Defect Core Structures in Twisted Nematic and Twisted Chiral Liquid Crystals

Xuan Zhou\textsuperscript{1,2,3}, Guili Zheng\textsuperscript{1,2,3}, Zhidong Zhang\textsuperscript{1}

\textsuperscript{1}Department of Physics, Hebei University of Technology, Tianjin, China
\textsuperscript{2}State Key Lab of Applied Optics, Changchun Institute of Optics, Fine Mechanics and Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Changchun, China
\textsuperscript{3}Graduate School of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China
Email: zhidong_zhang@yahoo.cn

Received November 30, 2012; revised December 31, 2012; accepted January 9, 2013

ABSTRACT
The defect structures of $s = \pm 1/2$ twist disclinations in twisted nematic and twisted chiral liquid crystals have been investigated within the Landau-de Gennes theory numerically. Our results show that there exists eigenvalue exchange across the defect core of both the two models. The defect core is essentially biaxial and never isotropic. The defect centre is uniaxial and is surrounded by a strong biaxial region.
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1. Introduction
Topological defects arise as a result of broken continuous symmetry and are ubiquitous in nature, from microscopic condensed matter systems governed by quantum mechanics to a universe in which gravity plays a decisive role [1-3]. Defects in liquid crystals (LCs) have been the subject of much interest, still offering unsolved problems. Commonly observed defects in the uniaxial nematic phase are typically point defects with topological charge $s = 1$ and line defects with topological charge $s = \pm 1/2$ [4]. There are two types of $s = \pm 1/2$ disclination lines [5]. The first type is wedge disclination with the rotation vector parallel to the disclination line, while the second is twist disclination with the rotation vector perpendicular to the disclination line. The region where the presence of a defect causes apparent deviations from bulk ordering is referred to as the defect core [6]. Eigenvalue exchange (also called order reconstruction) was first shown by Sopohl and Sluckin within the core of $s = \pm 1/2$ wedge disclinations [7], and has aroused numerous subsequent studies on the detailed core structure in nematic LCs for various boundary conditions [8-12]. This mechanism has confirmed that biaxial, rather than isotropic, core structures of both line and point defects are more likely to occur.

The structures of $s = \pm 1/2$ twist disclination have been observed in a twisted nematic (TN) cell with planar orientations on both plates with the easy axes perpendicular to each other (called 90° twisted structure). These defects essentially involve two symmetric twist distortions, respectively left-handed and right-handed with degenerate energy, corresponding to $\pm \pi/2$ twists over the cell thickness [13]. These twist disclinations can be stable under particular anchoring conditions on to the plates [14].

The helical structure of twisted chiral nematic (TCN) cells with planar anchoring have been studied [15]. It is shown that a mismatch between the equilibrium pitch $p_0$ and the twist imposed by the boundary conditions may produce two stable helical configurations that are degenerate in energy and can be switched to each other. In Appendix A, we give that a TCN cell of thickness $d = p_0/2$ with 90° twisted structure has bistable states, being respectively $\pi/2$ and $3\pi/2$ twists over the cell thickness.

Dislocations also appear in chiral LCs as a result of temperature quenching from the isotropic phase, applied fields, and surface boundary conditions [4]. The structures of defects in chiral LCs have been studied in the so-called Grandjean-Cano wedges both analytically [16,17] and experimentally [18-21]. De Gennes [16] and Scheffer [17] have given the analytical solution of the director orientation for the Grandjean-Cano disclination, based on the Frank elastic theory, using the method of images and conformal mapping, respectively. These defects correspond to the disclinations of Burgers vector $b = p_0/2$ introduced in References [18,19], which can be splits into a pair of $r-1/2$ and $r+1/2$ disclinations.

In this study, we will investigate the defect structure of a TN cell and a TCN cell of thickness $p_0/2$, both of which have 90° twisted structure. Our study is based on the
Landau-de Gennes theory describing the orientational order of a LC in terms of a second-rank tensor \( Q \), which encompasses both uniaxial and biaxial state.

2. Theoretical Basis

2.1. Landau-de Gennes Theory

Our theoretical argument is based on the Landau-de Gennes theory [14], in which the orientational order of a LC is described by a second-rank symmetric and traceless tensor [22]

\[
Q = \sum_i \lambda_i e_i \otimes e_i
\]  

(1)

Here \( \lambda_i \) and \( e_i \) are the \( i \)-th eigenvalue and the \( i \)-th eigenvector of \( Q \), respectively. In isotropic phase, \( Q \) vanishes. In the uniaxial ordering, \( Q \) has two degenerate eigenvalues and can be represented by

\[
Q = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} n \otimes n - \frac{1}{3} I
\]  

(2)

where \( S \) is the uniaxial scalar parameter, and the unit vector \( n \) is the nematic director pointing along the local uniaxial ordering direction. In Equation (2), \( S \) can have either sign: when it is positive the ensemble of molecules represented by \( Q \) tends to be aligned along \( n \), whereas when \( S \) is negative it tends to lie in the plane orthogonal to \( n \).

