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ABSTRACT

According to the current understanding, electromagnetic interaction is invariable under time reversal. However, the proof of time reversal symmetry in quantum theory of field has not considered the effects of high order perturbation normalizations. It is proved in the paper that when the renormalization effect of third order vertex angles process is taken into account, the symmetry of time reversal will be violated in electromagnetic interaction process. Because the magnitude order of symmetry violation is about $10^{-5}$, but the precision of current experiments on time reversal in particle physics is about $10^{-3}$, this kind of symmetry violation can not be found. The result reveals the micro-origin of asymmetry of time reversal and can be used to solve the famous irreversibility paradox in the evolution processes of macro-material systems.
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1. Introduction

According to the current understanding, the electromagnetic interaction processes of micro-particles are symmetric under time reversal, for the motion equation of quantum mechanics and the interaction Hamiltonian of electromagnetic interaction are considered invariable under time reversal. On the other hand, as we know that the evolution processes of macro-material systems which obey the second law of thermodynamics always violate time reversal symmetry. Therefore, there exists a famous and unsolved contradiction, i.e., the so-called irreversibility paradox. Because macro-systems are composed of atoms and molecules, and atoms and molecules are composed of charged particles. By logical deductions, electromagnetic interaction between micro-particles should contain certain asymmetry under time reversal. We had to ask whether or not our current understanding on electromagnetic interaction has some basic mistake.

In fact, dissipation and irreversibility exist commonly in practical electromagnetic phenomenon. A most typical example is the heat effect of resistance in circuitry. Another example is laser, related to electromagnetic interaction, which is strong non-equilibrium process with high irreversibility. In addition, most non-linear optical processes, just as the optical sum frequency, double frequency and different frequency, double stable states, self-focusing and self-defocusing, echo phenomena, as well as optical self-transparency and self observations and so on [1], are obviously violate time reversal symmetry. The problem is that the current theory of electromagnetic interaction is considered symmetrical under time reversal, so that though physicists look at these phenomena, they can not see symmetry violations at present.

The affirmation that electromagnetic interaction is symmetric under time reversal has seriously hampered our correct understanding on the character of microcosm. The experiment is the first in physics. The theory should obey experiments and facts. Because symmetry violation of time reversal exists practically in the processes of electromagnetic interaction, we should try to explain it in theory, in stead of evading it.

In fact, the author has completed a series of works in this field. It is proved that when the electromagnetic retarded interaction is taken into account in quantum mechanics, the light’s high order stimulated radiation and absorption processes violate time reversal symmetry [2], though the total interaction Hamiltonian is still unchanged under time reversal in the processes. Based on it, the basic theory of laser physics and the polarization formula of non-linear optics are revised so that they become irreversibility under time reversal [3]. In this way, a more rational foundation is established for the theories.

In this paper, we discuss symmetry violation of time reversal in quantum theory of field further. The experi-
ments show that there is no symmetry violation of time reversal in the low order processes of electromagnetic interaction. We will prove that when renormalization effect is considered, the third order vertex angle processes of electromagnetic interaction violate time reversal symmetry. The situation is similar to that the regularizations of some high order processes cause chirality’s anomalies and violates gauge invariability in the gauge theory of field [4]. Because symmetry violation is very small with the magnitude order about 10^{-5}, but the experiment precision to test time reversal symmetry in current particle physics was about 10^{-3}, the experiments could not find them.

The results indicate that no matter in quantum mechanics or in quantum theory of field, electromagnetic interactions violate time reversal symmetry generally. The difference is that in quantum mechanics, we discuss electromagnetic interactions between charged particles of bounding states in atoms and molecules. Symmetry violation of time reversal occurs in the second processes with big magnitude order. But in quantum theory of field, we discuss electromagnetic interactions between charged particles of non-bounding states and photons. Symmetry violations occur in the third order processes with small magnitude order.

The results reveal the common existence of time reversal asymmetry in microcosm which can be used to solve the famous irreversibility paradox in the evolution processes of macro-material systems. That is to say, the irreversibility of macro-processes originates from the irreversibility of micro-processes in essence.

