) is the eigenvalue of \( m_{\psi} \) (9.15, 17, 19)), \( [A, B]=AB-BA \). A sister pair of equations are obtained for \( \psi \) and \( R_{[a]}(x, q) \) by reversing the roles of (1a) and (1b). Note that the three braced operators refer to different coordinates and therefore commute with each other.

Following [3] (6.1, 4), let

\[
x = x_{II} - x_{I,III},
\]

\[
X = (1-d)x_{I,III} + dx_{II},
\]

\[
x_{I,III} = (1-c)x_t + cx_{III},
\]

\[
y = x_{III} - x_t
\]

so that by relations of the type of (2),

\[
\hat{\partial}_{Ica} = -(1-d)(1-c)\delta^{ab}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^a} + \cdots,
\]

\[
\hat{\partial}_{III} = -(1-d)c\delta^{ab}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^a} + \cdots
\]

\[
\hat{\partial}_{III} = -d\delta^{ab}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^a} + \cdots
\]

The relative energies in [3] (6.4) has been put to 0 in accordance with [4] (3.5.6). Alternatively, [4] (3.1.10a) type of relations can be used to remove them to arrive at [4] (10.1.2). Here \( X \) is the laboratory coordinate of the baryon and \( x \) and \( y \) are the relative coordinates of the quarks shown in Figure 1(a).

5. First Order Relations and Magnetic Moment

In the absence of electromagnetic perturbation or putting the \( A' \)'s to zero, (1) reduces to the zeroth order equations ([4] (9.3.9)). \( A \) in (7, 8) will now produce a perturbation of \( \chi \) and \( \psi \) in (7) so that, in terms of (9),
Figure 1. (a) illustrates the general (9a), (b) the zeroth order quark-diquark configuration with \( x_I = x_{III} = x_{III, d} = 1/2 \) in (9a), ([4] (10.1.1)). \( X_0 \) denotes the zeroth order laboratory coordinates, (c) special case of (a) with \( c = 1/2 \) and \( d = 1/3 \), giving a maximally allowed \( E_{1b} \) in (16).

\[
\chi^i_{\{a\}}(X, x, y) \rightarrow \chi^i_{\{a\}}(x) \exp \left( -i \left( E_{1b} + E_0 \right) X^0 \right) + \chi^i_{\{a\}}(x, y) \exp \left( -i E_0 X^0 \right), \quad \chi^i_{\{a\}} \rightarrow \psi^i_{\{a\}} \tag{10}
\]

where the subscript 1 denotes first order perturbation, \( E_0 \) denotes the baryon mass and \( X^0_0 \) is that in Figure 1(b), as does \( x \) in \( \chi \) which enters the zeroth order ([4] (10.1.2)). The first line beneath (9b) is noted.

Let the external magnetic field be

\[
\vec{H} = (0,0,H) = \frac{\partial}{\partial X} \times \vec{A}(X) \tag{11}
\]

where \( \phi_g(X) \) is a gauge function. With (9), we find

\[
\begin{align*}
\vec{A}(x_I) &= \vec{A}(X) - d\vec{A}(x) - c\vec{A}(y) \\
\vec{A}(x_{III}) &= \vec{A}(X) - d\vec{A}(x) + (1-c)\vec{A}(y) \\
\vec{A}(x_I) &= \vec{A}(X) + (1-d)\vec{A}(x)
\end{align*}
\]  

The approximation made beneath (4.1) in [3] keeps only the first term on the right of (12). This turns out to be the reason that the results in (7.10) and Table 1 there are three times too small.

After inserting (10) into (7), we wish to obtain the perturbed baryon energy \( E_{1b} \) as a function of the first order \( q \)'s there. With (2), (9), (11), and Figure 1(a), the first order part of the first braces in (7) reads

\[
-2E_{1b}E_0 (1-d)^2 \left( 1-c \right)^2 \delta^i \delta^j Q_a + q_1 \sigma_0^a \vec{H} + 2i \delta^i \delta^j q_i \frac{\partial}{\partial X} \tag{13}
\]

The zeroth order part of the remaining two braces in (7) is found from (9) and Figure 1(b) and is

\[
\delta^i \delta^j Q_a, \quad Q_a = \left[ \frac{E_0^2}{4} + \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial X} \right)^2 \right] \tag{14}
\]