Finally, when all eigenvalues of \( Q \) are distinct, the LC is in a biaxial state. The degree of biaxiality is measured by the biaxiality parameter \( \beta^2 \), defined as [23]

\[
\beta^2 = 1 - \frac{6 \left[ \text{tr} \left( Q^3 \right) \right]^2}{\left[ \text{tr} \left( Q^2 \right) \right]^3},
\]  

(3)

which ranges in the interval \([0, 1]\). In all uniaxial states with two degenerate eigenvalues, \( \beta^2 = 0 \), while states with maximal biaxiality correspond to \( \beta^2 = 1 \). Since \( \text{tr} \left( Q^3 \right) = 3 \text{det} Q \), the states with maximal biaxiality are precisely those where \( \text{det} Q = 0 \), which further implies that at least one eigenvalue of \( Q \) vanishes in the biaxial states.

Following the notation in Reference [24], the free energy density of a chiral LC is given by

\[
f = f_{\text{bulk}} \left( Q_{\text{eff}} \right) + f_{\text{grad}} \left( Q_{\text{eff}}, \nabla \right),
\]  

in which

\[
f_{\text{bulk}} \left( Q_{\text{eff}} \right) = \frac{3b}{8a} \left( 1 + \frac{1 - 32ac}{9b^2} \right),
\]

(4)

is the free energy due to the inhomogeneity of LC order. In \( f_{\text{bulk}}, a \) and \( b \) are positive constants and \( c \) is assumed to vary with temperature. In Equation (5), summations over repeated indices are implied, \( (\nabla \times Q)_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \nabla_j Q_{\alpha j} \), and \( (\nabla \cdot Q)_\alpha \), with \( \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \) being the Levi-Civita symbol. The variables \( K_i \) and \( K_0 \) are the elastic constants, and \( q_0 = 2\pi/p_0 \) characterizes the strength and sign of the chirality (hereafter, we consider the case with \( q_0 > 0 \)). We also note that following the spirit of the Landau expansion, we assume that the temperature dependence appears only in the parameter \( c \) and neglect the temperature dependence of the other material parameters. Moreover, the bulk equilibrium value of the uniaxial scalar order parameter in Equation (2):

\[
S_{\text{eq}} = \frac{3b}{8a} \left( 1 + \frac{1 - 32ac}{9b^2} \right),
\]

(5)

also depends on the temperature.

When \( q_0 = 0 \), the condition reduces to the ordinary nematic limit with infinite pitch, and Equation (5) reduces to

\[
f_{\text{grad}} \left( Q_{\text{eff}}, \nabla \right) = \frac{1}{4} K_i \left[ \left( \nabla \times Q \right)_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \right]^2 + \frac{1}{4} K_0 \left[ \left( \nabla \cdot Q \right)_\alpha \right]^2.
\]  

(6)

After an appropriate rescaling of the variables, we can reduce the number of relevant parameters. Here we follow the rescaling of Wright and Mermin [24] and the rescaled free-energy density \( \phi = (a'/b') f \) is written, in terms of a rescaled tensor order parameter \( \chi_{\text{eff}} = (a/b) Q_{\text{eff}} \), the rescaled form of Equations (4)-(6) can be written as

\[
\phi_{\text{bulk}} \left\{ \chi_{\text{eff}} \right\} = \tau \text{tr} \left( \chi^2 \right) - \sqrt{6} \text{tr} \left( \chi^3 \right) + \alpha \left[ \text{tr} \left( Q^2 \right) \right]^2,
\]

(7)

\[
\phi_{\text{grad}} \left\{ \chi_{\text{eff}}, \nabla \right\} = \kappa^2 \left[ \left( \nabla \cdot \chi_{\text{eff}} \right)^2 + \eta \left[ \nabla \cdot \chi_{\text{eff}} \right]^2 \right],
\]

(8)

where the rescaled parameters

\[
\tau = (a/b^2)c, \kappa = (aK_0q_0^2/b^2)^{1/2} = q_0\xi, \eta = K_1/K_0
\]

denote temperature, strength of chirality, and the anisotropy of elasticity, respectively, where \( \xi = (aK_0/b^2)^{1/2} \) is the coherence length, the rescaled spatial derivative is \( \nabla = (2q_0)^{-1} \nabla \) in Equation (8) and \( \nabla = \xi \nabla \) in Equation...
(9). We notice that the isotropic-nematic transition takes place at \( \tau_c = 1/4 \), while the isotropic-cholesteric transition takes place at

\[
\tau_c = \left[ 1 - 4\kappa^2 + \left( 1 + 4\kappa^2 / 3 \right)^2 \right] / 8 ,
\]

which depends on the chirality \cite{24}.