2. Time Reversals of Physical Quantities in Momentum Space

In quantum theory of field, the time reversals of electromagnetic field \( A_\mu \) and spinor field \( \psi \) in the coordinate space are defined as individually [5]

\[
T A_\mu (x,t) T^{-1} = -A_\mu (x,-t) \tag{1}
\]

\[
T \psi (x,t) T^{-1} = i \gamma_5 \psi (x,-t) = \sigma_z \psi (x,-t) \tag{2}
\]

\[
T \bar{\psi} (x,t) T^{-1} = (\sigma_z \psi (x,-t))^\dagger \gamma_4 \psi^\dagger (x,-t) \sigma_z \gamma_4 \tag{3}
\]

Here \( T \) is time reversal operator and \( \sigma_z = i \gamma_5 \gamma_3 \) is the Pauli matrix. The Hamiltonian of electromagnetic interaction is defined as

\[
\mathcal{H}(x,t) = -\frac{i e}{2} A_\mu (x,t) \times \left[ \bar{\psi} (x, t) \gamma_\mu \psi (x, t) - \psi^\dagger (x, t) \gamma_\mu \bar{\psi}^\dagger (x, t) \right] \tag{4}
\]

The time reversal of (4) is carried out according to following procedure. Because \( T \) is anti-unitary operator, we have \( T \mathcal{O} T^{-1} = \mathcal{O}^* \) and \( T \gamma_\mu T^{-1} = -i \gamma_\mu \). By considering relations \( \sigma_\mu \gamma_4 = \gamma_\mu \sigma_2 \) and \( \sigma_\mu \gamma_4^* \sigma_2 = \gamma_\mu \), we have [5]

\[
T \mathcal{H}(x, t) T^{-1} = -\frac{i e}{2} A_\mu (x, -t)
\times \left[ \psi^\dagger (x, t) \gamma_\mu \psi (x, t) - \psi^\dagger (x, t) \gamma_\mu \bar{\psi}^\dagger (x, t) \right] \]

\[
= -\frac{i e}{2} A_\mu (x, -t) \left[ \bar{\psi} (x, t) \gamma_\mu \psi (x, t) - \psi^\dagger (x, t) \gamma_\mu \bar{\psi}^\dagger (x, t) \right] = \mathcal{H} (x, -t) \tag{5}
\]

According to quantum theory of field, the results are the same when we use both \( \mathcal{H}(x, t) \) and \( \mathcal{H}(x, -t) \) to calculate the transition probabilities of concrete problems. In this meaning, we say that electromagnetic interaction is symmetric unchanged under time reversal.

It should be emphasized that the Formula (4) does not contain the normalization effects of high order perturbation processes. We will prove below that if the renormalization effects are considered, the third order vertex angle process violates time reversal symmetry.

The Formulas (1)-(5) are described in the coordinate space, but the concrete problems are calculated in the momentum space. The quantized spinor field in the momentum space is

\[
\psi (x, t) = \sum_{p,s} \sqrt{\frac{m}{E}} u_s (p) b_i (p) e^{i(px - Et)} + v_i (\bar{p}) d_i^* (p) e^{-i(px - Et)} \tag{6}
\]

We have known following relations [5]

\[
i \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 u_i (p) = \sigma_2 u_i (p) = u_i^* (-p)
\]

\[
i \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 v_i (p) = \sigma_2 v_i (p) = v_i^* (-p)
\]

\[
\sigma_2 u_i (p) = \sigma_2^* u_i (-p) = u_i (-p)
\]

\[
\sigma_2 v_i (p) = \sigma_2^* v_i (-p) = v_i (-p)
\]

By the relations above, we can get the time reversals of creation and annihilation operators [5]

\[
T b_i (p) T^{-1} = b_i (-p) \tag{9}
\]

\[
T d_i^* (p) T^{-1} = d_i^* (-p)
\]

as well as the time reversals of spinor fields in the momentum space

\[
Tu_i (p) T^{-1} = u_i^* (p)
\]

\[
Tv_i (p) T^{-1} = v_i^* (p) \tag{10}
\]
\[T\bar{\nu}_\mu(p)T^{-1} = \left(u^*_\mu(p)\right)^T\gamma_4 = u^*_\mu(p)\gamma_4\]
\[T\bar{\nu}_\mu(p)T^{-1} = \left(v^*_\mu(p)\right)^T\gamma_4 = v^*_\mu(p)\gamma_4\]