The first order parts of the second and third braces in (7) are analogously found; the associated zeroth order parts are of the same form as the last of (14). The first order part of (7) now reads
Here, it has been noted that Figure 1(a) and Figure 1(b) show that $\chi_0$ and $X_0$ differ by a quantity independent of each of them so that \( \partial \chi_0 / \partial X_0 = \partial \chi_0 / \partial X_0 \). Note that the strong interaction $\Phi_b$ for a free baryon and $m_{3\text{op}}$ are not affected by the perturbative $A$ fields and operate only on zeroth order wave functions. The argument of $\chi$ and $\Phi_b$ has thus been changed to reflect that Figure 1(b) applies. $R_{B1}$ denotes the first order part of (8).

The dominating contributions to the energy shift $E_{1b}$ in the first term of (15) comes from the three $q \sigma_3$ terms on the left of (15) which contribute equally, but of opposite signs, to $E_{1b}$ for spin up and spin down wave function components shown in (16b) below. The second and third terms on the right of (15) are just the zeroth order part of (7, 8) and can be absorbed into it. The first and last terms on the right of (15) do not contain $\sigma_3$ splitting term and their contributions to $E_{1b}$ are of the same sign for the spin up and down components. These contributions, to the degree that they are of equal magnitude, cancel out in the evaluation of the magnetic moment. These two terms will be ignored here to arrive at an approximate expression of the magnetic moment.

The zeroth order $\chi$ on the remaining left of (15) for the spinor index $c = 1, 2$ are eigenfunctions of the $q \sigma_3$ operators; we obtain the equivalent of \( \chi_0 \) (7.3),

\[
O_b \left[ -2E_{1b}E_0 \left\{ \left( 1 - d \right)^2 (1 - c)^2 + \left( 1 - d \right)^2 c^2 + d^2 \right\} \chi_{01} \right] \chi_{02} + \left( q_t + q_{3\text{op}} - q_\sigma \right) H \left( \chi_{01} - \chi_{02} \right) = 0
\]  

(16a)

\[
\chi_{01} = -\frac{3}{4} \chi_{11} (x) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi}} \left( g_0 (r) - i x^3 f_0 (r) \right),
\]

(16b)

\[
\chi_{02} = \frac{3}{4} \chi_{22} (x) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi}} \left( i x^3 + x^2 f_0 (r) \right), \quad r = |x|
\]

The doublet wave functions \([3] (7.4)\) are reproduced in (16b) and are the spin up $m = 1/2$ part \([4] (10.3.8a)\). The corresponding spin down $m = -1/2$ part \([4] (10.3.8b)\) is basically (16b) with the both expressions interchanged. The radial wave functions $g_0(r)$ and $f_0(r)$ have been plotted in \([4] Figure 11.1)\.

The space dependent part associated with $O_b$ in (16a) drops out, just like that in \([3] (4.5b)\) or \([4] (6.3.12)\) for vector meson. The maximally allowed stationary energy shift $E_{1b}$ is found for $c = 1/2$ and $d = 1/3$, same as \([3] (7.9)\). Let $E_p$ be the proton massn magnetic moment reads

\[
\mu_b = \frac{E_{1b}}{H} = \frac{E_p}{2E_0} \left( q_t + q_{3\text{op}} - q_\sigma \right) \text{ proton magneton} = \frac{e}{2E_p}
\]  

(17)

which is 3 times the 1994 result \([3] (7.10)\) without neglect of quark motion beneath (8.3) there. The results are shown on line 4 in Table 1 and are in approximate agreement with data \([2]\) on line 5.

6. Conclusion

The baryon magnetic moment has been treated for the first time starting from a first principle’s theory, the scalar strong interaction hadron theory, that the predicted results are in approximate agreement with data which lends further support to the fact that this theory is basically viable and can replace QCD at low energies.

It is conjectured that the difference between the last two lines in Table 1 may be due to the first term $R_{B1}$ on the right of (15). This “rest” term arises from the strong quark-diquark interaction $\Phi(r)$; its effect resembles spin-orbit coupling in atomic physics. Its inclusion calls for numerical integration. The last term of (15) can be balanced off by including the equally probable spin down $m = -1/2$ wave functions of \([4] (10.3.8b)\) mentioned
beneath (16b). An additional degree of freedom is the choice of the gauge function $\phi_g(X)$ in (11); the simplest form is a constant which does not contribute to (11).
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