In the scaling employed above, the rescaled uniaxial ordering has the form

\[
\chi_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{-3S}{2} \left( n \otimes n - \frac{1}{3} \delta_{\alpha\beta} \right),
\]

(10)

where \( S = \frac{1}{8} (3 + \sqrt{9 - 32\tau}) \) is the rescaled uniaxial scalar parameter at equilibrium.

2.2. Geometry of the Problem

We choose a TN cell of thickness \( d = 15\xi \) and a TCN cell of thickness \( d = p_0/2 \) with 90° twisted structure. Both of the two models have two stable degenerate configurations with a twist difference of \( \pi \). The plates are placed at \( \pm d/2 \) of a Cartesian coordinate system. The lengths \( d_1 \) and \( d_2 \) of the cell along the x and the y axes are much larger than \( d \left( d_1 \sim d_2 \gg d \right) \).

We study the structure of \( s = \pm 1/2 \) twist disclinations. The disclination line is parallel to the y axis of the simulation cell, and we seek solutions independent of \( y \). At the two plates \( z = \pm d/2 \), we enforce the fixed uniaxial anchoring represented by \( \phi_{d/2} = \pm \pi/4 \) and

\[
\chi_{-d/2} = \frac{3S}{2} \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{1}{6} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{6} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{3}
\end{pmatrix},
\]

(11)

\[
\chi_{d/2} = \frac{3S}{2} \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{1}{6} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{6} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{3}
\end{pmatrix},
\]

(12)

On the lateral walls at \( x = d/2 \), we also prescribe fixed boundary conditions with uniaxial ordering. For the TN cell, the total twist at \( x = d/2 \) are \( \pm \pi/2 \) respectively, while for the TCN cell, the total twist at \( x = d/2 \) are \( \pi/2 \) and \( 3\pi/2 \) respectively. These boundary conditions are compatible with the generation of line defects with topological charge \( s = 1/2 \), with which we shall deal also apply to line defects with topological charge \( s = -1/2 \), with the conditions at the lateral walls exchanged.

2.3. Numerical Methods

In the rescaled space, the length is rescaled so that the cell thickness \( d = 15\xi \) is rescaled to \( d = 15 \) for the TN cell and \( d = p_0/2 \) is rescaled to \( d = 2\eta \) for the TCN cell, respectively. The numerical calculations are to be intended with respect to the scaled variables.

We let the system relax from an initial condition under the fixed boundary conditions given above. In our simulation, we have identified that the value of \( d_1 = 3d \) is enough to exhibit the behavior of the equilibrium configuration in the limit as \( d_1 \to \infty \); there is no visual difference as the value of \( d_1 \) continues to increase.

We employ

\[
\gamma_i \frac{d\chi_{\alpha\beta}}{dt} = \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\chi_{\alpha\beta}} - \lambda_0 \delta_{\alpha\beta}
\]

as the relaxation equation to iterate the order parameter \( \chi_{\alpha\beta} \), where \( \phi \) is the total rescaled free energy of the system,

\[
\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\chi_{\alpha\beta}} = \nabla \cdot \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\chi_{\alpha\beta}} - \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\chi_{\alpha\beta}} ,
\]

(14)

and \( \lambda_0 \) is the Lagrange multiplier ensuring \( Tr\chi = 0 \) which can be eliminated in the numerical procedure \cite{7}. To discretize Equation (13), we replace the derivatives with the two-dimensional finite-difference method employed in our previous studies in \cite{25}. In our numerical calculations, we have found that a discretization with time step given by \( 10^{-5} \) is sufficient to guarantee the stability of the numerical procedure. In addition, our equilibration runs take \( 10^5 \), which have been confirmed sufficient for the system to reach equilibrium state.

We have calculated the tensor \( Q \) after the system to reach equilibrium state, and then the current tensor is diagonalized. Accordingly, the director is identified by the eigenvector possessing the largest eigenvalue, and the eigenvalues are scaled to the largest eigenvalue at the boundary. Then the degree of biaxiality is measured by the biaxiality parameter \( \beta^2 \) given by Equation (3).