For the convenience of calculation, we define matrices \(\bar{\nu}_\mu\) and \(\tilde{\nu}_\mu\) as
\[
\bar{\nu}_\mu = \gamma_4\nu_\mu\gamma_4 = (-\nu_1, -\nu_2, -\nu_3, -\nu_4) = (-\nu, \gamma_4) \quad (12)
\]
\[
\tilde{\nu}_\mu = \gamma_4\nu_\mu\gamma_4 = \left(\nu_1, -\nu_2, -\nu_3, -\nu_4\right) = \nu_\mu \gamma_4 \quad (13)
\]

It is obvious that \(\bar{\nu}_\mu\) and \(\tilde{\nu}_\mu\) are also the Hermitian matrices with the same communicate relations
\[
\bar{\nu}_\mu\bar{\nu}_\nu + \bar{\nu}_\nu\bar{\nu}_\mu = -\gamma_4\gamma_\mu\nu_\nu + \gamma_\nu\gamma_\mu\nu_\mu = 2\delta_\mu\nu \quad (14)
\]

Meanwhile, we write the four dimensional momentum quantities as
\[
\bar{\nu}_\mu = (-p, p_\mu) \quad (15)
\]
with
\[
p_\mu\bar{\nu}_\mu = (-p\cdot\nu, p_\mu\nu_\mu) = \bar{\nu}_\mu\nu_\mu \quad (16)
\]

The formulas are useful in the following calculations.

3. T Violation in the Renormalization

Processes of Third Order Vertex Angles

We now discuss the time reversal of a single third order vertex angle process when the renormalization effect is considered. We calculate the process that an electron is scattered by the external electromagnetic field. By omitting the invariant factor \(-\epsilon^0\rho_3(p_2 - p_1 - k)\), the total probability amplitudes of the first and third order processes can be written as [6]

\[
S \sim -\bar{\nu}_\mu(p_2)\Gamma^{(2)}(p_1, p_2)u_\mu(p_1)\epsilon^\nu(p_1) \quad (17)
\]

Here \(k = p_2 - p_1\). In general situations, (17) can be considered as a part of more complex Feynman diagram. If regularization and renormalization are not considered, (17) is symmetric under time reversal. After regularization is carried out and infinite is separated, we get

\[
\Gamma^{(2)}(p_1, p_2) = (1 + L)\gamma_\mu + \Lambda^{(2)}(p_1, p_2) \sim (1 + L)\left[\gamma_\mu + \Lambda^{(2)}(p_1, p_2)\right] \quad (18)
\]

in which \(L\) is infinite, \(\Lambda^{(2)}(p_1, p_2)\) does not contain ultraviolet divergence but contains infrared divergence. In order to eliminate infrared divergence, we suppose that photon has a small static mass \(\rho\) at first, and let \(\rho \to 0\) at last according in the current calculation [6]. By means of the procedure of charge renormalization to let \(e \to e(1 + L)\), we obtain finite probability amplitude

\[
S \sim -\bar{\nu}_\mu(p_2)\left[\gamma_\mu + \Lambda^{(2)}(p_1, p_2)\right]u_\mu(p_1)\epsilon^\nu(p_1) \quad (19)
\]
in which

\[
\Lambda^{(2)}(p_1, p_2) = \gamma_\mu G(p_1, p_2) + K(p_1, p_2)\sigma_\rho k, \nu \quad (20)
\]

\[
\sigma_{\nu\nu} = \frac{1}{2i} (\gamma_\mu\nu_\nu - \gamma_\nu\gamma_\mu) \quad (21)
\]

\[
K(p_1, p_2) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\frac{x}{2}} \int_{0}^{\frac{x}{2}} \int_{0}^{\frac{x}{2}} \int_{0}^{\frac{x}{2}} \left[\bar{\nu}_\mu(p_2)\gamma_\mu G(p_1, p_2) + \gamma_\mu K(p_1, p_2)\gamma_\nu^\mu k, \nu\right] \quad (22)
\]

\[
G(p_1, p_2) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\frac{x}{2}} \int_{0}^{\frac{x}{2}} \int_{0}^{\frac{x}{2}} \int_{0}^{\frac{x}{2}} \left[\bar{\nu}_\mu(p_2)\gamma_\mu G(p_1, p_2) + \gamma_\mu K(p_1, p_2)\gamma_\nu^\mu k, \nu\right] \quad (23)
\]

\[
q_2^2 = m_0^2\gamma^2 + \rho^2\gamma(1-x) \quad (24)
\]

\[
q_2^2 = m_0^2\gamma^2 + \rho^2\gamma(1-x) \quad (25)
\]

Let \(S = S_1 + S_2\), we have

\[
S_1 \sim (1 + G(p_1, p_2))\bar{\nu}_\mu(p_2)\gamma_\mu u_\mu(p_1)\epsilon^\nu(p_1) \quad (26)
\]

\[
S_2 \sim K(p_1, p_2)\bar{\nu}_\mu(p_2)\sigma_\rho k, \nu u_\mu(p_1)\epsilon^\nu(p_1) \quad (27)
\]

According to the definition, we have \(i \to -i\), \(k \to -k\), \(k_\mu = -k_\mu\), and \(p_\mu \to -p_\mu\) under time reversal. Therefore, \(k^2\), \(p^2\) and \(k \cdot p\) are invariant. The functions \(G(p_1, p_2)\) and \(K(p_1, p_2)\) are also unchanged under time reversal. By inserting factor \(\gamma_\nu^\mu = 1\) in (26), we have the time reversal of \(S_1\)

\[
S_{1r} \sim -u_\mu(p_1)\gamma_\nu^\mu\gamma_\mu u_\mu(p_1)\epsilon^\nu(p_1) \quad (29)
\]

\[
S_{1r} \sim -u_\mu(p_1)\gamma_\nu^\mu\gamma_\mu u_\mu(p_1)\epsilon^\nu(p_1) \quad (28)
\]

\[
S_{1r} \sim -u_\mu(p_1)\gamma_\nu^\mu\gamma_\mu u_\mu(p_1)\epsilon^\nu(p_1) \quad (29)
\]

So we have \(S_{1r} = -S_1^*\), \(S_{1r} = -S_1\), \(S_{1r}^* = S_1^*\).
Then let's consider the time reversal of $S_2$. By using (12) and (13), we have

$$\bar{\sigma}_{\mu \nu} = \gamma_\mu \sigma_{\mu \nu} \gamma_4 = \frac{1}{2i} ( \tilde{\gamma}_\mu \tilde{\gamma}_\nu - \tilde{\gamma}_\nu \tilde{\gamma}_\mu )$$

$$\bar{\sigma}_{\mu \nu} = \frac{1}{2i} ( \tilde{\gamma}_\mu \tilde{\gamma}_\nu - \tilde{\gamma}_\nu \tilde{\gamma}_\mu )$$

(31)

Thus, the time reversal of $S_2$ is

$$S_{2t} \sim u_\nu^* (p_2) \gamma_\mu \bar{\sigma}_{\mu \nu} \gamma_4 u_\nu (p_1) k_\mu e^o_\mu (k)$$

$$= -u_\nu^* (p_2) \bar{\sigma}_{\mu \nu} \gamma_4 u_\nu (p_1) k_\mu e^o_\mu (k)$$

$$= -u_\nu^* (p_2) \gamma_\mu \bar{\sigma}_{\mu \nu} u_\nu (p_1) k_\mu e^o_\mu (k)$$

$$= \bar{\pi}_\nu^* (p_2) \sigma_{\mu \nu} u_\nu (p_1) k_\mu e^o_\mu (k)$$

(34)

It is easy to prove that $\sigma^*_{\mu \nu} = \sigma_{\mu \nu}^*$, $\sigma^*_{\mu \nu} = \sigma_{\mu \nu}$ and $\sigma^*_{\mu \nu} = \sigma_{\mu \nu}^*$. So by taking the complex conjugations of $S_2$ and $S_{2t}$, we obtain

$$S_{2t} \sim u_\nu^* (p_1) \gamma_\mu \sigma_{\mu \nu} \gamma_4 u_\nu (p_2) k_\mu e^o_\mu (k)$$

$$= \bar{u}_\nu (p_1) \sigma_{\mu \nu} u_\nu (p_2) k_\mu e^o_\mu (k)$$

(35)

$$S_{2t} \sim u_\nu^* (p_1) \gamma_\mu \sigma_{\mu \nu} \gamma_4 u_\nu (p_2) k_\mu e^o_\mu (k)$$

$$= \bar{u}_\nu (p_1) \sigma_{\mu \nu} u_\nu (p_2) k_\mu e^o_\mu (k)$$

(36)