3. Results

In this section, we present our numerical results. In our simulations, we set \( \eta = 1 \) (one-constant approximation) just for simplicity, and the scaled temperature is set equal to \( \tau = -1 \), corresponding to \( S = \frac{1}{8} (3 + \sqrt{41}) \).

3.1. s = 1/2 Disclination in TN Cell

Figure 1 shows the director orientation diagram of \( s = 1/2 \) disclinations in the cross section through the defect line, i.e., x-z plane. The lines are "equiorientational" contours. Note that the position of the defect center (\( x_{d/2} \),...
Figure 1. Director orientation contours of $s = 1/2$ disclination in the $x$-$z$ plane of a TN cell.

$z_{\text{def}}$ is located at the center of $x$-$z$ plane, i.e., $(0, 0)$, and the major disturbances of the defect structure in $x$-$z$ space take place within a nearly-circular region around the defect center.

In Figure 2 we plot the three eigenvalues of the matrix $Q$ along the two lines across the defect center in both $x$ and $z$ directions, that is $z = 0$ (Figure 2(a)) and $x = 0$ (Figure 2(b)), respectively. Outside about $0.2d$ from the defect line, the tensor order parameter essentially takes the form of Equation (2). Inside this region, the order parameter becomes increasingly biaxial, in that the degeneracy between two of the eigenvalues is broken. On a ring around the defect center, of radius $\rho \approx 0.02d$, the LC is maximally biaxial; one of the eigenvalues is now zero. At the defect center, the order parameter once again approximately takes the form of Equation (2). However, now the symmetry axis is the defect axis itself, and as compared with the bulk, the order parameter has changed its sign.

A more detailed analysis of the defect core, directly showing the degree of biaxiality, is given in Figure 3. There, we plot $\beta^2$ across the defect center along $z = 0$ (Figures 3(a) and (b)) and $x = 0$ (Figures 3(c) and (d)). Figures 3(b) and (d) are the partial enlarged detail. At the defect center, $\beta^2 = 0$. On increasing the distance $\rho$ from the defect, the degree of biaxiality $\beta^2$ at first gradually increases; it reaches its maximum value $\beta^2 = 1$ at $\rho \approx 0.02d$. Upon further increasing $\rho$, the uniaxial ordering with $\beta^2 = 0$ is asymptotically approached, and the nematic can be described by the director field.

All of the above analysis indicates that there exists eigenvalue exchange across the defect core, where two uniaxial states with orthogonal directors are changed into each other through a transformation that does not involve any director rotation, but instead implies a wealth of biaxial configurations bridging the uniaxial limits.

3.2. $s = 1/2$ Disclination in TCN Cell

In the simulation of the $s = 1/2$ disclination in TCN, we choose $\kappa = 0.4$ that amount to the cholesteric pitch $p_0 \approx 280$ nm, corresponding to relatively strong chirality. According to [26,27], and the isotropic-cholesteric transition temperature given above, the most stable phases at $\tau = -1$ is a nematic helical phase. Figures 4-6 give the corresponding diagrams of director orientation “equiorientational” contours, eigenvalues of $Q$ and biaxiality $\beta^2$, respectively, which show the similar features as in TN cell. However, there are also subtle differences. Figure 4 shows that the position of the defect centre $(x_{\text{def}}, z_{\text{def}})$ is located at $(-0.04167d, 0)$, rather than $(0, 0)$, which results from the asymmetry distribution of the director at the system.

We should notice that Figure 4 gives the similar director orientation contours as that given by Scheffer based on the Frank theory [17], which confirms the correctness and rationality of our method.

4. Conclusions and Discussions

We carried out a numerical study on the structure of $s =
\( \beta_2 \) along \( z = 0 \) (a) and (b) and \( x = 0 \) (c) and (d) revealing the core structure of \( s = 1/2 \) disclinations in TN cell. (b) and (d) are the partial enlarged detail of (a) and (b) respectively.

Figure 4. Director orientation contours of \( s = 1/2 \) disclination in the \( x-z \) plane of TCN cell.

\( \pm 1/2 \) twist disclinations in TN and TCN cells with 90° twisted structure, based on the Landau-de Gennes approach, in which the orientational order of the LC is taken into account by introducing a second-rank tensor order parameter. In numerical calculations, we chose a relatively low temperature \( \tau = -1 \), where the nematic LCs are in deep nematic phase and respond to distortions by entering biaxial states, rather than melting [9]; while the most stable phase for chiral LC at \( \tau = -1 \) is a helical phase, avoiding the emergence of blue phase [26,27].