Because of \( \mathbf{k}_e = (-\mathbf{k}, -i\mathbf{k}_o) = (-\mathbf{k}, i\mathbf{k}_o) = -\mathbf{k}_e \), we have at last

$$S = \bar{u}_\nu (p_1) \left[ (1+G(p_1,p_2)) \gamma_\mu + K(p_1,p_2) \sigma_{\mu \nu} k_\mu \right] e^o_\mu (k)$$

(37)

$$S^+ = \bar{u}_\nu (p_1) \left[ (1+G(p_1,p_2)) \tilde{\gamma}_\mu + K(p_1,p_2) \tilde{\sigma}_{\mu \nu} k_\mu \right] e^o_\mu (k)$$

(38)

$$S_\nu = -\bar{u}_\nu (p_1) \left[ (1+G(p_1,p_2)) \tilde{\gamma}_\mu - K(p_1,p_2) \tilde{\sigma}_{\mu \nu} k_\mu \right] e^o_\mu (k)$$

(39)

$$S_{\nu_0} = -\bar{u}_\nu (p_1) \left[ (1+G(p_1,p_2)) \tilde{\gamma}_\mu + K(p_1,p_2) \tilde{\sigma}_{\mu \nu} k_\mu \right] e^o_\mu (k)$$

(40)

Comparing the formulas above, we know that to let \( k_e \rightarrow \mathbf{k} \) (or \( \mathbf{k} \rightarrow -\mathbf{k} \)) in $S_2$, we obtain $S_2^*$. To let \( k_e \rightarrow \mathbf{k} \) (equal to \( k_e \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{k}} \) or \( \tilde{\mathbf{k}} \rightarrow -\mathbf{k} \)) in $S^+$, we obtain $-S_2$. That is to say, to let $k_e \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{k}}$ in $S^+$, we obtain $S_2$. However, it is obvious that when $k \rightarrow -k$ we have $S^+ S \neq S^* S_2 = S_2 S^*$. The result indicates that the transition probability violates time reversal symmetry when the renormalization effect of the third order vertex angle process is considered.

We can prove that the total transition probability of the renormalization processes of all third order vertex angles in the coordinate space also violates time reversal symmetry. We have equivalent relations

$$A_\nu (x) \rightarrow e^{iE_\nu x}$$

(41)

$$k_e = -i\partial_v A_\nu (x) / A_\nu (x) \rightarrow -i \partial_v$$

So we can equally write the total probability amplitude of all third order vertex angle processes as

$$S(x,t) \sim \bar{\nu}_\nu (p_1) \left[ (1+G(x,t)) \gamma_\mu A_\nu (x,t) \right] e^o_\mu (k)$$

(42)

The formula (42) contains four processes actually. They are the scattering between electron and electron described by $S \sim \bar{\nu}_\nu (p_1) u_\nu (p_2) a_\mu (k)$, the scattering between positive electrons described by $S \sim \bar{\nu}_\nu (p_1) v_\nu (p_2) a_\mu (k)$, and as well as the generation and annihilation processes of electron and positive electron described by $S \sim -\bar{\nu}_\nu (p_1) v_\nu (p_2) a_\mu (k)$ and $S \sim -\bar{\nu}_\nu (p_1) u_\nu (p_2) a_\mu (k)$. The operator $\partial_\nu = (\nabla, i\partial_t)$ is unchanged under time reversal. And so do for the time reversal of functions with $G^*(x,t) \rightarrow G^*(x,-t)$ and $K^*(x,t) \rightarrow K^*(x,-t)$. By considering $i \rightarrow -i$ and $A_\nu (x,t) \rightarrow A_\nu (x,-t)$ under time reversal, as well as the relation $\gamma_\nu \gamma_\mu = \gamma_\mu \gamma_\nu$, and $\sigma_{\mu \nu} \sigma_{\mu \nu} = -\sigma_{\mu \nu}$, we have the time reversal of (42)

$$S_t (x,t) \sim -\psi^* (x,-t)$$

$$= \left[ (1+G(x,-t)) \sigma_{\mu \nu} \gamma_\mu \gamma_\nu a_\mu (x,-t) + iK(x,-t) \sigma_{\mu \nu} \gamma_\mu \gamma_\nu a_\mu (x,-t) \right] \psi (x,-t)$$

(43)

$$= -\bar{\nu}_\nu (x,-t) \left[ (1+G(x,-t)) \gamma_\mu A_\nu (x,-t) \right] e^o_\mu (k)$$

On the other hand, if let $t \rightarrow -t$ in (42) and take $\partial_\nu = (\nabla, i\partial_t)$, we have

$$S_t (x,t) = -\psi^* (x,-t)$$

$$= \left[ (1+G(x,-t)) \sigma_{\mu \nu} \gamma_\mu \gamma_\nu a_\mu (x,-t) + iK(x,-t) \sigma_{\mu \nu} \gamma_\mu \gamma_\nu a_\mu (x,-t) \right] \psi (x,-t)$$

(43)

$$= -\bar{\nu}_\nu (x,-t) \left[ (1+G(x,-t)) \gamma_\mu A_\nu (x,-t) \right] e^o_\mu (k)$$

(44)
\[ S(x,-t) \sim \overline{\psi}(x,-t)\left[(1+G(x,-t))\gamma_{\mu}A_{\mu}(x,-t) \right. \]
\[ \left. -iK(x,-t)\sigma_{\mu}\partial_{\mu}A_{\mu}(x,-t)\right]\psi(x,-t) \] (44)

Because of \( \partial_{\nu} \neq \partial_{\nu} = (\nabla, -i\partial/\partial t) \), we have \( S_{r}(x,t) \neq S(x,-t) \). According to (5), the interaction Hamiltonian in the coordinate space is invariant under time reversal. We should have \( T \). Because the condition is not satisfied, (43) violates the symmetry of time reversal. Therefore, no matter in the momentum space or in the coordinate space and no matter for a single process or for the sum of all processes, the renormalizations of third order vertex angles violate time reversal symmetry in electromagnetic interaction processes.

Because vertex angles are the elements of the Feynman diagrams, so the high order processes of electromagnetic interaction in quantum theory of field violate time reversal symmetry commonly. But the symmetry violations in high order processes are very small with magnitude order about \( 10^{-5} \). The current experiments precision on time reversal is about \( 10^{-3} \), so that this symmetry violation appearing in the renormalization processes of quantum theory of field has not been found at present day.

4. CPT Symmetry Violations in High Order Renormalization Processes

It is easy to prove that the renormalizations of third order vertex angle processes are symmetric under \( P \) and \( C \) transformations in electromagnetic interactions. Due to the symmetry violation of time reversal, the renormalization processes violate \( CPT \) symmetry in electromagnetic interactions. It is easy to prove that this kind of \( CPT \) symmetry violation does not affect the static masses of positive and anti-particles. Let \( \mathcal{H}_{0}(x) \) represent the Hamiltonian operator of particle’s static energy (or static mass), \( |u\rangle \) represent the state of positive particle and \( |v\rangle \) represent the state of anti-particle. Because the operator of static energy \( \mathcal{H}_{0}(x) \) does not contain the revision of high order renormalization, we also have

\[ CPT\mathcal{H}_{0}(x)(CPT)^{-1} = \mathcal{H}_{0}(x) \] (45)

So under \( CPT \) transformation, we also have

\[ \langle u|\mathcal{H}_{0}(x)|u\rangle = \langle u|(CPT)^{-1}CPT\mathcal{H}_{0}(x)|u\rangle \]
\[ \times (CPT)^{-1}CPT|u\rangle = \langle v|\mathcal{H}_{0}(x)|v\rangle \] (46)

That is to say, positive and anti-particles still have the same static energy (static mass). The symmetry violation of united \( CPT \) transformation is insensitive to the static mass of positive and anti-particles. We still have same static mass for positive and anti-particles. The magnitude order of \( CPT \) violation is very small. The reason of \( CPT \) violation keeps to later discussion.
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