We have confirmed that two symmetric twist distortions with equal elastic energy in the TN cell may give rise to steady twist disclinations with topological charge \( s = \pm 1/2 \); in the chiral LC model we proposed, the frustrations between the helical structure and the confining surfaces induce \( s = \pm 1/2 \) disclinations, which also appears in the Grandjean-Cano wedges [18-21].

The detailed analysis of the defect structures indicates that the defect core is essentially biaxial and never isotropic. In the very central core region, the nematic is uniaxial and constrained to lie in the direction of the disclination line; however, away from it, the texture is almost uniaxial and can approximately be described by the director field. Moreover, we can conclude that there exists eigenvalue exchange across the defect core of both TN and TCN cells, which confirms that eigenvalue
exchange is a generally existent phenomenon in defects.

A natural question is whether our simulation describes a realistic scenario within a nematic cell. When the LC is cooled down from the isotropic to the nematic phase within the cell, by symmetry breaking, line defects is formed and dominates the Scene [9,28]. Individual defects that are not too close to one another tend to straighten up to equalize the elastic distortions in their vicinity. By topological and energetic reasons, the most probable scenario is that a sequence of line defects in a given direction with alternating charges \( s = \pm 1/2 \) form disclination loops or terminate on the surface of the sample because of the prohibitive energy cost of the free line end [29,30]. However, the details of loop are well beyond the scope of our study. Our simulation describes reasonably well such an isolated and stabilized defect, where the \( y \) axis is set along the average local line defect (on a scale comparable to the cell thickness).

The defects we studied can be used to the operation of bistable LC devices (so-called bistable twisted nematics) that have attracted considerable attention over past few decades [31-34], and can be used for a better understanding of the defect structure in Grandjean-Cano wedges [18-21]. We should note that most of previous study on defects in Grandjean-Cano wedges have focused on the case in which the confining surfaces imposes parallel anchoring of the same direction, while our study provided the similar defect structures in the particular 90˚ twist cell.

It can be predicted that for the two models we proposed, the core size should be affected by the cell thickness of the TN cell, the chirality of chiral LC, as well as the temperature, the detailed results is the task for the future.
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Appendix A: The Helical Structures of Chiral LCs with 90° Twist Structure

The Frank elastic energy density of Chiral LCs can be described in terms of \( n \) as

\[
f = \frac{1}{2} \left[ K_{11} (\nabla \cdot n)^2 + K_{22} (n \cdot \nabla \times n + q_0)^2 + K_{33} (n \times \nabla \times n)^2 \right] - \frac{1}{2} K_s (\nabla \cdot n)^2 + n \cdot (\nabla \times n) n, \tag{A.1}
\]

where \( K_s \) is the surface-like elastic constant. The director \( n \) of chiral LCs is written as

\[
n = (\cos \phi, \sin \phi, 0), \tag{A.2}
\]

with \( \phi = \phi(z) \). Equation (A.2) gives

\[
\nabla \cdot n = 0, \tag{A.3}
\]

\[
\nabla \times n = -\cos \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} \mathbf{e}_x - \sin \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} \mathbf{e}_y, \tag{A.4}
\]

\[
n \cdot \nabla \times n = -\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z}, \tag{A.5}
\]

\[
n \times \nabla \times n = 0. \tag{A.6}
\]

Substituting Equations (A.3-A.6) into (A.1) leads to

\[
f = \frac{1}{2} K_{22} \left[ q_0 \left( \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} \right)^2 \right]. \tag{A.7}
\]

The Euler-Lagrange equation is

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial z^2} = 0. \tag{A.8}
\]

The general solution of Equation (A.8) is

\[
\phi = k z + C, \tag{A.9}
\]

with boundary conditions

\[
\phi(-d/2) = -\frac{\pi}{4}, \phi(d/2) = \frac{\pi}{4}. \tag{A.10}
\]

Through simple calculation we can get

\[
\phi = \left( \frac{1}{2} + m \right) \pi / d \ (m = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots), C = 0. \tag{A.11}
\]

When \( d = p_0 / 2 \), the Frank elastic energy density is

\[
f = \frac{1}{2} K_{22} \left[ -\left( \frac{1}{2} + m \right) \pi / d + \left( \frac{\pi}{d} \right)^2 \right]. \tag{A.12}
\]

Apparently, \( m = 1 \) and \( m = 0 \) have the same and the lowest energy, \( f = K_{22} (\pi / 2d)^2 / 2 \), i.e., for the condition we considered, the two helical structures \( \phi = (\pi / 2d)z \) and \( \phi = (3\pi / 2d)z \) have the same and lowest energy.